

City of Surrey
Bose Forest Park

Community Engagement Summary
Preliminary Planning & Design



Updated November 2014

Summary of What We Heard

The City of Surrey coordinated a community engagement process for the Bose Forest Park, a new 7.4 hectares (18.3 acre) Community Park located within the West Cloverdale North neighbourhood.

The park, located between 62 and 64 Avenue west of 165 Street, was acquired by the City during the subdivision of the surrounding neighbourhood. The park includes the forested section of the former Bose farm which is a well-known landmark in west Cloverdale and part of the original homestead of Surrey pioneer Henry Bose and family.

A two phase public engagement strategy was developed for the project to ensure that stakeholders and the public were involved and had a meaningful voice in the design of the park. This document provides a summary of what we heard.

PHASE 1 - PRELIMINARY PLANNING

The initial phase of the project was focused on generating ideas and input from residents and potential park users to help identify potential amenities and uses. The outcome of this initial phase was the development of two park concept options which were presented back to the community for further review in Phase 2. The following engagement opportunities were offered during April and May, 2014:

- Preliminary survey via CitySpeaks sent to Cloverdale CitySpeaks panelists
- Amenity survey via CitySpeaks open to the public
- Public open house on May 1, 2014 that included:
 - an environmental summary, historical information, map exercises, and opportunities for attendees to share ideas on display boards.

Key Findings


A total of 121 online respondents completed the preliminary CitySpeaks survey, 60 online respondents completed the amenity survey and over 40 people attended the May 1 open house. Approximately 94% of all survey respondents identified themselves as *area residents*, with approximately 45% from the immediate neighbourhood (West Cloverdale). Of the total of survey respondents, 55% indicated there were children or grandchildren living at home.

The following findings reflect the majority view of comments received through this initial phase of consultation.

Heritage


The Bose Farm is a recognized landmark with residents of Surrey with over 85% of CitySpeaks survey respondents at least somewhat familiar with the site. The original Bose

farmhouse and large barn were the most noted built features associated with the Bose Farm. Residents also felt that the forest is an important natural heritage site for the City and that it should be retained as best as possible. There were numerous comments supporting the use of interpretative signage and pathways as a way to illustrate the history and unique character of the forest.



CitySpeaks Preliminary Survey Results (April 23, 2014, 121 respondents) – Level of familiarity with the Bose Farm site

It was widely felt that the name ‘Bose’ should be retained in the name of the park. The name *Bose Forest Park* was identified as the preferred park name.



CitySpeaks Preliminary & Amenity Survey Results (April 23 & June 2, 2014, 181 respondents) – Preferred Park Name

Forest Pathways /View Points

The development of walking paths through the forest was a priority for a majority of survey respondents (85%). Numerous comments were received supporting the connection of trails to passive amenities, such as viewpoints and boardwalks. Maintaining views over the Serpentine River Valley were also identified as important to residents. While pathways were overwhelmingly supported, it was felt that their impact should be limited within sensitive habitat areas. There were also some concerns from residents along 61A Ave regarding the proximity of walking trails to backyards. It was

suggested that a planted buffer along the rear yards of 61A Ave would help mitigate the impact from pathways and other public park use.


Tree Preservation/Natural Area Enhancements

The preservation of the existing mature forest and surrounding habitat is important for the majority of respondents. There was strong support from survey respondents (63%) to include natural area enhancements, such as bird boxes, invasive species removal and native shrub planting, within the park design. While there was concern regarding public access to the more environmentally sensitive habitat areas, there was also support for the use of the forest for environmental education purposes (interpretative signage, habitat viewing etc.). The use of boardwalks was suggested as a means to provide partial access and viewing adjacent to environmentally sensitive areas.

Traffic and Parking

The majority of survey respondents (71%) indicated they would likely visit the park at least one a month. Further to this, 55% of respondents indicated they would likely get to the park by driving in a car and looking for parking. These results suggest the park will generate a moderate parking demand.

It was noted that there are approximately 28 on-street parking spaces directly fronting the park, with an additional 12 on-street parking spaces across the road from the park. There were mixed feelings regarding the potential inclusion of a parking lot to accommodate park visitors. Concerns regarding a parking lot were centered on the issues of after hour loitering and tree retention. Respondents preferred to limit the removal of significant trees from the park for parking.




CitySpeaks Preliminary & Amenity Survey Results (April 23 & June 2, 2014, 181 respondents) – Preferred transportation to Park

Most Popular Park Amenities


The most popular park amenities identified included pathways/trails (including boardwalks), natural area enhancements (bird boxes, invasive species removals, native plantings etc.), viewpoints and platforms, nature playground and interpretative signage.

A parking lot and picnicking areas were also popular with survey respondents, although as noted above there were also concerns with a parking lot.

More active amenities, such as BMX trails, frisbee golf and a fitness circuit, while supported by some, received less overall endorsement. A number of comments were gathered on the location of amenities and it was felt that any active amenities should be located closer to the road where potential parking is located. There were also comments in support of providing trails within close proximity to habitat enhancement to provide nature viewing and educational opportunities.



CitySpeaks Open House & Amenity Survey Results (June 2, 2014, 60 respondents) – Top 5 Preferred Park Amenities



CitySpeaks Open House & Amenity Survey Results (June 2, 2014, 60 respondents) – Top 10 Preferred Park Amenities

PHASE - 2 CONCEPT REFINEMENT

The second phase of the public engagement was focused on gathering feedback and preferences on the park concept options. Two concept options (option A & B) were presented to the public for review and comment. The following engagement opportunities were provided in October, 2014:

- Online CitySpeaks survey open to the public;
- Public open house on October 2, 2014; and
- site visit with residents to address specific site issues;


Key Findings

A total of 133 online respondents completed the CitySpeaks survey, over 35 people attended the October 2 open house and residents from 8 households adjacent to the park attended the site visit. Approximately 56% of all survey respondents identified themselves as residents of the immediate neighbourhood (West Cloverdale).

The following findings reflect the majority view of survey and open house respondents and helped to refine the design of the park.

Preferred Concept Option


Participants were asked to select a preferred park option and provide feedback on the specific design elements of each option. Respondents were largely in support (53%) of Option B, which provided more nature trails and a focus on environmental stewardship. Option A which focused more on the heritage of the Bose Farm, was selected by 33% of respondents, while 14% had no preference or selected neither concept option.



Open House and CitySpeaks Survey Results (October 21, 2014, 132 respondents) – Preferred Concept Option

Pathways and Viewpoints

As with the initial phase of public engagement, respondents were largely in support of a network of trails and boardwalks within the park. Results also indicate considerable support for the development of viewpoints and viewing platforms. There were some concerns from residents along 61A Ave regarding the proximity of walking trails and viewpoints to their property. Residents expressed a need to work with the city to address their concerns, most notably through locating public pathways and viewpoints an appropriate distance from private property and through the implementation of a planted buffer adjacent to private property.



Open House and CitySpeaks Survey Results (October 21, 2014, 132 respondents) – Support of viewpoints

Interpretive Signage and Natural Enhancements


Interpretive signage combined with natural area enhancements (bird boxes, invasive species removals, native plantings etc.) were strongly supported by respondents as a means to add to the existing natural amenity of the park and to allow visitors to learn about the local ecosystem. Interpretative signage was also seen as a way to illustrate the history of the site. A number of respondents provided comments in support of the use of boardwalks at the swamp to provide a unique park experience.

Parking

A small majority of survey respondents (51%) were opposed to an on-site parking lot; despite the fact that a larger majority of residents (55%) indicated they would likely drive to the park and look for parking. This suggests that respondents felt the existing on-street parking spaces adjacent to the park were sufficient. Several nearby residents suggested that the final park concept plan should take into consideration a future on-site parking lot, but that construction should be deferred until warranted by future parking demand.


Children’s Play Area

From the initial phase of public engagement it was clear that a children’s play area was a preferred amenity for area residents. In the second phase of engagement participants were asked to clarify their desired location as well as the design style for the play space.



Open House and CitySpeaks Survey Results (October 21, 2014, 132 respondents) – Location of play space

It was clear from the results that there was a preference to locate the play space closer to the park entrance, rather than within the forest. This was largely due to a desire to have the play area close to parking and to maximize surveillance from the street. It was also suggested that some benches and picnic tables should be placed near the play space for parents and family picnics.



Open House and CitySpeaks Survey Results (October 21, 2014, 132 respondents) – Type of play equipment

It was also evident from the survey and open house that residents were looking for a more natural experience for the play space, as opposed to more traditional playground equipment. There was some concern to having play structures, particularly in the forest, as they may encourage vandalism and loitering after hours.

Picnicking Areas

Areas for picnicking and group outings were widely supported, although there were some concerns with locating tables and/or shelters too far within the forest as they may encourage loitering after dark. There were a number of comments in support of the 'Bose Walk' concept, with picnicking and other activity areas along a broad central walkway. It was felt by several respondents that there should be picnicking areas close to the play area for families with children, as well as picnicking areas away from the play area for more quiet outings.

Community Garden

The concept of a community garden received mixed support from participants, with 42% in favour and 47% opposed. Opposition was largely based on concerns of potential maintenance and unsightliness as well as the possibility of attracting rodents and other animals. When surveyed on the possibility of obtaining a community garden plot, a large majority of residents (88%) expressed an interest in obtaining a plot. This suggests future opportunity within the park if demanded at a later date.

SUMMARY

The City has undertaken a comprehensive public engagement process for the Bose Forest Park to ensure that stakeholders and the public were involved in the design of the park. The process included two phases, to gather ideas and preferences for the park and then to refine the concept options and move towards a preferred plan.

A range of public consultation opportunities were provided, including two public open houses, three online CitySpeaks surveys and a site visit for neighbours of the park. These engagement activities were supported by a survey and environmental assessment of the site. The City provided project information and updates through a dedicated park project webpage, and advised residents on engagement opportunities through newspapers ads, community signs, neighbourhood mail-outs and social media. The public response was strong, with over 250 residents involved through the process.

Respondents were largely in support of the concept of a natural area park, with amenities that take advantage of the existing forest and emphasise environmental stewardship and education. Popular park amenities included nature trails and boardwalks, interpretative signage, viewpoints, natural play and outdoor picnicking areas. There were concerns from some residents with regards to residential privacy as well as traffic and parking.

The final park concept plan was developed with consideration to the community feedback that was received. Concerns of privacy, traffic and parking have been addressed through trail placement and the deferral of parking lot construction. The plan is consistent with majority public opinion and provides the top 5 amenities desired by survey respondents.

Survey Results - Phase 1 Preliminary Planning

Survey Date: April 9 - April 23

A preliminary survey was offered online to CitySpeaks panellists in Cloverdale. The purpose of this survey was to gather preliminary ideas before moving ahead with the formal public consultation process. The survey was offered over two weeks in April, with a total of 121 respondents. The following results were used to advise the park planning and design process.

Q1: How familiar are you with the Bose Farm?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Very Familiar	32	26.45%
Familiar	41	33.88%
Somewhat Familiar	30	24.79%
Not At All Familiar	18	14.88%

Q3: What are you impressions of the Bose Farm?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Historical / Historical Landmark of Surrey	8
good site for a park	5
A beautiful, historic Surrey landmark with the imposing view of the barn reminding us of the importance of farmland preservation in a City that is developing way too fast.	1
A beautiful, historical landmark with forested lands and views of farmland and mountains which should be preserved.	1
A great heritage landmark in Surrey. It is absolutely beautiful.	1
A large piece of property in the City is a rarity and a treasure.	1
A wonderful piece of property in its present state.	1
Absolutely devastated that the area around Bose farm is covered in houses. From 64th avenue driving east, the hill that used to be grassy with some trees had to be one of the nicest pieces of land and now has been destroyed with houses all over it.	1
All I know is they have a corn maze.	1
Always love the look of the farm One of the Bose family members belonged to the garden club. So we knew that it had to be sold	1
Based on the map supplied, seems like a nice area. Always noticed the large barn while driving along 64th. Not aware of historical significance until I read about it in the Surrey Now.	1
Bob Bose was a god mayor of Surrey when we first moved here and cared about the "green" areas as well as well planned development. The corn maze of of course.	1
Could be a valuable addition to Surrey's parks if developed properly.	1
Development of the land is wrong.	1
Good heritage spot. Lots of Cloverdale families have fond memories of this area	1
Great I think it wonderful	1
Had heard of it but didn't know where it was or anything about it. Have seen the barn described but didn't know that was the Bose Farm.	1
I appreciated the value of having an operating farm within the community.	1
I don't know enough about the actual development arrangements that allowed town houses to be developed in and around the Bose farm land. I am sure the acquisition of the parkland was beneficial to developer and city alike.	1
I feel it's an important part of our heritage and should be respected. I also feel that as much land as possible should be kept as a park and farm. I live in Cloverdale and have seen it change from a farm with a beautiful old barn into a disrespected piece of prime real estate that is in threat of losing it's historical importance to Surrey and just becoming another suburb.	1
I feel that any history or heritage of surrey should be maintained and preserved for future generations.	1
I have lived in the area for 32 years and watched the Farm go from agriculture to development. Knew the Bose family through hockey. the park should be a walking park with benches and views of the valley.	1
I like what they have done to preserve the original farmhouse and part of the Barn.	1

I love seeing the barn when driving down 64th avenue. I recall hearing of the Halloween Haunted Barn and how Tweedsmuir students would decorate it and act out as people went through. Unfortunately this stopped before my children were old enough to participate. I regret that I never got to do that.	1
I love the Bose Farm and the Bose Family. They have been part of Cloverdale and Surrey for so many decades. The family works hard and the area is an important part of the physical detail of the area	1
I love the idea of this place as a Surrey heritage site. The landmarks have always been highly visible driving East on 64th. Great views from the area as well.	1
I only recall seeing the old barn with a corn maze below, a few years ago.	1
I think it's is a great representation of our city's roots and I hope the new development maintains the same look & feel	1
Is/was a long standing name for years in the community	1
It has been a well-known site to many and a good contribution to the heritage of Surrey and our community.	1
It is a Cloverdale landmark and should be preserved as much as possible.	1
It is a great forested area to have in the city and has historical significance. I can see the old farmhouse from the road.	1
It is a nice area of land and a part of the farming background of the community	1
It is a very fitting piece of history for the Cloverdale area of Surrey. The barn for example is a fantastic building to see when you climb the hill from the flats along 64 Ave and enter the Cloverdale residential area.	1
It is an historical area	1
It is an important historical area of Cloverdale that represents early and modern agriculture.	1
It is one of the most beautiful pieces of land in West Cloverdale with a gorgeous view of the Serpentine River and the North Shore Mountains.	1
It should be preserved as a historic site!	1
It was a great place to spend time on the corn maze	1
It was one of the original farms of Surrey. It has a beautiful view. It would be a great place for a large park for the number of children and other people in the neighbourhood.	1
It would be historically significant.	1
It's a historic family owned farm that does the annual corn maze. The barn is a Surrey landmark, in fact the farm is a Surrey landmark. My family loves going to the corn maze every year and my kids remember when it used to be at the top of the hill and they would get to slide down the hill to get to the corn maze.	1
It's a nice area. I loved going to the corn mazes.	1
It's a nice piece of land. Sad to see it go	1
It's a piece of Cloverdale's heritage that is irreplaceable and timeless.	1
It's an important part of Surrey's history. I have always loved being able to see it when I am driving along 64th Avenue, knowing that it has still stayed a landmark in the area.	1
It's been a part of Cloverdale for a very long time, and it's nice to think some of the buildings will be kept and incorporated into a park.	1
I've been driving past this property for the past 18 years. I'm glad something is being done to the property, it was falling apart.	1
Large area	1
Large, Family maintained; kudos to them for keeping it way from developers for as long as they did.	1
Leave as green space	1
Looks like it has a lot of potential for a nice park.	1
Love it	1
Lucky	1
Major historical landmark for Surrey.	1
Nice bit of history	1
Nice to have a new park.	1
One of Cloverdale's historic sites. A popular place to visit in the fall due to the corn maze.	1
Seems like a very interesting area, could be educational	1
That it is a shame that the Bose family left the buildings to fall to ruins even though there was a huge historic value to the community, in an effort, as I see it, to further themselves in their ability to develop the property for their financial gain.	1
That the barn is a habitat for owls and there once was a corn maze alongside the yard property.	1
The Bose Farm with its barn has always been a landmark in the area and is an important part of Surrey	1
The site has looked pretty ratty for a while. I am hoping that the new development will clean the look of the farm. However, I hope the density of the development is not like Clayton.	1
Used to be an amazing forest, unfortunately our city is selling every piece of land and allowing builders to create crowded Clayton-like neighbourhoods that proved to be a failure.	1
Very important to the city, especially to the people of Cloverdale.	1
Very large. Buildings don't appear to be in very good condition.	1
Very nice area, love the trees and natural setting provided.	1
While the site has historical significance to Surrey it has not been well maintained in recent years.	1
Yes it is a historic site, however, Mike Bose was very involved in minor hockey. We need more ice in Cloverdale!!!!!! I think we should include an ice rink named after the Bose family!!!!!!	1

Q3: Are you aware of any other historical significance with this site?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Very Aware	12	9.92%
Aware	22	18.18%
Somewhat Aware	32	26.45%
Not At All Aware	55	45.45%

Q4: What specific historical significance of the Bose Farm site are you aware?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Belonged to the pioneer Bose family. Over 100 year old property with heritage buildings on site.	1
Bob Bose, Henry's son became Mayor for Surrey and then later a Councillor for many year.	1
Bose family were early settlers in this area	1
Bose name.	1
Cattle ranching, corn and the uncle still lives across the street. They are kind of a nasty family, kicking Mike out of the big farm after running it for 25 years. Mike's family didn't receive any money from the sale of the property.	1
Drainage and Diking to reclaim the farm land.	1
early homesteader site.	1
Early pioneer settled. Old structures.	1
Family Fun	1
Farm House	1
Henry Bose was one of Surrey's earliest settlers. The Bose house that is still standing was built at the turn of the 20th century.	1
Henry Bose was the pioneer who cleared some land to grow hay and oats. His brother Louis also joined in and purchased land in the same area. They had cattle and maybe other animals.	1
Historical farm whose owners (Bose) owned it since late 1800s.	1
I believe it was one of the first homesteads	1
I don't know anything about the property but would love to learn about people who built surrey and worked the land. With land becoming a scarce commodity I feel it is our duty to preserve the history of the land!	1
I knew several members of the Bose family. The fact that generations of the family have remained in Surrey speaks volumes for Surrey's livability. The pioneer family was strong in the Cloverdale community.	1
I know it was in the Bose family for about 100 years. The only comparable site I can think of in the Cloverdale area is the Mound Farm.	1
I know that I have memories of it from the 1950s when we moved to Surrey from Ontario.	1
I know that the Bose family has farmed that land for over 100 years and have been active in Surrey politics for quite a long time.	1
I know the Bose Family! I have spent time in the old barn, the old house and the area that will soon be developed. The Bose Family are still very active within the city of Surrey, the farming community. I spent time at the turkey farm and veggie farm as a child helping with harvest and waving to the train when it went by.	1
I know they are a pioneer name in Surrey.	1
I'm not aware of other "historical" significance but I do know that there are nesting owls and birds in that green space around the farm.	1
It's originality as one of our areas oldest farms	1
Just have heard of the family history in a general sense.	1
Just that this family were early settlers. I have a friend who remembers them selling potatoes out of their barn.	1
just what I heard and read	1
Just what I'm reading now.	1
Long term family operation. Early settlers of the Surrey community	1
Milk house, farm building, family house	1
My grandmother lived on 152nd Street around this same time, and I grew up hearing these names, Bose, Sullivan, etc., and the stories of the people behind them. Let's not forget them in our rush to progress.	1
not applicable	1
One of the earliest settlers in Surrey. One of the oldest heritage sites within the city.	1
One of the first farm families in Surrey	1
only that is a an early establishment	1
Only that it was built by a pioneering Surrey family	1
Only the facts stated in the preamble on this page.	1
Original name for 64th	1
Past mayor lived there	1
part of it is a heritage site	1

probably one of the oldest buildings in Surrey.	1
Surrey pioneer	1
That the farm belonged to family that has been in Surrey for generations.	1
That they have been an iconic piece of Surrey's history, and about their famous corn maze in the Fall.	1
The barn	1
The Bose family home became a Surrey Heritage home and that Henry Bose also acquired land from the Boothroyd family, which I believe a heritage building still exists at the corner of 168 and 64th as a coffee house.	1
The Bose family is one of the founding families in this part of Surrey.	1
The Bose family name is well known in Cloverdale. Bob Bose was mayor and later involved in City politics as a Councillor. Roger Bose volunteers at the Surrey Museum and has many stories to tell of growing up on the farm.	1
the Bose family were one of the first settlers in the area and 64th. Ave. was originally called "Bose Road" family involved in politics and the development of Surrey.	1
The old house. The old barn. The land was rich farm land. Bob Bose, local politician, was from the family. They ran the corn maze. My family members knew Henry Bose.	1
Three generations of the Bose family farmed the land. They were active in community affairs. They farmed potatoes and had a dairy farm and helped shape surrey's growth.	1

Q5: In your opinion, what are the distinct features of the site?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
The barn	9
Forested Area	8
Views over the ALR	7
A forested location on the hill-side with mature trees. One of the few locations in Cloverdale with a mature forest. Again, the corn maze is a popular fall past-time. The barn was operated as a haunted house by Lord Tweedsmuir students during Halloween to raise funds. The Bose Farm has been a staple in the community as a gathering place and unique due to its forest.	1
Beautiful in it's natural state, good for agriculture and historical.	1
Beautiful situated on a hill overlooking farmland and mountains. It has lots of trees and unfortunately too many have been cut down for more development (too much development)	1
early settlers lived there	1
farm buildings	1
Farming history - should incorporate this into design.	1
Geographically distinct and significant, overlooks farm valley.	1
Green space. Peace and quiet.	1
Historical, irreplaceable, intrinsic value to the City that should not be changed, modernized to community needs. It should be preserved in its natural environment.	1
History.	1
I like the location on top of the 64th avenue hill overlooking the flats below and also the view of the North shore mountains.	1
I was going to say the barn but that's been remodelled and has lost it's old world charm. The forest is vitally important for the nesting owls and because we are losing "natural" space to parkland that is basically fields with soccer pitches. The old farmhouse has charm and the setting on the hill. The hillsides setting gives a visual impact as you drive into Cloverdale. I would hate for it to look like the Mary Hill bypass, just a wall of houses.	1
It's a natural space within a rapidly growing community around it and would serve the immediate area with a place to walk, view and see nature in its original habitat!	1
It's at the top of the hill on 64th.	1
Its location on the hill and the flats. Its location within Surrey. Tree stumps.	1
Just the farmhouse and other stuff visible from the road	1
Just the historical buildings that were mentioned.	1
Many animals make their homes there. Even more now since a large portion of the forest and surrounding land have been developed.	1
Mature tree wildlife, that cannot be replaced by the tiny "twigs" developers are planting.	1
Natural green space, historic farm site	1
Nicely treed, and a nice natural escape from a fast growing residential area.	1
Quiet, peaceful forested area. Large majestic trees.	1
rural and open concept.	1
rural setting (so far).	1
Site has a great view of the valley, and a good growth of trees.	1
Somewhat natural state	1
Still some nice bog trees, good opportunity for urban trails	1
The large stand of trees. The potential for a great view looking north/west.	1

The barn which is off 64th Ave. Not really features but memorable features of the property with my family have been the corn maze in the lower field and skating on the field in the winter.	1
the barn, the slope of the fields	1
The barns and buildings seen from 64 Avenue that were recently renovated by a developer.	1
The beautiful red barn, the hills and flats surrounding the site, and the wonderful trees on the land.	1
The Buildings are very significant part of the site. The forest also important. It holds the hillside together, and prevents more water from going further into the lowland "farming area".	1
The buildings that remain.	1
The buildings, Bose forest, great views of farmland and beyond.	1
The canals, a large barn and the corn maze	1
The forest is so important to the area. I like that the barn is being updating, and other buildings are being repurposed and NOT torn down.	1
The history of the site, the view , and the mature trees.	1
The land, the forest, the view , the beauty of creation	1
The old buildings.	1
very fertile area and good spacious one of the best spot closer to hwy	1
View , heritage site, treed areas	1
Views, Large Trees, Walking Trails.	1

Q6: How do you think the City should approach developing the site?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Restrict access and leave the site as a nature preserve (no public amenity)	5	4.13%
Develop the site into a natural area park with opportunities for passive amenities like pathways and viewpoints to encourage the enjoyment of nature	61	50.41%
Develop the site into a natural area park with opportunities for passive amenities like pathways and viewpoints, as well as limited active amenities like nature play and fitness circuits.	43	35.54%
No opinion	1	0.83%
Other (Specify)	11	9.09%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
As a leash optional park to allow those with well behaved dogs to allow themselves and their dogs to attain exercise such is available in other communities, which surrey lacks in .	1
Can we use it for scientifically useful purpose?	1
Develop - natural park, passive amenities etc, active amenities, Plus Historical Farm Museum. (Some kids have never been on a farm.)	1
Develop it as an historic farm similar to Stewart Farm	1
Develop the site into a natural area park with opportunities for passive amenities like pathways and viewpoints, as well as limited active amenities like nature play and fitness circuits. (as above) plus a connection to the history of Surrey/Cloverdale.	1
Pathways and viewpoints would be great and encourage use. There is always concern that the park will become a teen party location after hours, which is a concern (garbage, vandalism) because there are no other outlets close to West Cloverdale. It would also be great if there could be an access point to the Serpentine for Kayakers to launch for the river loop.	1
Put in some trails leave it low maintenance	1
Restore it to be an educational site	1
The development as a park with paths that are accessible for ones with limited abilities and there would need to be washrooms and water fountains. I do not have a pet but I am sure an off leash area for animals would be a good asset. So much land has been developed in Surrey that more work will need to be done on the diking of the rivers	1
A Rec Centre with an Ice Rink	1
we need more parks to occupy the youth	1

Q5: Would you visit this park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes, weekly	31	25.62%
Yes, monthly	56	46.28%
Maybe once a year	23	19.01%
No	1	0.83%
Other (Specify)	10	8.26%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
a few times a year	2
depends on what was in it	1
Everyday if there was an off leash area	1
I am yet to notice it.	1
In streaks like preparing for the sun run	1
Maybe Twice a Year	1
occasionally	1
Yes my sons play hockey!!!!	1
yes whenever possible	1

Q6: How would you most likely get to the park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Drive in a car and look for parking	89	73.55%
Walk / Jog	36	29.75%
Ride my bike	24	19.83%
Bus / Transit	0	0.00%

Q7: Do you have any additional comments you would like to share about this project?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
A great idea	1
currently too far away from me personally to go to very often, but if I lived closer, I would plan to visit it much more often.	1
Ensure ample gated parking. Many people would enjoy this park even if they didn't live in the neighbourhood.	1
Glad to hear that this area will be kept natural as my family enjoys walking thru the area.	1
I am extremely glad that a large portion of this land is going to remain green.	1
I am looking for a jogging/walking path nearby that is part of nature (like a smaller version of tynehead). This could be what the area is currently lacking, we have an athletic park with no real walking/jogging trails and definitely no nature/history.	1
I don't think the farm should have been sold for redevelopment and I think many Cloverdale residents were upset that this proceeded. We moved to Surrey to enjoy the natural beauty of the area, and not to, once again, live in an urbanized crowded City.	1
I drive past this every morning and I was under the impression that this is already be prepped for housing construction. So, I'm surprised to see a questionnaire at this point.	1
I feel it would be beneficial to Cloverdale to keep a park like setting in the area.	1
I live close to the area and my daughter goes to AJ McLellan elementary. As we currently have the Cloverdale Athletic park nearby for athletic activities, I think it would be nice to keep this park in it's natural state. Both for the environment and to educate and enjoy as a local resident. If we want to be close to nature we have to travel a greater distance and I believe this would fit well with the distribution of such nature parks amongst the Surrey area. Surrey has done a great job at maintaining some of the other natural parks we have, and this is a wonderful opportunity to continue to do so. As adults, it's important to continue to expose and educate the next generation on the environment and outdoors and providing this option in our neighborhood will continue to support that vision. I am so proud of our parks...as I'm sure others are as well. This particular area will benefit greatly from this. The local schools in the Cloverdale community could also use the parks in their educational programs! It would also be honorable for the BOSE family to acknowledge their contribution to the community.	1
I live very close to this area (I am on 63B Avenue & 166 Street). I very much look forward to walking my dog here.	1
I sure have, but have to consult with another pioneer for development in a particular field.	1
I think it should be a passive park rather than an active park, especially no jogging/fitness stuff, so that the wildlife can continue to be there. The area where you can see hawks, eagles, etc are greatly diminishing in Surrey - we used to see them daily.	1
I think the original buildings should be preserved if possible and used as a teaching opportunity with visitors/classes by creating plaques with information written on them for all to read and learn from. We must not forget where we've come from and who built this land before us!	1
I would like to see a fit park; with outdoor cardio and exercise equipment like the ones they have in Poco and Courtenay.	1
I would like to see bike baths connecting it to Cloverdale Athletic Park and Surrey Centre Cemetery, and into the valley	1
I'd like to see the site preserved indefinitely. but you may also want to make some provisions for some parts to be developed otherwise	1
If any part of the proposed park is on the flats, I would like to see a paved path for cyclists to use - one that's separated from pedestrians.	1
Include a water feature like a lake, outdoor and indoor pool, diving platform and other water features like a fountain or waterfall.	1
It is close to two elementary schools. It would be nice to seek input from children. It is close to Cloverdale Athletic Park. That is a more developed park - keep it that way.	1

It would be great to have a forested dog park with pathways, similar to dogwood or Tynehead.	1
Keep it as a neighbourhood park only. The surrounding streets already have too many cars parked on them and cannot handle out of area cars as well.	1
Keep it as green and as un-developed as possible!! We're tired of seeing new houses go up in Cloverdale and we miss Bose farms already!	1
Leave it as natural as possible. Green Timbers is Beautiful. Do not over develop it. Surrey Lake is also a Jewel.	1
Look forward to having a park close to where I live!	1
looking forward for the development	1
Looking forward to the development of the park.	1
Make a section for dogs	1
Make it an orienteering site	1
Please don't cut down all the big trees and take away the shade. A shaded walking/play area would be beautiful. An swimming lake (like what used to be in Adlergrove would be amazing)	1
Please don't let any more trees be cut down. Too many are gone already. Cloverdale used to be beautiful with many trees but the city has let the developers cut down almost every tree. I remember when they had to work around all mature trees not just a select few on the fringes of a development. What a shame!	1
Please keep as many trees as possible. Make it dog friendly	1
Please preserve the buildings, don't take down the forest, respect the wildlife (owls and eagles). There is an opportunity to create a great destination for all local residents to enjoy. This site should not restrict access to outside residents.	1
Please preserve the mature forests and place walking trails. Encourage the enjoyment of nature. Cloverdale Athletic park is only 5 minutes away and it has a variety of sports fields. Playing fields and other intrusive amenities are not ideal for this location. I believe there was a study that determined the Bose forest was important ecologically in Surrey so let's keep it as natural as possible.	1
Put in an ice rink.	1
Should be kept as a natural refuge for quiet enjoyment. No game sports	1
Thanks for asking our opinion.	1
The city is so busy developing all the land everywhere. It would be REALLY GOOD to keep this as a quiet, natural untouched space.	1
The city will need to find a way to make the park accessible to people not living in the adjacent neighbourhood without having the park visitors create a nuisance for the nearby residents. Otherwise the park will simply be a source of tension between residents of Surrey and residents of the surrounding neighbourhood.	1
The type of park it becomes would impact the amount we'd visit the park. If there's things of interest it will draw more visits.	1
There's a small trail system in the area, these could be linked together for walking, riding, running	1
This park is in an area that has experienced significant development. As such it is important to maintain much of it as natural as possible for the plants and wildlife.	1
We don't need more fitness areas; let's keep nature at its best and as beautiful as possible while sharing it with future generations.	1
We live in Clayton, so we would drive.	1
We need to continue to reserve green spaces to off set all the development that has taken and will take place	1
we need to keep as much of this site to its original state adding to the current features and keeping the historic site in tact. Could look at developing a learning type centre so the community can learn more about the history of the area and the site.	1
whatever is going to be implemented should be actioned immediately and not next year or anytime after that.	1

Q7: What should we name the park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Bose Forest Park	55	45.45%
Bose Park	46	38.32%
Meadowridge Park	9	7.44%
Other (Specify)	11	9.09%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
Bose Farm Park	6
Henry Bose Park	3
Bose Forest	3
Bose Nature Park	2
Bose Meadow Park, Keep "Bose" in the title.	1
Bose Farm	1
Bose Heritage Farm Park	1
Bose Heritage Park	1
Bose Hill	1

Bose Ice Arena	1
Bose Pioneer Park	1
BOSE Ridge Park	1
Cloverdale Nature Park - Represents our town centre and the natural feeling of the park and neighbourhood.	1
I think it should have the Bose name in it to honor the early pioneers in Surrey.	1
Park for the people	1
Patric Savoie Park	1
Robert Bose Park - Former Mayor	1
Something with "Bose" in it to distinguish it's historical significance.	1
Surrey water park...Surrey Water Falls.	1
The Bose Family name should not be removed.	1
West Cloverdale Nature Reserve	1

Q8: What perspectives do you represent ?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Area Resident with Children or Grandchildren under the age of 18 at home	71	58.68%
Area Resident, no Children or Grandchildren at home	43	35.54%
Mobility Challenged Person	2	1.65%
Dog Owner	33	27.27%
Cyclist	26	21.49%
Walker	78	64.46%
Member of organized recreation group	4	3.31%
Other (Specify)	16	13.22%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
Runner/jogger	4
Area Resident with adult children (over 18) at home	3
A path/ walkway that will work for people with walkers and wheelchairs	1
Cloverdale area	1
Cloverdale Community Association	1
Daycare provider	1
Lifetime resident in Cloverdale	1
naturalist	1
Nature lover	1
teacher who works within walking distance of the park	1
Zoo presenter	1

Survey Results - Phase 1 Park Amenity Survey

Survey Date: May 1 - May 31

A second online survey was offered in coordination with the initial May 1 open house. Comments from the open house were inputted into the online CitySpeaks survey, which was also available to the general public via the City of Surrey website throughout the month of May. A combined total of 60 respondents participated. The purpose of the amenity survey was to gather more detailed feedback and comments regarding desired park amenities and design. The following results were used to advise the park planning and design process.

Q1: Do you live in West Cloverdale? (Bordered by 168 Street to the east and the Agricultural Land Reserve to the West)

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes	27	45.00%
No	33	55.00%

Q1: Is there any information about the Bose Farm site and/or West Cloverdale that you feel should be considered in the design of this park?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
buffer zone between houses on the vista west development and proposed trail	1
Property purchased knowing the park space is protected: no blinds on that side of the house (privacy issue)	1
Cloverdale is central to the history of Surrey and should be recognized as such.	1
consider natural habitat of the owl family. Consider privacy of the homes along the path route. Limit construction.	1
Consider that given the increasing density of population in Cloverdale without new schools or better transit service from Translink, Cloverdale is becoming an increasingly car-oriented community.	1
dog friendly	1
Have car parking for all area residents to use and access. Put in a man made or natural water feature (water fall or pool) for people to use.	1
Have hook greenway paved so there is a network of paths through Cloverdale, interesting facts posted about Cloverdale/Bose farms, picnic tables, garbage cans, classic swing from one large tree, storytelling facts of the area	1
Historic markers explaining the history of the family and agriculture in the area.	1
I am not sure but some of the Bose farm barns are almost 100 years old. Are they being preserved somehow? One of their big barns was just let go over the years and deteriorated till it totally fell apart. I took several pictures over the last four years.	1
I feel the heritage buildings and working farm machinery of this site should be preserved.	1
If you suspect residents not within walking distance will be utilizing this park, to ensure there is adequate parking so that people living next door are not inundated with non-resident cars parking in front of their places.	1
include an area for pets (dogs)	1
Incorporate the history of the farm and the Bose family	1
Information about the history of the site. General history of Cloverdale	1
It should be reflective of the history of the farm. I would not like to see a lot of development. Walking and nature trails would be good.	1
Keep as many trees as possible; needed for clean air (hwy 10 - 64th Ave) as well as pleasant environment, which keep people there.	1
keep it as natural as possible, protect habitats, etc.	1
keep the old trees. keep it for the neighbourhood	1
Leave as many of the buildings and/or machinery as possible with educational signage - also signage indicating what types of trees and understory are there.	1
Leave it as natural as possible as Cloverdale Athletic park is close by for those who need athletic space. It needs nothing but nature.	1
Lighting for safety reasons	1
Limit public trails, brings in kids to hang out. Parking should be residents or permits only. Don't have access to 64th	1
Mainly young families with lots of children and lots of pets. I believe a fenced dog park of some type should be included.	1
Maintain the forest as the primary focus.	1

Make natural trails, don't want people walking from path to our yard. Too many owls and habitat to disturb. Natural park/kids off of 164th, keep people at edge, not screaming thru the forest	1
natural paths, benches, cleared underbrush, small playground, 5-8 parking stalls (none if acceptable)	1
Parking available for those driving - live outside community. If not local residents will complain about parking. Would like a trail coming to/from Sullivan Stn. area	1
Please preserve the privacy of the people whose houses back directly onto the forest on 61A Ave. Please no parking lots.	1
See 3a, there are a few large owls. I don't think they are bared owls. Please do a thorough inventory and impact study. No public parking spaces unless possibly adjacent to a major roadway 64th. Recipe for trouble & crime	1
When people are walking down the path they may look into homes. Tall trees need to be planted and view areas need to be away from homes	1

Q2: What are your top 3 preferred amenities for the park site?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Forest Pathways / Walking Trails	51	85.00%
Natural Area Enhancements (bird boxes, native shrub planting, habitat enhancement etc.)	38	63.33%
Viewing Platforms (views of the Serpentine Valley)	30	50.00%
Nature Playground (natural play structures such as stumps, climbers, boulders etc.)	29	48.33%
Interpretative Signage (wildlife / heritage)	27	45.00%
Parking Lot	22	36.67%
Picnic Area	21	35.00%
Community Garden	15	25.00%
Outdoor Fitness Equipment / Circuit	11	18.33%
Mountain Bike / BMX Trails	7	11.67%
Frisbee Golf (within a designated portion of the park)	3	5.00%
Other (Specify)	11	18.33%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
Off leash dog area	3
adequate washroom facilities	1
Compostable toilets.	1
Food services	1
Heritage buildings and machinery	1
Interpretative signage re: habitat, history of area, etc. Dog refuse signage and bins-a lot of blue bags hanging in the "natural" pathways	1
Please preserve the forest the way it is!	1
pool or water fall	1
small play equipment or natural playground. Use existing environment for forts, stumps	1

Q3: What park features belong together and why?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Easy to have a pathway with birdhouses or other Natural Area enhancements, add signs to trees	1
family=activities=fun (love Redwood Park w/bbq's, Eiffel tower for kids, benches, large trees)	1
Fitness circuit and trails. The trails at this park could be linked and run south then east towards 168th to an existing trail at 168/approx 52 that leads into Richardson ridge. Or head east and link to the dining system in the Bose fields	1
Forest pathways & nature enhancements	1
forest pathways & nature playground because it keeps the park as close to natural as possible and gives kids involvement with nature rather than cement playgrounds	1
forest pathways and outdoor fitness equipment	1
I love all those features listed. Put BMX & Frisbee Golf together near the parking lot.	1
I would like amenities to be completed in a natural, cohesive, sustainable manner.	1
Interpretive signage, viewing platforms, natural area enhancements and pathways belong together as they first three are what will make the pathways worth taking. Offering a nature playground will "hopefully" minimize abuse of the natural spaces	1
Keep all blackberry's in the area backing 61A between public access ways. It's the only thing keeping crime away now	1
keep it natural. Don't thin out too much. Trees we have now saved from the original development	1
Keep the core natural enhancements away from the people. Minimize encroachment into the forest	1
Most of those, except parking, I marked; they all are about enjoying nature.	1
Natural enhancements and limited track as to take advantage of green space but also protect natural habitat of existing animals. Currently there are deer and owls in the habitat	1
natural park areas should be all kept together avoid as much development as possible.	1

Nature trails and viewing areas would be good together to give people a sense that they are in nature.	1
Not sure how to answer that question. I've chosen the above features as I would prefer to see a more natural park with protection for existing wildlife to be paramount to playground equipment or parking. Farming is part of Cloverdale's history and what the community was built on, and it is becoming increasingly scarce.	1
Plantings that provide security provisions (ie blackberries, barberry-thorny to deter passage). No playgrounds	1
Playground, viewing platform, picnic area all for watching kids	1
Please do not ruin this space by adding "features". Cloverdale Athletic Park is almost adjacent to Bose Farms and if someone needs an Outdoor Fitness Circuit that would be a better location for it. Personally I feel that Outdoor Fitness Circuits are under used. I would hate to see trees cut down for a parking lot!!! I see this park more as a community park not a destination park so adding picnic areas, mountain bike trails and Frisbee golf are ridiculous. We don't have enough NATURAL areas left in Surrey. If we need more Fitness Circuits and Frisbee golf areas, there are lots of "parks" like Cloverdale Athletic that would have room to add those.	1
Rose garden and waterfall.	1
The buildings and machinery should be developed and preserved as an interpretive center much the same as the Terra Nova Farm in Richmond. These together with the West Slope rejuvenation could provide an excellent farming interpretive center to demonstrate.	1
The natural features belong together because people go to those areas for quiet walks and to get away from noise.	1
There are other places to go for things like Frisbee golf, fitness circuits and mountain bikes, keep it as natural as possible.	1
This park should respect the forest & swamp and my 3 ranks meet that. Other uses are available in nearby school grounds & parks	1
this should be kept as natural as possible	1
Trails and viewing platforms	1
trails-forested pathways & interpretive signage-maintenance of and information about natural environment/habitat & wildlife	1
Walking trails invite people to explore throughout the park instead of remaining in one area. Off leash areas are great for bringing together the community in a more casual, informal way. Many Surrey residents own dogs and use off leash areas.	1

Q4: What should we name the park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Bose Forest Park	30	50.00%
Bose Park	14	23.33%
Meadowridge Park	7	11.67%
Other (Specify)	9	15.00%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
Bose Farm Park	2
Bose Nature Park	1
clover ridge park/West Cloverdale park	1
Cloverdale Forest Park	1
Henry-Bose park	1
keep in the name the word forest, we already have a park	1

Q5: What perspectives do you represent?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Area resident (West Cloverdale)	29	48.33%
Area resident w/ children/grandchildren (under the age of 18) living at home	27	45.00%
Walker	42	70.00%
Cyclist	11	18.33%
Member of organized sport or recreation group (specify)	2	3.33%
Other (Specify)	13	21.67%

Other (Specify) - Verbatim Responses	Total
east cloverdale resident	2
runner	2
birds	1
Cloverdale Resident but just outside of West Cloverdale	1
Concerned about our tree canopy and preservation of natural spaces in Cloverdale.	1
concerned about the environment and all the mature trees that are disappearing and so much housing happening	1
dog walker/lover	1
grandparent 4 & 1 year old	1
historian	1
pet owner	1
teacher in the area	1

Q6: How would you most likely get to the park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Drive in a car and look for parking	25	41.67%
Walk / Jog to the park	23	38.33%
Ride my bike	10	16.67%
Bus / Transit	2	3.33%

Q7: Do you have any additional comments or concerns regarding this project?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Are you going to cut down any trees?	1
concerns about possible crime influx	1
crime and feeling like I'm living in a fish bowl. We bought house because of the peace and serenity afforded by forest. Don't let someone's else's freedom be at my expense	1
Current housing development will vitto/ax all ideas other than the trails. Want easy to access to park, parking lots	1
I am so sorry that some of the beautiful old Barns have been let go over the years. Some are more than 100 years old.	1
I prefer it not have a parking lot for access. Find inspiration from Sunnyside park in south surrey	1
I thought the intent was to preserve the trees and natural space. Adding BMX trails as an option would be extremely disruptive to both other users of the park and wildlife. Seems at cross purposes, particularly with BMX course already at the nearby athletic park.	1
I would love to learn about the ecosystem a little more. Please include some signage on the trails to tell visitors about the trees, shrubs and animals in the area.	1
Increase of traffic coming into area	1
Would like to see old growth forest maintained, important for community. Maintain privacy for homes backing onto the park	1
Increased traffic & access to the area, foul play and increased crime, hope it will be monitored and protected	1
Keep it natural! I've lived in Cloverdale for over 15years and I've seen it change with many of the wild natural areas disappearing especially in the Bose Farm neighbourhood. The word "park" doesn't equal a soccer pitch and baseball diamond.	1
Like clear maps & project scope	1
No athletic development is required with the Cloverdale athletic park being so close. This park should have features the athletic park can't for obvious topographic reasons. The natural beauty should be enhanced and maintained.	1
Open to everyone; no homeless people; no drug addicts; no gangs; no illegal grow ops	1
please respect the current forest and limit the destruction	1
Preservation of trees and landscape	1
Privacy for forest backing homes for security. Maintain significant planting to deter access and visibility. NO PARKING ACCESS-keep it community oriented. Eliminate proposed access midway down 61A as it is between homes and currently offers too much visibility	1
There aren't that many places to safely cycle - a path in this forest would be nice.	1
Tremendous opportunity to preserve the farming heritage of Cloverdale.	1
whatever happens don't allow parking on the new street. 63 ave & then make 164 (61 block) resident only or we will get too many cards, traffic. New road narrows and if cars allowed to park, hazardous	1
Worried that people will be using the paths for other things besides walking and exercise. Garbage being left behind, dog droppings not cleaned up. Would really like some tall trees replanted for privacy. No parking. No benches anywhere.	1

Survey Results - Phase 2 Concept Refinement

Survey Date: October 1 - October 20

A third online survey was offered in coordination with the second open house on October 2. Comments from the open house were inputted into the online CitySpeaks survey, which was also available to the general public via the City of Surrey website. Existing CitySpeaks panelists from Cloverdale were also invited to take the online survey. A combined total of 132 respondents participated. The purpose of the design survey was to gather feedback on the park concept options. The following results were used to refine the concept options into a single preferred park Concept Plan.

Q1: Do you live in West Cloverdale? (Bordered by 168 Street to the east and the Agricultural Land Reserve to the West)

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes	74	56%
No	58	44%

Q2: What park concept do you prefer?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Concept A	44	33%
Concept B	70	53%
No Preference	11	8%
Neither	8	6%

Q3: Do you support a small parking lot in the park?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes	64	49%
No, the 28 existing on-street spaces in front of the park are enough	66	51%

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
No Parking Lot	3
Would like Concept B but with the "A" path behind homes on 61A Ave. Parking lots attract crime. Ample parking as rec centre on 168 St, people use park for fitness anyways should not mind a short walk.	1
If a parking lot is necessary, please build it near the main entrance at 64th as opposed to the any residential area.	1
This park should be as natural as possible. A parking lot encourages after hours loitering.	1
Its pathetic that people would drive 4 blocks to a park, but if that's what they insist it is best to make room. I suspect it will become a skateboard spot at night.	1
Due to existing homes this will only cause disruption even if open dawn to dusk. Also if a parking lot put across from new development where the town homes are to go in	1
Please don't cut down the old growth trees to put in a parking lot until there's absolute proof that we need a parking lot. If necessary, consider putting money aside in the budget for a parking lot at a later date if demand warrants it.	1
Allow for expansion if needed	1
Parking is fine as is. Let's not add more space to attract overnight guests and youth to come "hang" out. Just asks for trouble. In regards to the Park concepts, Too much "Public Attraction" simple paths needed is enough. Keep as many trees. Simple pathways and trails enough.	1
There is enough on street parking don't need to add more.	1
Putting a parking lot here only invites non-local residents to come here abuse the facilities. Please do NOT put a parking lot in this park	1
Prefer a larger walking path through the forest so that pedestrians and bike riders can use the path without a risk of running/hitting each other. It would be nice for the path to be compact so that young children can ride their bikes on it.	1

There is ample additional parking at AJ McLellan Elementary school.	1
Right now we need parking due to little transit in Surrey - develop a bus system and we won't need parking. Also, we want park used but not over used. Small parks should be local.	1
Want a little bit of both Concept A and Concept B. Neither for parking. A parking lot is not necessary. Existing Street parking is sufficient.	1
The pathways and viewpoint to the south west of the park are in close proximity to existing residential housing and would likely result in youth gathering and noise complaints. A view point further to the north and away from existing residences would be preferable.	1
The viewing platform should be located so it doesn't look into existing backyards and houses.	1
The proposed location of the parking lot should be moved to an area that is more visible. Put the parking on the side of the new townhomes and condo units	1
Parking is essential to reduce the impact upon residence which live in the immediate area of the park. I support your idea of building plant buffer zones to shelter the existing homes which will back onto the park. I disagree with the concept of community gardens in an area where the majority of the existing homes have yards large enough to support gardens if they choose.	1
is there going to be bikes or dogs allowed? These would be features that would be an asset to our community as we have a higher than normal dog owner population in our area as well as many kids/families that ride bikes.	1
I would like to see a mix of both concepts, having concept A closest to the community, and concept B on the west side of the park. I don't think we can choose culture or nature in this setting because both are so important.	1
While I don't believe more parking would be necessary, as I am unfamiliar with the area, I would not be able to guess at how many of those parking stalls are already full at any given point in time.	1
no sure, it is annoying to drive to a place and find there is no parking available.	1
Small but not intrusive to the surrounding neighbours etc.	1
We would like the park to be a neighbourhood park that people will walk to get to. We do not want to draw more cars to the already congested area.	1
I support the picnic area at Plan B for families gathering.	1
Too many cars parked on the streets in the area already. Maybe a small car park can be incorporated.	1
I like concept A but with the addition of interpretive signage and wetlands viewing. Additional parking always seems needed in Surrey.	1
keep the parking lot to a minimum, but the surrounding houses should not be disturbed by those using the park. would like to see salmon enhancement of the stream regardless of plan	1
Surrey has other Nature parks. The homestead history park concept would make it more unique.	1
parking is important. ensure there is enough	1
I like the idea of a wide path to accommodate walkers and bicycles - as well as wheelchairs and other mobility aids. I wouldn't want to park on the street if it's taking away from the residents. So I say no to a parking lot if the street spaces don't take away from residential parking. At Tynehead the 96th Ave lot never seems to be full, so hopefully 28 spots streetside will suffice. My 88yr old mother loves trails, but even the dirt ones that are level, are not level enough for her. She can only manage paved pathways now. Something for your developers to think about if they haven't already. Those with sight challenges will do better on a paved path.	1
Stop cutting down Surrey's trees!!! We are NOT the city of parks anymore, you have created a monster town!	1
If there are well developed picnic areas, with/without shelters, one should factor groups at minimum 12-15, or 3+ cars per group (no one will walk if they're barbecuing/bringing picnic food). Additionally, other people going for walks, using the park will need designated space. Problems with street parking lie with the assumption that NONE of the surrounding neighbourhood houses will use the street parking for secondary and illegal suites. THAT should be factored into the equation. Also, why are there no designated restrooms on either plan? More will be needed if there is a play area for kids in Plan B, accessible to both picnic areas and the nature play area.	1
Could you add in a public indoor pool?	1
The parking spots in front of the park will be taken up by the suites in the neighborhood, leaving no parking for the people that have to drive to the park.	1
Both options are good. I like the orchard idea. The trails maybe should be 3m wide so that there is a little more room for the inevitable cyclists.	1
I picked concept A, but only by the description as the pictures were too small to see and make a decision that way. Increase the size of the pictures.	1
The biggest problem would be that local residents would use those spaces to park.	1
1. No additional parking lot. 28 spaces is good. If people don't like it, they have the planning department to blame <3 Or, well, anyone responsible for the approval and/or development of the neighborhood(s) surrounding the park. As I said many times, an	1
It looks nice	1
We are growing at 1,000 people a month, so better to make it expansive.	1

Q4: What is your preferred location for a children's playspace?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Closer to the park entrance (like Concept B)	78	60%
Along the central pathway (like concept A)	33	25%
No Preference	19	15%

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Please place usable playground structures unlike the park at 193st and 65ave. Half of those pieces do not get played on	1

If a play area close to the new homes being built as this is where most of the children will be	1
Would prefer no formal playground	1
Easier Access for local children, safer. However, speed bumps would be required along 164th St and 63 Ave. Even the road not open yet, we are finding traffic bad cars/motorbikes sneaking through.	1
child safety is compromised with the forest	1
Play area needs to be interpretive other play area close by at school. Check out Richmond's @ Terra Nova	1
Closer to the development furthest from the houses.	1
Thinking of the majority of users. Most of whom will be driving or walking a short distance to the park with their kids do not then want to cross deep into the park to play.	1
I got to play in forested areas when I was growing up, and I think that helped make me who I am today.	1
safest please	1
The Children's play area should be located in the most open and public part of the park for safety reasons.	1
This is a nice opportunity to make the play part of a family walk instead of just going to the playground like you can do in so many other places.	1
Children need to be where they can be monitored.	1
Open and visible space may not be as natural feeling, but would seem safer and for families with all the things that come with kids, not so far to/from the vehicle.	1
ensure good visibility for safety	1
Closer to the entrance will make it easier to transport babies in strollers etc.	1
Closer to the parking lot will hopefully keep the noise down for those wanting an actual quiet nature walk. It will also be closer for families who live in the area to come for short visits.	1
Kids like to get out of the car, see the play area and have at it.	1
by placing playgrounds or any real resting place with in the center would give criminal elements a place to hide and carry on illegal activity.	1
for smaller children it would be difficult to walk too far	1
A. depends on design/distance from parking lot to playground. It would cater to the new and unfortunate apartment complex development but may not to the parking lot. Possible outcome for kids: Have fun close to nature, get lost in its beauty by running down a path leading into the forest directly beside playground. B: Possible outcome of kids: like any other playground (in my opinion), makes you feel like you're more in the City with the parking lot so close. In a Natural Park, Nature is your playground.	1
Closer to the parking lot is nice for younger children	1
Make it easier for parents hauling toddlers to get them safely and easily in and out of their cars near the entrance.	1

Q5: What style of children's play space do you prefer?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Nature exploration elements (boulders, logs, stumps etc.)	60	45
Traditional play elements (swings, teeter-totter, slides etc.)	7	5%
A mix of nature exploration with traditional elements	56	42%
No preference	9	7%

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
I love the new adventure play area in Whistler Village	1
Traditional play area can be very costly and the up keep can be costly	1
no playground necessary	1
more of the nature play	1
brand new playground going in at the school	1
The school is getting a new traditional playground so that will be enough in area	1
School is already getting an amazing new playground.	1
let kids be creative within a more natural eco-system	1
Playground already near by. Check out Richmond's - Fantastic!	1
boulders and stumps were my favourite play places when I was little, but the traditional elements offer activities that the nature elements can't.	1
I recently visited a park where a tiny house had been built in the play area but it was locked up...we assumed because children were safe out of view, which is very sad but necessary	1
Ensure that there is some seating for the adults who should be supervising their children.	1
Don't make it tacky with the usual stuff. How about some farm items.	1
A climbing wall would work well, as would swings and slides to keep little ones busy. Natural elements are better for older kids. Safety should be first; sometimes logs can get slippery and dangerous; small kids would have a hard time climbing boulders or jumping across stumps.	1
Preferred to have no modern, weirdly-designed playgrounds. Traditional is ok, nature exploration = very cool. Perhaps a cheap rock-climbing wall (maybe the apartment complex will chip in some money)? "If it works, don't fix it." Traditional play elements are traditional because they seem to work. People like them and they're suitable for	1

all ages. Including these would be a smart idea. Money can be saved here and applied to other uses in this park. Needs and wants, people. Function over fashion :-) ** Important** : Pea Gravel only, no Wood Chips!!! I'm 19 and hey, I still like going to a playground and going on the swings to relive old memories. Remember pea gravel rock fights? >=) Wood Chips seem cheaper, but they cut your feet. Slivers are a pain in the butt (pun intended). Sand is cheap, but lots of cats may poop in there. Lastly, for wood chips, if you fall of the playground in some way, from whatever height, it'll hurt. Pea gravel doesn't. :)	
kids don't get enough exercise these days with computers and phones so better to make them climb slides and hang from monkey bars	1

Q6: Do you support the concept of a formal view point into the Serpentine River Valley?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes, with measures to protect the privacy of the adjacent neighbours	100	76%
No	24	18%
No Preference	8	6%

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Keep North of the stream and ensure path location as per Concept A (Path 3) (diverting to be North of the stream)	1
Definitely	1
View point too close to our property, already have people looking over fence into our yard. Paid far too much for our nice quiet property to have people all peering into our yard.	1
Totally against any view points!	1
Over the fields mountain views and the golf course much nicer the just looking at people's homes and blueberry fields	1
not at the expense of forest/trees/wetlands	1
This area is a segregated location that would likely result in groups gathering and disturbing existing neighbors.	1
make viewpoint further up.	1
Other parks I have visited which have such viewing platforms were great. Very important to protect the existing homes. Privacy and security (teenagers at night).	1
Seeing the valley connects people with the context of the neighbourhood. Informational signs can be added about agricultural history, and the journey of the salmon up the serpentine every fall.	1
I am not sure the privacy of existing properties is important, when you live next to a park, you takes your chances. Even if it was private property, you would not have an opinion if neighbour built a viewing platform.	1
Fantastic view of the valley and the mountains -- why not have a great viewpoint?	1
Stop cutting down the trees in Surrey! Enough already!	1
Provides a goal for walking; however, after hours partying (teens, alcohol) may be a concern for neighbouring properties.	1
I hope you don't sacrifice the view just because of a few homes. The greater good should prevail over a few residents.	1
YES, privacy measures, trees, shrubs are good for my sake too. When I am in a natural setting, especially this particular area, I don't want to walk onto a viewpoint and see a nice valley ahead of me, and to the right see some homes which shouldn't be there, aren't appealing to the eye, and are the very reason the park isn't enlarged. A sad truth, maybe even a little harsh some might think I am, but I don't care. The "Yes" response tells me the people (probably semi-wealthy) wanted their "privacy" improved.	1
The views are already incredible in the area, better to spend the resources on walking trails and trees for shade and rain cover	1

Q7: Do you support a public community garden?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes	55	42%
No	62	47%
No Preference	14	11%

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
not necessary - already at Bose Farm	1
Leave it as a Nature Park	1
After seeing the state of other community gardens I think they're an eye sore.	1
I worry that the garden would be vandalized	1
The Rempel development north of the Bose Forest Park already has plans to include a community garden in their development. Most single family homes have sufficient space to plant a garden if they want one. I don't see the need for a community garden that will most likely not be maintained.	1
no once cleans up after themselves and the mess will draw rats	1
Attracts too many rodents	1
worried about upkeep	1
The ones I see never are maintained or used now - Cloverdale United Church	1
the problem will be the distance from southern residences and at what cost?	1

I do but not certain if I want to give up space. Most resident's can provide own garden.	1
The majority of the homes in the immediate area will or do have back yards for gardens. This is a waste and likely will not be heavily used over time.	1
Yay for community gardens!	1
wonderful idea!!!!	1
You'd have to have people committed to looking after the garden on a full time basis so that rats/mice etc. don't start taking over. Also it would have to be protected by a fence so no deer/rabbits etc. eat all of the community's hard work. A personal garden is hard work (we have one that is a quarter acre each year) but be responsible and respectful of other people's space etc. in one area I believe is quite difficult to pull off without proper and prior planning!!	1
We have a large lot and veg garden and are lucky. Many condo dwellers would truly benefit from a garden plot. Both for fresh veg and a sense of community.	1
If was in my direct community I'd love a plot	1
How would you determine who has access to the community garden? First come first serve? Only neighbouring homes?	1
sort of - don't want to see overdevelopment of this area - if it can be done without taking out trees/natural space i support it	1
I have concerns that it will not be maintained in a suitable manner or that it may encourage homeless to try to take up residence in the park.	1
would love a plot but afraid it would just be vandalized	1
I wouldn't use it, (not near me) but if the community were interested in it and would make use of it, it's another great way to teach children a lost skill. Maybe even a plot offered to close elementary school.	1
how would the space be allotted?	1
No more tree cutting!	1
I doubt highly that this would be used as we've got many local markets to purchase produce from such as Two EE's and Greenfield farms. Also the sizes of the yards in the community could sustain their own gardens.	1
Provided it was maintained and safe.	1
Community gardens just end up becoming weedy eyesores. Give it up already.	1
I don't think it is needed in this area. The lots are large enough to accommodate one on there own property.	1
at first people will love it but in time will loose interest and the public will have to pay to clean it up. NO	1
95% public doesn't know how to garden... careless will invite rats like City of Vancouver. It will create health issues in the tightly planned residential. It is much better support the local farming	1
Yes. I think it's a wonderful idea to encourage people to become more social and to learn new things.	1
wonderful teaching tool and conversation piece for residents.	1

Q8: Would you like a garden plot if a community garden was developed?

OPTIONS	TOTAL	PERCENT
Yes	111	88%
No	15	12%

Q9: Do you have any additional comments or concerns about this project?

VERBATIM RESPONSES	TOTAL
Eliminate parking. Not in favour of Council circle and picnic shelter as both are potential draws for crime after hours. very concerned about attracting crime. Any path behind the homes on 61A Ave must have minimal line of site to homes. Ensure plantings are as tall as possible.	1
Dog Park (small)	1
We would appreciate and expect that our privacy is respected and considered throughout the process. Evergreen trees will need to be planted behind our house in abundance to protect the privacy we have enjoyed and paid for when we bought our home in 2010. Thank you.	1
We spent years trying to find a view lot with privacy and found it - built it, and now that is all chanced. We would like our privacy we paid for taken into consideration and respected! Large Burm with tall trees etc. which will keep our properties free from public looking into our homes and yards. Thank you.	1
Please no covered picnic tables or bathroom facilities... we do not want to attract homeless or "loiters".	1
I'd like the park kept as natural as possible.	1
we are excited for the project to start!!!	1
My concern is that more trees will come down to put in more pathways that I think shouldn't even exist. The additional traffic that this park will bring to the area on streets that are packed with cars needs to be given some serious consideration for the people that actually live here!!!	1
Please consider maintaining the "quiet neighbourhood" effect that we currently have. I am concerned about the extra traffic that this park will attract to our neighbourhood. Most of us have small children that are able to play on the streets. I'm worried about the extra traffic in and around the 164 Street area that will use 61A Avenue as a turnaround street. It's a cul-de-sac that already sees a lot of traffic coming down to the end of the street to turn around. There isn't adequate signage to indicate that it is a Dead End.	1
I like a blend of A and B - Mostly A with the addition of the wetlands walk.	1
I am currently building our future house on lot 22. I have a major concern with having a trail head at the rear of our house. The subdivision bylaws only allow us to build a 3' high split rail fence. The trailhead would allow people to wander behind our houses and I	1

would never feel safe letting our kids play in the backyard. Could you possibly move the trailhead about 100M west to the crest of the hill? There is a nice open space there.	1
keep the blackberry bushes, interpretive signage, picnic area as in "B", Community Garden "A", Orchards "A", No bike loop	1
The whole park should be useable. ex. removal of most of the unclear brush.	1
No Parking Lot	1
I like option A with the addition of the wetlands walk from option B added to option A. no need for parking lot. And add an off-leash dog park in the general area	1
looks good	1
Prefer Concept B	1
Please keep large growth trees. We didn't take all that work to have this forest preserved to then see it torn down. NO need for additional parking on 164th, "A Park Lot" will only attract trouble, youth, and theft. Kids area seems fine - no need for bike park we have one at athletic park. A park for kids to explore needs to be safe and visible. If on the site like "Concept B" - speed bumps needed along 164 and 63rd. Picnic shelters not necessary. Let's not attract people we don't need in this lovely community. Why make it so public. We are happy with trails and minimal disruption.	1
I like concept B more, things I would change on B are: - no parking lot, seems like enough on street, - add family style picnic shelter, - unsure about asphalt path (what is the benefit?) but I don't mind it, - would be nice to have a bike park amenity similar to Cloverdale Athletic Park- the natural one not the concrete one, - wetland viewing is nice, unsure about the valley view .	1
Try to keep it as natural as possible.	1
I prefer to see the Bose Forest Park a Family/Kid orientated environment nature park. Stream restoration (maximize) walking trails for sure... but please include ample waste containers... and dog feces receptacles with "bags"!	1
I don't like the community garden/ also, I would like to feel comfortable walking around my house naked and not feel like people are looking at me. Love the children's play area.	1
Like it. Keep it simple, local, nature based. Please take out picnic tables and kids bike. Picnic tables don't get used in other parks and I prefer natural state.	1
I do not see a need for a picnic shelter/area. I feel this will attract problems. I think picnic area should be the outdoor play. Love the community garden. Parking lot should be scrapped - there is sufficient on street parking.	1
tall trees to be planted behind backyards of residences living on 61A street as buffers.	1
This neighbourhood is off the beaten path, with little thru traffic. Everyone who drives down there lives there. We already get little coverage by Police, and the response from the meeting about citizen patrol to monitor the park wasn't acceptable. Well hidden parking lots, gathering areas are a recipe for drinking and criminal activity... Don't remember the last time I ran into a police officer walking the trails of the local parks. So the design needs some more thought, put gathering areas in areas that are easily viewed from the road...	1
I love the bike park idea, with a bike loop for kids.	1
Thank you for letting me share my thoughts. Having community input into planning makes for more resilient neighbourhoods.	1
The Bose Family name and heritage has to be maintained and given priority to this location!!	1
Will you be allowed to ride bikes in the park?	1
Leave the park as natural as possible.	1
would like this preserved as a forest as much as possible - redwoods is currently our favourite park	1
So glad about the park. Nice to have a family concept but lets not make it a "busy" place. Lets make it a nice, peaceful place that celebrates the history.	1
The natural forest should be preserved as much as possible, it is sad to see the new development and so many of the tree's destroyed as it is.	1
Please preserve as much of the natural area as possible.	1
Please build a public indoor pool and/or wave pool in the Cloverdale area.	1
I hope my concerns are included,even though I live 200' west of 168th street	1
Keep the area as original as possible and keep costs within reason. Does not need to be all fancy and over the top.	1
I have viewed the condos being built on the property and they are lovely, as is the historical preservation of the site.	1