



# City of Surrey

## Heritage Advisory Commission

### Minutes

Executive Boardroom  
City Hall  
14245 - 56 Avenue  
Surrey, B.C.  
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2006  
Time: 9:00 a.m.

---

#### **Present:**

Chair - H. Lindenbach  
Councillor Higginbotham  
R. Fuller  
C. Johnston  
J. Monk  
S. Sidhu  
M. Stibbs  
W. Tracey

#### **Absent:**

D. Bowyer  
A. Clegg

#### **Staff Present:**

A. Kopystynski, Planning & Development  
B. Sommer, Parks, Recreation and Culture  
C. Bonneville, Legislative Services  
  
M. Allison, Planning & Development  
S. Fillion, Finance, Technology & HR

---

## **A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

### **1. Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes July 19, 2006**

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Monk  
That the minutes of the Heritage Advisory  
Commission of July 19, 2006, be adopted, as circulated.  
Carried

### **2. Special Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes August 2, 2006**

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Monk  
That the minutes of the Heritage Advisory  
Commission of August 2, 2006, be adopted, as circulated.  
Carried

## **B. DELEGATIONS**

### **1. Eleanor Thompson**

Eleanor Thompson, Treasurer, and Ken Ratray, President of the Council for Hazelmere United Church ('CHUC'), were in attendance regarding the Hazelmere Church and proposed renovations. Following are some of the comments made during their presentation:

- On February 1, 2006 structural engineers did an inspection report, this was a seven hour review of both buildings. Based on this report we felt it prudent to do restoration.

- So far this year the CHUC has completed pest and rodent control, and partially completed the removal of dirt from the wood foundation.
- In CHUC's reading of the bylaw and guidelines they are unsure as to what qualifies under the term restoration.
- Large trucks along the roads surrounding the Church are adding to the deterioration.

The Commission members and the Senior Planner (Heritage) provided the following comments:

- BCAA is now providing insurance for heritage homes and there are provincial organizations that will further assist CHUC.
- There is a code equivalency that the Building Division applies to heritage structures.
- Restoration is costly and may expose further problems.
- An assessment should be done again with consideration given to 'code equivalency' and consideration of things that may be done another way.
- It was suggested that a meeting be held with the City Architect, City Building Inspector, and the Senior Planner (Heritage) to see what suggestions can be made regarding the restoration of the Church.
- The Chair provided the delegation with the program guidelines and polices for qualifying for up to \$25,000 in matching funds from the Heritage Legacy Fund of BC.
- A maintenance plan for the Church is the right direction and the Commission will provide whatever help they can.

Commissioner Tracey entered the meeting at 9:17 a.m.

The Chair thanked the delegation for the presentation and stated that the Commission appreciates all the work that CHUC is doing and further stated that the Hazelmere Church is a significant heritage building in Surrey.

## **2. Avtar Deol**

Sunny Deol, son of Avtar Deol, ("DEOL") was in attendance regarding the George Kennedy House, property located at 9948 and 9936 – 123 A Street and proposed development of this property. Following are the comments made during his presentation to the Commission:

- A letter of authorization was provided and the delegation clarified that his father, Avtar Deol, is the registered owner of the property.
- The property was purchased in 1993 solely for investment purposes, at the time the DEOL's were not aware that the house on the property potential had heritage value. An engineer had sent a letter to the City with a sketched subdivision plan, the City at the time could not provide a letter saying it was okay, but a verbal okay was given by the Engineer and the DEOLs purchased the property.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) left the meeting at 9:30 a.m.

- The DEOLs have not applied for subdivision; they wanted to work with the

City and the Commission first before submitting a application for subdivision. The DEOLs want to build one house now and are required to demolish the two houses before they can build one house, in the future the DEOLs will subdivide the property.

Councillor Higginbotham left the meeting at 9:32 a.m.

- In 1997, DOEL sent a letter asking that the house be inspected before being placed on the heritage register. At a Council meeting of January 1998, Council passed a motion that the George Kennedy House not be placed on the heritage registry until an inspection is complete.
- The DEOLs were away for about a year during this period.
- No inspection ever took place and the City did not contact the DEOLs until the house was put onto the heritage registry in December 2000.
- We cannot fumigate the house, we have placed ratraps and the rodents have not come back. The rat problem is due to the bush area in the back of the property.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) returned to the meeting at 9:40 a.m.

- This property is important to DEOL as a lot of retirement money is invested into this property and there is hope for maximum financial security from this property.
- The house is livable and the DEOLs are willing to give the house away.

The Commission members and the Senior Planner (Heritage) provided the following comments:

- The house is on the heritage register since 2000, however there is not sufficient information provided by the delegation to make the determination as to whether the house is viable.
- The City on several occasions tried to reach the owner for inspection but to no avail, and City staff conducted an inspection from the street to assess the building.
- It was suggested that the DEOLs work with staff on the planning of the site and then the application will come forward to the Commission to see if a mutual agreement can be put into place regarding the heritage home.

## **C. REPORTS**

### **1. PARKS AND RECREATION**

#### **a. 1912 Hall**

The Manager, Heritage Services provided a verbal update on the work at the 1912 Hall, following are the comments made during her update:

- In mid August the rehabilitation process was started. Currently there are four major projects being done on the outside of the building.

- Painting: Staff from Benjamin Moore Paints has provided advice and an assessment on the original colors. The colors on the stucco will be 'box car red', a mid range red with less pinkness. The Tudor woodwork will be 'Kitsilano gold', Edwardian colors. Already the building is looking fresher.
- There are repairs being done to the stucco, as there were significant holes. The gutter system is being removed temporarily and will be replaced.
- Proposal for the replacement of the roof will be in this Friday. The replaced roof will be treated cedar shingles.
- The exterior doors will be retained and inside there will be a security gate grill to provide protection of the interior. Other doors will be replaced with steel fire retardant doors.
- The foundation of the building is in good shape, however at the entrance way on the south side the grouting that has fallen out and left large gaps in the granite stonework. One quote has been received to repair the grout, and the provider does use the historic processes. We have tried to apply for the Heritage Legacy Funding, however we were advised that they are no longer accepting applications, we have requested the application twice and not received it. They believe that this project is eligible.
- The 2004 estimate for roof repair has been replaced by the need to replace the roof and the estimate will be much higher.
- There are windows at the lower east side (facing the museum) that are required (by code compliance for human safety) to be replaced with wired glass, this will change the look somewhat, the other alternative is to close off the windows, doing this would lose all natural lighting.
- The windows on the west side have been broken into twice this summer, and there is difficulty finding replacement security glass.
- The building will have to be secure as this will be the future location of the City Archives. The smoke and fire detection system will have six-zones and there will also be closed circuit televisions inside and outside the building.
- There will be security shutters in accessible doorways to prevent loitering.
- The electrical wiring within the building has been removed as rats and squirrels have eaten through the wiring. The basement and the top floor are being rewired.
- The awning at the front of the building will be replaced, and the awning at the back of the building will be removed.
- The opening date will be the Saturday after Remembrance Day and we would like if the Commission members could attend.
- If all goes well the office moves will take place on October 27 and the collections will move in on November 3.
- The Archives is currently closed in preparation for packing and relocation and also to provide staff with orientation to the collections and services.
- A new Reference Specialist has been hired and a part-time Reference Clerk will assist with the public operations starting on November 21.

- The Leader newspaper and Coast Capital Savings have provided funding for specialized equipment, including Internet computers for public research purposes.

It was suggested that the November Heritage Commission meeting be held at 1912 Hall.

Councillor Higginbotham entered the meeting at 10:02 a.m.

**b. Funding cuts and the affect on the Museum**

At the request of the Chair, the Manager, Heritage Services provided the following comments regarding the funding cuts to the Department of Canadian Heritage (Museums Assistance Program) and the affect on the Museum:

- The Federal Government has cut 4.6 million from the Museum Assistant Program.
- No funding from this program has ever been allocated for operating costs, and the operating cost of the Museum will not be impacted.
- In the past Surrey had received over \$500,000 for project funding including \$250,000 for the planning stages of the museum; \$120,000 for compactable shelving; support for the Cultural Marketing Plan and approximately \$44,000 for interactive exhibits and computer upgrades.
- The biggest impact will be on traveling exhibits, which the Museum hosts from other institutions. If the traveling exhibits are no longer produced then there will be a limited choice for temporary exhibits.
- There may be future indirect impacts.

**c. Storyboards**

The Manager, Heritage Services provided an update on the Storyboard Project, following are comments provided during the update:

- The Newton Storyboard team has met twice and has produced their first draft and is currently working on editing.
- The Guildford Storyboard team has met twice and has narrowed down to three themes. They are working on a theme of Guildford before the Mall.
- The Fleetwood Storyboard team is meeting quietly and it is expected that by mid-October we will see their first draft.
- The Guildford Heritage sign will be near the Guildford Recreation Centre and as they define the theme they will also define location.
- The Newton Heritage sign may be between the Newton Wave Pool and the Recreation Office.
- No definite location has been determined for the Fleetwood Heritage sign.

**2. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**a. Cloverdale Fairgrounds Concept Plan**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) indicated that the public open house regarding the Cloverdale Fairgrounds Concept Plan has been postponed. As a result, the staff presentation to the HAC needs to be tabled to the next meeting.

Following are the comments made concerning this item:

- The open house that was to be held on September 18 was deferred to October.
- There was a preliminary report brought out and presented to the LFVEA and revisions were requested. There was no heritage component within the report.
- The southeast corner is the subject of Surrey Municipal Heritage Designation By-Law, 1980, No. 6442. The 1881 Town Hall was moved to this site in 1938 and the Anderson Cabin was moved to this site in about 1970. This land was the site of the Hadden saw mill, the property abutted the Great Northern Railway main line to the US, and possibly an earlier RCMP building for Cloverdale.
- Further heritage information regarding the site and the exact geographical location and size of the heritage site should be forwarded to the Commission members.
- The Commission members should make available on their calendars to attend this meeting on October 18 or October 19.

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
 Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs  
 That the HAC recommend to the Acting  
 General Manager of Planning and Development that the Senior Planner  
 (Heritage) provide further heritage and geographical information to the  
 Commission members as soon as possible.

Carried

**b. Henry Parr House  
17724 – 57 A Avenue**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided an update concerning a letter from Walmark Homes regarding the Henry Parr House. The following are comments made:

- Walmark Homes has indicated that the Parr House could not be accommodated as a dwelling or as an adapted use in conjunction with plans to develop a multi lot apartment site.
- Walmark Homes placed newspaper ads to seek expressions of interest for the relocation of the Parr House. There were 30 respondents, 8 of which toured the house, six undertook further assessment, but only one has the intention of pursuing the relocation.

- The proposed relocation is from 17724 – 57A Avenue in Cloverdale to 23604 – 24 Avenue in Langley, the prospective owner is prepared to adapt the building to be used as an artist retreat centre at their farm.

Following are the comments made by the Commission members:

- There was an expression of interest to move the house to a property on Crescent Road, however the City was not prepared to pay for the moving costs.
- The house should stay with Surrey and only as a last resort be considered to be moved to Langley.
- Moving the Parr House from Surrey would be a significant heritage loss.

It was Moved by Commissioner Monk  
Seconded by Commissioner Tracey  
That the Commission allow

Troy Abromaitis of Wallmark Homes and Bob Ransford, Consultant ('Delegation') to be heard and answer any question the Commission may have.

Carried

Following are the comments provided by the delegation:

- There were respondents from Nanaimo and from Northern BC, however the relocations to these areas would incur additional costs.
- There are other additional costs involved in the relocation of the house, such as providing the foundation, installation of septic, providing services to the house and then add the additional cost or restoration. This is an investment of 80 – 100,000 additional dollars.
- Wallmark is experiencing frustration as there are no timelines and the development application needs to go forward. There is no authority under the Local Government Act for the Commission to impose a compensation penalty.
- Wallmark outlined its efforts to save the Parr House and efforts concerning the respondent to take it to a location in Langley. Concern was expressed about of hearing an additional \$100,000 cost as compensation in addition to paying the respondent up to \$28,000 for relocation costs. The compensation payment category was questioned, as the proposed development would have fewer than sixty units.
- Wallmark had concern for the current respondent losing interest in relocating the Parr House to their property. Wallmark requested that a deadline be set so that all the work to find the proponent does not go to waste.

Following are the comments made by the Commission members:

- The Commission's intent is to maintain the value of heritage assets in the Cloverdale area. The facts upon which the HAC expressed support for moving the Parr House in the Cloverdale area have significantly changed by the change to relocate the house from Surrey into another community.

- The HAC noted that the applicant has offered a \$50,000 compensation if the building were to be demolished. There was discussion about whether removal from the City was like the loss of the Gillis House where \$100,000 compensation was donated.
- The HAC expressed appreciation for the developer's effort. However, before making a decision, the HAC suggested that staff prepare a summary of the status of the heritage homes in Cloverdale that are in crisis (such as the Parr House).
- It was also suggested that a detailed listing of the cost involved in the moving and relocating of the house be provided, this listing should include, but not be limited to, the cost of foundations and disconnection/connections to services.
- In the discussion with Wallmark, the issue of securing and maintaining the building in a temporary location before a final site is found was raised.
- It was noted that Cloverdale is the heart of the Surrey's heritage. There is an increasing need to accommodate temporary and permanent relocation of heritage buildings under threat in Cloverdale.
- When the compensation policy goes before City Council for approval, a category for heritage buildings being relocated outside the City should be included.
- It was suggested that a report be requested from the City Solicitor with respect to the compensation policy and how it would apply to heritage houses being relocated outside the City of Surrey, as this is still loss of heritage value to the City.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that:

- The proposed compensation policy is being modified following legal review.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Stibbs  
 Seconded by Commissioner Johnston  
 That the HAC recommends to the Acting

General Manager of Planning and Development that before a decision is made on the relocation of the Parr House to Langley that staff consider a strategy, should a temporary relocation not be viable that staff review the implication of moving the Parr House to Langley and how the Compensation Policy would apply if the HAC accept the relocation to Langley.

Carried

The agenda was varied to hear item 3.f. Renaming 107A Avenue as Veterans Way and item 4.a. HAC Budget, as the staff members were present at the meeting.

#### **f. Renaming 107A Avenue as Veterans Way**

Mark Allison, Senior Planner provided the following comments during an update regarding the renaming of 107A Avenue as Veterans Way:

- In July 2006, a delegation from the Whalley branch of the Royal Canadian Legion made a presentation to Council regarding their request to have 107A Avenue between West Whalley Ring Road and City Parkway renamed to Veterans Way.
- Surrey Bylaw 1500 from 1957 as well as Policy O-42 from 1999 requires the use of numbered Streets and Avenues wherever these can be reasonably associated with a north/south (street) or east/west (avenue) highway. This naming convention is intended to facilitate the ease of access for visitors to and within the City and supports rapid responses by emergency services such as police, fire and ambulance vehicles.
- In discussion with the legion's project committee chair, the legion would be supportive of a supplementary designation of a portion of 106 Avenue as "Veterans Way".
- It is proposed that the HAC could create a subcommittee to work with City staff to identify appropriate criteria for heritage street names and, once criteria have been adopted by Council, to assist with the preparation of an inventory of recommended names for each neighborhood that meet these criteria for Council approval. These names would then form a base for selecting non-numbered street and avenue names where heritage names are considered appropriate.

Following are comments from the HAC members:

- There should be a crinkle (further design) around the heritage sign.
- Signs should be simple and elegant.

Following are the Senior Planner's responses to questions from the HAC members:

- There is a general budget for signage, there is no specific budget allocated for the installation of street blades associated with supplemental designations. The cost per sign would be approximately \$200, including design, fabrication and mounting.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that:

- There are community identification signage that is blue and white, and there are designation signs, and there are signs that are clearly heritage signs.
- The supplementary designation signs could be an alternative to heritage street markers.

- It was Moved by Commissioner Johnston  
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller  
That the HAC recommend to the Acting  
General Manager of Planning and Development that the HAC:
1. Supports the concept of supplementary designations for streets based on the outlined criteria.
  2. Endorses the supplementary designation of "Veterans Way" for 106 Avenue near the Whalley legion branch.
  3. Supports in principle the use of distinctive street name blades for these supplementary designations; and
  4. Should create a subcommittee to work with City staff to propose criteria for heritage names for streets and, when criteria have been approved by Council, to assist with the development of an inventory of recommended heritage names based on these criteria for different neighborhoods where heritage names are considered appropriate.

Carried

The Chair requested that staff send out an email to the Commission members seeking the interest of three Commission members to form a Heritage Street Name Sub-committee. A suggestion was made that the alternative is for this responsibility to be assigned to the Heritage Register Sub-committee.

#### **4. FINANCE**

##### **a. HAC Budget**

Suzanne Fillion, the Budget and Reporting Manager provided the following comments during her review of the HAC Budget:

- There are inflationary increases that are applied to annual budgets for hydro, gasoline, and courier; none of these types of increases apply to the heritage budget.
- We have ensured that appropriate funding is in place for heritage sites.
- The budget is \$21,000 per year and there are specific categories in place for guidance.
- There are costs yet to be incurred, such as the Ottawa conference estimated at \$4,000. Resolutions are required for any funds spent above \$100.00. Annual membership fees are done without resolution.
- There was no resolution made for the funds reimbursed for one Commission member to attend the Nanaimo Conference.
- The 2005 Polygon donation cannot be used until a policy is in place.

#### **COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

It was Moved by Commissioner Johnston  
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller  
That the HAC recommends that Council  
authorize the attendance of a Commission member to attend the Nanaimo  
Conference and that the expenses be paid from the HAC budget in  
accordance with Council policy.

Carried

The Manager, Heritage Services advised that maintenance and cleaning of the storyboards is done annually in December. Funding of \$3,500 for the maintenance and installation of storyboards was transferred to the PRC Heritage Services Budget.

The meeting recessed at 11:41 a.m. and reconvened at 12:51 a.m.

The regular agenda resumed.

## **2. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT (continued)**

### **c. Baron von Mackensen Castle**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided an update about the security of the Baron von Mackensen House. Following are the comments made:

- January 24, 2005 Council approved Heritage Revitalization Agreement and Rezoning By-Laws.
- April 12, 2006 HAC receives a report advising that the owner proposed to modify plans to allow for a neighbourhood pub.
- On April 26, 2006, staff reported that the heritage house was secure.
- On September 10, 2006, Bylaw Enforcement conducted an inspection, and reported that the front gate was knocked down and there was evidence that squatters were on the property.
- After April HAC meeting the applicant was provided with comments from the City Architect respecting design issues, however revised plans have not been resubmitted to the City.

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs  
That the HAC:

1. Received the information contained in the interoffice memo, dated September 21, 2006, regarding the Status of Baron von Mackensen House Application 7904-0077-00; and
2. Request Legal Services Department to review the Heritage Revitalization Agreement to determine the obligation of the owner to commence restorations, and how to respond if restorations are not commenced in the near future.

Carried

### **d. Sullivan Hall Heritage Revitalization Agreement**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) presented a report regarding a heritage application for the Sullivan Hall.

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey  
Seconded by Councillor Higginbotham  
That the HAC recommend to the Acting  
General Manager of Planning and Development that HAC supports  
heritage application 7906-0060-00 to protect the Sullivan Hall.  
Carried

**e. Rolls Carpentry Shop  
Heritage Revitalization Agreement**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) presented a report regarding a heritage application for the Rolls Carpentry Shop HRA.

It was Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Tracey  
That HAC advise the Acting General  
Manager of Planning and Development that HAC supports application  
7902-0256-00, a Heritage Revitalization Agreement to protect the Roll's  
Carpentry Shop, subject to resolution of the items noted in the inter-office  
memo, dated September 19, 2006, regarding the Heritage Protection for  
the Roll's Carpentry Shop, to the satisfaction of the City Architect.  
Carried

**f. Renaming 107A Avenue as Veterans Way**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**g. Willard Kitchen House  
Suggested Change to the Heritage Revitalization Agreement**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) referred to a letter from the Armstrongs regarding compensation requirements for the Willard Kitchen House - Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

It was Moved by Commissioner Stibbs  
Seconded by Commissioner Monk  
That HAC recommends to the Acting  
General Manager of Planning and Development that HAC supports the  
suggestions made by Barbara and William Armstrong as outlined in inter-  
office memo, dated September 20, 2006, regarding the suggested changes  
to the HRAs.

Carried

It was requested that a letter thanks be sent to the Armstrongs, advising of the resolution being passed by HAC and thanking them for their support of heritage initiatives.

**3. ENGINEERING**

No reports from Engineering.

**4. FINANCE**

**a. HAC Budget**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**D. CORRESPONDENCE**

It was received and replies sent as indicated.

Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller  
That correspondence item D.1 – D.5, be

Carried

**1. Greg Ward, Manager, Urban Forestry and Environmental Programs - City of Surrey**

Inter-office memo regarding the removal of heritage trees located at 8495 – 144 Street.

Following are the comments from the Commission members:

- It was suggested that a plan be drawn up for the protection of the two remaining trees that are in satisfactory condition.
- The plaque is no longer appropriately placed and should be placed appropriately.
- That the Senior Planner (Heritage) be informed if heritage trees will be affected through forest revitalization practices.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised about the various ways heritage trees can be recognized: listed in the Tree Preservation By-Law, identified through the Tree Sub-committee and Parks, Recreation and Culture as important trees, listed on the heritage register and designated by By-law. Heritage Alteration Permits may be required for certain interventions for trees subject to a designation By-law.

**2. Lyn M. Drake, Administrator/Business Manager  
Roots and Wings Montessori School**

Letter regarding the Heritage Village Office Complex / Sustainable Development.

**3. Sally Bradley  
Loyal Orange Lodge, Surrey Centre (1877)**

E-correspondence regarding future plans for the Loyal Orange Lodge.

It was requested that a letter be written to Ms. Bradley thanking her for her letter of interest, and requesting further elaboration on her organization and the proposal for the Loyal Orange Lodge.

**4. Colleen Horne  
Guy Richardson House**

E-correspondence received from Colleen Horne regarding an unfinished garage and Heritage maintenance on the garage.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that there is a stop work order posted on this property, as there was no building permit application.

**5. Barbara & William Armstrong  
Willard Kitchen House – 1917 House at Crescent Beach**

This item was dealt with under item C.2 (g) of this agenda.

**E. INFORMATION ITEMS**

It was  
  
received.

Moved by Councillor Higginbotham  
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller  
That the information items E.1 – E.3, be

Carried

- 1. Newsletters**
  - a. Heritage Canada Foundation  
Spring 2006**
  - b. Heritage BC  
Summer 2006**
  - c. Surrey Heritage Services  
Summer / Fall 2006**
  - d. Vancouver Heritage Foundation  
September 2006**
- 2. Heritage Canada Foundation – Annual Conference**

Information regarding the Heritage Canada Foundation – Annual Conference in Ottawa, Ontario, October 12 to 14, 2006.

**3. Heritage Canada Foundation – 2006 Annual General Meeting  
File:**

Information regarding the Heritage Canada Foundation – 2006 Annual General Meeting in Ottawa, Ontario, October 14, 2006.

**4. List of Outstanding Items**

The Commission reviewed the list of outstanding items and made the following comments:

- Rolls Carpentry Shop – dealt with earlier.
- Bose Farm SOS – underway.
- Old Yale Road Walkway – a report will be forwarded to Council in October.
- Statements of Significance (SOS) - call for proposals are due September 29, 2006.
- Hazelmere Village Guidelines – is in process; The HAC will be advised of applications on the southeast corner of 16 Avenue and 182 Street.
- George E. Lawrence House letter – to be sent by Engineering.
- 182 Street Heritage Conservation Area– future project.
- Currie House update – in process.
- Tynehead Elementary School HRA– in process.
- Compensation for loss in heritage Value (Gillis House) – in process.
- Parr House – on going.
- 1912 Municipal Hall – on going.
- 1891 Lodge Status – on going.
- White Rock Church – trying to finalize the HRA.

**F. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS**

**1. Gillis House**

A Commission member expressed his concern for the ‘G’ on the Gillis House not being the original ‘G’. Another Commission members advised that the original ‘G’ had rotted and a duplicate was created from aluminum.

**2. King George Highway Heritage Study**

A Commission member suggested that the budget be increased to bring forward the King George Highway (KGH) Heritage study. It was requested that the Senior Planner (Heritage) advise about the KGH Heritage Study and possible grants before the HAC makes decision on funds within the budget.

**3. Heritage Registry and Designation**

A Commission member questioned whether the City could designate a property onto the registry without the input of the neighborhood. Another member commented that the heritage registry is only a register of the houses; it is the agreement that makes a house available to receive funding.

**4. Vancouver 2007 Heritage Awards**

A Commission member provided a copy of the Vancouver 2007 Heritage Awards advertisement and suggested that Commission consider putting an advertisement in the newspaper and that an email is sent to Bev Sommer for further circulation.

**5. Heritage Trees / Tree Cutting Permits**

A Commission member requested clarification as to the Commission's role regarding the tree by-law. Other Commission members advised that they couldn't be the tree police; there is a vested interest in trees to a degree.

Commission Fuller left the meeting at 1:54 p.m.

Commissioner Tracey left the meeting 1:56 p.m.

**G. NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Heritage Advisory Commission is scheduled for October 25, 2006 in the Mayor's Executive Boardroom at 9:00 a.m.

**H. ADJOURNMENT**

It was  
  
meeting do now adjourn.

Moved by Commissioner Johnston  
Seconded by Commissioner Monk  
That the Heritage Advisory Commission

Carried

The Heritage Advisory Commission adjourned at 1:58 p.m.

---

Margaret Jones, City Clerk

---

Hazel Lindenbach, Chairperson  
Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission