



City of Surrey

Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes

Executive Boardroom
City Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.

Present:

Chair - A. Clegg
D. Bowyer
J. Monk
M. Stibbs
W. Tracey

Absent:

H. Lindenbach
Councillor Higginbotham
R. Fuller
C. Johnston

Staff Present:

A. Kopystynski, Senior Planner (Heritage)
Planning & Development
B. Sommer, Manager, Heritage Services Parks,
Recreation and Culture
C. Bonneville, Assistant City Clerk, Legislative
Services
M. Allison, Senior Planner, Planning &
Development
J. Boan, Transportation Manager, Engineering
R. Smith, Project Supervisor, Engineering
D. Mossey, Project Engineer, Engineering
O. Croy, Manager of Park, Parks, Recreation and
Culture

The agenda was varied to deal with the following item.

B. DELEGATIONS

1. Ministry of Transportation – Gateway Project Team

The Ministry of Transportation's Gateway Project team to provide a 20-minute presentation of the route alignment and their assessment of potential archaeological and heritage impacts of the South Fraser Perimeter Road project within the City.

M. Allison, the Senior Planner was present to introduce the delegation from the Ministry of Transportation and provided the following names:

Geoff Freer, Project Director; Simon Lamb, Project Manager, Surrey Section; Andrew Mason, Archaeology & Heritage Specialist; and Sarah McPherson, Community Relations Coordinator were present, and provided the following comments:

- The South Fraser Perimeter Road ('SFPR') is a huge priority within the gateway program as it will be the primary route.
- SFPR #1 Priority
 - 60% of container capacity growth at Delta Port and Fraser Surrey Docks;
 - SFPR directly serves these locations and connects to other key economic gateways to Canada and the US;
 - SFPR is a key national transportation link connecting ports, rail and road;
 - SFPR will restore municipal roads and community connectors by reducing truck and regional traffic on local streets.

- A graphic presentation of the existing infrastructure and the daily truck volumes was presented in comparison to a 2031 version of the same graphic.

Sections of the SFPR corridor were reviewed for the Commission members.

HAC is requested to provide their comments.

In response to questions the delegation responded:

- The first alignment was at the top of the hill and went to public consultation and the Fraser Heights Community stated that the location was not suitable; we purchased land in 2004 and moved the road further away from the residential community.
- We are proposing to span over the wetland with a structure.
- King George Highway will remain in tact, the east/west bound road of the SFPR will done with pier structures. The SFPR will be at grade and there will be a 'T' in to 112 Avenue.
- There are many studies being done, such as vegetation studies, wildlife studies, and water studies.
- The scope of the heritage investigation is limited to items that are automatically recorded under the Heritage Alteration Act – pre 1886 is where our studies are being focused. When we do find historical items we do record them.
- There is no construction being done against the waterfront.
- 104 Avenue will not be interrupted and will remain the local connection to the Surrey Bend area. We are also working with the City on a walkway and cycle pathway into the area. Wildlife corridors and providing access through a bridge structure is also being considered.
- We are identifying to the best of our ability the historic sites and doing detailed studies to minimize any future impact. We are developing detailed mitigation plans in conjunction with the first nations. If there is a future element of discovery during construction we have contingencies in place and will respond in a timely fashion.
- There is limited money available for incorporating public art into the historic gateway however we are willing to look into some ideas from the local groups, as there may be something that can be done in the design.
- We are currently working with seven first nation groups.
- To keep the collection in tact, we are currently using the UBC repository as the default repository.

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Commission is requested to pass a motion adopting the minutes as circulated.

1. Special Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes - January 31, 2007

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised of two corrections to the minutes:

- Under correspondence references T. Lyoos, it should read ‘that the Senior Planner Heritage and the City Architect meet with the artistic director of the Surrey Little Theatre.’
- And also under correspondence, regarding the Wickson House, that this read ‘that the Senior Planner Heritage would provide further information regarding the Wickson House at the next meeting of the Heritage Advisory Commission.’

It was
Advisory Commission of January 31, 2007, be adopted, as amended.

Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
Seconded by Commissioner Tracy
That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage
Carried

B. DELEGATIONS (Con't.)

2. Ministry of Transportation – Gateway Project Team

Following are the comments from the Commission members regarding the presentation heard earlier in the meeting:

- It was suggested that members of the Commission attend the Environmental Advisory Committee meeting when the Ministry provides their presentation to this committee.
- It was suggested that there be a sub-committee developed to deal with the issues arising from the SFPR.

It was
heritage of Surrey that prior to a certificate being issued that an environmental assessment impact study be presented to the Heritage Advisory Commission for input and comment.

Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
Seconded by Commissioner Tracy
That in the interest of preserving the natural

Carried

It was
with Commissioner Bowyer and Commission Monk as members.

Moved by Commissioner Monk
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That a SFPR sub-committee be developed,

Carried

It was suggested that the sub-committee become part of a joint-subcommittee consisting of other advisory group members.

The agenda was varied to deal with the following item.

D. REPORTS**2. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****a. Monthly Update Report – Application No. 7904-0077-00
Baron Von MacKensen House
9546 – 192nd Street
File: 6800-10; 7904-0077-00**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided the monthly status report on security and restorations of the Baron Von MacKensen House and made the following comments:

- The development permit process and liquor primary process are completed in terms of the City's role. The outstanding issue that the City has continuously requested to be addressed is submission of the funding and maintenance strategy for the on-going maintenance of the structure.
- The City has not yet received correspondence from the Province regarding the issuance of the liquor license. The final license will not be issued until such time as the building restorations are complete.
- By-law enforcement reported the following based on the most recent site inspection of February 13, 2007:
 - The front gate on the driveway is secure with locks and chain link.
 - The tarping of the entire roof was partially done and the tarp now is hanging down the south side of the building.
 - The reinforcing of the doors and lower windows was done, however one of the boards on the lower level, south side has been removed and the window is broken.
 - Covering the upper windows with plywood and plastics was not done sufficiently.
 - The trailer has not been removed from the property.
 - The grass and overgrown bushes have not been cut, and the lot has not been tidied up.
 - Some fencing panels were added closer to 192 Street but more are required to block access to the site.
 - The top floor balcony (east side) has now been graffitied.

The Assistant City Solicitor advised that she would come to the next Commission meeting to provide an update.

It was

Moved by Commission Stibbs

Seconded by Commission Monk

That further investigation work be done and that legal provide a report to the March HAC meeting.

Carried

C. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**1. Bell House****Application: 7905-0019-00**

The following recommendation from the January 10, 2007 HAC meeting was tabled to the Council meeting of February 26, 2007, to allow Council to first hear a delegation from Mr. Joe Dhaliwal:

That SHAC recommend that Council only support a subdivision if the Bell House is subjected to a heritage revitalization agreement acceptable to SHAC, the Bell House is maintained on the ½ acre lot number 35 at the corner of Old McLellan and Bell Roads, the Bell House faces Old McLellan road, and that the character defining elements of the Bell House including being a landmark, the streetscape and the setting are retained.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised:

- The delegation appeared before Council on February 19th concerning the moving and re-orientation of the Bell House toward Bell Road.
- The outcome of hearing the delegation and the consideration of the above noted recommendation from the HAC by Council was not available at the time the HAC agenda for this meeting was forwarded to the Commissioners.

The meeting recessed at 10:48 and reconvened at 10:58 with the same members in attendance.

The Assistant City Clerk advised that at the Monday, February 26, 2007 Regular Council meeting Council passed the following resolution “*That the Heritage Advisory Commission recommendations concerning the Bell House be received.*” At the February 12, 2007 meeting, Council heard the delegation and passed the following resolution “*that the project application be referred back to staff to consider the applicant’s proposal for a total of 36 single family size lots and relocation of the existing heritage house, and retention of the existing driveway.*”

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that the rezoning application has been advanced to Council, subject to the referral to HAC of the heritage revitalization agreement for the Bell House.

2. Heritage Awareness Award Program

The following recommendation is from the January 31, 2007 minutes:

That the HAC recommends that Council provides its approval, of Sullivan Heights Secondary School, as the recipient of the annual Heritage Awareness Award of \$2,000; and further that a presentation of the award be done at a Regular Council meeting of March 2007.

The Assistant City Clerk advised that the presentation to Sullivan Heights Secondary School would be at the Regular Council – Public Hearing Meeting at 7 p.m. on Monday, March 12, 2007.

3. **Walter Blackie House/Wickson House**

At the January 31, 2007 meeting it was decided that the Senior Planner (Heritage) would contact Ms. Harris, President of the Surrey Historical Society and report back to the HAC regarding the Walter Blackie House/Wickson House.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised:

- The Walter Blackie House was identified in a letter dated November 1, 2006 from the Surrey Historical Society as being located at 2623 McBride Street.
- Donald Luxton assessed this structure for the Commission as part of an earlier heritage register review. The conclusion was that the house was architecturally compromised due to significant changes from its original form, including installation of modern windows and cladding. Consequently, the HAC did not recommend Council consider this property to be added to the Surrey Heritage Register.
- The Building Division advised that an application to demolish the Blackie House was issued on October 25, 2006 and the demolition was finalized on November 1, 2006.

It was
President, Surrey Historical Society, providing her with a chronological listing of what has happened to the house.

Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That staff write a letter to Mary Harris,

Carried

4. **Minute excerpts from the Regular Council – Public Hearing meeting of February 12, 2007**

Attached are the minute extractions from the Regular Council – Public Hearing meeting of February 12, 2007.

Note: Resolution # RES.R07-360 was defeated.

It was
Manager of Engineering that in the interest of preserving the natural heritage of the City of Surrey we recommend that the layer of Cosmos which shows tree inventory of a proposed development site, including any work and services to be installed by the City, be printed out and part of the pre-application meeting with land developer and the planner and transportation personnel; and further that Cosmos be keep up to date.

Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That the HAC recommend to the General

Carried

D. REPORTS (Con't.)**1. PARKS, RECREATION & CULTURE****a. HSBC Award Nomination
File: 0290-20; 8096-001**

The Manager, Heritage Services advised that a letter of support from the HAC for an Award of Outstanding achievement for the rehabilitation of the historic 1912 Hall as the Surrey Archives is being requested.

It was Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That the 'letter of support' provided with the
agenda package be endorsed by the Commission and signed by the Chair.
Carried

The Manager, Heritage Services advised that the HSBC will meet in early June in North Vancouver and will hopefully recognize the nomination of the historic 1912 Hall for use as the Surrey Archives.

2. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT (Con't.)**a. Monthly Update Report – Application No. 7904-0077-00
Baron Von MacKensen House
9546 – 192nd Street
File: 6800-10; 7904-0077-00**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**b. Progress Report on Boothroyd House Restorations
File: 6800-10; 6800-20-121**

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided the inspection report on the Boothroyd House, as inspected on January 29, 2007 (*see page 36 of agenda package*).

**c. Proposed Statements of Significance (SOS) for 2006 – 2007
File: 6800-01; 6800-20-203**

HAC was requested to forward their comments to the Senior Planner (Heritage) on the six SOSs attached as schedule A to the memo that he provided. To meet the March 15, 2007 submission deadline for Provincial funding of this project, a Corporate Report recommending that authorization to upload these 6 SOSs to the Canadian Register of Historic Places will be taken forward to be considered by Council. Changes to the draft can be submitted, if necessary at a later date. This approach was acceptable to the HAC.

**d. Heritage Inventory Worksheets Prepared with Assistance of Donald Luxton & Associates Inc.
File: 6800-01; 6800-20-302**

HAC was requested to review the Heritage Inventory Worksheets and provide their comments to the Senior Planner (Heritage) on the worksheets attached to the memo that he provided.

e. Discussion Paper on Compensation for Loss in Heritage Value Policy

HAC was requested to review and provide comments regarding the compensation for loss in Heritage Value Policy.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided the following information:

- On July 14, 2005, it was requested that staff incorporate the following principles that the HAC established to be reflected in a proposed Compensation for Loss in Heritage Value Policy to be forwarded to Council for consideration:
 - A development application triggers the policy.
 - Once the policy is considered and approved by Council, it is to apply to new application and those submitted that have not been considered by Council.
 - Heritage Inventory sites are to be treated the same as Heritage Register sites.
 - Compensation would be payable at the time of the loss.
 - The compensation figures are to be scaled up to a maximum of \$100,000, as being the fee ultimately offered and accepted from Polygon as compensation for the destruction of the Gillis House.
 - A genuine replica of destroyed heritage buildings, as was the case for the Gillis House, would be constructed, if a damaged heritage building could not be repaired.
- Legal Services has advised that the suggested approach may fetter the discretion of a future Council. Legally, Council cannot fix the terms of an agreement to be entered into by a future Council. Therefore, a policy that fixes terms for compensation to be accepted by a future Council cannot be done.
- The alternatives identified by Legal Services would include the following:
 - A heritage revitalization agreement (HRA) or heritage restrictive covenant (HRC): These heritage tools would still be employed to “protect” heritage building or features. They would establish the requirements for maintenance, restorations and the need for heritage alteration permits.
 - A ‘no-build’ restrictive covenant (RC): It would state that no buildings or structures could be constructed on the land containing the heritage building. Therefore, if heritage buildings are destroyed, owners would need to come forward to the City at that time and negotiate the terms for reconstruction. One of the terms would include whether a replica, a likeness or something different

would be built. Depending on what is built, the compensation could be set lower if a genuine replica is built and higher depending on the degree of departure from the originals heritage building. This approach would also accommodate other factors such as inflation.

- Set formula: Where heritage protection is not part of a development proposal that encompasses a heritage property, a set formula may be used. A 'no-build' RC may be too onerous for a property owner. For example, if a homeowner, church society or business owner wishes to enter into a HRA or HRC for their heritage building, the compensation may be a fixed amount and indexed to inflation, subject to the policy.
- Policy Statement: So as to avoid fettering the discretion of future Councils, it was suggested that the policy statement be simplified, stressing how an HRA (or HRC) and 'no-build' RC or indexed formula are to be applied, what may be considered in determining the compensation in the event of a loss and making it clear that compensation and the application of the policy is subject to the approval of the Council of the day rather than setting pre-determined compensation amounts.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Bowyer
 Seconded by Commissioner Tracy
 That the HAC recommend to the Acting

General Manager of Planning and Development that:

- (1) it endorses the revised approach for the proposed policy for Compensation for Loss in Heritage Value
- (2) request staff to prepare the policy based on the revised approach for further comment; and
- (3) request staff to bring forward to a future HAC meeting a report on a process for allocating compensation funds received under the proposed policy.

Carried

The agenda was varied to deal with the following items.

E. CORRESPONDENCE

- 1. To Craig Burghardt/Kenneth & Ursula Evans
 RE: Commemorative Plaque for Clova Theatre
 File: 6800-10; 6800-20-203**

Copy of letter dated February 1, 2007 sent from the Acting General Manager Planning and Development regarding the installation of an elliptical-shaped heritage plaque.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that the owner of the Clova Theatre was reluctant to allow the placement of a plaque until further discussions concerning

the heritage status of the property. Commissioner Clegg has offered to contact the owner and discuss his concerns.

2. To Allan Dann
RE: Commemorative Plaque for Dann's Electronics
File: 6800-10; 6800-20-203

Copy of letter dated February 1, 2007 sent from the Acting General Manager Planning and Development regarding the installation of an elliptical-shaped heritage plaque.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that the owner consented to having a heritage plaque displayed on his property "Dann's Electronics". The plaque was unveiled on Saturday, February 24, during Heritage Week Ceremonies.

4. To Heritage Advisory Commission, RE: Donation for Storyboard
File: 1770-01; 6800-20-198

Interoffice memo dated February 7, 2007 from the Senior Planner (Heritage) advising of donation of \$5,100 from Voth Brothers developments towards the cost of researching and preparing a storyboard about the Christophersons.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) supplied background about this donation to the City. He advised that a Commissioner brought to the attention of the HAC that the property 2215 – 123 Street, which was once the Christopherson family home, was subject to a subdivision application and requested a report about retaining the house. Staff reported that in a previous heritage register review Don Luxton evaluated the building. Due to the number of building extensions and other extensive alterations, the HAC did not advise Council to consider adding the site to the Surrey Heritage Register. The developer was receptive to the suggestion by HAC about preparing a storyboard about the Christophersons Family, early settlers in the area. Consequently, Voth Bros. made a donation to permit research to be undertaken and a storyboard to be produced by the City.

The HAC requested that the Manager of Financial Services present a financial report at the next HAC meeting, and further confirm that the donation of \$5,100 has been deposited into a heritage account specifically for storyboard purposes, and if necessary, carried over to 2008.

The HAC requested the Manager, Heritage Services to incorporate the Christophersons storyboard into the 2008 storyboard program.

5. To City Council; copy to HAC, RE: Cloverdale United Church
File: 7906-0436; 0550-20-10

Copy of letter dated February 7, 2007 from the Cloverdale United Church building /renovation team advising of timeline of the process.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) advised that a meeting is schedule this week with the architect and Building Committee members of the Cloverdale Church. This

proposed heritage revitalization agreement (HRA) was already reviewed and supported by the Commission subject to design matters being resolved. This meeting is expected to resolve remaining items and allow a Corporate Report with the HRA to be forwarded to Council.

G. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS

1. Goal Setting Session

The Senior Planner (Heritage) reported that Don Luxton advises that the cost of preparing and facilitating a four hour session with the HAC and drafting a work program to set goals and priorities would be approximately \$1,800. This would also include a short session with staff for the consultant to prepare for the HAC meeting. Staff is investigating funding availability from outside sources. Mr. Luxton would be able to hold the session at the soonest on Friday, March 23.

The staff session will include Jamie Boan, Transportation Manager; Bev Sommers, Manager, Heritage Services; Carmela Bonneville, Assistant City Clerk; Brenda Clark, City Architect and Adrian Kopystynski, Senior Planner (Heritage).

2. Semiahmoo Trail Enforcement

The Senior Planner (Heritage) indicated that Legal and By-law Enforcement advised that the City has limited authority (e.g., unable to pull over vehicles) to enforce Traffic By-laws violation. Therefore, if the applicable by-laws are amended to make it an offence for construction vehicle to use Semiahmoo Trail, it could not be easily enforced. It was recommended to obtain voluntary compliance though the development application or building permit process. Jaime Boan, Transportation Manager would contact individual developers and building contractors and Adrian Kopystynski, Senior Planner (Heritage) would contact Nick Marach, Manager Building Division in this regard.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) also advised that staff would be reporting back to Council on the issue amendments to the Semiahmoo Trail Designation By-law.

Commissioner Bowyer left the meeting at 11:55 and quorum was lost. Following are the notes from the remainder of the meeting.

D. REPORTS (Con't.)

3. ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

d. Elgin Pump Station and Watermain Construction File: 6800-01

The Transportation Manager and the Project Manager presented the engineering drawings for the construction of the Elgin pump station and associated watermain modification along Crescent Road.

Following are comments from the presentation:

- A sketch of the pump house was presented and a rendering of the proposed building was also shown.
- The pump house will be located next to Elgin Road, just north of Crescent Road, at the northwest corner and will be a minimum 10 m back from Elgin Road. The driveway will be a grass grid type.
- The watermain (sanitary sewer) near Semiahmoo Trail is to be converted into gravity main. The water main is located in the south boulevard in the grass area, therefore we do not anticipate that much vegetation will be disturbed.
- At 140 Street and Crescent Road there is a reconnection that will require the area to be dug up.
- A review of the sidewalks to be reinstalled will be done, and the use of limestone chips and asphalt relative to cost will also be reviewed.

The Commission considered the proposed plan acceptable.

e. Watermain Replacement on 22 Avenue/Semiahmoo Trail at 150A Street

File: 6800-01

The Transportation Manager and the Project Manager presented the engineering drawings for the construction of the Elgin pump station and associated watermain modification along Crescent Road.

Following are comments from the presentation:

- A watermain replacement is required along a section of 22 Avenue at 150A Street. The new line is located along the same routing as the existing watermain.
- Last year the Heritage Advisory Commission and Council designated the unconstructed portion of 22 Avenue as a part of the Semiahmoo Trail.
- Over the past two years, Parks and adjacent residents have planted trees over top of the existing watermain in order to create a buffer to the proposed senior facility north of 22 Avenue.
- In order to replace the watermain, two of these trees will require relocation. Adjacent residents, friends of Semiahmoo Trail, Parks and Engineering have come to agreement on the tree relocation.
- As this is a pipe replacement rather than a new pipe installation, Engineering does not believe that a Heritage Alteration Permit is required.

The Commission considered the proposed plan acceptable.

**b. 140 Street Realignment at Fraser Highway
File: 6800-01**

The Transportation Manager presented the proposed road location showing that significant trees would not be detrimentally impacted.

Following are the comments:

- On February 16, 2007, Green Timbers Urban Forest Advisory Committee representatives met on site with City Staff and Consultants to review tree impacts.
- The proposed road location was marked through survey and clearly showed that the significant trees would not be detrimentally impacted.
- However, a number of trees that had been severely pruned over the years due to the adjacent power lines will be removed.
- The Committee representatives agreed that the City's plan with respect to the road and trees was acceptable.

A Commission member stated that the Parks 'Urban Forestry' staff should comment on the tree removal and before removal of any trees it should be confirmed that they have been consulted.

**a. King George Highway (KGH): 152 Street to 156 Street)
File: 6800-01**

The Transportation Manager presented design plans and cross sections regarding the reassessed corridor that will now allow the trees to be located at or near the offset of the existing Heritage Oak trees.

The Commission supported the revised concept for planting of new Heritage Oak trees on KGH.

**c. Elgin Road Roundabout (HAP) and Semiahmoo Trail Development
File: 6800-01**

This item was not dealt with and will be deferred to the next meeting of HAC.

E. CORRESPONDENCE (Con't.)

**1. To Craig Burghardt/Kenneth & Ursula Evans
RE: Commemorative Plaque for Clova Theatre
File: 6800-10; 6800-20-203**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**2. To Allan Dann
RE: Commemorative Plaque for Dann's Electronics
File: 6800-10; 6800-20-203**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**3. To Heritage Advisory Commission, RE: Good Citizen Award
File: 0290-05 GC**

Letter dated February 1, 2007 from the City Clerk seeking nominations for the Good Citizen Award, deadline for submissions Tuesday, April 3, 2007.

**4. To Heritage Advisory Commission, RE: Donation for Storyboard
File: 1770-01; 6800-20-198**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**5. To City Council; copy to HAC, RE: Cloverdale United Church
File: 7906-0436; 0550-20-10**

This item was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

**6. To Heritage Advisory Commission
RE: AGM and Call for Nominations to the Board of Directors**

E-correspondence dated February 16, 2007 from the Heritage Society of BC, regarding their AGM and call for Nominations.

This item was deferred to the next HAC meeting.

**7. To Heritage Advisory Commission
RE: The Sedgwick House at 7172 – 184 Street
File: 6800-01**

Letter from Maxine Charlton outlined the chorological events regarding the Sedgwick House and requesting that the house be allowed to be demolished or that the City accept the donation of the house.

It was decided that the letter from Maxine Charlton would be referred to the Senior Planner (Heritage) for a report back to the Commission.

**8. To Heritage Advisory Commission
RE: Subscription to Journal of the BC Historical Federation
File: 6800-01**

The HAC members agreed that the \$18.00 subscription to the Journal of the BC Historical Federation be authorized.

The agenda was varied to deal with the following item.

D. REPORTS (Con't.)

1. PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

b. Pinus strobes on Heritage Register
File: 6800-01; 6140-20/G

The Manager of Parks provided the following comments regarding the Pinus strobes on Heritage Register:

- The Glades Garden Park is a five-acre property on 172 Street that was given to the City in trust by the DeWolfs. The property has fabulous rhododendron gardens, fine lawns, and many beautiful mature trees. The DeWolfs continue to live on the property on a life estate, and effectively serve as the horticultural directors of the gardens.
- Because the Glades has a wealth of interesting and mature trees, a review of the property was carried out to determine if trees on the property were a candidate for inclusion on the Heritage Register, and listed as ‘Significant Trees’ with City by-laws. Subsequently, seven trees were identified, and with the DeWolf’s approval, were included by Council on the City’s heritage tree list.
- Among these ‘Significant trees’ is a pinus strobus (Weymouth Pine or White Pine). Unfortunately, the tree was damaged by the past winter’s storms.
- The DeWolfs have asked that the pinus strobus be taken down, citing the damaged tree’s poor appearance, and the negative effect that it has on the aesthetics of the garden as a whole. The DeWolfs, who are keenly interested in trees, have suggested that a suitable tree, such as Magnolia ‘Elizabeth’, replace the damaged tree.

The Commission agreed that the following motion would be referred to the next HAC meeting for consideration “That the HAC recommend to Council that the pinus strobes (Weymouth Pine) that is located in the Glades Garden Park be removed from the Heritage Register and be removed Council’s list of ‘significant trees’.

F. INFORMATION ITEMS

The Commission reviewed the following information items:

1. **Heritage BC Newsletter**
Winter 2007
2. **Vancouver Heritage Foundation Newsletter – Old News**
February 2007
3. **List of Outstanding items**

G. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS

H. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Heritage Advisory Commission is scheduled for March 28, 2007, at 9:00 a.m. in the Executive Boardroom at City Hall.

I. ADJOURNMENT

The Heritage Advisory Commission adjourned at 12:49 p.m.

Margaret Jones, City Clerk

A. Clegg, Acting Chairperson
Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission