



City of Surrey

Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes

Executive Boardroom
City Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2007
Time: 9:04 a.m.

Present:

Chair - H. Lindenbach
Councillor Higginbotham (9:29 a.m.)
A. Clegg
R. Fuller
C. Johnston
J. Monk
W. Tracey (9:07 a.m.)

Absent:

D. Bowyer
S. Sidhu

Staff Present:

A. Kopystynski, Planning & Development
G. Ward, Parks, Recreation and Culture
J. Boan, Transportation Manager (11:17 a.m.)
R. Allueva, Current Planning Manager (South Division) (9:47 a.m.)
B. Sommer, Parks, Recreation and Culture
S. Fillion, Finance
M. Uy, Finance
R. Reny, Parks, Recreation and Culture
L. Rowett, Planning & Development (10:22 am.)
N. Dyrbye, Legislative Services

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1. Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes - October 31, 2007

It was Moved by Commissioner Monk
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller
That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage
Advisory Commission of October 31, 2007, be adopted, as circulated.
Carried

B. DELEGATION

C. REPORTS

1. FINANCE

(a) Issues Identified During the 2008 Budget Presentation

The Manager of Financial Services had provided a report outlining the outstanding financial issues for which the Commission requested a follow-up report.

The Manager of Financial Services made the following comments:

- Funding to raise the amount of financial assistance for heritage homes from \$3,000 to \$5000 yearly to a maximum of \$15,000 has been approved by Council and will be available for the 2008 budget. The amount will be increased starting next year; the current amounts will remain the same.
- A memo is being prepared for the Commemorative Book and will be presented to the Finance Committee before the end of the year.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- Suggestion was made that the people who qualify for the increase in Financial Assistance should be contacted.
- The by-law will need to be updated since the amount of financial assistance has been increased.
- The Manager, Heritage Services, Parks Recreation and Culture presented various heritage books from different communities around the province. Suggestion was made to have these books presented at the Finance Committee so there can be funding so that Surrey's book can be updated.

The Manager of Financial Services made the following comments in response to the Commission's comments:

- The Manager of Financial Services will work with the Senior Planner (Heritage) to update the financial assistance by-law.
- The Manager of Financial Services volunteered to present the heritage books to the Finance Committee's next meeting.

S. Fillion and M. Uy left the meeting at 9:15 a.m.

2. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

(b) Heritage Maintenance Plan Template

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided a report regarding a proposed template for review and comment by the SHAC.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) made the following comments:

- Heritage Revitalization Agreements ("HRA") require owners to have a maintenance plan and financial strategy for ongoing maintenance of heritage buildings and features. These plans are made available to the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission ("SHAC").
- Owners of homes find it challenging to develop maintenance plans, therefore a template has been devised with the assistance of Donald Luxton to be available from the City. It also includes a checklist for periodic inspections and maintenance work.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- It was suggested that the checklist be tried out and feedback from that should be provided to the Commission.

No further action was taken with regard to this matter.

The Commission received the report for information.

(c) Plaque for Collishaw Farmhouse

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided a report regarding the manufacturing of a brass plaque to be unveiled at the March SHAC meeting at the Collishaw House.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) made the following comments:

- The Commission has previously agreed to accept an invitation to hold the March, 2008 SHAC meeting at the Collishaw House and it was suggested that a heritage plaque be presented at this time.
- Suggestions for the plaque are as follows:
 - Raised bronze polished lettering;
 - Approximately 14 inches across;
 - Background colour would be heritage brown; and
 - Affixed either on the house or on a stone structure in front of the house and with no screws showing.
- The usual practice is to speak with the owner respecting the language appropriate for the plaque.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- The farm is a working farm and the plaque would get noticed by the public.
- There is no advantage to having the plaque on the street as opposed to the house as there is no sidewalk and there is a much better chance of it being noticed on or by the house.
- The layout and content of the plaque was acceptable to the Commissioners

It was Moved by Commissioner Clegg
Seconded by Commissioner Monk
That the SHAC recommend to the General
Manager of Planning & Development:

1. That the owner be approached for a plaque to be displayed on the Collishaw House;
2. That the SHAC accept the proposed plaque text as presented;
3. That funds already approved for plaques that are not ready to be ordered at this time be reallocated for the Collishaw Farmhouse plaque; and

4. That the plaque be ready for unveiling at the March SHAC meeting at the Collishaw Farmhouse.

Carried

(d) Restoration of the Lawrence House (18431 Fraser Highway)

The Senior Planner (Heritage) has provided a report regarding proposed restorations to the Lawrence House.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) made the following comments:

- The owner advised the City that the heritage house has some serious structural problems due to fire damage and the engineer retained by the owner recommended that the house be demolished.
- The SHAC decided that the City should undertake an independent assessment to determine whether the house is damaged enough to warrant demolition
- A City proceeded with a call for proposals to undertake a study with the following two phases:
 1. Assessment of the fire damage and feasibility of possible restoration; and
 2. An estimated cost for structural repairs and restoration.
- There have been two respondents to the proposal call:
 - McGinn Engineering & Preservation Ltd., estimated costs at:
 - Phase 1 \$5,100
 - Phase 2 \$3,400
 - Total Cost \$8,500 (plus GST and reimbursables)
 - Brian G. Hart & Company, estimated costs as:
 - Phase 1 \$3,000
 - Phase 2 \$3,000
 - Total Cost \$6,000 (plus GST and reimbursables)
- The SHAC was requested to recommend to Council about which consultant be retained by the City.
- The cost of the study would need to be borne by the SHAC, subject to recovery of the costs from the owner either now or once the site becomes subject to a development application.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- There have been ongoing problems keeping the house secure following the fire.
- Where will the money come from to conduct the assessment on the house? It is not in the budget and will have to be re-allocated from another budget account until it can be collected from the owner.

- That the City undertake only the first phase of the study. The second phase be undertaken only if the consultant determines that the building can be rehabilitated.

It was Moved by Commissioner Johnston
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller
That the SHAC recommend to Council:

1. That Brian G. Hart & Company be selected to undertake Phase 1 of the feasibility study to assess the potential for restoring the Lawrence House at 18431 Fraser Highway;
2. That Council approve allocating funds in the SHAC budget necessary to conduct this feasibility study; and
3. That when an application to develop the subject site is being processed by the City, the General Manager of Planning and Development be directed to obtain reimbursement to the SHAC from the developer for the cost of this feasibility study.

Carried

(e) Verbal Presentation Concerning Fence Along Semiahmoo Trail at 14655 – 32nd Avenue

The Current Planning Manager, South Division, made a verbal presentation concerning the fence installed on the east side along Semiahmoo Trail located north of 32 Avenue.

The Current Planning Manager, South Division made the following comments:

- The fencing installed along a townhouse project north of 32nd Avenue on 148th Street has a straight, split-rail fence.
- The fencing that the City had wanted was a zigzagged fence.
- There may have been a miscommunication between the City and the developer and the development permit was approved with the wrong type of fencing to be installed.

A. Kopystynski left the meeting at 9:57 a.m. and returned at 10:03 a.m.

- The City's options are now limited as to what can be enforced because the developer built what was approved in the development permit and the City no longer has authority to change it now.
- Any changes to the fencing now will have to be consulted with the Strata Owners.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- Residents in the area have raised questions as to whether or not the fence built is correct.
- Engineering now has contact with Planning regarding heritage issues so that this does not happen again. Heritage sites are now available on Cosmos so this information can be retrieved.
- There were misunderstandings between what Council expected and the developer did.

It was Moved by Commissioner Monk
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That in light of the concerns raised by the residents and neighbours abutting the Semiahmoo Trail and other existing restrictive covenants concerning the split rail fence, the Planning and Development Department should request from the developer that he comply with the current regulations and requirements along the Semiahmoo Trail for building a zigzagging split rail fence in accordance with the Semiahmoo Trail guidelines.

Carried

R. Alluva left the meeting at 10:22 a.m.

(f) Inquires about Heritage Sites from the Surrey Historical Society

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided a report concerning heritage matters of interest to the Surrey Historical Society.

The Senior Planner (Heritage) made the following comments:

- The Surrey Historical Society requested information about the process followed by the SHAC before heritage buildings are demolished or altered.
- It was suggested that there be specialized heritage development signs to go up for heritage restoration sites to keep the community advised, and this should be at the developer's expense.
- The City is currently working to establish procedures to deal with heritage restoration applications with Engineering, Parks & Recreation and the Clerk's Departments.
- Once the procedure by-law is drafted, it will be put forward to SHAC for their comments and approval.

J. Boan arrived at the meeting at 11:17 a.m.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- Suggestion was made that the Surrey Historical Society should apply to have a member from their society on the SHAC so they can be informed of heritage matters.

- The letter already sent to the Society by the General Manager of Planning and Development
- The Surrey Historical Society is able to have any information they want regarding the SHAC's decisions by phoning or requesting information through the Clerk's Department.

It was Moved by Commissioner Johnston
Seconded by Commissioner Fuller
That the Clerk's Department inform the
Surrey Historical Society that all the agendas and minutes from the SHAC
can be accessed on the internet and suggest that the Surrey Historical
Society encourage their members to consider applying to serve on the
SHAC.

Carried

The meeting recessed at 11:40 a.m. and reconvened at 11:52 a.m. with the same members in attendance.

3. PARKS AND RECREATION

(a) Heritage Storyboards

The Manager, Heritage Services, wishes to provide a verbal report and confirmation of the time and location of the unveiling of the storyboards.

The Manager, Heritage Services, provided an on-table memo and made the following comments:

- Heritage Week is scheduled for February 18 – 24, 2008 with them theme "Taking Care of Business". The unveiling of the storyboards is scheduled for Saturday, February 23, 2008, the following being the timetable of events:
 - Start at 10:00 a.m. at Fraser Heights Recreation Centre to install the Guildford Transportation History storyboard.
 - There is an optional drive by of Brownsville Park, Tannery Park and Whalley Athletic Park to revisit old installations (repairs, cleanings and replacements) of storyboards.
 - The tour ending at the Newton Seniors Centre for the Newton Street Names storyboard unveiling and a relocation of the Newton Town Centre storyboard, followed by lunch at the Seniors Centre.
- A handout with the details for the storyboards was also provided and any comments or suggestions from the Commission was requested.
- It is suggested that SHAC invite the following:
 - Guildford storyboard team
 - Fraser Heights community
 - The media which includes the NOW, Leader, Link, IndoCanadian Times and Cloverdale Reporter

- Mayor and Council
- MPs and MLAs
- School Trustees
- Newton storyboard team
- Library Administration and Library Board
- Newton Seniors Board Executive
- Library and Seniors Centre patrons
- Suggestion was also made to either have the Commission travel in private vehicles or to rent a minivan and driver. Funds allocated in the budget to Heritage Week should cover the cost of a rental vehicle.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- The itinerary for the unveiling of storyboards was approved.
- Suggestions regarding the storyboards were as follows:
 - Whether the language concerning the Trans-Canada Highway on the Guildford storyboard is correct with the timeframe and whether this should be referred to as the Pacific Highway.
- Suggestion was made that Councillor Higginbotham and the Senior Planner (Heritage) would collaboratively work together on selecting Statements of Significance.

4. ENGINEERING

(a) Heritage Tree Nominations

The Manager, Urban Forestry and Environmental Programs has provided a report regarding recommended trees to be dedicated as Heritage Trees by the Commission.

The Manager, Urban Forestry and Environmental Programs made the following comments:

- The advantage of having trees named as Heritage Trees provides that they will be protected trees and receive a higher level of care than other trees. It also means that these trees will be identified and plaqued therefore protecting them.
- Heritage Trees are considered to be public trees. Any trees on private property are not within the City's control.
- R. Reny, Park Operations Coordinator, Forestry, has done the research and work with regard to Surrey's Heritage Trees and will present the Heritage Tree Nominations.

The following presentation was made by R. Reny:

- Work is done to assess trees and determine whether they have heritage value and should be protected.
- The following trees have been restored to Heritage Trees:
 - Weeping Willow, located at 8388 – 168th Street.
 - They used a Resistograph, a tool used to get into the meat of the tree to check for decay and it was determined that this tree was still healthy.
 - This tree is relatively mature and estimated at around 50 to 60 years old and could survive to 80 years if it is not disturbed too much from construction, etc.

Councillor Higginbotham arrived at the meeting at 9:29 a.m.

- American Elm located at 8135 – 132nd Street.
 - There was excavation due to construction and the base of the trunk was exposed.
 - They conducted tests on the exposed roots which were determined to all be intact and the tree salvageable.
 - To ensure that the area around the root zone was not further disturbed, a drainage line was installed and a sump down on grade. A spongy material was also put in place to prevent root rot.
 - This tree is approximately 40 to 50 years old and has a longevity of up to 100 years.
- Western Red Cedar planted for 1st Arbor Day in 2005, located at Royal Kwantlen Park.
- Douglas Fir planted for 3rd Arbor day in 2007, located at Cloverdale Athletic Park.
- Giant Sequoia or Sierra Redwood planted for 1st Maple Leaf Day in 2006, located at Enver Creek Park.
- Yellow Buckeye planted for the “Day of Mourning” (which represents those who have passed on at work) in 2006, located at Sullivan Park.
- Lavelle Hawthorn planted as Surrey’s 50,000 Boulevard Street Tree in 2007, located at 19083 – 68B Street.
- White Spruce planted as a Millennium Tree in 2000, located at Elgin Park.
- Cockspur Hawthorn planted to replace one damaged in the 2006/2007 winter storms, planted in 2007, located at Green Timbers Park.
- Giant Sequoia or Sierra Redwood planted for 2nd Maple Leaf Day in 2007, located at Laronde Park.
- The Parks and Recreation Department were fortunate to receive good prices through the nursery for the purchase of these new trees.

- They are still working to develop criteria for identifying landscapes and trees that possess heritage value and their next plan is to develop and implement maintenance plans.
- Some areas have already been added by Council to the Heritage Register to determine which landscapes and areas are to be preserved.

It was Moved by Commissioner Monk
Seconded by Commissioner Clegg
That as per the direction of the Tree Subcommittee of the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission, it is recommended that trees presented as nominees to be dedicated as Heritage Trees by Commission and that the Commission request that Council amend the Tree Protection By-law to include these trees as Significant Trees within the By-law.

Carried

There being no further business to discuss regarding Heritage Trees, G. Ward and R. Reny left the meeting at 9:55 a.m.

(b) Highway 1 Gateway Program Environmental Assessment Review

The Senior Planner (Heritage) and the Transportation Manager have provided a report regarding the Ministry of Transportation's Gateway Program for the Port Mann/Highway 1 Project.

The Transportation Manager provided the following comments:

- There are certain environmental issues that have not yet been resolved with regard to the project and need to be put forward to the environmental office.
- It was suggested that the Commission may want to request that the people in charge of the Gateway Program come to present to the Commission their plans with regard to the City's environmental concerns.
- The Commission should receive a report from the Environmental Assessment Office in the future with issues being the following:
 - Retention of the Charles Perkins Memorial Tree Trunk; and
 - Access and noise impacts on Annidale School which will become worse.

Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- With regard to the Anniedale School, it is supposed to be moved to another location. Is there any decision on this matter?
- It was suggested that the Port Kells area is rich in heritage and the school should be relocated there.

The Transportation Manager responded to the Commission with the following comments:

- The issue of moving the Anniedale School will be looked into and reported back to the Commission at a later date.

(c) **Christ Church Guide Signage**

The Transportation Manager has provided a map of proposed signage for the Christ Church.

The Transportation Manager provided the following comments:

- The City has been going through an extensive process of evaluating signage in the City, particularly guiding and discretionary signage. They are requesting that better signage be put in place.
- They hired a company to research signage use and it has been determined that the times are moving from a more suburban style to a more urban style.
- They propose to add a sign northbound on 57A Avenue that leads directly to the Christ Church and remove the old signage that was on McLellan Road.
- There is also going to be a Stakeholder Consultation meeting to discuss the proposal to close and/or widen Fraser Highway along certain portions and the Commission will be advised further after the Stakeholder Consultation.

The Commission made the following comments:

- It was agreed with the proposed signage for the Christ Church.

There being no further business to discuss, the Transportation Manager left the meeting at 12:32 p.m.

5. LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

(a) **Charles Feedham House
Application for Financial Assistance**

Commission is requested to consider a motion to approve financial assistance and provide a recommendation to Council.

The Commission made the following comments:

- The Commission recommends that the applicant use Northcoast Building Products Ltd. for the repairs to the Charles Feedham House.

- That the amount of financial assistance available based on the quotation for rebuilding the wrap around veranda is designated at \$3,315.16.

It was Moved by Commissioner Johnston
Seconded by Commissioner Clegg
That the SHAC recommend that Council approve heritage financial assistance for the Charles Feedham House to the maximum of \$3,315.16 (*three thousand three hundred fifteen dollars and sixteen cents*), which represents 50% of the value of the work as per the quotation from Northcoast Building Products Ltd. and as per section 10 of the By-law No. 15099 (*a by-law to provide a procedure for consideration of financial assistance for protected heritage sites*).

Carried

**(b) Collishaw House
Application for Financial Assistance**

Commission is requested to consider a motion to approve financial assistance and provide a recommendation to Council.

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That the SHAC recommend that under the extenuating circumstances, being that the applicant had performed maintenance by way of exterior painting to the Collishaw House prior to submitting an Application for Financial Assistance due to a lack of available painters and the looming wet weather, that Council approve heritage financial assistance for the Collishaw House to the maximum of \$3,800 (*three thousand eight hundred dollars*), which represents 50% of the value of the work performed as per the invoice provided from Walter Michaluk and as per section 10 of the By-law No. 15099 (*a by-law to provide a procedure for consideration of financial assistance for protected heritage sites*).

Carried

(c) Strata LMS177

Letter dated November 13, 2007 to Cliff Denny who appeared as a delegate at October 31, 2007 SHAC meeting advising of Commission's decision.

- This item was received by the Commission.

(d) Inventory List

The Commission is requested to provide instructions as to what to do with the list of items in storage.

- Surrey Heritage Book
 - It was decided that six books be set-aside for the people who worked on the heritage storyboards.
 - It was also decided that the remainder of the books be brought to the December SHAC meeting with a list of designated heritage sites so that a book can be mailed to each of the sites and any remaining thereafter can be distributed amongst the SHAC members.
- Surrey Heritage Architectural Highlights Posters
 - It was decided that ten posters be brought to the December SHAC meeting so any interested members may take them.
 - It was also decided that the remainder of the posters are to be saved and distributed by the Manager of Heritage Services to the winning schools of the Heritage Awareness Award Program.
- Plaques
 - It was decided that the plaques be brought to the next SHAC meeting to be distributed amongst the members.

(e) Schedule of Meeting Dates for 2008

The Commission is requested to pass a motion adopting the 2008 Meeting Date Schedule.

It was Moved by Commissioner Clegg
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That the SHAC adopt the 2008 meeting
schedule for the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission.
Carried

D. CORRESPONDENCE

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That the Surrey Heritage Advisory
Committee receive the following correspondence items:

1. Surrey Historical Society

Letter dated September 27, 2007 from Elva Reid, President, regarding concern about the demolition or alteration of heritage buildings in Surrey.

****This letter is referred to previously in item C.1(e)****

- This item was received by the Commission.

2. Surrey Historical Society

Letter dated October 29, 2007 from Elva Reid, President, advising that Commissioner Clegg has agreed to speak to the processes and objectives of the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission at the Surrey Historical Society's meeting on March 8, 2008.

- This item was received by the Commission. It was also noted that Commissioner Clegg's term with the SHAC has expired, but the Commission does not have issue with Commissioner Clegg speaking to the Surrey Historical Society on March 8, 2008.

3. Heritage Buildings in Surrey

Letter dated November 6, 2007 from Planning & Development to Surrey Historical Society in response to their letter of September 27, 2007.

****This letter is referred to previously in item C.1(e)****

- This item was received by the Commission.

4. Employment Lands Strategy Stakeholder Consultation

Letter dated November 16, 2007 from the Senior Economic Development Officer to the SHAC regarding the next consultation meeting for the Employment Lands Strategy.

- This item was received by the Commission.

E. INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Regular Council – Public Hearing Minutes – Monday, November 19, 2007 RES.R07-2967 & RES.R07-2968

- This item was received but not discussed by the Commission.

G. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS**1. Heritage Week – 2008**

The Commission made motion at the October 31, 2007 SHAC meeting that the recommendations made by the Manager of Heritage Services with regard to Heritage Week be brought forward at the next SHAC meeting of November 28, 2007 to have a final decision made at that time.

The Commission made the following comments:

- That the Commission defer identifying the Commissioner that will take a leadership role to work with the appropriate staff to implement the approved project to the January 2008 SHAC meeting.

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That the SHAC recommend that Council
proclaim Heritage Week in Surrey as being February 17 to 24, 2008.
Carried

- It was decided that Legislative Services will advertise for Heritage Recognition nominations in the newspaper, by press release and media release. Nomination forms should be forwarded to the appropriate organizations and put on-line. The deadline for nominations should be changed to January 15, 2008 and the nominations brought forward for selection at the January 2008 SHAC meeting and the awards distributed at the Heritage Week Council meeting.

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Monk
That the SHAC recommend that Legislative
Services advertise for Heritage Week Nominations and that the deadline for
heritage nominations be changed to January 15, 2008 and the awards be
distributed at the Heritage Week Council meeting.
Carried

It was Moved by Commissioner Clegg
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That SHAC recommend that the Heritage
Week Subcommittee report on their activities a the October 2008 regular SHAC
meeting.
Carried

2. Camp Alexandra

The Senior Planner (Heritage) provided an on-table memo and made the following comments:

- There has been a re-zoning application to allow specialized daycare facilities at Camp Alexandra . Such a use is defined as a private school in the Surrey Zoning By-law.
- Camp Alexander is listed on the Surrey Heritage Register. The SHAC expects Register sites being re-zoned to become protected.
- There is a tentative understanding to protect Camp Alexander. A streamlined heritage revitalization agreement is proposed. It would not require the usual plans and details about undertaking restorations, but would include information from the recently completed Statement of Significance as guidelines and establish a process to review plans in the event the heritage buildings on the site would be subject to major alterations or replacement.
- It was suggested that the Commission support proceeding with a simplified Heritage Revitalization Agreement and for the internal courtyard, including the memorial flagpole, be added to the Register.

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That SHAC recommend to the General
Manager, Planning and Development Department that:

1. A simplified Heritage Revitalization Agreement be supported to achieve the following objectives:
 - a. To vary the use provisions of the applicable Zone (CD By-law No. 11899) of Surrey's Zoning By-law, No. 12000, to allow Private School uses on the subject property;
 - b. To specify the extent of alterations necessary to require a heritage alteration permit in the future; and
 - c. To specify the approval process.
2. Adding the central courtyard and flagpole to the Surrey's Heritage Register be supported.

Carried

G. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission is at the call of the Chair whether to have a meeting in December 2007.

It was decided that the SHAC have a December meeting, which will be for Wednesday, December 12, 2007 from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. in the Executive Boardroom.

Councillor Higginbotham left the meeting at 1:05 p.m.

H. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Commissioner Clegg
Seconded by Commissioner Johnston
That the Surrey Heritage Advisory

Commission meeting do now adjourn.

Carried

The Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission adjourned at 1:24p.m.

Margaret Jones, City Clerk

Hazel Lindenbach, Chairperson
Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission