



City of Surrey

Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Minutes

Executive Boardroom
City Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
WEDNESDAY, JULY 23, 2008
Time: 9:28 a.m.

Present:

Chair - Councillor Higginbotham
W. Farrand
J. Foulkes
J. Monk
B. Paton
M. Stibbs
W. Tracey

Absent:

R. Fuller
H. Lindenbach

Guests:

A. Grahn, Planning & Development
(10:28 a.m.)

Staff Present:

D. Luymes, Planning & Development
M. Petrovic, Transportation Section (9:30 a.m.)
N. Dyrbye, Legislative Services

The Executive Boardroom was unexpectedly in use at 9:00 a.m. and the meeting was delayed until 9:28 a.m.

The Chair welcomed and introduced B. Paton as the new Commission Member to everyone at the meeting.

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

It was Moved by Commissioner Monk
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage
Advisory Commission of June 25, 2008, be adopted, as circulated.
Carried

M. Petrovic arrived at the meeting at 9:30 a.m.

B. DELEGATIONS

C. CORPORATE REPORTS

1. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

(a) South Colebrook Railway Station House

Memo from the Policy Planning Manager concerning a fire in the historic South Colebrook Railway Station House on July 4, 2008.

- Staff was instructed by the Commission by a motion made at the June 25, 2008 SHAC meeting to send a letter to the owners of the Colebrook Railway Station House expressing the Commission's concern about the current state of the House.
- On July 4, 2008, the Fire Department was called to the South Colebrook Railway Station House which was fully consumed by fire and eventually burned down to the ground.

- The House was on the Surrey Heritage Registry. It was not in great repair but also wasn't completely falling apart either. The House dates back to 1910 and was associated with the Great Northern Railway.
- According to the Deputy Fire Chief, this fire seemed to be one of a rash of fires around the area, most likely caused by squatters illegally occupying the building. There was also an abandoned barn near the site that was burned and it is suspected that the two are related. There is no indication that Burlington Northern Railway was aware of, or in any way involved with the fire.
- The question remaining is whether the site should remain on the Registry or be removed as the fire has now destroyed the buildings that were referred to. However, there are still some significant trees remaining on the site that the Commission may want to have on the Registry.

The Commission made the following comments:

- Question was raised whether the policy concerning this site requires a replacement.
- Perhaps securing these types of properties along the railways would be helpful in reducing the damage done by people who illegally occupy and cause damage to the buildings.
- There are laws that restrict public access to railway lines, so an investigation and securing these properties would have to be pursued through the Railway.

The following comments were made in response to the Commission:

- This specific site was on the Registry but was not a protected site so there are no replacement/replica requirements. There would likely need to be an amendment to the character defining elements of the site if it is to remain on the Register.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Stibbs
Seconded by Commissioner Foulkes
That the SHAC receive the information

concerning the fire of the South Colebrook Railway Station House and that the General Manager, Planning & Development direct staff to investigate this site further to determine whether there are any heritage features or value left on the property and bring forward any findings to the Commission at a future meeting.

Carried

It was Moved by Commissioner Tracey
Seconded by Commissioner Paton
That the SHAC recommends that the
General Manager, Planning & Development direct staff to bring forward a report
to the Commission, when time permits, dealing with the Burlington Northern
Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway and BC Hydro Railway in the City of Surrey and any
buildings or structures or landscapes along the Railways.

Carried

(b) Baron Von Mackensen House – HRA

Memo from the Policy Planning Manager concerning proposed amendments to the City of Surrey Heritage Revitalization Agreement By-law, 2005, No. 15623

- The Commission made a recommendation at the September 26, 2007 meeting stating that the owner of the Baron House property or his agent be required to submit a Heritage Alteration Permit (HAP) application to the City, dealing with the roof and second storey alteration and the restoration process, with the usual referral to the SHAC before a Corporate Report is submitted to Council. Staff brought this forward to Legal Services who advised that a change in the description of the property in the HRA is not an alteration of the property itself and a HAP is not the correct instrument to apply. They advised that an amendment to the HRA is the appropriate course of action, with consent of the owner of the property.
- The proposed amendments to By-law No. 15623, as documented in Appendix 1, include:
 - 1. Housekeeping amendments to reflect a change in the address and incorporation number of the Owner and an update to the description of the Lands;
 - 2. The inclusion of a sub-section to document the following findings during the restoration process:
 - There was an original and smaller farmhouse, likely built by the Bryce family the owned the lands before the Baron;
 - The west wing of the current house was a one-room farmhouse with a loft or attic space. Before the Baron acquired the house, this loft was converted to a full second storey by extending the wall studs and constructing a new roof;
 - The Baron built the east wing. The construction and alterations he made to the West wing demonstrated background and knowledge of architecture and structural issues; and

- Two structural engineers assessed the structural integrity of the original loft and roof alterations. They concluded that the historical structural fabric could not be augmented to be structurally sound and safe. Therefore, a portion of the second floor materials and the roof materials were salvaged for reuse. New structural elements and a contemporary truss-structure roof will duplicate the original appearance of these building elements.
- As there are no variances or other factors that may affect surrounding property owners, and the amendments are housekeeping in nature, no notification of surrounding property owners is required.
- Staff has had some difficulties in tracking down the ownership of the house as it has changed a few times and as their consent is required to bring this forward to Council.
- Staff is seeking the support of the Commission to bring the amendments forward and once support from the Commission and consent of the owner is received, a Corporate Report will be brought forward for Council's consideration. The proposed amendments will not be of any cost to the City or to the owner.

The Commission made the following comments:

- Suggestion was made to make a full size print of each photograph as part of the HRA and forward it to the Surrey Archives for safekeeping.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Farrand
 Seconded by Commissioner Foulkes
 That the SHAC recommends to Council that

By-law No. 15623 be amended as documented in Appendix I to change the Heritage Revitalization Agreement in order to document the historical findings discovered during the restoration process to the Baron Von Mackensen House.

Carried

(c) Semiahmoo Trail Landscape Violations Follow-Up

Staff to present verbal update for Commission.

- Staff provided an update for the Commission concerning three landscape violations that have taken place along the Semiahmoo Trail. The City has been in contact with the three separate owners concerning these violations and in two cases the owners are prepared to make restitutions. However, in the third case, the owners are not being very cooperative and lawyers have gotten involved in the situation.

- The first two cases involve inappropriate landscape encroachments into the ten-meter landscaping buffer. The third case involves the owners having built a chain link fence right up against the existing split rail fence and they feel that they ought to be allowed to use the full extent of their property, when in fact this area is part of the buffer along the Trail.
- Staff would like to do more follow up concerning this issue and will keep the Commission apprised of the status of this matter.

The Commission made the following comments:

- Most people comply with the set guidelines along the Trail so this particular person should also have to. The guidelines specifically state that chain link fencing is not permitted.
- It has been determined that some of the neighbours are upset and thinking that they can get away with doing what they want since this other person has chain link fencing and is not following the guidelines. This will become a much more bigger issue if one person is trying to get away with not complying with the guidelines.

(d) Bose Farm Heritage Conservation Plan Update

Staff to present verbal update for Commission.

- As part of the development application requirements, the developer must provide the City with conservation plans for the Bose Farm site, both for consideration by the Commission and Council.
- When this plan was initially presented to the Commission, there were talks of moving the two smaller heritage listed structures which included a house and a milking parlor down onto the flood plain in the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) land and demolishing the large barn and reconstructing a replica also on ALR land.
- The Commission had expressed that they were not at all happy with the plans and did not approve any of the proposals. In staff's meetings with the developer, the Commission's concerns were expressed with regard to the proposed relocation of the structures and that the Commission felt very strongly that the heritage value would be greatly affected if the location of the structures was changed to the floodplain below.
- Since then, John Rempel, the developer, has been working with some heritage consultants, Barry McGinn and Larry Diamond to make changes to and update the conservation plan.
- At the current time, the City does not know what all the changes will be to the plan, but do believe that it is a step in a positive direction to have heritage specialists being consulted with the planning of this site.

- Staff is continuing to work with the developer on this matter and will update the Commission as to the progress when more information becomes available.

The Chair advised the Commission that the Developer, John Rempel, along with the heritage consultants retained will be attending the next Heritage Commission meeting on October 1, 2008 and the Commission should come prepared with any questions and/or comments they may have of Mr. Rempel.

The Commission made the following comments:

- Comment was made that some heritage buildings in the Surrey area have foundations that were built of fieldstone and if this is the case with the Bose Farm then the developer should be required to replicate the foundation as well.
- Question was raised as to how much of a footprint is planned on the ALR land for the buildings that are to be relocated.
- Question was raised concerning the fill that would have to be put into the ALR land to relocate the buildings and whether Council had passed a by-law to prevent this.

The following comments were made in response to the Commission:

- Apparently, the developer is hoping to 'adjust' the ALR boundary on the site in order to increase the developable area of the site, along with relocating the buildings to that area.
- There will be some additional challenges for the developer concerning this site other than the heritage aspect such as achieving access onto the from 64th Avenue, and the number of units proposed for the site is 250 for an area where 50 units is allowed in the Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- With respect to adding fill to the ALR land for structures, this is may be permitted when a Soil Removal and Deposit Permit is issued by the City.

(e) Heritage Website Improvements

Staff to present verbal report and present ongoing improvements by website demonstration for Commission.

This item was dealt with after item C.1(f).

A. Grahn arrived at the meeting at 10:28 a.m.

D. Luymes introduced Anna Grahn, a summer student working with the City on their website who presented a short overview of the recent updates made to the Heritage section on the City website. The purpose of these upgrades are to ensure inventory

and registry sites are included and each description is also accompanied by a photograph. As well, Anna has been working to create a better link between the mapping system in Cosmos and has three different examples of what can be implemented that the Commission is requested to comment and provide any feedback on:

- The first proposed option is to search for specific heritage properties by “communities” (such as Newton, or Cloverdale) as opposed to “heritage neighbourhoods”.
- The second option is to search for specific heritage properties by “clicking” on the name of a heritage neighbourhood on a City-wide map. A map of that heritage neighbourhood would then appear, with the heritage properties shown as points.
- The third option is to search by groupings of heritage properties. By zooming into clusters of heritage properties on the City-wide map, individual sites can then be selected.

The Commission made the following comments:

- Question was raised as to how the boundaries on the heritage neighbourhoods were determined.
- Suggestion was made to change the legend colours to reflect the difference between registered and protected properties as well as whether a heritage element is a building, tree or trail.
- Further suggestion was made to make the differences between ‘registered’, ‘protected’ and ‘inventory’ clearer by including a definition, as this could be confusing to users.
- Perhaps having different colours for the registered and non-registered heritage buildings and sites would also be helpful.
- Before the new website changes are launched, it would be advantageous to have a press release with this information so that people, in particular reporters can be aware of this and can make use of it.

The following comments were made in response to the Commission:

- The boundaries on the maps were created from research gathered from historical records as well as working with Donald Luxton, a heritage specialist.
- The Commission provided some good feedback and suggestions that staff will work to incorporate into the final website layout.

A. Grahn left the meeting at 10:57 a.m.

(f) Heritage Planning Staff Update

Staff to present verbal update for Commission

- Staff have hired a Planning Technician (Heritage) to assist with the backlog of research projects, as well as assisting with specific heritage applications and projects. However, the recruitment process for the Senior Planner (Heritage) position is still ongoing and the Commission will be updated as soon as further information is available.

2. PARKS AND RECREATION

There are no reports from the Parks and Recreation Department.

3. ENGINEERING

There are no reports from the Engineering Department.

4. LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

There are no reports from the Legislative Services Department.

D. CORRESPONDENCE

It was received. Moved by Commissioner Farrand
Seconded by Commissioner Stibbs
That correspondence items No. 1 - 5 be

Carried

1. Heritage Awareness Award Program

Letter dated June 23, 2008 to Mr. Marc Pelech of Sullivan Heights Secondary School expressing congratulations on being selected as the winning secondary school of the Heritage Awareness Award Program.

2. Heritage Awareness Award Program

Letter dated June 23, 2008 to Mrs. Lisa Pagliacci of the Iqra Islamic School expressing congratulations on being selected as the winning elementary school of the Heritage Awareness Award Program.

3. South Colebrook Railway Station House

Letter dated June 26, 2008 to Mary Kaye Bardue concerning the state of disrepair of the House and suggesting options to ensure protection and restoration of the structure.

4. Plaque in Bear Creek Park concerning the Semiahmoo Trail

Letter dated June 30, 2008 to the SHAC Chair concerning an improper marker in Bear Creek Park related to the Semiahmoo Trail.

The Commission made the following comments:

- This monument was originally placed on the west side of King George Highway opposite the Petro Canada at 80th Avenue where the Semiahmoo Trail crossed the highway. The monument was then relocated to Bear Creek Park during road widening of King George Highway. Since then development has occurred that makes it difficult to replace the monument in its original location.
- It would make sense to place the plaque at the beginning of the Trail at Yale Road as it would belong there better than in Bear Creek Park which is not along the route of the Semiahmoo Trail.
- Suggestion was made to have Mr. Dowle come as a delegation to the Commission to discuss this matter further and a possible resolution for the placement of the monument.

5. Semiahmoo Trail Official Visitors Guide 2008/2009

Letter dated June 30, 2008 – copy to SHAC Chair concerning the incorrect information about the Semiahmoo Trail in the 2008/2009 Official Visitors Guide.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Paton

Seconded by Commissioner Tracey

That the letters dated June 30, 2008 from

Mr. Ron Dowle be referred to staff and that Mr. Dowle be invited to speak as a delegation at the October 1, 2008 Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission meeting to further discuss a proper relocation for the plaque concerning the Semiahmoo Trail that is currently affixed to a boulder in Bear Creek Park as well as the incorrect information that is in the Semiahmoo Trail Official Visitors Guide 2008/2009.

Carried

E. INFORMATION ITEMS**1. Surrey Stories Summer/Fall 2008 Newsletter**
(copies to be provided on table at the meeting)

****Staff requires an article for the Winter/Spring 2009 issue from the Surrey Stories Sub-Committee by November 3, 2008.****

- Commissioner Stibbs volunteered to submit an article for the Winter/Spring 2009 issue of the Surrey Stories.

Commissioner Monk left the meeting at 11:11 a.m.

2. Bose Farm Development

Email received June 23, 2008 to Mayor and Council expressing concern with the Bose Farm redevelopment plans.

3. Significant Tree No. 251 Plaquing

Email dated July 11, 2008 to Legislative Services informing that the installation of the plaque for Significant Tree No. 251 on the Hencheroff property has been completed by the City.

**4. Regular Council – Public Hearing Minutes – Monday, June 16, 2008
RES.R08-1332****5. Regular Council – Public Hearing Minutes – Monday, June 16, 2008
RES.R08-1333****F. SUBCOMMITTEE/LIAISON UPDATES****1. Heritage Tree Sub-Committee**

Heritage Tree Management issue to develop policies to deal with trees on private property referred from SHAC April 30, 2008.

(no written update received).

This item was dealt with after F.2.

The following comments were made:

- There are important trees that are located at the 4100 block of 152nd Street. The heritage tree number is 006, the plaque is group 10 and there are a total of 13 trees in this particular location, however, there is nothing in the Tree Protection By-law that identifies these trees. The

plaque itself for the trees is actually not located on private property and rather on a boulevard on the road.

- Concern is that the owners have changed on the property and therefore they may not even know that these trees have significance. A letter has been drafted by the Tree Sub-Committee to this effect and to make the owners aware of the importance of these trees. The only question is whether this letter should be sent out by the sub-committee or by the Commission.

The Commission made the following comments in response:

- The letter to the owners should come from the Chair of the Heritage Commission and should invite the owners to meet with the members of the Tree Sub-Committee to discuss this matter further. The contact information for the members of the sub-committee should also be added with a copy to them as well.
- Staff should probably be involved with the discussions concerning these trees and what is expected of the owners for their upkeep and preservation.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Foulkes
Seconded by Commissioner Monk
That the SHAC recommend that a letter be

sent through the Chair of the SHAC to the registered owners of the property containing the 13 heritage trees informing them about the significance of these trees and requesting them to meet with the members of the Heritage Tree Sub-Committee.

Carried

2. Damage Prevention and Heritage Protection Sub-Committee

Sub-Committee formed at April 30, 2008 SHAC meeting and issues regarding protection of Heritage Houses referred to Sub-Committee for discussion and report back to SHAC.

(no written update received).

- The sub-committee has not yet met as they are waiting for heritage staff to be hired so these issues can be dealt with.

Commissioner Monk returned to the meeting at 11:13 a.m.

- Suggestion was made that Raul Allueva, Current Planning Manager, South Division should be part of this sub-committee.

G. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS**1. Lecture on Ancient Egypt in Surrey Museum**

Email dated June 21, 2008 to Heritage Commission from Thomas Hikade, Assistant Professor in Egyptology at UBC inquiring whether Surrey would be interested in having a lecture on ancient Egypt at the Surrey Museum.

This item is to be referred to the Museum Manager.

2. Hazelmere United Church – Financial Assistance Monies

Email dated July 6, 2008 to Legislative Services concerning the monies received by the Church and whether the Building Preservation & Design Sub-Committee is complete with their investigations concerning the Church.

- The Commission agreed that under the circumstances for the Financial Assistance granted to the Church, they are permitted to deposit the cheque received from the City prior to having the works completed.

3. Heritage Property Guideline suggestion

Request by Commissioner Farrand to discuss guidelines for the purchase, restoration and maintenance of Heritage Houses.

- Suggestion was made that it would be advantageous to create a pamphlet for developers to receive some sort of information package from the City setting out the advantages of restoring a heritage house. As well, including the contact information for the AIBC on the pamphlet would be helpful for developers to obtain assistance.
- Staff advised that this will be a great project for the new heritage staff to work on.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Stibbs
Seconded by Commissioner Foulkes
That the SHAC recommends that the

General Manager, Planning & Development request that heritage staff research and create an information pamphlet stating the advantages of restoring a heritage house, specifically for the use of developers.

Carried

4. Conversion of Heritage Videos

The Commission to discuss and decide whether they would like to have the Heritage Videos converted from VHS format to DVD format to preserve the information and make available for sale to the public (list of videos and quotations attached.)

- The Commission agreed that they would like to have the VHS Heritage Videos converted to DVD or Blu-ray format so that the information is not lost.
- Suggestion was made to have all four heritage videos put onto one DVD as well as to go through the company that originally made the videos.
- The final decision relating to the conversion and costs associated should go through the Chair and then to the Commission for their information.

The meeting adjourned at 11:54 a.m. and reconvened at 12:06 p.m. with the same members in attendance.

5. Outstanding List

The Commission is requested to review the updates provided to the Outstanding List and provide any additional updates and/or comments.

- With respect to the Annidale School, the Commission would like to receive an updated report from staff outlining the current status of this matter. The school needs to be closed and another location for it needs to be found. This is part of the Gateway and Golden Ears Bridge project.
- The Commission requested that a memo from staff providing an update concerning the proposed 8-lot subdivision adjacent the Semiahmoo Trail at 14665, 14719 and 14735 – 28th Avenue (7908-0073-00).
- The Commission made a request for a follow up on the Rock Tree from the Parks Department and would also like Legal Services to provide the Commission with information on how the City ensures that owners of heritage properties with restrictive covenants to protect and maintain heritage trees are paying for the services that are required of them for the upkeep of the trees.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Paton

Seconded by Commissioner Monk

That the SHAC requests that the City

Solicitor provide a report to the Commission outlining how the City ensures that owners of heritage properties that have restrictive covenants requiring them to have an arborist inspect the health of any heritage trees on their properties are paying for these services as required (in particular, the Rock Tree & Boulder located at 12237 – 91A Avenue).

Carried

- Discussion ensued concerning the proposed rezoning to permit a Private School use at Camp Alexandra located at 2916 McBride Avenue and the following motion was made:

It was Moved by Commissioner Paton
Seconded by Commissioner Tracey
That the SHAC recommends to the General
Manager, Planning and Development that:

- 1) Staff permit the rezoning of the Camp Alexandra site to proceed;
- 2) Staff continue to pursue with the owners a simplified Heritage Revitalization Agreement for the site; and
- 3) The heritage issues concerning the site be resolved within three (3) years with the help and guidance of heritage staff.

Carried

- Concerning the 1891 Lodge, Commissioner Foulkes volunteered to look through the documentation concerning this building and follow up with the Commission as to the findings.

6. Charles Bell House Demolition and Heritage Protection 12237 – 91A Avenue

On-table item – report from the Policy Planning Manager concerning the unauthorized demolition of the Charles Bell House that took place on July 18, 2008 without a Demolition Permit.

- A fire occurred on January 5, 2008 causing substantial damage to the Charles Bell House. At the time of the fire, the House was protected by a Heritage Revitalization Agreement which permitted the owner to relocate the House onto a subdivided parcel of land as part of a larger residential subdivision. The HRA included provisions for replication of the House and a compensation payout in the event of the destruction of the House.
- On July 18, 2008, the House was demolished without a Demolition Permit or a written Order to Demolish from the Surrey Fire Service. Following the fire, the House was assessed and it was concluded that the House was structurally unsafe and was deemed to be irreparably damaged.
- The owner had been previously advised by the City to secure the site and the House to prevent further fires or access to the structure while a reconstruction strategy was developed in accordance with the HRA.
- In a letter dated February 18, 2008, the owner agreed to compensate the City \$15,000 as per the HRA for the loss of heritage value. In this same letter, the owner did not agree to building a replica of the House as per the HRA. However, the Legal Services Department confirmed that a replica construction of both the exterior and interior of the House was required by the HRA and after several exchanges, the owner finally agreed to the conditions. The owner further agreed to submit a Heritage Alteration Permit application, including detailed architectural drawings for the replication and a plan to salvage as much of the original elements of the House as possible.

- On June 11, 2008 the owner submitted a Demolition Permit application for the House which was not issued, pending the receipt of a Heritage Alteration Permit and payment of \$15,000 for the loss of heritage value
- The owner claims that, in response to a small fire on the premises on July 15, 2008 that he was verbally advised by an officer of the Surrey Fire Service to demolish the House which posed a safety hazard and a fire risk. However, a written order to demolish the House was not issued to the owner prior to its demolition on July 18, 2008.
- Subsequent to the unauthorized demolition, the owner has verbally reiterated his intention to submit a HAP application and to pay the \$15,000 compensation for loss of heritage value.

The Commission made the following comments:

- It doesn't seem that \$15,000 is enough for the complete loss of heritage in this situation.
- It is important that a heritage specialist architect is retained to ensure an accurate replication of the House.
- Question was raised as to whether the Charles Bell House would now be listed as a replica heritage house on the registry.

The following comments were made in response to the Commission:

- The City will be able to hold up development on this lot until a replica is built, and maybe even tie up the three lots that the house was situated on. However, they cannot deny development from starting on the other lots. The plans submitted need to be approved by the City Architect and most likely this House will be listed as a replica on the Registry.

It was

Moved by Commissioner Farrand
 Seconded by Commissioner Monk
 Opposed by Commissioner Foulkes and
 Commissioner Tracey
 That the SHAC requests that the General

Manager, Planning & Development direct staff to:

- 1) Continue to negotiate with Joe Dhaliwal concerning the Charles Bell House and to continue to keep the Commission apprised of the negotiations; and
- 2) Advise Mr. Dhaliwal that if he wants to alter the contract that was entered into with the City then he will be required to provide further compensation for the lost heritage value.

Carried

The Chair reminded the Commission Members about the Summer Barbeque at her residence on Sunday, August 10, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. and to RSVP to her Assistant.

MOTION TO HOLD A MEETING IN A CLOSED SESSION

It is in order for the Commission to pass a resolution to close the meeting to the public pursuant to Section 90 (1) (a) (e) (g) and (i) of the *Community Charter*.

It was
close the meeting to the public pursuant to Section 90 (1) (a) (e) (g) and (i) of the *Community Charter*.

Moved by Commissioner Stibbs
Seconded by Commissioner Monk
That the Commission to pass a resolution to

Carried

The meeting adjourned for Closed at 1:52 p.m. and reconvened at 1:54 p.m. with the same members in attendance.

H. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Heritage Advisory Commission is scheduled for October 1, 2008 in the Mayor's Executive Boardroom - 9:00 a.m.

I. ADJOURNMENT

It was
Commission meeting do now adjourn.

Moved by Commissioner Paton
Seconded by Commissioner Farrand
That the Surrey Heritage Advisory

Carried

The Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission adjourned at 1:55 p.m.

Margaret Jones, City Clerk

Councillor Higginbotham, Chairperson
Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission