Parks, Recreation & Sport
Tourism Committee - Minutes

Parks' Boardroom #1
City Hall
14245 - 56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
Wednesday, March 20, 2013
Time: 7:00 p.m.
File: 0540-20

Present:
Councillor Hepner – Chairperson
Councillor Gill
C. Annable
R. Benson
B. Burnside
R. Gorman
S. Hundal
G. Rai

Regrets:
T. Allen, School Board Trustee

Staff Present:
L. Cavan, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture
O. Croy, Manager, Parks
L. White, Manager, Community and Recreation Services
D. Vestergaard, Administrative Coordinator, Parks, Recreation & Culture
L. Anderson, Legislative Services

Guest Observers:

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

It was Moved by S. Hundal
Seconded by R. Benson
That the minutes of the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee of February 20, 2013, be adopted.
Carried

B. DELEGATIONS

1. Grandview Heights Campus of Learning, Active Living and Sports Excellence

Laurie Cavan, General Manager of Parks, Recreation and Culture provided an overview of the new development happening in Grandview Heights area, the “Grandview Heights Campus of Learning, Active Living and Sports Excellence” (the “Campus”). A PowerPoint presentation, providing a background to the broad based partnership between the City, School District and other public agencies and not-for-profits to collaborate on building projects, and an outline of the various phases to realize the final concept of the Campus, was given.

Additional comments were as follows:

- The concept of the Campus is a long range planning exercise of five years plus.
- A review of similar projects/partnerships in Canada suggested there was a lot of merit to the concept and that the Grandview Heights community presented an opportunity to develop a unique and replicable model that could be located across the province.
- The Grandview Heights community is planned to be built out to approximately 30,000 plus over the next 15 years. As the area grows there will be an increased need for a full range of community amenities. The 60 acre site provides the opportunity to address a significant amount of those
amenities, beginning with Phase 1 the Grandview Heights Aquatic Centre, a City element for which construction will commence in April 2013.

- Future City facilities may include a library, arena, community art space, community park (including sports fields, playground, walking path and youth park) and a multipurpose recreational facility.

- As Pacific Heights Elementary is already on the site (accounting for approximately 6.9 acres), future School District elements will include the recently announced new secondary school for the community, which will accommodate 1,000 students, with expansion to 1,500 students in the future (approximately 15 acres in area). Additional School District elements include a soccer pitch and exterior basketball court(s) as well as a Neighbourhood Learning Centre.

- The site for the new secondary school is sloped, which lends itself to three levels for the high school, anticipated to be constructed within the next five to eight years.

- Potential partnerships in creating new synergies and future opportunities through shared resources and skills, include Fraser Health Authority (focus on healthy living), Ministry of Children and Family Development (focus on family and support), not-for-profit organizations (Options, Sources, etc.), Arts Community groups, Neighbourhood House (e.g. Alexandra House), etc.

- Preliminary site planning sets out all of the structures, buildings and facilities on the west end of the site, with outdoor facilities located within the middle sections and the elementary school at the north east corner of the site.

- Underground parking is being proposed on the site; taking more of an urban approach, trying to maximize utilization of green space. A pedestrian walkway, with a bridge walkway to some areas, is also being proposed to allow movement throughout the various quadrants (schematic view shown).

- Currently starting to finalize the concept plan and looking at how it can be phased in. The availability of budgets will dictate the timing of the various components of the Grandview Heights Campus.

With respect to sports excellence, the Committee queried if the vision was to have for-profit organizations offering high level competitive training. In response, staff noted that the concept has not been fully explored, however there may be the opportunity in terms of diving, swimming, etc., established through partnerships, to be able to provide the ability for youth to have flexibility in their day to pursue more training. However, the challenge is to ensure that any proposed partnership would not compromise any community use or school access for the general population.

A brief video of the site and the Campus realized to its full potential was shown.

C. REQUEST(S) FOR DELEGATION

D. OUTSTANDING BUSINESS
E. NEW BUSINESS

1. **Beer Garden License Days**  
   File: 0360-20 BGRC

   The memorandum, dated March 13, 2013, from the City Clerk, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council approve:

   1. 38 beer garden days in the City of Surrey for 2013, as outlined in the memorandum; and
   2. That all organizations receiving approval for beer gardens provide details of which charitable organization or community project will receive proceeds from their event.

   With respect to recommendation #2, it was noted that the proceeds and expenses will be acknowledged within the detailed revenue expense report required following the event.

   It was reported that the number of requests for beer gardens has been decreasing since 2007. Historically slow pitch groups kept the number of licensed beer garden days high, but a change in field availability and public awareness regarding drinking and driving has played a role in the reduction in the number of days.

   Community complaints have been very low and the few infractions issued have been on unlicensed days when groups bring in coolers, etc., a problem that is experienced across several sports groups, not just slow pitch.

   **COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:**

   It was Moved by C. Annable  
   Seconded by R. Benson

   That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council approve:

   1. 38 beer garden days in the City of Surrey for 2013, as outlined in the memorandum, dated March 13, 2013, from the City Clerk; and
   2. That all organizations receiving approval for beer gardens provide details of which charitable organization or community project will receive proceeds from their event.

   Carried

2. **Sponsorship Policy**  
   File: 0625-01

   The memorandum, dated March 12, 2013, from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the memorandum as information.
With respect to larger facilities, and conceptually looking at what neighbouring municipalities are doing in recognition of corporate donations (proactively engaging corporate customers), it was suggested that there may be a need to review the City’s corporate sponsorship policy. In particular, signage and the ability to put signage at key locations, what is appropriate in terms of size and the length of time permitted, etc. Additional comments were as follows:

- There certainly could be some value to revisiting the policy, particularly in building facilities, but maybe not within recreation facilities. It would be interesting to know for the smaller elements (e.g. within a community where there may be someone that has been active in sport, etc.).
- Regional facilities are a lot easier to acquire funding for e.g. Jim Pattison Outpatient Centre. As we move forward with the costs of the growing communities in Surrey it will be important to look at the policy and where we can be more creative and have some value (e.g. pools, naming of a room at SFU, etc.).
- Opportunities are presented to the City regularly, especially for events. A review of the policy should be included as part of the Committee’s work plan.

It was Moved by R. Benson
Seconded by B. Burnside
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, dated March 12, 2013, entitled “Sponsorship Policy”, as information.

Carried

3. Naming of Eight (8) Parks in the Fleetwood Community of Surrey
File: 6140-01-20 BGRC

The memorandum, dated March 12, 2013, from the Manager, Parks Planning, Research and Design, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive the report as information;
2. Recommend to Council that the following park names be adopted:

**Fleetwood**

1) Approve the name “British Manor Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43Q & 43Z Greenbelt;
2) Approve the name “Bucci Park” for the park lots currently labelled 45B Greenbelt;
3) Approve the name “Charles Richardson Nature Reserve” for the park lots currently labelled 46E & 46F Greenbelt;
4) Approve the name “Coast Meridian Park” for the park lots currently labelled 46A Neighbourhood Park;
5) Approve the name “Enver Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43J, 43R & 43X Greenbelt;
6) Approve the name “Kurtenacker Park” for the park lots currently labelled 35F Neighbourhood Park;
7) Approve the name “Price Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43F & 43P Greenbelt;
8) Approve the name “Sequoia Ridge Park” for the park lot currently labelled 44F Detention Pond; and

3. That a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.

It was Moved by Councillor Gill
Seconded by C. Annable
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Manager, Parks Planning, Research and Design, dated March 12, 2013, entitled “Naming of Eight (8) Parks in the Fleetwood Community of Surrey”, as information.
Carried

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

It was Moved by Councillor Gill
Seconded by C. Annable
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council:
1. Receive the report from the Manager, Parks Planning, Research and Design, dated March 12, 2013, entitled “Naming of Eight (8) Parks in the Fleetwood Community of Surrey” (attached Appendix A), as information; and
2. Adopt the following park names:

Fleetwood
1) Approve the name “British Manor Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43Q & 43Z Greenbelt;
2) Approve the name “Bucci Park” for the park lots currently labelled 45B Greenbelt;
3) Approve the name “Charles Richardson Nature Reserve” for the park lots currently labelled 46E & 46F Greenbelt;
4) Approve the name “Coast Meridian Park” for the park lots currently labelled 46A Neighbourhood Park;
5) Approve the name “Enver Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43J, 43R & 43X Greenbelt;
6) Approve the name “Kurtenacker Park” for the park lots currently labelled 35F Neighbourhood Park;
7) Approve the name “Price Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43F & 43P Greenbelt; and
8) Approve the name “Sequoia Ridge Park” for the park lot currently labelled 44F Detention Pond.
Carried
4. Request for Additional Recreation Facilities in Cloverdale

File: 8000-30/C

The memorandum, dated March 12, 2013, from the Manager, Community and Recreation Services, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the memorandum as information.

Moved by B. Burnside
Seconded by R. Gorman
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Manager, Community and Recreation Services, dated March 12, 2013, entitled “Request for Additional Recreation Facilities in Cloverdale”, as information.

Carried

Discussion ensued with respect to the expectations of all residents for the access to infrastructure and amenities within the distinct community areas of Surrey. It was noted that many residents would ultimately like to have a pool and an arena in their community/neighbourhood.

In response, staff noted that they work with Planning and Development when looking at locating facilities, as well as the growth and capacity at other facilities. It was reported that the growth of the pool in South Surrey is over capacity and to have a pool in Grandview Heights made sense as its location would also support south Cloverdale. Although Surrey Sport and Leisure easily accommodates the residents of Fleetwood, it is really on the border of Cloverdale and those residents in north Cloverdale have driving times that can be as little as 10 minutes. In talking with the residents right in Cloverdale and south Cloverdale, they are very much looking forward to the pool in Grandview Heights as they feel they will now be much closer to a pool (within a 10-15 minute drive).

Additional comments were as follows:

- The Park DCC charges are mostly used for parkland acquisition; none are used for capital to construct buildings such as pools, arenas or recreation centres. The provincial legislation only allows park development and only some kinds of park development, (e.g. can build a grass sport field, but not a baseball ball diamond). Also have not been able to use NCP funding for recreation facilities.
- Council (and the Committee) endorsed the PRC 2008-2017 Strategic Plan which has laid out a plan to achieve numerous recreational amenities, based on the funding that will be available in that 10-year time frame. Surrey is divided by one-third of the land designated as being within the Agricultural Land Reserve, which puts up small challenges in achieving certain objectives of community connectivity.
- With respect to Grandview Heights, and given the City does not identify where a pool or a rink is going to go, the earlier presentation *Item B.1 above) clearly identified the future build out of the area. How do we explain and effectively demonstrate there is some methodology to why we are proposing the structure?
The NCPs in Grandview didn’t deal with community scale amenities but the Grandview Local Area Plan identified this location for amenities and as a community hub 10 years ago. Through the new OCP, the City has been able to recognize some of the unique requirements for these distinct communities.

- There was an extensive survey in terms of establishing priorities and looking at what the City can afford and where growth is happening and that was then followed with a plan that mapped out for the future. In the last 10 years the City has built many recreational facilities; now trying to address those areas where there has been growth that does not have facilities.

- There are some communities that represent themselves very well and have the ability to lobby and affectively communicate and there are other communities that have their own unique challenges (e.g. Bridgeview). It is those communities of Surrey that may not be able to voice their concerns affectively and how the City is able to manage that element that needs to be considered.

- We always want to be cautious that it isn’t always those organized with the largest voice that gets more than their share. We are showing where we are experiencing growth and what the participation levels are, particularly with soccer and ice hockey, but when we look at the numbers we are not seeing the level of growth in some sports that the groups are indicating. We really need to balance where we are proposing facilities with some hard numbers and make sure we are representing those groups that may not be able to lobby; e.g. preschoolers to service, sometimes those parents are too busy to come forward and demand services in their community. It is really a balance of what we hear and what the trends are and looking at the Strategic Plan.

- Looking at the stats where there is growth, we know that Newton is already the biggest and predicted to go up to 194,000 in that community. When you look at what is in that community compared to others, is there something else we should be looking at, perhaps potential partnerships with schools for gym use, etc.?

Although there are plans for redevelopment of the area Newton Wave Pool building adjacent to the transit loop, the TransLink use and ownership of lands in the area complicates the issue. There is funding for the expansion of the fitness facilities which is moving ahead, however the arena is very old.

- We really need to look hard at the numbers, because as old as some of the amenities are, the numbers aren’t reflecting the need. It would be interesting to get the numbers, including those numbers for outside (Surrey) ice, because if they are getting ice elsewhere, that skews the numbers.

- With respect to turf fields and investments, etc., the City has been very aggressive, more so perhaps than any other municipality. As capacity has grown, people have become more interested. Somehow we need to understand what a catchment consists of (not schools), the demographics and what it is that people in each catchment want for those areas. For example, it would be really interesting to be able to have some of the different town centres have a ‘crown’ of how they are acknowledged, e.g. the possibility of each town centre embracing/profiling a particular recreation or cultural theme.
Discussion ensued with respect to the request for additional facilities in Cloverdale, in particular the recent corporate report, F005 “Augmented Programs and Services for the Clayton Heights and North Cloverdale Community”, as supported by Council at the March 11, 2013 Regular Council Public Hearing meeting (copies circulated to the Committee). It was noted that the report discusses phasing in delivery of services (starting with some programs) and a feasibility, based on growth that has occurred in East Clayton and the growth that is expected over the next few years in West Clayton. The report also sets out the design of the first phase of a Clayton Heights recreation Centre to be included as an element of the Build Surrey Program in the 2014 – 2018 Five Year Financial Plan.

Staff also reported that they have had discussions with the YMCA, and have been looking at other opportunities as well, regarding the Clayton area.

It was Moved by C. Annable
Seconded by B. Burnside
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive Corporate Report No. F005, dated February 18, 2013, entitled “Augmented Programs and Services for the Clayton Heights and North Cloverdale Community”, as information.

Carried

There was discussion with respect to ice arenas and, given that the majority of the City’s arenas need to be replaced, it was suggested that privately operated arenas and their business models, independent of municipal models, be looked at. Staff noted they will do some background research of other facilities, however it was also reported that the ice business right now is a little softer than it was a number of years ago when quite a few partnership models in other municipalities provided the ability to build additional arenas. Those partnerships are much harder to achieve in the present market; a number of private operators are struggling more due to the rising cost of electricity, 24 hour coverage, etc. From a historical perspective, it was noted that when the sheets for Fleetwood were built Council had evaluated partnership possibilities but settled on bringing it back in-house. It was reported that there will be one new sheet of ice built over 2014-2015, however the demand for pools is priority for the next five to ten years.

With respect to recreation facilities in North Surrey and potential opportunities for those facilities and the land, it was requested that a long term recreation plan be drafted for the Committee’s consideration.

5. Invitation to Host the BC Seniors Games
File: 5000-01

The memorandum, dated March 12, 2013, from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Support in principle that the City of Surrey proceed with a bid submission to host the BC Seniors Games in either September 2015 or 2016; and
2. Direct staff to prepare a Corporate Report for Council’s consideration that outlines the financial commitments associated with hosting the games that include a minimum financial commitment of $60,000 plus $55,000 in additional in-kind services and facilities, as stipulated in the bid guidelines, and a staffing budget of $75,000 for a full time Operations Manager for a 10 month period leading up to the Games.

It was Moved by Councillor Gill
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee support in principle that the City of Surrey proceed with a bid submission to host the BC Seniors Games in either September 2015 or 2016.
Carried
with Councillor Hepner opposed

Discussion ensued noting that the 2016 Women’s Fastpitch World Championships will be happening at the same time as the 2016 BC Seniors Games. A recent press release and official announcement in Softball Canada reported that Surrey has been selected as the Canadian city to make the bid (together with a city from New Zealand and South Africa) for the 2016 Women’s Fastpitch World Championships.

Although staff believe they will be able to deliver both events, concern was expressed about the timing, noting that there may be other programming and/or activities that may not be available that year in order to accommodate both events. It was further noted that, given the lower budget noted for the BC Seniors Games, it may also be difficult to secure enough funding from the corporate community as well as volunteers for the both events.

Although it was pointed out that the BC Seniors Games would also provide more of an opportunity for ‘heads in beds’, which would benefit the hotels and restaurants, it was determined that hosting both events would be asking too much from all of the volunteers, etc., and that it would be better to confidently support the bid submission for 2015 only, not 2016. As such, a friendly amendment to the original motion was made.

It was Moved by Councillor Gill
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee amend the previous motion expressing support in principle for the City of Surrey to proceed with a bid submission to host the BC Seniors Games in September 2015 only.
Carried
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

It was Moved by Councillor Gill
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council direct staff to prepare a Corporate Report for Council's consideration that outlines the financial commitments associated with hosting the games that include a minimum financial commitment of $60,000 plus $55,000 in additional in-kind services and facilities, as stipulated in the bid guidelines, and a staffing budget of $75,000 for a full time Operations Manager for a 10 month period leading up to the Games.

Carried

File: 6980-01

The memorandum, dated March 7, 2013, from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the memorandum as information.

It was Moved by R. Benson
Seconded by C. Annable
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, dated March 7, 2013, entitled “Sport Tourism Report – January and February 2013”, as information.

Carried

F. ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

G. CORRESPONDENCE

H. INFORMATION ITEMS

I. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Verbal Update

L. Cavan, GM, Parks, Recreation and Culture, provided the following update:

- **HST to GST Transition** - The department is on track with adjusting all fees to comply with the legislation. This has been a significant undertaking and the process has been challenging. On April 1st, the City will be transitioning to a 5% GST from the 12% HST. Signs have been provided to the facilities outlining the transition.
• **Community Summit** - On Saturday, April 6th, the City will be hosting a Community Summit inviting residents to come forward and become more involved in the community, gather information on topics important to them, and learn more about the City. To date there are 68 people registered for the Community Summit.

• **Emergency Social Services** - The annual ESS Mock exercise is scheduled for Saturday, May 4th, 2013 (8:30am - 1:00pm) at the Chuck Bailey Recreation Centre. The exercise will feature a fictional scenario such as an apartment fire or chemical spill that requires a significant evacuation of residents from their homes – usually 100 - 150. Staff and volunteers will activate the Chuck Bailey Recreation Centre as a reception centre and respond to the needs of evacuees.

• **Seniors Forums about Elder Abuse and Neglect** – Parks, Recreation and Culture staff and the Seniors Accessibility Advisory Committee partners hosted a Focus on Seniors Forum for the Punjabi speaking community to raise awareness and provide tools and resources to protect against elder abuse and neglect. The Forum was held on Saturday, March 16th, at the Fleetwood Community Centre and attended by approximately 100 people. The entire event was hosted in Punjabi.

  The next Focus on Seniors Forum will be presented in Korean and is scheduled for Saturday, April 20th, at the Fraser Heights Recreation Centre. A total of six forums are scheduled for 2013 – three in English and the other three are either in Korean or Punjabi.

• **Seniors Conference** - The 2nd Annual Seniors’ Conference is scheduled for Friday, May 31st, as a kick-off to Seniors’ Week. The conference is funded by a grant from the UBCM and will feature a keynote speaker and a series of workshops and activities for seniors. The conference features three streams of focus including Healthy Lifestyles, Education and Advocacy, and Safety.

• **Seniors Strategy** - Parks, Recreation and Culture staff are working with the Seniors Accessibility Advisory Committee in leading the development of a city-wide strategy for older adults (e.g. recreational opportunities, etc.). Focus groups will be hosted in the coming months to collect stakeholder and community input and it is expected a draft will be available for summer 2013.

• **Greg Ward, Urban Forestry and Environmental Programs Manager** - After 34 years, Greg Ward has retired from the City, taking with him a lot of knowledge and history of the City.

• **Early Years Festival** - This year’s Early Years Festival will be taking place on Saturday, April 20th, at the Chuck Bailey Recreation Centre.

• **Party for the Planet** – Will be taking place on Saturday, April 27th, at Central City Plaza. The event will be the largest Earth Day celebration in BC. More information is available on the City’s website.
2. Upcoming Delegations

It was reported that there will be three delegations presenting at the next meeting pertaining to the following topics:

- Mound Farm Park
- Bear Creek Park
- Volleyball

J. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee is scheduled for April 24, 2013.

K. ADJOURNMENT

It was Moved by R. Gorman
Seconded by Councillor Gill
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee do now adjourn. Carried

The Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee adjourned at 8:34 p.m.

Jane Sullivan, City Clerk
Councillor Hepner, Chair
Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee
INTER-OFFICE MEMO

TO: Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee
FROM: Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager
DATE: March 12th, 2013
FILE: 6140-01

RE: Naming of Eight (8) Parks in the Fleetwood Community of Surrey

RECOMMENDATION

The Parks, Recreation and Culture department recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report for information; and
2. Recommend to Council that the following park names be adopted and that a copy of this report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference:

Fleetwood

1) Approve the name “British Manor Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43Q & 43Z Greenbelt.
2) Approve the name “Bucci Park” for the park lots currently labelled 45B Greenbelt.
3) Approve the name “Charles Richardson Nature Reserve” for the park lots currently labelled 46E & 46F Greenbelt.
4) Approve the name “Coast Meridian Park” for the park lots currently labelled 46A Neighbourhood Park.
5) Approve the name “Enver Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43J, 43R & 43X Greenbelt.
6) Approve the name “Kurtenacker Park” for the park lots currently labelled 35F Neighbourhood Park.
7) Approve the name “Price Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43F & 43P Greenbelt.
8) Approve the name “Sequoia Ridge Park” for the park lot currently labelled 44F Detention Pond.

INTENT

The purpose of this report is to seek Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee’s support for the names of eight (8) park sites the Fleetwood Community of Surrey.
POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The City’s Policy “Naming of Parks and Facilities” (attached as Appendix A) includes the following criteria:

**Neighbourhood Parks** should be given names recognizing:

(a) Special features or major plant life indigenous to parks;
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located;
(c) Local street and subdivision names;

**Community Parks** and amenities should, whenever possible, be given names which identify:

(a) The community in which they are locate; (ie. Cloverdale Athletic Park)
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located; (ie. Lionel Courchene Park)
(c) Major donors or sponsors; and
(d) Names arising from a community-based selection process.

BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION

Many new parks have been acquired and developed in Surrey over the last few years. Recent acquisitions have also resulted in the consolidation of previously unnamed parkland. Some of these are adjacent to existing parks and will adopt the existing park name, while some require new park names. For this report, six (6) parks are adopting the name of an existing adjacent park and two (2) parks are subject to a new name. All new park names are being suggested after adjacent creeks, schools or roads. Locations of proposed parks for naming are shown on maps by town centre, attached as Appendix B.

**Fleetwood**

1) **Park labelled 43Q Greenbelt and 43Z Greenbelt**

These parcels were protected through subdivision over the past three decades to protect the Bear Creek and Enver Creek riparian areas. These parcels contain significant wetland habitat as well as pedestrian connections to 80A Avenue. Given the adjacency to British Manor Park, it is fitting that these parks be named ‘British Manor Park’.

2) **Park labelled 45B Greenbelt**

This parcel was protected through subdivision in 2003 to provide a pedestrian connection to Bucci Park. Given the adjacency and connection to Bucci Park, it is fitting that this park be named ‘Bucci Park’.

3) **Parks labelled 46E Greenbelt and 46F Greenbelt**

These parcels were protected through subdivision in the 1990’s and 2000’s to protect the Swanson Brook riparian areas. These parcels contain significant wetland habitat as well as pedestrian connections and passive open space. Given the adjacency to Charles
Richardson Nature Reserve Park, and the sensitive nature of the riparian and wetland habitats, it is fitting that these lots be added to the 'Charles Richardson Nature Reserve Park'.

4) Park labelled 46A Neighbourhood Park

These parcels were acquired through subdivision in 2002 to protect the Drinkwater Creek riparian areas. These parcels contain significant habitat. Given the adjacency to Coast Meridian Park, it is fitting that these parks be named 'Coast Meridian Park'.

5) Park labelled 43I Greenbelt, 43R Greenbelt and 43X Greenbelt

These parcels were acquired through subdivision in the 1980's and 1990's to protect the Morningside Creek riparian areas, part of the Bear Creek watershed. These parcels contain significant riparian habitat as well as pedestrian connections to 149 Street. Given the adjacency to Enver Creek Park, it is fitting that these parks be named 'Enver Creek Park'.

6) Park labelled 35F Neighbourhood Park

These parcels were acquired through subdivision in the 1990's and 2000's to provide neighbourhood parkland and to protect riparian areas of an unnamed creek. These parcels contain significant riparian habitat and are the geographic headwaters of Kurtenacker Creek. Given the adjacency to Kurtenacker Creek, it is fitting that these parks be named 'Kurtenacker Park'. This is a new park name.

7) Parks labelled 43F Greenbelt and 43P Greenbelt

These parcels have been acquired and dedicated over several decades as parkland to protect the Price and Cub Creek riparian areas. These parcels contain significant riparian habitat as well as a pedestrian crossing north of 87 Ave. The park also features a large passive open space on 146th Street. Given the adjacency to Price Creek, it is fitting that these parks be named 'Price Creek Park'. This is a new park name.

8) Park labelled 44F Detention Pond

This parcel serves the local neighbourhood as parkland to provide open space and pedestrian connections throughout the area as well as riparian protection for a watercourse. As this park lot is adjacent to and connecting with an existing named park, it is fitting that this park lot be added to 'Sequoia Ridge Park'.

SUSTAINABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

The proposed name changes support the Sustainability Charter by helping to create neighbourhoods that have distinct identities (Socio-Cultural Goal 6) and by promoting and educating the public about Surrey's history (Socio-Cultural Scope C10).
CONCLUSION

Based on the above discussion, it is recommended that Parks, Recreation and Culture Committee receive as information this report and recommend to Council that Council approve the names as follow:

**Fleetwood**

- Approve the name “British Manor Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43Q & 43Z Greenbelt.
- Approve the name “Bucci Park” for the park lots currently labelled 45B Greenbelt.
- Approve the name “Charles Richardson Nature Reserve” for the park lots currently labelled 46E & 46F Greenbelt.
- Approve the name “Coast Meridian Park” for the park lots currently labelled 46A Neighbourhood Park.
- Approve the name “Enver Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43J, 43R & 43X Greenbelt.
- Approve the name “Kurtenacker Park” for the park lots currently labelled 35F Neighbourhood Park.
- Approve the name “Price Creek Park” for the park lots currently labelled 43F & 43P Greenbelt.
- Approve the name “Sequoia Ridge Park” for the park lot currently labelled 44F Detention Pond.

Ted Uhrich  
Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager

Appendix A: Naming of Parks and Facilities Policy  
Appendix B: Map of Parks under consideration for naming.
Appendix A - Naming of Parks and Facilities Policy

PARKS, RECREATION AND CULTURE DEPARTMENT

POLICY MANUAL

SUBJECT: PARKS & FACILITIES NAMING

INTENT
To establish a clear Policy for naming parks as well as Parks, Recreation and Culture buildings, assets and amenities.

1. Park and amenity categories.

A. City parks and amenities tend to be used by a great number of people and whenever possible should bear names which identify their general location or key site features. They could also be named after benefactors or sponsors who have made an extraordinary contribution to the community. (Examples: Bear Creek Park, Stewart Farm House, and Surrey Arts Centre)

B. Community parks and amenities tend to be used by the next greatest number of people and should, whenever possible, be given names which identify:
   (a) The community in which they are located (i.e.: Cloverdale Athletic park, Fleetwood Community Centre);
   (b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located (i.e.: Lionel Courchene Park);
   (c) Major donors or sponsors (XYZ Company Youth Park);
   (d) Names arising from a community-based selection process (i.e.: The Garage-South Surrey Youth Centre).

C. Neighbourhood parks and amenities tend to be used by fewer people than City and community parks, are more difficult to name for their location, and therefore should be given names recognizing:
   (a) Special features or major plant life indigenous to parks (i.e.: Cottontail tot lot);
   (b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located (i.e.: Moffat Memorial Park);
   (C) Local street and subdivision names, excluding real estate and development companies (i.e.: Strawberry Hill Park);
   (d) Significant donors or sponsors, including real estate and development companies who make a significant donation in excess of normal development cost charges;
   (e) Former property owner who donated the land (i.e.: Bell Park).

D. Greenbelts, linkages and conservation areas may never be developed as parks and should be assigned numbers for reference purposes in accordance with the grid system used to identify planning/engineering map detail. Where community groups
propose to name a greenbelt, these areas may also be treated as neighbourhood parks.

2. Whenever a park has come to be known traditionally but not officially by a name which is not so familiar to the name of an existing park to be confusing, then serious consideration should be given to formal adoption of the traditional name.

3. Consideration may be given to naming parks and amenities after outstanding community volunteers. Consideration may also be given to naming parks and amenities after retired City employees, if the employee has given outstanding service to the City and/or has made a noteworthy contribution to the well-being of the City.

4. Consideration should be given to naming donated park sites and facilities constructed on donated land after the donor.

5. Parks immediately adjacent to existing school sites should bear the same name as the school (neighbourhood and community parks).

6. The preferred methods for selecting a name are:
   - through popular choice either via a petition, school contest, or other form of community involvement;
   - as a result of donation and/or sponsorship.

7. Naming parks or amenities after sponsors can only be done in accordance with the city's Sponsorship Policy.

PRACTICES WHICH SHOULD BE AVOIDED

1. Naming a park after a current politician.

2. Naming a park or facility after a nearby but not immediately adjacent school or institution that may lead to confusion regarding their respective locations.

Integration with school sites to be carried out where possible.
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