Present:
Councillor Hepner – Chairperson
Councillor Gill
T. Allen, School Board Trustee
C. Annable
R. Benson
B. Burnside
R. Gorman
S. Hundal
G. Rai

Regrets:

Staff Present:
L. Cavan, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture
O. Croy, Manager, Parks
D. Vestergaard, Administrative Coordinator, Parks, Recreation & Culture
L. Anderson, Legislative Services

Guest Observers:

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

It was Moved by T. Allen
Seconded by C. Annable
That the minutes of the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee of January 15, 2014, be adopted.
Carried

B. DELEGATIONS

1. Patrick Klassen, Parks Planner and Andrew Robertson, ISL Engineering and Land Services

Patrick Klassen, Parks Planner and Andrew Robertson, Landscape Architecture Manager, ISL Engineering and Land Services, were in attendance to provide a presentation on the Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan and to seek the Committee’s endorsement for a preferred concept plan. A PowerPoint presentation was given, providing an overview of the steps taken to develop the concept plan for Hazelgrove Park and additional comments were as follows:

- The Hazelgrove Park project is a joint school-park site within East Clayton NCP. Identified as a community level park that will serve the outdoor recreation needs of the community, the 3.5 hectare (8.6 acre) park site, adjacent to the Metro Vancouver Clayton Water Reservoir, will be the largest park in the East Clayton community.

- A land exchange is underway to facilitate park and reservoir construction. It is anticipated that the Land Exchange Agreement will be forwarded to Council for consideration on February 24, 2014.

- Consultants developed a very comprehensive design based on a list of design considerations given for the planning of the park site:
  - Ensure meaningful public and stakeholder consultation;
- Provide a balance of active and passive public amenities for all age groups, particularly youth;
- Provide greenspace and natural areas in neighbourhood;
- Consider public safety, existing traffic, parking concerns and park maintenance; and
- Ensure universal access and design.

- Phase 1 of the plan (Sept. – Dec., 2013) was to gather ideas and feedback through community engagement. As a result, there were two Open Houses, two Stakeholder Engagement events, an online CitySpeaks survey, social media and the website. Participation was very strong, with over 200 attendees to the Open House events, 79 survey responses and over 100 youth engaged in active design.

- The top five amenities supported for the park design were: a walking loop; washrooms; a spray park, playground and a natural forest area. Tennis courts and a parking lot for the park were also popular requests.

- Based on the initial consultation process, three concept options were drafted (drawings shown) and reviewed at the second Open House. Of the three options presented, two received the most support (options A and B), noting there were a number of similarities.

- In combining options A and B, the design team came up with a preferred concept plan that includes a single soccer field with the east side of the field having open space for spectators and picnicking and the west side providing an activity area for tennis and basketball as well as a youth park, washrooms and a parking lot to accommodate 35 cars.

- East of the site the existing trees will be retained. The area to the north of the soccer field will be renaturalized to provide a larger area with walking paths and a 100m racing track.

- There is a Fortis gas main that will be a greenway that connects to 192 Street and will serve as an important connection.

- The remnant parcel from the land exchange will not be developed right away, however some of the future programming options and elements were explored and as a result, a BMX track has been included in the preferred plan as future development.

- Options have been discussed with Hazelgrove Elementary to utilize their parking lot during any potential tournaments that utilize both soccer fields; would provide an additional 70 parking stalls.

- Next steps:
  - Site clearing late February, 2014, prior to the bird nesting window.
  - Construction to begin summer 2014.
  - Park opening summer 2015 (soccer field summer, 2016.)
The memorandum, dated February 11, 2014, from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, entitled “Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan”, was reviewed.

It was Moved by T. Allen
Seconded by R. Gorman
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, dated February 11, 2014, entitled “Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan”, as information, and that a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.

Carried

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

It was Moved by T. Allen
Seconded by R. Gorman
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council:

1. Receive the report from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, dated February 11, 2014, entitled “Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan”, as information (attached as Appendix A); and

2. Endorse the Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

Carried

2. Mike Fox, Sport Tourism Manager and Cathy James, Surrey Tourism

Mike Fox, Sport Tourism Manager and Cathy James, Surrey Tourism, were in attendance to update the Committee on the Sport Tourism Highlights of 2013. A PowerPoint presentation, which included an overview of the vision, the strategy and tactics for Surrey’s Sport Tourism organization, together with actual performance statistics for 2013 and future goals, was provided. Comments were as follows:

- Canada has surged to second place across the world for international sports events, due primarily to a strong period of landing world championships in curling, swimming and hockey, as well as two major events scheduled for 2015: Pan/Parapan American Games and the FIFA Women’s World Cup.
- For Surrey, 2013 was a good and positive year. Performance for 2013 saw the target number of sport tourism room nights surpassed by 24.12%. Actual sport tourism room nights were 16,756, which is 6.4% of the total projected nights for all tourism for the year (across the country the average is 4 - 6%).
- There are approximately 1,600 hotel room nights available in Surrey of which there are only 1,100 room nights in branded hotels.
- A month by month graph of the 2013 hotel room nights booked was shown noting a spike in March during Spring Break (typically a busy month of soccer
tournaments) and another spike in November representing the CCAA Women’s Soccer National Championships.

- A further graph of room nights booked in 2013, broken down by sport, was shown. It was noted that the majority of the rooms were booked for baseball, aquatics and soccer events.

- Confirmed events for 2014 include:
  - Superhearts Female Hockey Tournament *(happened in January – a record 45 teams)*
  - CSA U-18 Soccer National Championships (Coastal FC)
  - Scotiabank Canadian Open Fastpitch Championships
  - Baseball/Softball Provincial Championships (7)
  - Pee wee Female Provincial Hockey Championships
  - Whitecaps Soccer Showcase *(only having one weekend this year – 34 teams booked in hotel rooms already)*
  - Whitecaps Adidas Cup *(21 teams booked so far – 500-600 room nights already committed)*
  - Mayors Cup Soccer Tournament *(230 teams already registered - tends to have a lot of local teams)*
  - SX Cup Soccer Tournament Optimists International Youth Curling *(new event hosting)*

- The 3 Year Plan was reviewed, noting a steady increase in the projected total of room nights from 16,756 (actual in 2013) to 20,000 for 2016, representing the impact of having two new aquatic facilities, increased sport field capacity, Tennis Centre of Excellence and additional ice pads at Surrey Sport and Leisure Centre, fully constructed by 2016.

- Similarly, it is anticipated that RFP’s (sales leads from Sport Surrey) will increase from 17 in 2013 to 26 for 2016.

- With respect to the economic impact for hosting an event, estimates using the 2016 Women’s World Fastpitch Championship (July 15 – 24, 2016) with the Sport Tourism Economic Assessment Model (STEAM), indicate that the event will likely support 95 jobs, generate $3,094,110 in taxes and have a total impact of $14,698,108. (STEAM is an accepted economic model used across Canada.)

- Additional information on the facilities available, upcoming events, etc., can be found on the website www.sportsurrey.com.

The Committee thanked the delegation for the presentation and update and commended Mr. Fox for the successful results to-date.

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture noted that for Sport Surrey and Surrey Tourism, some of the biggest challenges are finding events where all of the elements are available. The spectator element is one of the constraints. Every effort is made to ensure that the City has the facilities to meet the need of certain bids, but not having the spectator facilities does hold back certain opportunities.

Image building for the City of Surrey (television attention, etc.) was also discussed briefly, noting that there may not be a large participation, but there is the ability to draw significant media and television coverage of various City events and/or the utilization of City facilities for training that provides significant image building for Surrey (e.g. potential 2015 FIFA Women’s World Cup training locations).
3. Blake Choquer

Re: To advocate for an amendment to the plan for Dufferin Park to include tennis instead of basketball.

It was reported that the delegation was not available for this presentation.

C. STAFF PRESENTATION

1. Darryl McCarron, Marketing and Communications Manager

Darryl McCarron, Marketing and Communications Manager, was in attendance to provide a presentation on Naming Rights for Parks and Recreation Facilities. A PowerPoint presentation, which provided an overview of the policies and guidelines, examples within Surrey and directions in other cities, together with guiding principles and suggested recommendations, was given. Additional comments were as follows:

- Naming rights is a type of sponsorship whereby an external company, organization, enterprise, association or individual purchases the exclusive right to name an asset or venue (e.g. a building or part of a facility – a room within a facility, etc.) for a fixed period or indefinitely.

- There are two types of naming rights:
  - Financial-based naming rights where cash is exchanged for the name by a corporation (sometimes with a logo), an individual or a community organization; and
  - Non-financial naming rights where there is no financial consideration and generally naming is commemorative or philanthropic in nature.

- The City of Surrey is governed by its policy for sponsorship. Competitive bids are considered on a case by case basis; over $350,000 requires Council consideration.

- Department policy for Parks and Facilities naming – guides commemorative naming.

- Guidelines for advertising in parks is generally limited to chainlink fencing and sport groups – aligns with City policy for “advertising on City mediums”.

- It is important to have expectations. Based on market research, best practices and past studies, Surrey facilities might expect to target revenue in the range of $50-$75,000 per year for select facilities for naming rights.

- Any revenues would need to consider costs associated with annual staff and operating budgets related to sponsor management or broker fees if commissioned.

- Public sentiment is another thing to consider. Results of an extensive research by the City of Vancouver in 2005 to gauge public support on naming rights indicated that there is public favour of individual philanthropic
contributions for arts and recreation facilities over direct commercial company naming rights. Corporate logos on public buildings received limited support unless P3 sports entertainment venues or through capital programs with select partners for select facilities on a case by case basis.

- Commercial or corporate naming rights need special attention, policy and devoted staff to negotiate and implement. Further study is needed to determine fit of naming rights as a capital project component or blanket opportunity.

- Initial research indicates that there are limited examples of municipal led recreation facility naming rights, and opportunities are not evident without proactive sponsor development programs in place.

- The City of Surrey is fortunate to have been very successful with sponsorship opportunities through various event experiences, etc. however in the current marketplace, there isn’t anybody beating down the door to provide sponsorship, it must be continually sought after.

- In conclusion, it is recommended that the City:
  - Consider implications for other sponsor programs (e.g. libraries, hospitals, major gifts programs, special events, etc.);
  - Define and position naming rights opportunities within the City’s “Wishing Well” web pages;
  - Conduct a CitySpeaks survey to test public sentiment in relation to potential policy directions and targets; and
  - For future budgets, take a long-term approach and work toward a devoted “Sponsorship Development or Relationship Manager” or “Resource Development Officer” on contract to oversee the program.

The Committee expressed their appreciation for the presentation and commented as follows:

- Whether it is corporations or individuals with large sums of money, an active strategy to solicit sponsorship is needed (e.g. see Sport Tourism presentation item B.2 above). We all know the demographic shifts, etc., there should be a strategy where Marketing and Communications are working with Sport Tourism to look for that; we know Surrey has flagship facilities and so many good things are happening in Surrey, we should have a strategy together as there will be many opportunities over the next 5-10 years of chasing dollars.

- On a shorter term approach, we can create a sponsorship presence on the City’s website and conduct a CitySpeak survey to get public sentiment, but to really pursue sponsorship opportunities on a full time basis will require resources that the City does not have at this time.

- Realistically we are moving into an environment with new facilities which is creating a lot of excitement. We should look at fee service or external bodies to assist us. We have two amazing new aquatic facilities for which it is impossible to imagine there would be anyone not wanting to put their name on; it is all a question of how much it will be worth.
• There are number of development organizations that specialize and are successful in achieving all types of sponsorships, which could be considered.

In response to the Committee’s comments, the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture noted that staff will review potential options to bring back to the Committee and/or Council.

D. OUTSTANDING BUSINESS

1. Request to Amend the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480

File: 3900-20/F

The memorandum, dated February 11, 2014, from the Manager of Parks, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism:

1. Receive the report as information; and

2. Recommend to Council that the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480 not be amended by deleting Section 9.

The Manager of Parks reported that the RCMP and By-law Officers feel that the by-law provision, which has been in place for quite a long time, is an important and effective tool to have that assists in moving people out of parks, in particular if they are causing a disturbance. Furthermore, the City’s Risk Manager strongly agrees there is a need to retain Section 9 of By-law 13480 as permitting the use of parks after dark (which are not designed for use after dark), significantly raises the risk which has cost implications for the City in potential claims and/or litigation.

In addition to the hazards of tripping, etc., the costs associated with illegal night time use of parks, outdoor pools and other unlighted City facilities, has been reported in excess of $845,000, not including the additional undocumented costs of managing the results of illegal night time activity in Surrey’s park system.

It was Moved by C. Annable
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Manager of Parks, dated February 11, 2014, entitled “Request to Amend the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480” as information, and that a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.
Carried
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

It was Moved by C. Annable
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council:

1. Receive the report from the Manager of Parks, dated February 11, 2014, entitled "Request to Amend the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480", as information (attached as Appendix B); and

2. Not support the request to amend the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480 by deleting Section 9.

Carried

E. NEW BUSINESS

1. Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan
File: 6140-20/H

The memorandum, dated February 11, 2014, from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed earlier in the meeting under item B.1 above.

2. Newton Athletic Park Tennis Court Refurbishment
File: 6140-20/N

The memorandum, dated February 13, 2014, from the Manager of Parks, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report as information.

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture reported as follows:

Comments were as follows:

- As noted in the report, the City had previously identified $600,000 in capital funds for a full replacement of the tennis facility in 2016, as part of the Build Surrey Program, however the Tennis Club had expressed concern with respect to the current condition of the courts and requested, by way of a delegation to the Finance Committee, that interim repairs be done to keep the courts in good working condition. As a result, the capital program is being advanced for the work to be done in 2014 which, with the receipt of newer estimates, will be achieved at a lower cost than originally anticipated.

- To minimize the disruption to the tennis program during the upgrades, arrangements have been made to ensure the Kennedy courts are in top shape to use during the construction period.

- In terms of the other work scheduled for the Newton Athletic Park, it is anticipated that most of the work will be completed by the fall 2014; ensuring minimal disruption to the amenities throughout the summer.
• The current construction schedule is to have the waterpark completed by the fall 2014, for use to begin in May, 2015. Staff will be taking another look at the schedule to see if there is an opportunity to complete the waterpark sooner.

It was Moved by R. Gorman
Seconded by B. Burnside
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Manager of Parks, dated February 13, 2014, entitled “Newton Athletic Park Tennis Court Refurbishment”, as information.

Carried

3. Naming of One (1) Park in Surrey
File: 6140-20/BU

The memorandum, dated February 11, 2014, from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed.

It was reported that in 2009 Mr. Fred Buchamer sold acreage to the City for 25% of the value. There were a number of conditions attached to the sale, mainly that the park would be maintained as a natural area along with development of some trails and renaming the property to Buchamer Park. It is it fair to say the community will recognize the park as Buchamer lands.

Demolition of the house will take place soon and an outline of the plan for the lands will be brought to the Committee for review.

It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive the report as information;

2. Recommend to Council that the name “Buchamer Park” for the park lots currently labelled 112N – Greenbelt, be adopted; and

3. That a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council’s information.

It was Moved by T. Allen
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, dated February 11, 2014, entitled “Naming of One (1) Park in Surrey” as information, and that a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.

Carried
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

It was Moved by T. Allen
Seconded by R. Benson
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council:

1. Receive the report from the Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager, dated February 11, 2014, entitled “Naming of One (1) Park in Surrey” (attached as Appendix C) as information; and

2. That the name “Buchamer Park” for the park lots currently labelled 112N – Greenbelt, attached as Appendix 1 to the report, be adopted.

Carried

4. **2013 Grants, Sponsorship & Revenue Enhancements**

   File: 1390-30

   The memorandum, dated February 12, 2014, from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the memorandum as information.

   There was a brief discussion regarding the lower revenue reported from the cold beverage contract. It was reported that, with the City’s move towards a healthier strategy and support of drinking regular tap water instead of bottled water, there has been a shift to removing drinks in vending machines and providing fitness locations with the ability to fill water bottles, resulting in a lower cold beverage contract value. It was further noted that some of the locations have now have their own independent concessions.

   PRC staff were commended for their significant accomplishment in being able to secure almost $4 million annually through partnerships and corporate sponsors. Their ability to continually reach out and establish great relationships with the corporate community is greatly appreciated and is integral to the ongoing success of the City’s PRC programming.

   It was Moved by T. Allen
   Seconded by C. Annable
   That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, dated February 12, 2014, entitled “2013 Grants, Sponsorship & Revenue Enhancements”, as information.

   Carried
5. Beer Gardens License Days
   File: 0360-20

   The memorandum from the City Clerk, dated February 18, 2014, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council approve:

   1. The 2014 beer garden days in the City of Surrey, as outlined in Appendix “A” of the memorandum; and

   2. That prior to all organizations receiving approval for beer gardens, details of which charitable organization or community project will receive proceeds from their event will be provided.

   A brief discussion ensued with respect to the beer gardens that operated at a loss in 2013. Staff reported that a review of the beer garden application process will be done in advance of the 2015 application date which will also address this concern.

   COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

   It was Moved by T. Allen
   Seconded by S. Hundal
   That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee recommend that Council approve:

   1. The 2014 beer garden days in the City of Surrey, as outlined in Appendix A of the memorandum from the City Clerk, dated February 18, 2014 (attached as Appendix D); and

   2. That prior to all organizations receiving approval for beer gardens, details of which charitable organization or community project will receive proceeds from their event will be provided.

   Carried

6. 2014 Parks, Recreation and Culture Service Delivery Plan
   File: 0115-01

   The memorandum, dated February 19, 2014, from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, regarding the above subject line, was reviewed. It was recommended that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the memorandum as information.

   It was Moved by C. Annable
   Seconded by S. Hundal
   That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the report from the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture, dated February 19, 2014, entitled “2014 Parks, Recreation and Culture Service Delivery Plan”, as information.

   Carried
F. ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

G. CORRESPONDENCE

H. INFORMATION ITEMS

I. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Verbal Update

L. Cavan, GM, Parks, Recreation and Culture, provided the following update:

- **Clayton Lawnbowling** - Renovation of the Clayton Lawnbowling fieldhouse is expected to get underway next week, with an anticipated completion date in mid-June, 2014.

- **Fergus Watershed Park** - Parks staff continue to identify and map key habitat improvements at Fergus Watershed Park. A park master plan will be presented at an upcoming Committee meeting.

- **The Dart's Hill AGM** (February 8th) – Plans for 2014 were discussed. The design for a new pond was presented and a steering committee has been struck to guide the detailed design of the pond. The new pond will be a focal point in the garden and provide many new opportunities for different varieties of plants to grow at Darts Hill.

- **Parkit** - The 2014 design challenge for Parkit will be issued shortly. This is the 3rd year of the very successful temporary park located in City Centre. The design challenge provides a chance for the public to win an opportunity to design and construct the temporary park. Last year there were 12 great entries; we hope for even more entries this year.

With respect to having food vending within the City’s parks, staff are currently looking at a broader option of available locations which will be forwarded to Council soon.

- **Surrey Mayors Cup** (March 15th and 16th) – This is BC's Premier Youth Soccer Tournament. Boys and Girls teams from U9 to U18 will participate and compete in this showcase tournament that attracts teams from as far away as Edmonton, Calgary, Kelowna and Kamloops. This year the organizers are setting their sights on a 250-team event that will have games hosted on 50 grass and synthetic turf fields throughout the city.

- **Family Day** – There were lots of activities for families to enjoy on Family Day at the Cloverdale Recreation Centre and at Clayton Hall, on Monday, February 10th.

Of note: Staff has been focusing on the Cloverdale Recreation Centre and how it is being utilized, as there is a great demand during the afternoon and evening times for drop-in classes and weight training opportunities.
• **Adapted weight training program with Milieu** - In February 2014 started a pilot adapted weight training program in partnership with Milieu Family Services. The program focuses on introducing the basics and steps of using a weight room at a recreation centre. The participants are learning basic exercises and how to use the various machines and pieces of equipment. The goal of the program is to have the participants feel more comfortable in a recreation centre weight room and eventually attend on their own.

• **Play On** - This past fall we continued with the ‘Play On’ after school program for elementary school students with physical disabilities. The Play On program focuses on developing physical literacy and social skills through fun games and activities. The program, in partnership with the Surrey School District, ran at two schools (in the Cloverdale and Guildford area) with great success. The City of Surrey and Surrey School District have developed this program from the ground up which has definitely resulted in a positive development and improvement, socially and physically, of all the participants.

It was Moved by R. Gorman
Seconded by S. Hundal
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee receive the update from the G.M. Parks, Recreation and Culture as information.

Carried

2. **Calendar of Events**

In addition to the listing of events provided, it was further noted that the official opening of New City Hall will be held in conjunction with Party for the Planet on Saturday, April 26th.

3. **South Surrey Athletic Park – Parking Concerns**

Further to the concerns expressed at the January 15, 2014, Committee meeting with respect to vehicles parking at the South Surrey Athletic Park, it was reported that the problems persist with vehicles parking at the drive through area designated as a drop-off point only (near Field #9 and on the roadway by Field #10, right up to the arena) and seem to be increasing. It is very dark and children are often seen suddenly running out to the vehicles without paying attention.

It was again requested that the area be actively patrolled as a reminder that parking is prohibited.

J. **NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee will be held on **Wednesday, April 16, 2014, at 7:00 p.m.** in **Meeting Room 2E – Community Room A.**
As it will be the first meeting of the Committee at New City Hall, a brief tour will be provided 20 minutes prior to the start of the meeting.

**K. ADJOURNMENT**

It was Moved by B. Burnside
Seconded by R. Gorman
That the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee do now adjourn.

Carried

The Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee adjourned at 8:57 p.m.

Jane Sullivan, City Clerk

Councillor Hepner, Chair

Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee
TO: Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee  
FROM: Parks, Planning, Research and Design Manager  
DATE: February 11th, 2014  
FILE: 6140-20/H

RE: Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan

RECOMMENDATION

The Parks, Recreation and Culture Department recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report as information;

2. Endorse the Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park attached as Appendix 1 to this report; and

3. Attach a copy of this report to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.

BACKGROUND

Hazelgrove Park was designated as a combined school and park site within the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The NCP identified the park as community level, with a program to serve the outdoor recreation needs of the community, including amenities for team sports, active play and youth. A park location map is attached to this report as Appendix 2.

The park site is located between 190 and 191 Street north of Hazelgrove Elementary and south of the Metro Vancouver Clayton water reservoir. Metro Vancouver is planning to expand the water reservoir to serve the needs of the growing community. To maximize the utility of the park site and to facilitate the reservoir expansion, the City and Metro Vancouver are coordinating a land exchange. This exchange will result in a park site of 3.5 hectares (8.6 acres) which will make it the largest park in East Clayton. The resulting boundary of the land exchange is reflected in the Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park. Details of the land exchange will be presented for consideration at the February 24 Council meeting.

The existing site is undeveloped and is characterized by new growth of Black Cottonwood and Red Alder saplings with a combination of blackberry and native shrub understory. There is a grove of Douglas Fir, Western Red Cedar and Big Leaf Maple trees at the east end of the site which will be retained. The surrounding neighbourhood is characterised as single family and multi-family residential development.
DISCUSSION

A comprehensive Engagement and Communication Plan was developed for the project to ensure that stakeholders and the public were involved and had opportunities for meaningful engagement in the design process. The Engagement and Communication Plan included two phases to provide opportunities for ideas gathering, concept development and concept refinement.

Summary of Phase 1 Engagement

Phase 1 of the Engagement and Communications Plan was developed to gather community ideas and preferences regarding park features and design. The following engagement opportunities were provided during September and October, 2013:

- Online CitySpeaks survey sent to East Clayton area residents
- Online CitySpeaks survey open to the public
- Public Open House on September 10, 2013
- Hazelgrove Elementary Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting, September 30, 2013
- Youth Outreach
  - Youth Fest on September 21, 2013
  - Youth engagement sessions on October 9, 2013 with two groups of grade 7 classes at Hazelgrove Elementary School

A total of 79 online survey respondents, over 200 open house attendees and over 100 youth participated in the preliminary consultation and provided their ideas for the design of the park. The first open house was advertised through mail-outs to 1,200 households, large on-site signs, local newspapers, City of Surrey website, as well as the City Facebook and Twitter pages. The information presented at the Phase 1 open house is attached to this report as Appendix 3.

The results of the first phase of engagement indicated a strong desire for active amenities. The preferred park amenities chosen by participants were a playground, water spray park, walking loops and trails, picnic areas, a washroom building, tennis courts and a parking lot. Preserving the grove of trees at the east of the site was also a priority. There was a slight preference for completing the north end of 191 Street as a cul-de-sac as a means to preserve the tree grove. The top youth amenity identified was parkour1. Three preliminary park options, attached as Appendix 4, were developed based on the ideas generated through the Phase 1 engagement process. These preliminary park options were presented for feedback within the Phase 2 engagement process.

Summary of Phase 2

Phase 2 of the Engagement and Communications Plan was developed to gather feedback and preferences on park options and design. The following engagement opportunities were provided during November and December, 2013:

- Public Open House, and
- Online CitySpeaks survey open to the public

---

1 The activity or sport of moving rapidly through an area, typically in an urban environment, negotiating obstacles by running, jumping, and climbing.
Over 70 people attended the public open house on November 19, 2013 and 37 completed a survey. The second open house was advertised through mail-out to 1,200 households, large on-site signs, local newspapers, City of Surrey website, as well as the City Facebook and Twitter pages. Additionally, direct emails were sent to a project list of consenting participants that was collected through the first phase of engagement. The information presented at the Phase 2 open house is attached to this report as Appendix 5.

Three park options were presented through the second phase of engagement. Park Options A and B showed a single soccer field with a balance of active amenities, passive open space and natural areas. Option C showed a second soccer field to maximize opportunity for organized field sports, however with less variety of active amenities and reduced space for passive park use. Participants were asked to rank each park option and provide feedback on the specific design elements of each. Respondents were largely in support of Options A and B, which provided a similar balance of amenity, while Option C, which featured two soccer fields and less overall amenity, was ranked as least favourable. Respondents also provided preferences on the type and location of each amenity. Based on the feedback and preferences received through the second phase of engagement, the design team have combined the preferred elements of Options A and B into the single preferred Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park presented within this report. A full summary of Phase 1 and 2 Engagement is attached to this report as Appendix 6.

The final preferred Concept Plan includes a centrally located regulation size soccer field, which compliments the existing soccer field on the adjacent school site. Open lawn is located on either side of the soccer field to provide spectator and warm-up areas, as well as spaces for family picnicking. An active amenity node is located west of the soccer field, featuring a playground and water spray park, two tennis courts, a basketball and ball hockey court, a youth parkour course and a washroom building. A parking lot for 35 vehicles with access off of 190 Street is also planned in this area. The eastern portion of the park, north and east of the soccer field, features a natural area node located around the existing grove of Douglas Fir, Western Red Cedar and Big Leaf Maple trees. This area will be naturalized with additional tree plantings and pathways will be constructed to provide opportunities for residents to enjoy the forest. In total, over 100 large canopy trees will be planted within the park site and on adjacent street boulevards.

Park amenities, including the washroom building, have been placed with consideration to public safety. Maximum site-lines from adjacent roads will minimize areas of concealment within the park and the design of structures will reduce graffiti and vandalism as much as possible. Amenities have also been placed with optimal adjacencies; for example, the water spray park and the playground adjacent to the washroom building and picnicking areas, to make the various park spaces as comfortable and user friendly as possible.

In addition to a providing a variety of active and passive park amenities, the final preferred concept plan also addresses the objective of the East Clayton NCP to promote natural drainage through the use of on-site storm water management practices. A bio-swale along the western edge of the park will infiltrate and detain storm water run-off from the parking lot, reducing the flow of water into the storm water system. The bio-swale will also reduce the burden placed on the sanitary system by filtering and de-chlorinating run-off from the water spray park through infiltration and diversion to the storm water system.
Next Steps

Detailed design will begin following Council approval of the preferred concept plan. Construction of Hazelgrove Park is anticipated to begin in the summer of 2014, funded through Park Amenity Contributions collected throughout the East Clayton NCP area. Site clearing is scheduled for late February 2014 prior to the bird nesting season.

SUMMARY

The Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park includes a variety of amenities for the East Clayton community including a new playground, walking paths, lawn areas and sports field. The final Concept Plan, attached as Appendix 1 to this report, delivers the park amenities most requested by the community through an extensive public engagement process.

Following the report going to the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee and receiving their endorsement of the Plan, the General Manager will bring the Plan forward to Council for a presentation at Council in Committee and request Council endorsement of the Plan.

Parks, Recreation and Culture staff recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report as information;
2. Endorse the Concept Plan for Hazelgrove Park attached as Appendix 1 to this report; and
3. Attach a copy of this report to the minutes of the meeting for Council reference.

Ted Uhrich
Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager

Attachments:
Appendix 1 – Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan
Appendix 2 – Hazelgrove Park Context Map
Appendix 3 – Open House #1 Presentation Boards
Appendix 4 – Preliminary Park Concept Plans
Appendix 5 – Open House #2 Presentation Boards
Appendix 6 – Engagement Summary
Hazelgrove Park
Concept Plan

Appendix 1 - Hazelgrove Park Concept Plan
Appendix 2 - Hazelgrove Park Context Map
Help Shape the Design of Hazelgrove Park

1. Place a coloured dot to indicate where you live on the map.
   If you live outside the area shown on the map, please place your dot in the white space around the map.

2. Sign in, take a comment form booklet and view the displays. We encourage you to provide your ideas and input by completing the survey booklet and on the display boards with questions using the markers provided.

Project team members are available to provide you with information and answer your questions about the project.
Show us Where you Live
Project Description

Hazelgrove Park was identified as a new park site within the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan. The site, located between 190 and 191 Street north of Hazelgrove Elementary, will be developed as a community park with outdoor recreation and green space, including features for team sports and play.

The future park will be approximately 3.5 hectares (8.65 acres) in size, making it the largest park in East Clayton.

The City of Surrey, together with ISL Engineering and Land Services and PUBLIC Architecture, are working to complete the design of Hazelgrove Park. The Team is coordinating their planning with Metro Vancouver to align plans for the Clayton Water Reservoir Expansion and Hazelgrove Park.

Ideas and input from community members, residents, stakeholders and potential park users are needed to help determine park concept options that will be presented at a public open house in November 2013.
Site Context Map
Project Background

- Hazelgrove Park is one of two joint school/park sites within the East Clayton Neighborhood.
- Hazelgrove Elementary School, developed in 2010 to the south of the park, occupies approximately 2.36 hectares.
- The park site is approximately 3.5 hectares (8.65 acres) and is centrally located within walking distance of the surrounding community.
- North of the park is Metro Vancouver's Clayton Water Reservoir that provides water for the growing community.
- The proposed park space has been reconfigured to optimize the layout of the park features while ensuring adequate space for future reservoir expansion.

Park Vision

Hazelgrove Park will be a major destination within East Clayton and will serve as an active and passive recreation space as well as a gathering space and social heart of the community.
### Design Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Shared Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Surrey Land Use Plans</td>
<td>Opportunities for shared use facilities between Hazelgrove Park and Elementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 Parks, Recreation and Culture Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Vancouver Reservoir Expansion plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide public amenities (e.g. Civic and recreation centre, sports fields, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input and ideas in park design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide neighbourhood youth activities and amenities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input and ideas in park design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide greenspace and natural areas in neighbourhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-35% park tree canopy coverage (East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan goal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site stormwater drainage (East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan goal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife, including bird and small animal habitat areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential park vandalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public perceptions of safety in park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic and Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned 72nd Ave road widening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic congestion along 191st St at Elementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car parking required for new park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long term feasibility including costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universal Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usable by the broadest range of users, regardless of their age or ability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tell Us Your Ideas!

All ideas and input received at the open house, upcoming stakeholder events and the CitySpeaks survey will be compiled, analyzed and considered in determining park concept options. An open house for the public review of the concept options will be held in November 2013. The preferred concept will be presented to Council in early 2014.

Write your ideas and input using the markers provided on the following question board displays.

Your ideas and input are important to shaping the design of Hazelgrove Park.
Tell Us Your Ideas!

What aspects do you like most about your neighbourhood and should be considered in the design for Hazelgrove Park?

Tell Us Your Ideas!

I want Hazelgrove Park to be...
Tell Us Your Ideas!

Please review the proposed property lines to consolidate park land and preserve space for future reservoir expansion.

Do you have any comments or concerns?
Tell Us Your Ideas!

What are your “Top 5” park features?
Tell Us Your Ideas!

Do you have any concerns about the park development? If so, please explain below.

Tell Us Your Ideas!

What park features belong together and why?
Tell Us Your Ideas!

Do you have concerns regarding traffic, congestion and parking along 191 Street and at the intersection of 191 Street and 70 Avenue? If so, please explain below.
Phase 1: Community Engagement

- Open House 1
- Stakeholder Engagement Events (2)
- Open House 2

Outcome: Schematic Park Plan

Phase 2: Approvals

- Parks Committee Presentation
- Council Presentation

Outcome: Park Plan Approval

Phase 3: Detailed Design & Tender

- Design development
- Costings
- Construction drawing preparation
- Contract tendered
- Tender evaluation

Outcome: Park Construction Tender Set Contractor selected

Phase 4: Park Construction

- Park Construction

Outcome: Park constructed

Phase 5: Park Opening

Note: Sports field(s), if planned, completed in 2018

Spring 2015*
Next Steps

1. **Community and youth stakeholder events**
   During September/October 2013 to gather additional ideas and feedback.

2. **A public open house and CitySpeaks survey**
   November 2013 to present and gather feedback on park concept options and share how the community's ideas informed the park design.

How to Stay Involved

For more information or to join the contact list:

- **Visit** the project website at: www.surrey.ca/newparks
- **Email**: Parks Planning & Design at: parksrecculture@surrey.ca
- **Call**: 604-501-5050
- **Visit** the CitySpeaks site at: www.cityspeaks.ca
- "**Like**" the City's Facebook page at: www.facebook.com/thecityofsurrey
- "**Follow**" the City's Twitter page at: www.twitter.com/cityofsurrey or @cityofsurrey hashtag #Surreyparks
Option A

- Curb alignment for future widened 72 Ave
- Basketball Court (1)
- Maltase Court (1)
- Metro Vancouver Reservoir Access Road
- Metro Vancouver Reservoir Structure Phase 2
- Metro Vancouver Reservoir Structure Phase 1
- Right of Way Road
- Tennis Courts (2)
- Maye Wall
- Picnic Tables
- Playground
- Maltase Lawn
- Splash Pad
- Feature Tree Row
- Parking Lot
- Picnic Pavilion
- Washrooms
- Picnic Tables
- Warm Up Lawn
- Soccer Field
- Stormwater Detention Basin

- Existing School Soccer Field
- Existing Parking Lot

- Future greenway path connection to 72 St
- Management of private property

- Children's Nature Play
- Hazelgrove Park boundary line
- Dog tree zone
- Retain & Landscape
- Existing Tree Grove
- Retain & Landscape
- 191st Street Turning Bulb

Option A - Preliminary Park Concept Plans
Option B
Option C
Help Shape the Design of Hazelgrove Park

1. Place a coloured dot to indicate where you live on the map.
   If you live outside the area shown on the map, please place your dot in the white space around the map.

2. Sign in, take a survey and view the displays.
   We encourage you to provide your feedback by completing the survey provided.

Project team members are available to provide you with information and answer your questions about the project and design options.
Show us Where you Live
Hazelgrove Park was identified as a new park site within the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan. The site, located between 190 and 191 Street north of Hazelgrove Elementary, will be developed as a community park with outdoor recreation and green space, including features for team sports and play.

The future park will be approximately 3.5 hectares (8.65 acres) in size, making it the largest park in East Clayton.

The City of Surrey, together with ISL Engineering and Land Services and PUBLIC Architecture, are working to complete the design of Hazelgrove Park. The Team is coordinating their planning with Metro Vancouver to align plans for the Clayton Water Reservoir Expansion and Hazelgrove Park.

Feedback from community members, residents, stakeholders and potential park users are needed to help develop the recommended design for the park. The recommended design will be posted on the project website in January 2014.
Project Background

- Hazelgrove Park is one of two joint school/park sites within the East Clayton Neighborhood.
- Hazelgrove Elementary School, developed in 2010 to the south of the park, occupies approximately 2.36 hectares.
- The park site is approximately 3.5 hectares (8.65 acres) and is centrally located within walking distance of the surrounding community.
- North of the park is Metro Vancouver's Clayton Water Reservoir that provides water for the growing community.
- The proposed park space has been reconfigured to optimize the layout of the park features while ensuring adequate space for future reservoir expansion.

Park Vision

Hazelgrove Park will be a major destination within East Clayton and will serve as an active and passive recreation space as well as a gathering space and social heart of the community.
## Design Considerations

### Planning
- City of Surrey Land Use Plans
- 2008 Parks, Recreation and Culture Strategic Plan
- Metro Vancouver Reservoir Expansion plans

### Community
- Provide public amenities (e.g. Civic and recreation centre, sports fields, etc.)
- Input and ideas in park design

### Shared Use
- Opportunities for shared use facilities between Hazelgrove Park and Elementary School

### Connectivity
- East Clayton as a walkable community
- Neighbourhood greenway connections

### Safety
- Potential park vandalism
- Public perceptions of safety in park

### Youth
- Provide neighbourhood youth activities and amenities
- Input and ideas in park design

### Traffic and Parking
- Planned 72nd Ave road widening
- Traffic congestion along 191st St
- Car parking required for new park

### Environmental
- Provide greenspace and natural areas in neighbourhood
- 30-35% park tree canopy coverage (East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan goal)
- On-site stormwater drainage (East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan goal)
- Wildlife, including bird and small animal habitat areas.

### Construction
- Costs

### Maintenance
- Long term feasibility including costs

### Universal Access
- Usable by the broadest range of users, regardless of their age or ability.
What We Heard

Phase 1 of the project included several public, youth and stakeholder engagement opportunities during September and October 2013 to gather ideas and preferences regarding park features and design. Below is a summary of our key consultation findings.

**Most Popular Park Features**

- Walking loop should have little impact on the natural environment
- Washrooms located near a spray park, picnic area and playground in the south portion of the site
- Sports fields and courts kept together, soccer field(s) south west of site
- Trees, green space, walking trails and picnic areas grouped together

*Online Survey and Public Open House Survey Results – Top 5 features area residents, members of the public and open house attendees indicated as important to include in Hazeltrove Park*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spray Park/Splash Pad</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washrooms</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Loop</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Area (tables/shelters)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Survey participants were asked to identify their top 5 park features (out of 17 options) to be considered in the design of Hazeltrove Park.*

**Tree Preservation/Nature**

- Preserve existing mature trees or keep as many trees as possible
- Expand existing tree stand with natural open/green space in the area

**Park Entrance**

- Majority indicated slight preference for park entrance on 72 Avenue
What We Heard

Through Road or Cul-de-Sac at 191 Street
- mixed views on 191 Street as a cul-de-sac (dead end) vs. a through road to 72 Avenue, with slight preference for cul-de-sac.

Traffic Management
- park access should be off 72 Avenue
- Concern regarding increased traffic and speeding in residential areas especially on 190 Street and 70 Avenue.
- traffic lights or a pedestrian crosswalk at 72 Avenue and 190 Street

Parking
- high priority for parking lot due to lack of on-street parking
- support for parking lot of limited size
- slight preference for parking lot at corner of 72 Avenue or along 190 Street rather than 191 Street.
- shared use of the existing school parking lot

Most Poplar Youth Feature
- most popular: parkour/climbing, skate park, BMX and soccer fields.
- park space at 190 Street and 72 Avenue preferred location for the skate park/BMX park.

Park Features to be Kept Separate
- youth features (e.g. skate park) separate from younger children for the safety
- off leash dog area separate from children and other park amenities

Reservoir Expansion
- concern regarding fractured green space
- screened and fenced for safety and protection against vandalism
Key Transportation Considerations for Follow-up

Below are concerns and ideas raised by the community that are not part of the scope of the park project but have been forwarded to the City's Transportation Department for consideration and follow-up.

- designated crosswalks all around the park
- more crosswalks/crossings between blocks to prevent jaywalking
- traffic calming speed bumps near parks and schools
- patrol and enforcement of traffic speed
- wider roads
- no parking on the south side of 70 Ave between 190 & 191 St
- parking on 190 St only, not 191 St
- parking on 191 St for residential only
- resident only on-street parking
- residential parking permits
- increased traffic volumes and flow in area, especially during school hours and peak times
- no traffic lights
Option A
Option B
Ecological Assessment of Existing Forest Area in Park

The natural area in Hazelgrove Park is part of an ecosystem corridor that connects remnant natural areas and assists with the local migration of songbirds and small mammals.

The current natural area has:
- an undisturbed native shrub underbrush,
- a minimal percentage of invasive plants and
- numerous significant and healthy deciduous and coniferous tree

The open field (alder, blackberry) area, has limited significant species and is predominantly invasive species underbrush.

This natural area currently provides high value habitat for small mammals and songbirds.
Impacts of Potential Roadway Options on Existing Forest Area

Through Road Option

Road Format
- 2 lane road through the park
- No additional on-street parking through the park (to minimize impact)
- Right turn only at 72 Ave. No left turn onto 191 St from 72 Ave

Pros
- Provides greater vehicle connection to 72 Ave
- May alleviate school related congestion at 70 Ave and 191 St

Note: school related traffic will be reduced regardless in 2014 when Katzie Elementary opens

Cons
- Fractures natural habitat area & removes more trees
- Separates the park into two portions
- Creates additional road crossing for pathways
- Places a portion of the road on the private property to the north
- Road constructed would be delayed (due to the above point)

Cul-de-Sac Option

Road Format
- Standard cul-de-sac road end
- No additional on-street parking within the cul-de-sac

Pros
- Keeps the natural area better intact
- Provides greater habitat and protects more trees
- Allows for more pathways and less road crossings
- Cul-de-sac would be constructed with the park

Cons
- No vehicle connection from 191 St to 72 Ave
- No change to potential school related congestion at 70 Ave and 191 St

Note: school related traffic will be reduced regardless in 2014 when Katzie Elementary opens
Project Schedule

Phase 1: Community Engagement
- Open House 1
- Stakeholder Engagement Events (2)
- Open House 2
- Outcome: Schematic Park Plan

Phase 2: Approvals
- Parks Committee Presentation
- Council Presentation
- Outcome: Park Plan Approval

Phase 3: Detailed Design & Tender
- Design development
- Costings
- Construction drawing preparation
- Contract tendered
- Tender evaluation
- Outcome: Park Construction Tender Set Contractor selected

Phase 4: Park Construction
- Park Construction
- Outcome: Park constructed

Phase 5: Park Opening
- Spring 2015*
- Note: Sports field(s), if planned, completed in 2016
Next Steps

1. **Hazelgrove Park Recommended Design**
   The recommended design will be posted on the project website www.surrey.ca/newparks in January 2014. Feedback from the community at the open house and via the paper and online surveys as well as technical considerations will be considered in the recommended design.

2. **Clearing of the Open Field Area**
   The City will need to clear the open field (alder saplings and blackberry) area in January or February (2014), before bird nesting begins. This will allow for the construction of the main park site later in the summer. The primary natural area will not be impacted until a decision regarding 191 Street is made.

**How to Stay Involved**

For more information or to join the contact list:

- **Visit**: the project website at: www.surrey.ca/newparks
- **Email**: Parks Planning & Design at: parksrecculture@surrey.ca
- **Call**: 604-501-5050
- **Visit** the CitySpeaks site at: www.cityspeaks.ca
- "Like" the City’s Facebook page at: www.facebook.com/thecityofsurrey
- "Follow" the City’s Twitter page at: www.twitter.com/cityofsurrey or @cityofsurrey hashtag #Surreyparks
Summary of What We Heard

The City of Surrey is conducting a concept planning and design process for Hazelgrove Park, a new park site, within the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan. The park site, located between 190 and 191 Street and north of Hazelgrove Elementary School, will be developed as a community park with outdoor recreation and green space, including features for team sports, youth and children’s play. The future park will be approximately 3.5 hectares (8.65 acres) in size, making it the largest park in East Clayton.

A comprehensive Engagement and Communication Plan has been developed for the project to ensure that stakeholders and the public are involved and will have a meaningful voice in the development of the park.

Phase 1 Engagement

Phase 1 of the project provided the following engagement opportunities during September and October 2013 to gather community ideas and preferences regarding park features and design:

- Online CitySpeaks survey sent to East Clayton area residents
- Online CitySpeaks survey open to the public
- Public Open House on September 10, 2013 that included:
  - a survey,
  - map exercise, and
  - opportunity for attendees to share their ideas to key questions on display boards
- Youth Outreach
  - Youth Fest on September 21, 2013 that included a democracy exercise
  - Hazelgrove Elementary School Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting on September 30, 2013
  - Youth engagement sessions on October 9, 2013 with two groups of grade 7 classes at the Hazelgrove Elementary School that included:
    - presentation,
    - brainstorming session,
    - question sheets, and
    - map exercise

Key Consultation Findings:

A total of 79 online survey respondents, over 200 open house attendees (84 of which completed an open house survey) and 70 youth have participated in the process to provide their ideas for the design of Hazelgrove Park. The findings below reflect the majority view of comments received and will help to guide the design of the park site.

Tree Preservation/Nature

The preservation of the existing mature tree stand or keeping as many trees as possible in the park was a priority for the majority of respondents. There were also comments that the existing tree stand be expanded and that a natural open/green space near the tree stand be incorporated into the park design.

Through Road or Cul-de-Sac at 191 Street

There were mixed views on whether 191 Street should remain as a cul-de-sac (dead end) or be a through road to 72 Avenue. However, there was a very slight preference for keeping 191 Street as a cul-de-sac. The Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC didn’t express a preference regarding a cul-de-sac or through road for 191 Street.

Park Entrance

The majority of respondents indicated a slight preference for the park entrance to be from 72nd Avenue.
Parking
The majority of respondents indicated that a parking lot in the park for park users was a high priority due to the lack of on-street parking available. There was a slight preference for a parking lot on the west side of the park site at the corner of 72 Avenue or along 190 Street rather than 191 Street. Other ideas received for a parking lot indicated a south east location in the park site, north of the Hazelgrove Elementary School parking lot. The Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC was supportive of a parking lot being included in the park design as long as it was not an excessive amount of parking, and was also open to shared use of the existing school parking lot. Area residents had a similar view that an excessive amount of park space not be dedicated to parking.

Traffic Management
Respondents indicated that park access should be off 72 Avenue and noted increased traffic in residential areas and speeding on 190 Street and 70 Avenue. Respondents also indicated that traffic lights or a pedestrian activated light /controlled crosswalk is needed on 72 Avenue and 109th Street, to improve safety. Other ideas received to improve safety included the provision of designated crosswalks all around the park, traffic calming speed bumps near parks and schools, and patrol and enforcement of speed, and wider roads.

Most Popular Park Features
The most popular park features identified included spray park/splash pad, washrooms, playground, walking loop, picnic area/shelters and natural/forest area. It was indicated that walking loop/nature trails should have as little impact as possible on the natural environment. Comments were gathered on the locations for these features and the majority view was to locate washrooms near a spray park, picnic area, and playground in the south portion of the site, to keep sports together with like sports, with the south west portion of the site (north west of the elementary school) being a preferred location for soccer field(s), and to have trees with green space, walking trails and picnic areas together. The Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC was open to shared use of school washrooms by park users during non-school hours and for special events.

Online Survey and Public Open House Survey Results – Top 5 features area residents/members of the public and open house attendees indicated as important to include in Hazelgrove Park:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Area Residents</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Open House Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spray Park/Splash Pad</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washrooms</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Loop</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Area (tables/shelters)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Survey participants were asked to identify their top 5 park features (out of 17 options) to be considered in the design of Hazelgrove Park.
Most Popular Youth Feature
The most popular youth features identified include parkour/limbing, skate park, BMX and soccer fields. Several respondents indicated that the intersection of 190 Street and 72 Avenue would be a good location for the skate park/BMX park. The preferred location for soccer fields was the south west area of the park near the school. In the online and open house surveys, sports fields were not identified in the top 5 ranked park features but were in the top 10 ranked park features.

Park Features to be Kept Separate
Respondents noted that youth features such as parkour/limbing, skate park, BMX and soccer fields should be kept separate from park features provided for younger children for the safety of younger children. There were mixed views on whether dogs should be allowed in the park however, more respondents commented that dogs should not be allowed in the park. Respondents also indicated that if dogs were allowed in the park they should be kept separate from park features and children.

Reservoir Expansion
A few respondents indicated concern that the reservoir expansion fractured green space and crosswalks affecting the safety of pedestrians. The majority of respondents’ comments wanted the reservoir expansion to be screened and fenced for safety and to protect against vandalism.
Other

The Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC wanted policies identified for any shared use of school facilities such as the playground, parking lot and washrooms.

The Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC indicated that they would introduce gates in the fencing on the north boundary of the school site to control access to the park and that they wanted signage implemented near the school identifying the hours for public use of school amenities.

There was not a lot of support from the Hazelgrove Elementary School PAC for a tot lot feature in the park however a small, fenced play area dedicated for younger children was desirable while older children play sports in the park space.

Questions were raised about how the park space in the north west portion of the park near the reservoir facility would be used.

Detailed information on stakeholder and public responses to the information presented about the project and the survey questions posed is provided in Section 1 - Online Surveys, Section 2 - Public Open House, and Section 3 - Youth Outreach of this report. All feedback received from respondents is included in the respective appendices.

Phase 2 Engagement

Phase 2 of the project provided the following engagement opportunities during November 2013 to gather feedback and preferences regarding park options and design features proposed:

- Public Open House, and
- Online CitySpeaks survey open to the public

Key Consultation Findings

Over 70 people attended the public open house on November 19, 2013 and 37 completed a survey. Nine people completed the online survey available via CitySpeaks. The findings below reflect the majority view of comments received and will help to guide the design of the park site.

Preferred design options for Hazelgrove Park

Respondents indicated that Option B was the most favourable, followed closely by Option A. Option C was ranked as the least favourable by respondents. The park design options are included in Appendix H.
Concept A likes and dislikes
The most favourable features were the splash pad, playground, movie wall, green space, community garden, parking on 190 Street, tennis courts and soccer fields

The least favourable features were the multiuse lawn (which is seen as unused space), lack of parking, basketball court location, too many soccer fields (as the school already has one), and not enough focus on youths

Concept B likes and dislikes
The most favourable features were the BMX track, the 191 Street through road, tennis courts, parking lot location, trails and natural elements

The least favourable features were also the 191 Street through road, small parking lot size and location too far from park, too much focus on tennis, and that only one soccer field is needed

Concept C likes and dislikes
The most favourable features were the running track, BMX track, skate bowl, tennis courts, and parking location.

The least favourable features were the double soccer fields as most felt only one was needed, the lack of nature and trails, the BMX track location and the skate park

Preferred roadway and park access concepts
Respondents were in favor of the cul-de-sac on 191 Street with vehicle entrance and parking from 190 Street, followed by the future 191 Street as a through road and parking from 191 Street while the future 191 Street as a through road with vehicle entrance and parking from 190 Street was the least favourable
Preferred activity for the small park space at the corner of 72 Avenue and 190 Street
Sports courts were most favourable, followed by the BMX track and the least favourable was the skate bowl and parkour circuit for the small park at 72 Avenue and 190 Street. Results also indicated that playgrounds and natural elements such as gardens were important while graffiti and noise were concerns.

Top 3 sports courts
Key consultation findings from the open house and online surveys indicated that the tennis, ball hockey and basketball courts were the highest priority for respondents.

Playground features
Youth at the open house developed drawings and buildings and discussed their preferred playground features which included swings, slides, monkey bars, climbing web, benches, ladder, stairs and grass/trees.

Detailed information on resident and public responses to the information presented about the project and the survey questions posed is provided in Section 4 – Second Public Open House and Survey Feedback Highlights. All feedback received from respondents is included in the respective appendices.
TO: Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee
FROM: Manager of Parks
DATE: February 11, 2014
FILE: 3900-20/F

RE: Request to Amend the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480

The Parks, Recreation and Culture Department recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report as information; and

2. Recommend to Council that the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480 not be amended by deleting Section 9.

BACKGROUND

On December 5, 2013, Christopher Lewis wrote to Mayor and Council asking that Section 9 of the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480 (the "by-law") be removed, thereby permitting persons to be in City parks after dark. (See attached letter) Mr. Lewis also requested to appear as a delegation before Council in this matter. Council referred the delegation to the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee, which received Mr. Lewis as a delegation at the January 15, 2014 meeting.

This memo outlines for the Committee the rationale for retaining Section 9 of the by-law.

DISCUSSION

The Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law No. 13480, Section 9, states that “No person shall enter, occupy or remain within a park between dusk of one day and dawn of the immediately following day.”

Section 9 notwithstanding, the General Manager under Section 4.1 (c) may “make rules regulating and controlling persons and their activities or use of a park or park facilities....” Based on 4.1 (c), the General Manager (through delegation of authority) provides permits for the use of lighted park facilities (e.g. lighted tennis courts), or may provide general public access without permits for the use of other lighted facilities (e.g. lighted tracks for early morning walking or running).
There are several key reasons that persons are not permitted in parks and park facilities after dark unless those parks and facilities are purposefully designed for night time use:

1. Generally, unlighted parks, outdoor swimming pools and other recreation facilities covered under the by-law that are closed at night were not designed for night time use, and may contain hazards for those who use them in the dark;

2. Illicit night-time use can result in damages that cause service disruptions for day-time use. A good example of this is when refuse (e.g. broken bottles) associated with after hour use of outdoor pools may result in the facility being closed for cleaning the following day before patrons can be admitted;

3. Park neighbours are frequently negatively affected by illegal night time use and associated noise; and

4. Most willful damage and vandalism is carried out under the cover of darkness; there is a good chance that persons who are in parks after dark may be up to mischief or illegal activities. The annual cost of repair and clean-up of parks is considerable, as shown in the table below:

| Costs Associated with Illegal Night Time Use of Parks, Outdoor Pools and other Unlighted Vandalism of Park Structures, Theft and Removal of Camps | $751,800 |
| Graffiti | $17,500 |
| Fire | $4,500 |
| Trees vandalized | $4,700 |
| Clean up due to dumping | $66,850 |
| **Total** | **$845,350** |

Based on the table above, and also on additional undocumented costs associated with managing the City’s public outdoor pools, there are considerable costs associated with managing the results of illegal night time activity in Surrey’s park system. Further, there are considerable risks to those who would use unlighted parks, which has cost implications for the City in potential claims and/or litigation.

In an effort to minimize night time vandalism costs, the City spends an additional $233,000 per year on managing access into parks and park buildings, through gate-keeping contracts and contracts to open and close public restrooms.
The cost to upgrades neighbourhood and community parks so that they would be sufficiently well illuminated to reduce risk to night time users would be very high, and is not considered a prudent use of city funding.

**Comments from the RCMP:**

Surrey RCMP, as ex-officio Bylaw Enforcement Officers, use the provisions of this Bylaw frequently as a tool to curb gatherings in the park after dark that cause disruption to the neighborhood and frequent acts of vandalism and damage to property. Parks patrols by police officer would not be effective at all if the police have no power to enforce a bylaw.

**Comments from By-law Enforcement**

The Surrey By-law Enforcement Division has provided the opinion that enforcement is easier when there is a blanket prohibition against persons being in the parks after dark, rather than having to evaluate each circumstance of persons being found in the park on its own merit.

**Comments from the Risk Management Division**

The closure of parks after dark is a reasonable and necessary precaution to mitigate the risks that have been detailed in the Discussion. The *Occupier's Liability Act* [RSBC 1996] Chapter 337 creates the duty owed to those using City premises. The extent of the duty is contained in three criterion contained in Section 3(2): “The duty of care referred to in subsection (i) applies in relation to the:

(a) Condition of the premises,
(b) Activities on the premises, and
(c) Conduct of third parties on the premises.”

The Act in Section 3(2.2) and 3(3.3) does provide that those who enter a property to use recreational trails that are so marked, are deemed to have willingly assumed the risks associated with the activity. It is unlikely the pathways that cross parks would be deemed as recreational trails and our defence under Section 3(3.2) would most certainly fail. Given the heightened night-time safety and security risks which are outside the reasonable control of the City, the best mitigation strategy is to keep the parks closed after dark.
SUMMARY

Based on the discussion presented in this report that indicates most vandalism in parks occurs during the hours of darkness, and the risk of injury to persons using unlighted parks is considerable, staff recommend that Section 9 of the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law 13480 not be removed.

Owen Croy
Manager of Parks

Attachment: Letter from Christopher Lewis
**From:** Chris L <inchcombec@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** December-05-13 3:19PM  
**To:** Clerks Department Webmail  
**Subject:** Application for a Delegation to Mayor & Council

**PRESENTER NAME:** Christopher S. Lewis

**TOPIC:** Being in a park after dark

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** I would like the Parks Regulation Bylaw section that makes it illegal to be in a park after dark to be reconsidered. I believe that it could be causing people to be at higher risk of physical harm due to taking routes that may be more dangerous rather than risk a hefty fine. As well, handing out tickets for enjoying the parks this city has to offer simply for enjoying them at night is heavy handed. Many people who have irregular schedules or for other reasons prefer to be out at night won't be able to enjoy them at all, especially in winter. Furthermore, it also sends a poor message about the city suggesting that we simply can't trust people to be out at night and not be up to activities detrimental to the community or that it is simply not safe to be out at night.

**DETAILED SUMMARY:** I believe that the current section of the Parks Regulation Bylaw is inappropriate and frankly does not portray a good image of the city.

The current bylaw makes it illegal to simply go for a walk in a park when the sun is down. I enjoy going for walks at night. I suspect many people do, and I think it is inappropriate that simply doing so comes with the risk of having to deal with police and potentially incurring a $200 fine.

Personally, I have now had multiple encounters with RCMP members where I was questioned for being in a park at night. The first one, I was a bit surprised to learn that was even a bylaw, as it seems absurd and followed instructions to leave the park at the exit I was instructed to despite it not being the closest to my vehicle.

In hindsight, that was a bad choice and should have refused but was concerned about being arrested for doing so. I was directed to walk back down a narrow dark street with inadequate lighting that had no proper sidewalks at a time of night that drivers have reduced visibility and are possibly impaired by fatigue and/or alcohol. I have also noticed that there are many parks in Surrey that border on areas that have no proper sidewalks and inadequate lighting where walking through the park is clearly the safest option. In short, the bylaw is putting people in risk of injury for little to no public benefit.

Just under two weeks ago I received a ticket for $200 for being in a park after dark. I was not engaged in any form of criminal activity. I do not currently have any court cases impending or in progress for any kind of criminal activity. I was not being loud, vandalizing property, drinking, being a public nuisance, or any number of things that are already covered under other sections of the Parks Regulation Bylaw or that any reasonable person would realize must not be allowed for benefit of the community.

It was late at night, approximately midnight, but my activities did nothing to the detriment of the community. I
was doing laps in the park in an attempt to improve my fitness, which has been admittedly lacking lately, and not engaged in any activities that were harmful to anyone. An RCMP member showed up to investigate a report of a bylaw infraction. In this instance I decided to stand my ground, as I was doing no harm to any individual or society as a whole with my actions, and received a $200 ticket when I refused to leave until I'd finished.

This ticket is not a fault of the RCMP or their member in anyway. This problem rests solely with Surrey City Council. I was actually quite impressed with the professional conduct of the RCMP officer that wrote it and they were only fulfilling their duties. I am also not interested in being a delegate in the hopes of getting the ticket dropped nor would I be satisfied with that outcome. That section of the bylaw should be removed and people should be allowed to enjoy this city's beautiful parks at their leisure. Obviously, noise regulations, etc. should be observed by anyone choosing to do so at night. However, by making it a bylaw to ban everyone from the parks after dusk it makes it difficult for people with odd schedules, or those who simply prefer to go out at night, to enjoy the parks this city has to offer.

Finally, this also just sends a bad message about the city overall. Surrey has long had a bad reputation or been the butt of jokes at times in the lower mainland. In the last decade a lot of positive actions have been undertaken to improve the city and neutralize that. This section of the Parks Regulation Bylaw sends the message that either the good people of this city can't be trusted to be in a park after dark without getting up to acts of mischief or that the parks simply aren't safe to be in after dark. Frankly, I don't believe either is true.

Thank you for your time and I hope I will be able to raise this issue with council or the appropriate subcommittee.

Christopher S. Lewis

DESIRED OUTCOME: That council reconsider section 9 of the Parks Regulation Bylaw 1998, No. 13480 and remove in a later meeting.
TO:          Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee
FROM:       Parks Planning, Research and Design Manager
DATE:       February 11th, 2014
FILE:       6140 - 20/BU

RE:          Naming of One (1) Park in Surrey

RECOMMENDATION

The Parks, Recreation and Culture Department recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report for information;
2. Recommend to Council that the name “Buchamer Park” for the park lots currently labelled 112N - Greenbelt, be adopted; and
3. That a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council’s information.

INTENT

The purpose of this report is to seek Parks, Recreation and Sports Tourism Committee’s support for the name of one (1) park site in Surrey.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The City’s Policy “Naming of Parks and Facilities” (attached as Appendix A) includes the following criteria:

Neighbourhood Parks should be given names recognizing:

(a) Special features or major plant life indigenous to parks;
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located;
(c) Local street and subdivision names;

Community Parks and amenities should, whenever possible, be given names which identify:

(a) The community in which they are located; (i.e. Cloverdale Athletic Park)
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located; (i.e. Lionel Courchene Park)
(c) Major donors or sponsors;
(d) Names arising from a community-based selection process.
BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION

Many new parks have been acquired and developed in Surrey over the last few years. Recent acquisitions have also realized the consolidation of previously unnamed parkland. Some of these are adjacent to existing parks and have adopted the existing park name, while some require new park names. For this report, one (1) park is subject to a new name. The location of the proposed park for naming is attached as Appendix B.

1) Park labelled 112N - Greenbelt.

This park consists of six lots acquired by the City over time. Five of these lots were acquired through dedication of adjacent subdivisions in the 1990's and early 2000's. In 2009, the City acquired the final piece at 13865 27 Ave. This brings the total area of this park to 2.3 hectares (5.8 acres).

The parcel was acquired in 2009 for below market value by the City from Fred Buchamer, who was granted a lifetime lease to occupy the property. A condition of the sale included maintaining the park as a natural area along with development of some trails and renaming the property "Buchamer Park".

As this park was acquired from Fred Buchamer and in keeping with the agreement of sale, it is fitting that these park lots be renamed "Buchamer Park".

CONCLUSION

Based on the above discussion, the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department recommend that the Parks, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee:

1. Receive this report for information;
2. Recommend to Council that the name "Buchamer Park" for the park lots currently labelled 112N - Greenbelt be adopted; and
3. That a copy of the report be attached to the minutes of the meeting for Council's information.

Ted Uhrich
Manager - Parks Planning, Research and Design

Appendix A: Naming of Parks and Facilities Policy
Appendix B: Map of Park under consideration for naming.
PARKS, RECREATION AND CULTURE DEPARTMENT

POLICY

SUBJECT: PARK & FACILITIES NAMING

INTENT

To establish a clear Policy for naming parks as well as Parks, Recreation and Culture buildings, assets and amenities.

A. Destination Parks

B. City parks and amenities tend to be used by a great number of people and whenever possible should bear names which identify their general location or key site features. They could also be named after benefactors or sponsors who have made an extraordinary contribution to the community. (Examples: Bear Creek Park, Stewart Farm House, and Surrey Arts Centre)

B. Community parks and amenities tend to be used by the next greatest number of people and should, whenever possible, be given names which identify:

(a) the community in which they are located (ie: Cloverdale Athletic Park, Fleetwood Community Centre);
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located (ie: Lionel Courchene Park);
(c) major donors or sponsors (XYZ Company Youth Park);
(d) names arising from a community-based selection process (ie: The Garage-South Surrey Youth Centre).

C. Neighbourhood parks and amenities tend to be used by fewer people than City and community parks, are more difficult to name for their location, and therefore should be given names recognizing:

(a) special features or major plant life indigenous to parks (ie: Cottontail tot lot);
(b) Surrey pioneers known in the area in which the park is located (ie: Moffat Memorial Park);
(c) local street and subdivision names, excluding real estate and development companies (ie: Strawberry Hill Park);
(d) significant donors or sponsors, including real estate and development companies who make a significant donation in excess of normal development cost charges;
(e) former property owner who donated the land (ie: Bell Park);
(f) Natural area linkages and conservation areas may never be developed as parks and should be assigned numbers for reference purposes in accordance

APPROVED BY:

DATE APPROVED:

HOUSEKEEPING DATE:

May 2009
PARKS, RECREATION AND CULTURE DEPARTMENT

POLICY

SUBJECT: PARK & FACILITIES NAMING

with the grid system used to identify planning/engineering map detail. Where community groups propose to name a greenbelt, these areas may also be treated as neighbourhood parks.

2. Whenever a park has come to be known traditionally but not officially by a name which is not so familiar to the name of an existing park to be confusing, then serious consideration should be given to formal adoption of the traditional name.

3. Consideration may be given to naming parks and amenities after outstanding community volunteers. Consideration may also be given to naming parks and amenities after retired City employees, if the employee has given outstanding service to the City and/or has made a noteworthy contribution to the well-being of the City.

4. Consideration should be given to naming donated park sites and facilities constructed on donated land after the donor.

5. Parks immediately adjacent to existing school sites should bear the same name as the school (neighbourhood and community parks).

6. The preferred methods for selecting a name are:
   - through popular choice either via a petition, school contest, or other form of community involvement;
   - as a result of donation and/or sponsorship.

7. Naming parks or amenities after sponsors can only be done in accordance with the city's Sponsorship Policy.

PRACTICES WHICH SHOULD BE AVOIDED

1. Naming a park or facility after a current politician.

2. Naming a park or facility after a nearby but not immediately adjacent school or institution that may lead to confusion regarding their respective locations.

APPROVED BY: __________________________

DATE APPROVED: __________________________

HOUSKEEPING DATE: May 2009

APPREOVED BY: ________________

DATE APPROVED: __________________________
TO: Parks, Recreation & Sport Tourism Committee

FROM: City Clerk

DATE: February 18, 2014

FILE: 0360-20 BGRC

RE: Beer Garden License Days

RECOMMENDATION

That the Parks, Recreation & Sport Tourism Committee recommend to Council that they approve the recommendation of the Beer Garden Review Committee that:

1. 25 beer garden days in the City of Surrey be approved (as detailed in the attached Appendix “A”); and that

2. prior to all organizations receiving approval for beer gardens, details of which charitable organization or community project will receive proceeds from their event will be provided.

AUTHORITY

Under the regulations for Special Occasion Licenses for Public Functions, a City Council is authorized to grant beer garden license days at community or public celebrations during the calendar year.

BACKGROUND

For the purposes of allocating beer garden license days, the following communities have been designated:

1. North Surrey
2. Guildford
3. Newton
4. Fleetwood
5. Cloverdale
6. South Surrey

Statistical Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of groups submitting requests</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of groups approved</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days requested</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days recommended for approval</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The Committee reviewed each request and noted that the Beer Garden Review Committee was familiar with all of the applicants. Most of those applicants complied with Council Policy in the year that they had hosted a Beer Garden.

Approved groups will be required to adhere to the beer garden regulations and requested to display literature from ICBC at the beer gardens.

The applications recommended for approval are attached as Appendix "A".

COMMENTS

Of the 10 groups that have submitted requests, nine (9) complied with all of the application requirements; two (2) groups are new applicants and seven (7) groups are repeat applicants. Of the seven (7) repeat applicants, seven (7) groups held beer gardens in 2013 and complied with Council policy. Charitable donations were made as follows:

North Surrey Minor Football Club: $1,184.98 to City of Surrey, PRC (for Bear Creek Park)
Kevin Epp and Bill Miller: $573.05 to Gaby Davis Foundation
Surrey Storm Fastpitch: $212.22 to Kassandra Kaulius Foundation
Port Kells Clayton Slo-Pitch Association: Reported a loss of $1,743.54
Surrey Sharks Field Hockey Club: Reported a loss of $1,042.58
Surrey Beavers Athletic Association: $494.50 to Rugby Canada (BN#12524 0366 RR0001)
Surrey Fire Fighters' Charitable Society: $736.84 to Surrey Firefighters Charitable Society

SUMMARY

The Beer Garden Review Committee has recommended that 25 beer garden license days be allocated.

The Surrey Beer Garden Committee held a Beer Garden Licensees' Information Session sponsored by the Surrey Detachment RCMP, ICBC and the City of Surrey on Thursday, February 13, 2014 in the Council Chamber from 7:00 to 8:00 p.m.

Advertisements were placed in local newspapers to notify applicants they must attend this mandatory session; all of the applicants complied with this requirement.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the beer garden licenses as attached be approved. Permitting the licenses will assist in controlling the public consumption of alcohol in City parks and the proceeds will aid charity or contribute to the improvement of public facilities.

Jane Sullivan
City Clerk
## Applications Recommended for Approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Days Requested</th>
<th>Days Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH SURREY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pacific Slopitch</td>
<td>Bolivar Park – March 29, 30; April 26, 27; July 19, 20; August 16, 17 (8 days)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. North Surrey Minor Football Club</td>
<td>Bear Creek Park – August 23 and 24 (2 days)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLOVERDALE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kevin Epp and Bill Miller</td>
<td>Cloverdale Athletic Park – August 16 and 17 (2 days)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Surrey Storm Fastpitch</td>
<td>Cloverdale Athletic Park – June 21 and 22 (2 days)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Port Kells Clayton Slo-Pitch</td>
<td>Port Kells Park – May 10 and 11; June 13 and 14; July 26 and 27 (6 days)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEWTON</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Surrey Sharks Field Hockey Club</td>
<td>Tamanawis Athletic Park – July 5 and 6 (2 days)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Surrey Beavers Athletic Association</td>
<td>Sullivan Heights Park – May 17 (1 day)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTH SURREY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Bayside Athletic Association</td>
<td>South Surrey Athletic Park – August 9 (1 day)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Surrey Fire Fighters' Charitable Society</td>
<td>South Surrey Athletic Park – July 5 (1 day)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>