

CORPORATE REPORT

NO: R168

COUNCIL DATE: July 27, 2015

REGULAR COUNCIL

TO:	Mayor & Council	DATE:	July 27, 2015
FROM:	General Manager, Planning and Development General Manager, Engineering	FILE:	6520-20 (West Clayton)

SUBJECT: West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan - Stage 2 Final Report

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning and Development Department and Engineering Department recommend that Council:

- 1. Receive this report as information;
- 2. Approve the Neighbourhood Concept Plan ("NCP") for West Clayton as documented in Appendix II;
- 3. Approve the engineering servicing strategy and the related financial strategy as documented in this report and as contained in the West Clayton NCP as a means of managing the provision of engineering services for development in this NCP area;
- 4. Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XIV, to Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 18020 (the "OCP") to align the land uses designations within the West Clayton Land Use Concept designations with those in the OCP;
- 5. Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XV, to Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000 (the "Zoning By-law"), to include amenity contributions for the West Clayton area, based upon the density bonus concept;
- 6. Authorize the City Clerk to introduce the necessary amending bylaws for the required readings and to set a date for the related public hearing;
- Approve amendments to Schedule D "Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91)" and Schedule K "Surrey Major Road Allowance Map" to the Subdivision & Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, to reflect the road network illustrated in Appendix IX;
- 6. Authorize staff to incorporate the Development Cost Charge ("DCC")-eligible infrastructure related to water, stormwater, sanitary sewer, and transportation for the NCP in the next edition of the City's 10-Year Servicing Plan;

- 7. Authorize staff to bring forward amendments to Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2014, No. 18148 (the "DCC Bylaw"), to establish area-specific DCC rates for this NCP area as described in this report; and
- 8. Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XVI, to Surrey Land Use and Development Application Fees Imposition By-law, 1993, No. 11631 (the "Fees Imposition By-law") to require the payment of additional application fees to allow for the recovery of the costs of preparing the NCP for the West Clayton area.

INTENT

The purpose of this report is to advise Council about and obtain Council approval for the:

- Complete and final NCP for the West Clayton area;
- Adjustments made to the approved Stage 1 Land Use Plan;
- Road and transportation network plans and criteria for the West Clayton NCP area and the associated Subdivision Servicing By-law amendment;
- Engineering servicing strategy to support the realization of the land use plan;
- Financing strategy to ensure the delivery of the necessary engineering infrastructure and the associated amendments to the DCC By-law; and
- Funding mechanisms for plan surcharges and amenities proposed for the West Clayton NCP area and the associated Fees and Zoning By-law amendments;

all as outlined in this report.

BACKGROUND

The West Clayton NCP area (shown in Appendix I) is located west of the East Clayton NCP area, predominantly west of 188 Street, north of Fraser Highway, east of the Agricultural Land Reserve ("ALR") boundary and south of 80 Avenue, along with a narrow finger of land extending east of 188 Street between the East Clayton NCP and 74 Avenue. The total NCP area includes approximately 288 hectares (713 acres) most of which is designated "Suburban-Urban Reserve" in the OCP.

The West Clayton NCP area is approximately 35% of the land area of the larger Clayton General Land Use Plan ("GLUP") area, which is over 900 hectares (2,000 acres) in total. The Clayton GLUP, envisioned the overall Clayton area (East, West, and North) as a complete community with transit/commercial and employment centres, local village commercial nodes, a mix of residential uses and densities, community facilities, schools, parks, pathways, open space and protected natural areas.

Context and History

On February 28, 2012, Council adopted the recommendations of Corporate Report No. R033;2012, thereby approving the Terms of Reference for the preparation of an NCP for Area #1 and Area #2 in West Clayton. The Corporate Report also shared the results of background studies undertaken prior to the preparation of the land use planning for the NCP areas. These studies included an environmental study, heritage study, commercial market study, transportation study, and an integrated stormwater management plan (ISMP).

On June 25, 2012, Council authorized staff to proceed with an NCP planning process for an area of land extending east of 188 streets between the East Clayton North NCP and 74 Avenue, named the "West Clayton Extension" area. Planning for the extension area was undertaken in accordance with the Terms of Reference that had been previously approved for the development of NCPs in West Clayton.

On December 16, 2013 Council approved the Stage 1 Land Use Plan for of the entire West Clayton NCP, consolidating the three areas into a single NCP. The preparation of this Plan involved an extensive engagement and public consultation process with youth, land owners, citizens, stakeholders, government agencies and utility companies, and was premised upon principles of sustainability. The Plan received general acceptance from the various stakeholders.

Public Consultation Summary

An integrated, multi-stakeholder approach to the planning process was used to arrive at the preferred Stage 2 Land Use Plan. The consultation process included the following components:

Neighbourhood Survey

In April 2010, a survey of property owners in the West Clayton area was conducted. Of the 250 surveys that were sent out, 160 (64%) were completed and returned. Of the completed surveys, 84% of respondents were in favour of initiating an NCP in the area while 15% of the completed surveys were opposed. In terms of the total survey (including survey forms that were not returned) 54% of property owners are in favour of initiating an NCP process for the area and 10% were opposed. Given these results, it was determined that there was adequate support for initiating neighbourhood planning in the West Clayton area.

West Clayton Citizens' Advisory Committee

A Citizens' Advisory Committee ("CAC") was formed and met regularly to provide advice and comments on the Plan as it was developed. There were 10 meetings of the CAC over the course of the planning process. The CAC included a cross-section of property owners from within the Plan area as well as representatives from neighbourhoods adjacent to the Plan area, and three citizens representing the interests of the City at large. Feedback on the vision, planning principles and land use directions and options were obtained through public open houses and from comment sheets that interested parties completed and forwarded to staff.

Public Open House Meetings

Three well-attended public meetings where held as part of Stage 1 of the NCP process and one additional public meeting was held for Stage 2, as follows:

- May 12, 2011 A start-up public meeting/open house was held to commence the NCP planning process;
- June 20, 2012 A public meeting/open house was held to review and seek feedback on two initial land use options;
- October 23, 2013 A public meeting/open house was held to review and seek feedback on the preferred land use plan and the preliminary servicing strategy; and
- June 18, 2015 A public meeting/open house was held to review final land use concept and seek feedback on final serving and financial strategy

City Advisory Committees

Presentations to receive ongoing feedback were made to the Agriculture & Food Security Advisory Committee (AFSAC), the Heritage Advisory Commission (HAC), the Development Advisory Committee (DAC), the Environment & Sustainability Advisory Committee (ESAC), and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (TIC) at various stages throughout the Planning process.

Interagency Meetings

Several meetings where held with an Inter-agency Committee comprised of representatives from utilities, Provincial ministries (Including the Agricultural Land Commission/Ministry of Agriculture and the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure), as well as Metro Vancouver and TransLink. Regular meetings also took place with staff of the Surrey School District, all to ensure coordinated planning for schools in the area.

Youth Engagement Workshops

Five workshops were held with students from Clayton Elementary School and the Clayton Heights Secondary School to gather the perspectives of youth on the future neighbourhoods in West Clayton.

Stage 2 Land Use Concept Public Information Meeting

As a result of the detailed consultation process noted above, and review of the Plan from transportation, servicing review, school district apprises, environmental priorities, and drainage perspectives, some modifications were made to the December 2013 approved Land Use Plan (Stage 1). A modified Stage 2 Land Use Plan (Appendix III) was presented to the public at an open house on June 18, 2015 at the Hazelgrove Elementary School. The purpose of the Open House was to:

- highlight proposed modifications to the Land Use Plan since Stage 1 approval;
- present an overview of the proposed design guidelines, transportation network and performance standards for the various components of the Land Use Plan, engineering servicing strategy, green infrastructure and energy policies; and
- outline the proposed financing, development cost charges, and amenity contribution requirements for park development, fire, police and library services in West Clayton.

Approximately 227 people attended the open house, which included a presentation, a questionand-answer session and informal discussions with staff around display boards. Twenty-three feedback forms were returned to the City with comments. These comments have been collated and are summarized in Appendix V. Of the people who returned their feedback forms, 85% (18 responses) indicated support for the Land Use Plan, and 10% (two responses) did not support the Plan. A letter from one of those who did not support the Plan was subsequently received as well. Based on the results of the feedback received, and on the responses at the open house, staff is confident that the community at large supports the Plan as presented.

DISCUSSION

Planning Vision, Objectives and Principles of the Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan

The overall concept for the West Clayton NCP, envisages a strong, unique community structure and identity to be realized through:

- the development of a village and neighbourhood centre for Clayton (library, recreation centre, parks and schools) near 72 Avenue and 188 Street;
- a higher density and mixed use transit station node near 184 Street and Fraser Highway in anticipation of future rapid transit along Fraser Highway;
- higher density and transit supportive residential densities within walking distance of future and proposed transit served areas;
- medium density/cluster housing with "green density transfer areas" adjacent to important natural and riparian areas;
- lower density / cluster housing with "significant landscaped buffers" adjacent to the Agricultural Land Reserve; and
- abundant park areas, riparian areas, greenways, wildlife corridors and natural areas.

The planning vision, objectives and principles for the West Clayton NCP are provided in detail in the NCP document attached as Appendix II.

Proposed Land Use Plan Modifications from Stage 1 to Stage 2

While the proposed Stage 2 Land Use Plan is similar to the approved Stage 1 Land Use Concept Plan, several adjustments are proposed. A number of outstanding issues were to be resolved prior to Stage 2 completion, and some of these involved modifications to the Stage 1 Land Use Plan. The changes made between the approved Stage 1 Land Use Plan (Appendix IV) and the proposed final Stage 2 Land Use Plan (Appendix III) are outlined in Appendix VI.

The main plan adjustments are related to alternative locations for one of the elementary school sites, adjustments to the configuration of greenway corridors informed by the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy, adjustments to local road networks triggered by these land use changes, and revised names for the various land use designations.

Overview of Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan

The proposed Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan (Appendix III) features an integrated neighbourhood comprised of a mix of land uses, including a range of residential housing types at various densities, local-serving commercial uses and related plaza areas, and community facilities and amenities, including a community recreation/cultural centre and future library, neighbourhood parks, trail and pathway networks, riparian areas, three elementary schools and a high school. The Plan also shows an alternative location for one of elementary schools north of 72 Avenue.

The highest residential, commercial and mixed use (residential units above commercial) densities are proposed for the southern portion of the Plan, close to frequent transit routes and future rapid transit stations along Fraser Highway at 184 Street and 188 Street. Development densities generally decrease to the north and west, and towards the ALR boundary. Cluster developments at various densities are proposed in several areas of the Plan to allow for the retention of valuable

tree stands and wildlife connections, and to provide for significant agricultural edge landscape buffers.

Land Use Descriptions and Build-out Projections

The following section describes the land uses proposed for West Clayton, the amount of land allocated within the Plan for different land uses, and the estimated number of dwelling units, population and potential floor area expected at full build-out of the Land Use Plan, as summarized in Appendix VII.

Residential Uses

Descriptions

The West Clayton Land Use Plan identifies 10 residential land use designations allowing for primarily residential uses, three of which allow single family or two family dwellings, four of which allow ground oriented multiple family dwellings such townhouses and rowhouses, and two of which allow higher density multifamily development such as stacked townhouses and low-rise apartment developments.

Residential Land Use Designations	Base Density (UPA or FAR)	*Energy Density Bonus	Minimum Open Space	Typical Building Height(s) (Stories)
		(May Apply)	Required	
Suburban Residential	2 UPA	N/A	N/A	2-2 1/2
Urban Transition	6 UPA	N/A	N/A	2-21/2
Urban Residential	10 UPA	4 UPA Bonus	N/A	2 - 2 1/2
Townhouse Residential	22 UPA	5 UPA Bonus	N/A	2-3
Urban/Townhouse Flex	10 to 22 UPA	4-5 UPA Bonus	N/A	2 - 3
Townhouse Apartment Flex	25 UPA to 1.3 FAR	4 Bonus	N/A	3 (townhouse)
		or		4 (apartment)
		0.2 FAR Bonus		
Stacked Townhouse/Apartment Flex	30 UPA to 1.3 FAR	0.2 FAR Bonus	N/A	3-4 (townhouse)
				4-6 (apartment)
Low Density Cluster	6 to 8 UPA	2 UPA Bonus	5-7.5%	2 1/2
Medium Density Cluster	10 to 12 UPA	2 UPA Bonus	7.5-10%	2-3
High Density Residential Cluster	25 UPA	2-5 UPA Bonus	10-15%	4-6

These residential land use designation areas are shown in the table below, with base densities, available bonus densities, open space provision requirements and typical building heights:

Unless development is located within a gross density (cluster) area, the densities for residential uses are "net" densities to be calculated over "net" site area after dedications for open space or roads. The densities for "cluster areas" are to be calculated over the "gross" site area, and included requirements for setting land aside as open space.

A detailed description of each residential designation is shown in Appendix VIII, and they are described in detail within the NCP document.

Projections

The Stage 2 Land Use Plan provides for approximately 140 hectares (345 acres) of residential land, and 7 hectares (17.5 acres) of land where residential units are allowed within mixed use development, which together account for about 51% of the NCP Area.

The Land Use Plan provides for between 5,340 dwelling units at the low end of the prescribed density range in the various residential and mixed-use areas, up to 7,119 dwelling units at the high end of the density range (including an energy efficiency density bonus described later in this report). Based on a ratio of between 2.8-3.2 persons per dwelling unit depending on housing type (single family, townhouse, or apartment) West Clayton is estimated to have a future build-out population of between 13,103 to 17,699 people. The average of these projections provides a mid-line estimate that West Clayton will contain around 6,229 dwelling units and 15,386 inhabitants at full build-out. This is an average density of nearly 22 people per acre or 8.7 units per acre for the entire NCP, somewhat lower than that of East Clayton.

Commercial and Mixed Uses

Descriptions

Three commercial nodes are provided in the Land Use Concept Plan, and each of these nodes contains commercial and/or mixed use designations. The three nodes containing commercial and mixed uses include:

- the Transit Station Node at 184 Street and Fraser Highway;
- the Village Centre Node at 188 street and 72 Avenue; and
- the Local Neighborhood Commercial Node at 74 Avenue and 184 Street.

The Transit Station node also allows a live-work component in some residential areas, where 30% of the ground floor may be used for a limited amount of commercial/office uses.

Commercial Mixed Used Commercial Residential Designations	Base Density (FAR)	Density Bonus May Apply	Minimum Commercial / Office Space	Max Building Height(s) Storeys
Neighbourhood Commercial	0.5	N/A	1 storey	2
Mixed Use Commercial/Residential				
Village Node	1.3	0.2 FAR Bonus	1 storey	4
Transit Node	1.5	0.3 FAR Bonus	1 storey	6

A detailed summary description of each of the commercial and mixed use designations are summarized in Appendix VIII, and are described in detail within the NCP document.

<u>Projections</u>

The Land Use Plan allocates approximately 2 hectares (5 acres) for neighbourhood commercial uses; as well as 7 hectares (17.5 acres) of commercial areas within the mixed use designations. This combined area accounts for about 4% of the entire NCP Area. At full build-out, the total amount of the commercial/office floor area is estimated to be approximately 45,000 square metres (585,000 square feet), based on a floor area ratio varying from 0.4 to 1.5 depending on the specific commercial /commercial mixed use designation.

In addition, some live-work office/commercial uses are permitted on the ground floor of residential areas showing a live-work option in the Land Use Concept. Live-work areas are permitted in units facing a street in association with residential uses, provided that the floor area occupied by commercial uses does not exceed 30% of the floor area of the dwelling.

It is estimated that the commercial and mixed use commercial areas will provide between 800 to 1,200 jobs within the NCP.

Institutional and School Uses

<u>Schools</u>

Two new elementary schools and one secondary school site are proposed in the Land Use Plan. These sites have been located in consultation with the Surrey School District staff. The existing Clayton Elementary School is also retained, with land identified to allow for its future expansion. Of the remaining school sites, the School District has acquired a site for a new secondary school, and owns one site allocated for an elementary school, leaving one elementary school site yet to be acquired.

Based on full build out of the West Clayton NCP it is estimated that 2,200 to 3,000+ new students will be enrolled in public schools from kindergarten to Grade 12, with 1,350 - 1,850+ elementary students and 850 - 1150+ secondary students. The demand for secondary spaces will be met by a new 1,500-student secondary school located along 184 Street between 74 Avenue and 72 Avenue. This school building is in the design phase and is scheduled to open in 2017.

Elementary school student demand is planned to be met through the construction of two to three new elementary schools in the Clayton area, along with a potential addition to Clayton Elementary. In the near term, the construction of two new elementary schools in the Clayton area is a high priority in the District's 5-year Capital Plan (awaiting Ministry of Education funding approval).

Community Recreation and Cultural Facility

A proposed Community Recreation and Cultural facility is shown in the Land Use Concept Plan along 72 Avenue at 187 Street. This facility is in the early planning phase, and the 1.7 hectare (4.2 acre) site for this facility, as identified in the Plan, has not yet been acquired. The facility is expected to include recreation and cultural components, including a library, and is intended to serve the entire Clayton area.

The land designated for primarily school uses (including existing and future elementary and high schools) amounts to 14.3 hectares (35.3 acres). Joint future school\park areas occupy an additional 1.2 hectares (3.0 acres) of land within the Plan area. The combined total Institutional and School uses account for about 5% of the entire NCP Area. It is estimated that the schools and the future Community Recreation and Cultural facility combined will provide for 70 to 100 jobs within West Clayton.

Parks and Open Spaces

Neighbourhood Parks

A total of 14 hectares (35 acres) of neighbourhood parks are located within the NCP. Neighbourhood parks provide local park amenities to serve immediate residents and may be smaller in size, but are planned to be within walking distance of all new residences. Neighbourhood park amenities may include:

- Playgrounds;
- Passive lawn/open space;

- Sports courts (ball hockey / basketball);
- Pathways/trails; and
- Benches/picnic tables.

Community Parks

A total of 16.5 hectares (40 acres) of Community Park are located within the NCP. Community Parks provide more significant park amenities to serve the broader community. Clayton Park is the Community Park for West Clayton. It is also the future home of the Clayton Community Centre and Library. Amenities may include:

- Destination playgrounds & spray parks;
- Sports fields;
- Washroom buildings & pavilions;
- Sports courts / tennis courts;
- Family picnic shelters; and
- Parking.

Linear Parks & Biodiversity Corridors

A total of 8.75 hectares (21.5 acres) of linear parks and biodiversity corridors are located within the NCP. Linear parks and biodiversity corridors provide natural areas and supports wildlife movement through the Plan area. These parks contain limited active park amenities, although they support a multi-use pathway network that connects the broader community and provide a buffer between the ALR and the NCP area. Amenities may include:

- Natural areas, reforestation and re-naturalization;
- Pathways/trails; and
- Benches/rest areas and viewpoints along pathways and trails.

<u>Riparian Areas</u>

A total of 29 hectares (72 acres) of riparian areas are shown as protected within the NCP. Riparian areas provide for limited or no public access, as their function is to protect sensitive habitat areas, including the land around all significant creeks. The final amount and location of riparian areas will be subject to the approval of the Surrey Riparian Area By-law, and at minimum will comply with the *Fisheries Act* and Provincial *Fish Protection Act*. Amenities may include:

- Creeks and natural areas, including the protection of large canopy trees;
- Forest trails (adjacent to but outside the fisheries setbacks); and
- Creek crossings where multi-use pathways transect a creek.

Trail and Greenway Network

Detailed planning of parks in the NCP will be subject to consultation with the future residents of the neighbourhoods. The greenway network, having a length of over eight kilometres in the NCP, connects six greenways within the Plan area, which are the Great Northern Greenway, the Armstrong Greenway, the Fraser Greenway, the Serpentine Flats Greenway , the Siddons Greenway, and the Hazelgrove Greenway. The NCP trail system will accommodate a range of

users and may provide seating areas, including signs and trail markers at key points, and offer significant views out to the ALR to the south.

In total, parks, wildlife corridors, riparian areas and landscape buffers amount to approximately 70 hectares (168 acres) or just under 25% of the total NCP area. Existing parks (Clayton Park) make up about 13 hectares (32 acres), while an estimated net area of 23 hectares (55 acres) of new parkland will need to be purchased using Parkland DCC funds. The remainder (approximately 34 hectares or 80 acres) of greenspace are riparian areas and landscape buffers that are expected to be conveyed to the City as undevelopable lands at the time of development.

Land Consolidation Strategy

In several areas of the NCP, lot consolidation will be required to ensure the efficient and equitable development of properties. These land consolidation opportunities will, in most circumstances, be determined on a case-by-case basis at the development application stage. In some cases, however, consolidation requirements have been identified in the Land Use Plan to avoid creating remnant pieces that would not be developable on their own or limit the development potential of an adjoining lot.

Land consolidation areas have been identified to inform developers of the consolidation requirement, to ensure compatibility and feasible development areas, and to achieve an equitable distribution of road dedication and construction costs across properties. These areas are identified in the NCP document.

If land consolidation is proven not to be possible during the development process, a developer in these areas must:

- Demonstrate that the development potential of the excluded property is not compromised; and
- Share any required road construction costs or land dedications equitably amongst properties shown in the land consolidation area.

Design Guidelines

The West Clayton NCP contains a set of Design Guidelines for residential, commercial and mixed-use areas. The purpose of the Design Guidelines are to achieve a pedestrian-friendly, high quality and coordinated neighbourhood that is consistent with the principles and objectives of sustainable development. The Design Guidelines describe the dominant characteristics that will be encouraged in these areas. Issues addressed by the Design Guidelines include the relationship of buildings to streets in transit supportive nodes and village commercial areas, built form and character, landscape buffers, parking and garages, special interfaces along parks and natural areas, interface adjacent to the ALR edge.

Place-making opportunities

A number of place-making opportunities are identified in the Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan to enhance a sense of place and identity for the West Clayton neighbourhoods. Special urban design areas are designated at the village centre area at 72 Avenue and 188 Street, the area in the vicinity of the future rapid transit station on Fraser Highway at 184 Street, and the prominent intersections of 72 Avenue at 184 Street and Fraser Highway, 80 Avenue at 184 Street and 188 Street, and 70 Avenue at 188 Street.

Special urban design for these areas will look to create public spaces (e.g., exploring the opportunity to create a mini plaza or forecourt to the Surrey Little Theatre, which occupies a registered heritage building), entrance features, visual markers, public art, pedestrian amenities, tree planting and special street design and landscaping. View corridors, viewpoints and public seating areas could be provided along the greenway running parallel to the ALR edge.

Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy

West Clayton is planned to be an "Energy Shift" neighbourhood, where innovative ideas to help reduce energy costs for residents and meet Surrey's commitment to reducing GHG emissions are encouraged. This is the first NCP in Surrey to include policy to incent the construction of buildings that exceed BC Building Code requirements for energy efficiency, through the conditional granting of bonus density.

The City's objectives regarding the energy efficiency density bonus policy in the West Clayton NCP are to:

- mitigate the emissions of greenhouse gases associated with the operation of buildings;
- minimize the demand for electricity and natural gas in buildings;
- reduce building operating costs for owners and occupants; and
- improve the comfort and indoor air quality of buildings.

Energy Bonus Criteria

The policy allows residential developments with buildings less than four storeys and not more than 600 square metres in building area (Part 9 residential developments in BC Building Code) to increase density (expressed in units/ac.) beyond the base density of a land use designation in the NCP <u>if</u> all buildings proposed in the development application are certified to the ENERGY STAR standard.

The policy allows developments with buildings of four or more storeys or greater than 600 square metres in building area (Part 3 developments in BC Building Code) to increase density (expressed as floor area ratio) above the base density of a land use designation in the NCP <u>if</u> all buildings proposed in the development application:

- are constructed with compartmentalized suites;
- are equipped with in-suite heat recovery ventilators;
- undertake building commissioning (Cx); and
- conduct airtightness testing of the building envelope and a minimum of five selected suites to confirm air leakage less than or equal to 1.3 L/s/m² at 50 Pa.

Amenity Contribution Requirements

In accordance with City policy to address the amenity needs of the proposed new residents in West Clayton, all development proposals at the time of rezoning or building permit issuance will be required to make a monetary contribution toward the provision of new police, fire protection and library services and toward the development of the parks, open spaces and pathways.

The monetary contributions toward police, fire and library materials will offset the capital costs of providing these services to the new development and are applied on a standardized basis in all of Surrey's NCP areas. The monetary contributions toward parks, open spaces and pathway development are based on an estimate of the capital costs of these improvements for this particular NCP area. The total estimated cost is divided by the average anticipated number of dwelling units (and acreages in the case of non-residential development) to ensure an equitable contribution arrangement. The amenity contributions noted above are payable upon subdivision for single-family subdivisions or upon issuance of building permits for multiple development and other uses.

The sustainable design of the West Clayton community has resulted in shared development cost contributions for the development of some major parks and open space improvements, due to the dual function of many of these areas for both recreation and storm water management purposes. The natural features of the area (i.e., watercourses) are considered to be important components of both the natural passive recreation system and storm water management strategy.

Parkland and Pathway Development

The estimated cost of developing park and related amenities in the future West Clayton community is approximately \$9,618,075.00 (2015 dollars) based on the park and pathway amenities proposed in the NCP and as summarized earlier in this report.

Library and Library Materials

A study of library requirements in Surrey's new neighbourhoods has established that a contribution of \$144.84 (in 2015 dollars) per dwelling unit (non-residential development is exempt) is necessary to cover the capital costs for library materials and services, which is sensitive to population growth. Consequently, a total of approximately \$902,208.36 will be collected from West Clayton towards materials such as books, computers and CDs.

Fire and Police Protection

Future development in this neighbourhood will drive the need to upgrade existing fire and police protection facilities. A study of fire protection requirements in Surrey's new neighbourhoods has established that a contribution of \$278.12 per dwelling unit and \$1,112.48 per acre of non-residential development (in 2015 dollars) will cover the capital costs for fire protection. Similar to Fire Services, a contribution of \$64.39 per dwelling unit and \$257.56 per acre of non-residential development will cover the capital costs for police protection. This will result in a total capital contribution from West Clayton of approximately \$1,732,409 toward fire protection and \$401,085 toward police protection.

Summary of Funding Arrangements

A summary of the applicable amenity contributions (per dwelling unit or hectare/acre) and the estimated revenue the City can expect to receive from the West Clayton NCP area is documented in the following table.

NCP COMMUNITY AMENITY CONTRIBUTIONS				
	Per Unit Contribution All Residential (Approx. 6,229 dwelling units @ average density)	Per Acre Contribution All Non- Residential Uses	Anticipated Total Revenue at Build Out	
Police Protection	\$64.39 per dwelling	\$257.56 per acre	\$401,085.31	
Fire Protection	\$278.12 per dwelling	\$1,112.48 per acre	\$1,732,409.48	
Development of Parks and Pathways	\$1,544.08 per dwelling	n/a	\$9,618,075.00	
Library Materials	\$144.84 per dwelling	n/a	\$902,208.36	
Total Contribution (per unit or per acre)	\$2,031.43 per dwelling	\$1,370.04 per acre		
Anticipated Total Revenue			\$12,653,778.15	

The above-noted per unit amenity contributions are derived from estimated average densities in the residential designations and the number of dwelling units (excluding secondary suites) anticipated. The estimated costs of the various amenities are distributed evenly to each dwelling unit. Therefore, if the number of dwelling units in a proposed development is lower than that anticipated by the NCP, the applicant will be expected to "top up" the amenity fees based on the number of the dwelling units used to calculate the amenity charge, in order to ensure that there is no shortfall in the funding for the proposed NCP amenities.

Overview of Engineering Servicing

As identified as part of the Stage 1 planning process, the West Clayton NCP requires a significant amount of utility and transportation infrastructure to accommodate the growth anticipated for the area.

Building on the preliminary transportation, water distribution, sanitary sewer and stormwater system servicing strategies that were developed as part of the Stage 1 planning process, a final servicing strategy has been developed. The following is a brief description of the final servicing strategy in relation to each service.

Transportation

The planned road network for West Clayton is structured around a grid road system that takes into account existing property lines, environmental protection (e.g., trees, creeks, and areas identified in the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy), topography, adjacent land uses and densities, and proximity to the planned Light Rail Transit (LRT) on Fraser Highway. In general, the NCP has a grid network of 200 metre by 100 metre blocks, to provide a level of multi-modal connectivity comparable with other recently approved NCPs. In those areas of the West Clayton NCP where densities are higher and close to the future LRT station, where walkability and traffic dispersion is even more important, block sizes are smaller. In those areas of the NCP where there is lower density and where there are significant barriers (such as creeks) block sizes tend to be larger and the grid network of street is less continuous.

The West Clayton transportation network is based on the guiding principles of the City's Transportation Strategic Plan including promoting travel choice, safe and healthy communities, successful local economies, efficient management of the road network, protection of the built and natural environment, and the integration of transportation with land use. The planned road network is shown in Appendix IX.

<u>Walking</u>

All of the roads within West Clayton are planned to have either a sidewalk or a multi-use path on either side, in keeping with City standards, separated from vehicle traffic by boulevards able to grow and sustain large street trees. Although the on-street walking network represents the backbone of the network, the NCP also includes a further network of multi-use pathways which diverge from the vehicular network, to further improve pedestrian connectivity within West Clayton and between West Clayton and neighbouring communities, particularly East Clayton where the existing pathway network is very well used.

The features of the walking network will provide safe and comfortable routes for walking within the community and will reduce the need for local residents to drive to local shops, services, and schools, and support walking access to existing and planned transit services.

Cycling

The City's standard road cross-sections include bike lanes on both sides of all collector and arterial roads. Traffic volumes and speeds on local roads are generally expected to be low enough to allow cyclists to comfortably share the road with vehicles. A comprehensive multi-use path network has also been developed that takes advantage of off-street corridors where feasible, to accommodate cyclists less comfortable with cycling on-street, and to increase connectivity. Some roads have both bike lanes and multi-use pathways, to ensure that a broad range of cyclists, from commuters to children, are comfortable cycling. Five of the pathways are part of the City-level greenway network.

The total corridor width of the off-street pathways is 8 metres of road dedication and includes street lighting and either one or two boulevards, to maximize safety and security in keeping with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles.

<u>Transit</u>

The NCP provides transit-supportive land uses and densities, in particular close to where LRT is planned. In addition, in support of the delivery of successful transit service within the NCP and LRT on Fraser Highway, the planned road network provides routing options for future transit. Arterial and collector roads will generally be suitable and available for public transit service (as per service planning ultimately undertaken by TransLink and Coast Mountain Bus Company). To date, the South of Fraser Area Transit Plan has identified 72 Avenue and 184 Street as being a future transit route, but additional route planning will occur in the future, in collaboration with TransLink.

An LRT station is planned at the intersection of Fraser Highway and 184 Street. Higher-density development (mixed-use and residential) has been planned surrounding the station, and the finer-grain road network in this area will support increased walkability and access to the station.

Road Classification

While the majority of the new road network planned for West Clayton involves local roads, there are two classification changes (74 Avenue and 182 Street) and one alignment change (72 Avenue) to the Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91), with corresponding changes to the Surrey Major Road Allowance Map, proposed in conjunction with the NCP.

74 Avenue – Change to Classification

74 Avenue is currently a local road, but is proposed to be reclassified to a collector road from 184 Street to 192 Street as part of this NCP, in recognition of the increased demands on 74 Avenue due to the planned surrounding densities, and 74 Avenue's role in providing neighbourhood connectivity as a result of its existing alignment.

182 Street – Change to Classification

182 Street is currently a local road, but is proposed to be reclassified to a collector road from Fraser Highway to 72 Avenue as part of this NCP. This is in recognition of the increased demands on 182 Street due to its role in providing neighbourhood connectivity both for West Clayton NCP area and the Provinceton neighbourhood south of Fraser Highway via the planned signalized intersection at Fraser Highway.

72 Avenue – Change to Alignment

Within East and West Clayton, 72 Avenue is classified as an arterial road; however, in 2003, 72 Avenue was temporarily closed just east of Fraser Highway, in the 18000 block, due to existing safety concerns. The East Clayton North Extension NCP first identified the need for the reconnection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway to provide a better east-west connection in the neighbourhood. In addition, growth in the future North Clayton NCP, in Anniedale-Tynehead, Port Kells, and in Langley will put extra pressure on 72 Avenue, making it critical that it be directly connected to Fraser Highway.

A signalized connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway slightly west of 180 Street is planned in the shorter term, with the exact timeframe dependent on traffic growth in the West Clayton area. The intersection location has been selected to minimize the impact on the suburban enclave in the NCP, provide a logical connection for pedestrians and cyclists to the North Creek Greenway located on the 180 Street alignment south of Fraser Highway, and provide a crossing for potential future bus stops.

A long-term connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway approximately 350 metres northwest of 180 Street has also been identified, which would be built to allow for a potential extension of 72 Avenue to Newton (at 152 Street) that is envisioned to be a necessity in the future. This intersection location and geometric design was selected to minimize impact on the ALR, environmental impact, construction cost, and property impacts, while maximizing road safety and efficient traffic operations.

Local Roads

Within the West Clayton planned road network, most local roads are planned to have two travel lanes and two parking lanes. Some roads are designated as "flexible alignment", meaning that the

exact alignment of the road can vary from the land use plan, as long as the intent of the road connection remains and intersection locations remain generally fixed. Also, a number of unique scenarios have been identified, and special cross-sections have been developed to accommodate these locations.

Depending on the form of development within the cluster designations north of 76 Avenue, additional roads may be necessary in order to adequately service development, and depending on any relocation (with proper approvals and compensation) of the riparian areas.

New Approach to Interim Arterial Roads

Most arterial roads within West Clayton are currently in a rural condition, with minimal pavement width, open ditches, no street lighting, and no accommodation for pedestrians or cyclists. As development occurs, additional lands are dedicated to accommodate the ultimate (four-lane plus median) arterial road, but the road widening doesn't usually occur until many years after development occurs, and as a result the City receives many complaints from new residents as the urban boulevard features are not constructed until that time.

In order to maintain road safety, minimize maintenance obligations for both homeowners and the City, provide pedestrian and cyclist facilities, and ensure an attractive public realm, a new interim arterial road cross-section has been developed, to be constructed at the time of development. This includes the ultimate boulevard (sidewalk, streetlights, street trees, and grass), an interim boulevard (grass swales), an interim curb, and minimal pavement widening to provide a road shoulder, which will double as a bike lane. Root barriers will be used to separate the ultimate and interim boulevards, and signs will be posted to advise residents of the location of the future curb line. Parking will not be permitted, to ensure sufficient width for a swale and to minimize costs.

The interim works (swale, curb, and shoulder) will be developer-funded, in accordance with existing City practice that interim arterial works are not paid for by Development Cost Charges (DCCs) or other City funds. The ultimate works (sidewalk, ultimate boulevard, and street lighting) will be DCC-rebatable expenses.

Integration with the Adjacent Transportation Network

The road network is designed to provide connectivity both within West Clayton and to the adjacent transportation network, through the provision of a number of full-movement intersections onto 80 Avenue, 188 Street, and Fraser Highway, including the reconnection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway. The road network also protects the ability to provide local road connections into and through the area that is designated "suburban" to the northwest of 72 Avenue and 182 Street so as to allow the redevelopment of this area in the future.

<u>Parking</u>

Collector and local roads will generally have parking on both sides of the street. Parking will not be permitted on arterial roads with the exception of a portion of 184 Street within the commercial area north of Fraser Highway.

Within commercial areas and in the vicinity of the LRT station, on-street parking is expected to be in high demand. On roads in these areas, the use of parking management tools including time-limited parking and pay parking can be anticipated. These tools can help encourage parking

turnover, which benefits commercial areas, and dissuades commuters from using these areas as all-day park-and-ride facilities.

Utilities

<u>Water</u>

The area is currently serviced with municipal water by way of small diameter mains, with some properties serviced by private ground water wells. The existing water infrastructure does not have sufficient capacity to service the development densities contemplated by the proposed Land Use Concept for the NCP area. A system of new feeder mains, pressure reducing stations, and distribution water mains will be required to support the proposed land uses and densities. The design of the proposed water feeder and distribution network will allow for the phased development of the area.

The topography of the area requires that two separate pressure zones be established. The eastern part of the NCP, which are lands at a higher elevation, will be serviced by a high pressure zone (115m) fed by the City's Clayton Booster Station located next to the reservoir. The western part of the NCP, which are lands at a lower elevation, will be serviced by a lower pressure zone (90m) fed by a direct connection to Metro Vancouver's reservoir located at 72 Avenue and 190 Street and supplemented by an existing connection to Metro Vancouver's system at 184 Street and 72 Avenue.

This approach requires some improvements to City and Metro Vancouver infrastructure, but it avoids the costly replacement of the City's Clayton Booster Station and reduces the City's operational costs by minimizing the amount of pumping required.

The water infrastructure needed to support the development of the NCP area is illustrated in the map attached to this report as Appendix X.

Infrastructure Phasing

The initial development in the NCP area will be required to extend water infrastructure from the East Clayton NCP area to their site.

Sanitary Sewer

There is currently no community sanitary sewer system in the NCP area. Individual properties rely on on-site in-ground disposal systems for sewage disposal. The sewer system servicing the neighbouring East Clayton NCP area does not have the capacity to service West Clayton nor does the topography permit servicing from the east.

To service the majority of the NCP area, a trunk sewer along the toe of the slope is required to convey sanitary sewer flows to the City's existing North Cloverdale Pump Station at 176 Street and 68A Avenue. Due to the topographic constraints, a portion of the proposed trunk sewer will need to pass alongside existing residential developments, immediately north of the Fraser Highway.

Properties below the proposed trunk sewer will require a pumped service connection to a forcemain connected to the trunk sewer. This is a common servicing approach suitable for low density residential developments.

North Cloverdale Pump Station

The City's existing North Cloverdale Pump Station (the "pump station") does not have the capacity to support development of the West Clayton NCP area or capacity to support the full development of the lands within the East Clayton Transit Oriented area.

Prior to the initiation of the NCP, a study was completed to determine the best approaches to service future development of both the West Clayton NCP area and the future North Clayton NCP area. This study identified that these areas could either be serviced by an improved pump station and associated pipe works or that a deep trunk (or tunnel) be constructed. A tunnel has significantly lower operational and maintenance costs as compared to a combination of pump station improvements and associated pipe works, but a significantly higher installation cost.

The final servicing strategy has confirmed that the pump station and associated pipe works has a lower net present value than the installation of a deep trunk, even with operation and maintenance costs included. As such, the final servicing strategy recommends a combination of pump station improvements and associated pipe works.

Although a combination of pump station improvements and associated pipe works has a lower net present value it is important to note that the operational and maintenance costs are funded by the sanitary sewer utility whereas the capital costs for either option are funded through development cost charges (DCCs). The recommended approach will have a higher ongoing cost requirement for the sanitary sewer utility as compared to the deep trunk but the recommend approach provides a lower cost to implement for the development community.

The sanitary sewer infrastructure needed to support the development of the NCP area is illustrated in two maps. The map attached to this report as Appendix XI illustrates the works required within the NCP area and the map attached as Appendix XII illustrates the works required outside of the NCP area.

Infrastructure Phasing

The initial development in the NCP area will be required to extend sewer infrastructure to their site and address a downstream constraint at the City's existing pump station as the pump station does not have sufficient capacity to support development of the NCP area.

In an effort to better utilize the existing pump station and delay improvements to the pump station as long as safe and practical, a review of the existing sanitary sewers in the area was completed to establish if there are opportunities to divert flows away from the existing pump station. This review identified that a new sewer could be constructed within the North Cloverdale West NCP area that would divert a significant amount of flow away from the pump station, thereby increasing capacity at the pump station and minimizing the amount of initial investment required for development to occur within the West Clayton NCP area as improvements to the pump station can be staged over time. Similarly, downstream improvements necessary to support the development of the West Clayton NCP area can also be staged as development progresses.

This staged approach significantly reduces the costs for the initial developments within the NCP area. In addition, this approach reduces the need for interim improvements by the development community that would not be eligible for reimbursement.

Stormwater

The NCP area is within the Clayton area and Latimer Creek watersheds which contain fish-bearing watercourses that drain through the agricultural lowlands prior to entering the Serpentine River. An Integrated Stormwater Management Plan (ISMP) was completed for the Clayton area in 2012. The ISMP recommends that post-development conditions within the watershed must meet pre-development conditions through a combination of conventional community-based Best Management Practices (BMP) and Low Impact Development (LID) measures.

The final servicing strategy involves installation of LID measures and two stormwater detention ponds. The number of stormwater detention ponds and their proposed locations reflect the connectivity of the current "pre-development" drainage system.

An assortment of LID measures are proposed for the NCP including 30-metre riparian setbacks along all Class A and B watercourses, on-lot infiltration devices with a consolidated contact area equal to 10% of the lot area with 450mm of pervious material, street infiltration devices with a contact area equal to 50% of the impervious area within the road allowance. LID measures are designed to encourage infiltration from smaller, more frequent storm events. They are not intended to replace drainage infrastructure or service the minor and major storm events.

The stormwater infrastructure needed to support the development of the NCP area is illustrated in the map attached to this report as Appendix XIII.

Infrastructure Phasing

The initial development in the NCP area will be required extend stormwater infrastructure to their site. In addition, depending on their location of each application, they may be required to construct one of the two recommended stormwater detention ponds.

Developments that are to drain to either pond will be required to construct these facilities in advance of their development. Developments that do not drain to either one of the two facilities will be required to construct and operate their own interim stormwater detention ponds within their development, or on alternative lands they secure, until such time as the recommended facilities that is within their catchment area is constructed given that the each of the facilities is sized to over detain stormwater runoff from the NCP area.

Impacts on the Serpentine and Nicomekl Lowlands Flood Control Project

With the above-described mitigation measures in place, stormwater generated by development in the Plan Area will not negatively impact the City's lowlands. This is consistent with the Serpentine and Nicomekl Lowlands Flood Control Project that includes flood control standards based on the Agri-Food Regional Development Subsidiary Agreement (ARDSA) criteria.

<u> 74 Avenue: 192 Street – 194A Street</u>

There is a small pocket of land at the eastern edge of the NCP area along 74 Avenue from 192 – 194A Street that may not be able to have basements due to the local topography. If applications within this area seek to construct basements, it is recommended that these developments wait to

develop with those in the future North Clayton NCP area as the future sanitary sewer system and local stormwater system constructed in that NCP area can be adequately sized to support basements in this pocket.

Unplanned Areas Immediately Adjacent to the NCP Area

As highlighted above, there is a large amount of infrastructure required along 74 Avenue to support the extension of the West Clayton NCP area beyond its originally contemplated boundary. While required to service the eastern portions of the West Clayton NCP area, some of this infrastructure could also be used to support the development of lands within the future North Clayton NCP area.

The recommended infrastructure that is to be constructed within the West Clayton NCP area is sufficiently sized to support the development of the future North Clayton NCP area. Offsite infrastructure improvements, including further expansion of the pump station, is not sized to support the development of the future North Clayton NCP area, but can be upgraded in the future, to support the development of the future North Clayton NCP area.

Financial Analysis for Engineering Infrastructure

The water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer and transportation infrastructure required to support development in the NCP area is expensive. The following table summarizes the projected DCC revenues and construction costs for each of the major infrastructure systems that will be needed to support build-out of this NCP area.

Service	Estimated DCC Revenues	DCC-Eligible Costs Attributable to the NCP Area	DCC Surplus/Shortfall
Water	\$10,200,000	\$7,500,000	\$2,700,000
Sanitary Sewer	\$12,800,000	\$20,800,000	-\$8,000,000
Drainage	\$14,200,000	\$19,300,000	-\$5,100,000
Arterial Roads	\$58,900,000	\$51,900,000	\$7,000,000
Non-Arterial Roads	\$13,600,000	\$7,600,000	\$6,000,000

The revenues are based on the current DCC rates that came into effect on March 15, 2013, and include the DCC municipal assist factor for all DCC-Eligible Costs attributable to the NCP area for each utility as follows:

Service	Municipal Assist Factor	Cost of the Municipal Assist Factor
Sanitary Sewer	10%	\$750,000
Water	10%	\$2,080,000
Drainage	10%	\$1,930,000
Non-Arterial and Arterial Roads	5%	\$3,275,000

Included in these costs are road improvements that will be necessary for the development of this NCP but that will also benefit development outside of this NCP area. In this regard, the NCP has only been burdened with a proportionate share of the total costs related to the road improvements.

Financing Options

As summarized above, the cost to provide the necessary sanitary sewer and stormwater infrastructure to support development in the NCP area exceeds the expected DCC revenues from development in the area. The estimated DCC revenue shortfall as documented above will necessitate the introduction of additional levies to support development of this NCP area. There are four options available to address this shortfall:

- Establish an area specific DCC; or
- Authorize the developers in the NCP area to address the shortfall through the establishment of various development works agreements (DWAs); or
- Authorize the establishment of a Local Area Service charge to address the shortfall; or
- A combination of the two or more of the options above.

Financing Options – Area Specific DCC

The use of an area specific DCC is not new in the City. They have been used in other NCP areas (Anniedale-Tynehead, Highway 99 Corridor and Campbell Heights) to assist in funding the costs of new infrastructure where the DCC revenues on their own are not sufficient to fund the necessary infrastructure.

The following table provides a comparison of current City-wide DCC rates, Anniedale-Tynehead DCC rates and an estimate of the DCC rates that would be required to fully fund all of the sanitary sewer and drainage works in the West Clayton NCP area. These rates were developed in accordance with guidelines contained in the DCC Best Practices Guide as published by the Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development.

Land Use	Existing City Wide DCC Rate	Existing Anniedale- Tynehead DCC Rate	Estimated West Clayton DCC Rate	Proposed Rate as a % of Existing City Wide DCC Rate
SF (RF, RF-12)	\$28,691 per lot	\$42,151 per lot	\$31,200 per lot	109%
SF Small Lot (RF- 10)	\$24,950 per lot	\$36,767 per lot	\$26,900 per lot	108%
RM-10, RM-15 & RM-30	\$16.42 per sq.ft.	\$22.60 per sq.ft.	\$17.75 per sq.ft.	108%
RM-45 and RM-70	\$18.06 per sq.ft.	\$25.52 per sq.ft.	\$19.25 per sq.ft.	107%
Commercial (ground floor)	\$10.11 per sq.ft.	\$15.84 per sq.ft.	\$11.25 per sq.ft.	111%

Financing Options - Development Works Agreement

The use of a Development Works Agreement (DWA) to address a DCC funding shortfall is not new in the City. For this NCP area, DWAs could be established to address the funding shortfalls for the two stormwater detention ponds and various onsite and offsite sanitary sewer improvements. The above approach is a common approach that has been completed numerous times. A recent example of this approach occurred at its Regular meeting on July 29, 2014, where Council adopted the recommendations of Corporate Report No. R157;2014 which authorized the use of a development cost charge front-ending agreement (DCCFEA) and a DWA to with the front-ending developer, for the construction of a community detention pond within the North Grandview Heights neighbourhood.

Financing Options – Local Area Service

The use of a Local Area Service (LAS) to address a DCC funding shortfall is relatively new in the City with Council only supporting this approach at its Regular meeting on February 2, 2015, where Council the recommendations of Corporate Report No. Ro18;2015 which authorized the use of a Local Area Service initiative for the southern portion of the Sunnyside Heights NCP Area as a means to finance a portion of the construction of the Fergus Pump Station and force main.

Recommended Financing Approach

Given that there is a DCC funding shortfall for both sewer and stormwater infrastructure and that there are a number of projects that make up this shortfall, it is recommended that an area specific DCC be established for sewer and stormwater for this NCP area.

Although both a DWA and LAS would be effective in addressing the shortfalls, given that there are a number of projects that make up the shortfall, a number of DWA and LAS petitions would need to take place. Administration of these various petitions will be onerous for both the City and the front-ending developer(s) and staff also believe that these various petitions would likely cause some confusion with land owners and developers in the respective benefiting areas. Establishing an area specific DCC will ensure a simple and clear financing approach.

Implementation of the Recommended Financing Approach

The 10-Year Servicing Plan establishes the City's capital expenditure plan for the construction of engineering infrastructure to service existing neighbourhoods and to support new growth across the City. It also forms the basis for establishing the City's DCC rates

In December 2014, Council adopted an updated 10-Year (2014-2023) Servicing Plan and related DCC By-law. The Servicing Plan has been reviewed annually since 2006 and updates have occurred every two years since 2010.

Staff are currently reviewing the 10-Year Servicing Plan and are intending to bring forward a new 10-Year (2016 – 2025) Servicing Plan and related DCC By-law to Council for their consideration this fall.

As the introduction of any amendment or new DCC By-law is subject to consideration by Council in advance of being provided Provincial Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development for approval prior to its final adoption by Council and so as not to burden staff at the City and the Province in addition to resulting in some confusion with land owners and developers as to which DCC By-law is applicable and when, it is also recommended that the proposed area specific DCC for the West Clayton NCP area only be introduced with the 10-Year (2016 – 2025) Servicing Plan and related DCC By-law review currently underway.

Operational and Maintenance Responsibilities

As indicated above, the sanitary servicing approach of expanding the existing pump station as opposed to replacing with a deep trunk will result in a higher ongoing operation and maintenance cost. In addition, the development of the NCP area will increase the total length of infrastructure that the City is required to operate, maintain and eventually replace. The increases to the City's major infrastructure categories are as follows:

Infrastructure Type	Existing Inventory	Increase to	Increase to
		Inventory	Inventory (%)
Sewer mains	1,565 km	28.0 km	1.8
Water mains	1,840 km	27.5 km	1.5
Drainage mains	1,911 km	19.7 km	1.0
Local, Collector and			
Arterial Roads	1725.5 km	32.2 km	1.9%
(centreline length)			

The midline build-out population estimate of 15,500 persons in the Plan area represents a 3.0% increase in the City's population. The infrastructure needed to support this increase in population results in the City's infrastructure inventory increasing by 1.0 to 1.9%. Therefore, the added infrastructure to support the development of the Plan area is positively balanced when compared against the increase in population.

Implementation of the NCP

OCP Amendment

The majority of area covered by the West Clayton is currently designated Suburban-Urban Reserve in the OCP. It is, therefore, recommended that the necessary bylaw amendment changes to the OCP land use designations related to the West Clayton NCP land use plan proceed following the approval of the West Clayton NCP to update the OCP. Appendix XIV illustrates the required amendments to the Official Community Plan land Use designations in accord with the NCPs land use designations.

Design and Development Guidelines

In the case of single-family residential development, the Design Guidelines will be implemented through the process of reviewing and approving subdivision plans and in developing and approving building schemes for each such subdivision. Where developments are located in designated Development Permit Areas (Steep Slopes, Farm Protection, Environmental Protection, etc.) as well as in the case of multiple unit residential developments or commercial developments, the Design Guidelines will be implemented through the process of reviewing and approving the related Development Permit at the time of development application review and approval.

Zoning By-law Amendment (Amenity Contributions)

To enact amenity contribution requirements for development within the NCP as discussed above, the *Zoning By-law* will need to be amended to add West Clayton to the list of NCPs within which

monetary contributions are required. The proposed amendments to Schedule G of the Zoning By-law to incorporate the amenity fees for the West Clayton NCP area are documented in Appendix XV.

Recovery of NCP Preparation Costs

Several consultants were retained to assist with the preparation of the West Clayton NCP, including heritage, environmental, commercial, transportation, energy, and engineering service studies. The total cost of consultant services to the City was \$461,642.82. It is therefore recommended that the Fee Imposition By-law be amended to provide for the recovery of these NCP preparation costs through the payment of application surcharge fees at time of development. It should be noted that the considerable amount of staff time devoted to this NCP is not recovered through this surcharge process.

A per unit surcharge fee will be based on the anticipated 6,229 units at the mid-range density, and will result in a per unit fee of \$74.11. Should the actual number of proposed units fall below the number anticipated on any particular site, the applicant will be required to make up the shortfall in the surcharge fee to ensure the City's NCP preparation costs are fully recovered. For non-residential development the equivalent application surcharge fee will be based on the lot area at a rate of 10 units per hectare (4 units per acre).

The proposed amendments to Schedule 8 of the Fee Imposition By-law to require the payment of additional application "surcharge" fees to recover the costs of preparing the NCP for West Clayton NCP are documented in Appendix XVI.

Major Road Network Plan Amendment (Subdivision Servicing Bylaw)

The road network proposed in this NCP requires several changes to the "Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91)" and "Surrey Major Road Allowance Map" in the Subdivision & Development By-law. These changes include reclassifying 74 Avenue between 184 Street and 192 Street as a Collector Road, and amending the configuration of 72 Avenue at Fraser Highway as described above in this report and as shown in Appendix IX.

Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy Implementation

The procedure and process for considering and implementing the Energy Efficiency Density Bonus policy for the West Clayton NCP will generally follow the procedure/process outlined in the table below:

PROCEDURE	PROCESS
1. Density Proposed > Baseline Density in NCP	City Staff discussion with applicant during pre-
	application meeting
2. Register Covenant	City requires covenant at time of rezoning approval
3. Issue Performance Bond	City requires bonding at time of building permit
4. Validate Construction meets NCP Energy Efficiency	Applicant ensures a third party review and provides
Policy Standards	verification to City Building Staff
5. Release Performance Bond	City releases post-construction bond upon verification of
	Energy Standards being met

It should be recognized that some of the density bonus policies and the implementation of energy efficiency standards in the NCP are "breaking new ground" in the City of Surrey and it will be necessary for staff to exercise some flexibility and judgement within the general policy framework of the NCP in relation to the application of individual development proposals in the NCP.

SUSTAINABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

The comprehensive and sequential planning of new urban neighbourhoods incorporating land use, transportation, parks, schools, environmental protection, heritage conservation, and the servicing and financing of growth responds to a number of the objectives in the City's Sustainability Charter; more particularly:

- EN9: Sustainable Land Use Planning and Development Practices; and
- EN8: Sustainable Engineering Standards and Practices.

The West Clayton NCP planning process also includes special attention to energy efficiency, including an energy efficiency bonus policy which aligns with scope element EN1: Energy Efficiency.

CONCLUSION

A City project team, in consultation with property owners, a West Clayton CAC, City committees and advisory bodies, government agencies, utility companies, representatives of the land development industry and the public, have been involved in the preparation of an NCP, including a land use plan and engineering/funding/phasing strategy, for the West Clayton area.

The NCP is consistent with the policy framework identified in Surrey's OCP. In addition, several strategies have been identified for funding various amenities required for the neighbourhood.

Based on the above discussion it is recommended that Council:

- Approve the Neighbourhood Concept Plan ("NCP") for West Clayton as documented in Appendix II;
- Approve the engineering servicing strategy and the related financial strategy as documented in this report and as contained in the West Clayton NCP as a means of managing the provision of engineering services for development in this NCP area;
- Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XIV, to Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 18020 (the "OCP") to align the land uses designations within the West Clayton Land Use Concept designations with those in the OCP;
- Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XV, to Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000 (the "Zoning By-law"), to include amenity contributions for the West Clayton area, based upon the density bonus concept;
- Authorize the City Clerk to introduce the necessary amending bylaws for the required readings and to set a date for the related public hearing;

- Approve amendments to Schedule D "Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91)" and Schedule K "Surrey Major Road Allowance Map" to the Subdivision & Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, to reflect the road network illustrated in Appendix IX;
- Authorize staff to incorporate the Development Cost Charge ("DCC")-eligible infrastructure related to water, stormwater, sanitary sewer, and transportation for the NCP in the next edition of the City's 10-Year Servicing Plan;
- Authorize staff to bring forward amendments to Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2014, No. 18148 (the "DCC Bylaw"), to establish area-specific DCC rates for this NCP area as described in this report; and
- Approve amendments, as documented in Appendix XVII, to Surrey Land Use and Development Application Fees Imposition By-law, 1993, No. 11631 (the "Fees Imposition By-law") to require the payment of additional application fees to allow for the recovery of the costs of preparing the NCP for the West Clayton area.

Original signed by Jean Lamontagne General Manager, Planning and Development Original signed by Fraser Smith, P.Eng.,MBA General Manager, Engineering

MK/DL/JA/JB:saw

Appendices


Appendix I	Map of West Clayton NCP Area
Appendix II	West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (Complete Stage 2 Document)
Appendix III	Proposed Stage 2 West Clayton Land Use Plan
Appendix IV	Approved Stage 1 West Clayton Land Use Plan
Appendix V	Summary of Input from Stage 2 Public Information Meeting
Appendix VI	Summary of Modifications to Land Use Concept from Stage 1 to Stage 2
Appendix VII	Stage 2 West Clayton Land Use Statistics and Population/Unit Estimates
Appendix VIII	Summary of Stage 2 Land Use Plan Designation Descriptions
Appendix IX	Proposed Road Network Plan
Appendix X	Proposed Water System Servicing Plan
Appendix XI	Proposed Onsite Sanitary Sewer System Servicing Plan
Appendix XII	Proposed Offsite Sanitary Sewer System Servicing Plan
Appendix XIII	Proposed Stormwater System Servicing Plan
Appendix XIV	Proposed Amendments to Surrey Official Community Plan
Appendix XV	Proposed Amendment to Schedule F and G of the Zoning By-law


Appendix XVI Proposed Amendment to Fee Imposition By-law

v:\wp-docs\admin & policy\15data\july-sept\07151216dl.docx SAW 7/24/15 7:47 AM

Appendix I

Map of West Clayton NCP Area





WEST CLAYTON

Neighbourhood Concept Plan

NCP

JULY 2015



West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan

Planning and Development & Engineering Departments

City of Surrey 13450 104 Avenue Surrey, British Columbia V3T 1V8

Approved by Council July 2015



CONTENTS

Part A – Planning, Land Use & Transportation



SECTION 1: PLANNING BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Plan Area	1
Plan Initiation Background	6
Community Planning Framework	9
Opportunities and Challenges	11
	Plan Initiation Background Community Planning Framework

SECTION 2: PLANNING VISION, PRINCIPLES AND STRATEGIES

2.1	Vision	14
2.2	Objectives and Principles	15
2.3	Strategies	19
2.4	Planning and Consultation Process	23

SECTION 3: LAND USES

3.1	Summary of Land Uses	28
3.2	Residential Land Uses	31
3.3	Commercial & Mixed Uses	54
3.4	Institutional Uses	62
3.5	Parks and Green Space	64

SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

Existing Transportation Network	72
Surrey Transportation Plans and Policies	79
Transportation Analysis	84
Planned Transportation Network	86
Transportation Financing	123
	Surrey Transportation Plans and Policies Transportation Analysis Planned Transportation Network

SECTION 5: DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

5.1	Riparian Area Management	127
5.2	Green Density Transfer Area Guidelines	129
5.3	Energy Density Bonus Policy	131
5.4	Flex Block and Flex Density Guidelines	133
5.5	Special Land Use Interface Area Guidelines	134
5.6	Urban Design Elements	142
5.7	Heritage Strategy	154
5.8	Land Consolidation Strategy	158

SECTION 6: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

6.1	OCP Bylaw Amendments	161
6.2	Design and Development Guidelines	161
6.3	Zoning Bylaw Amendment (Amenity Contributions)	163
6.4	Development Permit Areas	165
6.5	NCP Consultant Cost Recovery Surcharge	165
6.6	Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Implementation Process	166

FIGURES

Part A – Planning, Land Use & Transportation

LIST OF FIGURES

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT	
Figure 1.1 West Clayton NCP Area Boundaries	2
Figure 1.2 West Clayton Context Map	5
Figure 1.3 West Clayton Official Community Plan Designation	7
Figure 1.4 Clayton General Land Use Plan (GLUP) Concept Plan Map	8
Figure 1.5 Community Planning and Land Use Framework Diagram	10
SECTION 2: VISION, OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES	
Figure 2.1 West Clayton Planning Objectives	15
Figure 2.2 West Clayton Planning Process Summary Diagram	25
SECTION 3: LAND USES	
Figure 3.1 West Clayton NCP Land Use Concept Plan	29
SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK	
Figure 4.1 Existing Road Network and Traffic Control	73
Figure 4.2 Existing Traffic Volumes	75
Figure 4.3 Existing Walking and Cycling Networks	77
Figure 4.4 Existing Transit Network	78
Figure 4.5 72 Avenue & Fraser Highway Interim & Ultimate Alignments	85
Figure 4.6 Planned Road Network	89
Figure 4.7 Planned Walking Network	92
Figure 4.8 Planned Cycling Network	94
Figure 4.9 Off-Street Multi-Use Pathway Cross-Section C	95
Figure 4.10 Off-Street Multi-Use Pathway Cross-Section D	96
Figure 4.11 Planned Transit Network	98
Figure 4.12 Planned Traffic Control	100
Figure 4.13 Interim Arterial Road Cross-Section	102
Figure 4.14 Standard Arterial Road Cross-Section	104
Figure 4.15 Standard Collector Road Cross-Section	105
Figure 4.16 Standard Local Road Cross-Section	106
Figure 4.17 Pedestrian Light Fixture	107
Figure 4.18 Green Lane Cross-Section	109
Figure 4.19184 Street (70 Avenue to 71A Avenue) Cross-Section	110
Figure 4.20 Fraser Highway Cross-Section	111
Figure 4.21 Unique Local Roads	114

Figure 4.22 Road Cross-Section A	115
Figure 4.23 Road Cross-Section B	116
Figure 4.24 Road Cross-Section E	117
Figure 4.25 Road Cross-Section F	118
Figure 4.26 Road Cross-Section G	119
Figure 4.27 Road Cross-Section H	120
Figure 4.28 Road Cross-Section I	121

SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

Figure 5.1 Riparian Area Management Areas	128
Figure 5.2 Land Use Interface Transition Areas	135
Figure 5.3 80 Avenue ALR Edge Interface	136
Figure 5.4 ALR Landscape Buffer Interface along western boundary	137
Figure 5.5 Neighbourhood Commercial Interface with Landscaped Buffer	138
Figure 5.6 Landscaped Buffer interface along 182 Street	139
Figure 5.7 Landscaped Buffer interface along Fraser Highway	140
Figure 5.8 Interface along 184 Street Transit Commercial Node	141
Figure 5.9 Urban Design Opportunities	149
Figure 5.10 Illustrated Urban Design Concept Plan for Transit Node	150
Figure 5.11 West Clayton Heritage Sites and Areas	155
Figure 5.12 Illustrated Plan for Surrey Little Theatre Concept Option 1	157
Figure 5.13 Illustrated Plan for Surrey Little Theatre Concept Option 2	157
Figure 5.14 Illustrated Plan for Surrey Little Theatre Concept Option 3	157
Figure 5.15 Land Consolidation Areas	158

SECTION 6: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Figure 6.1 Future West Clayton OCP Land Use Designations Map162

TABLES

Part A – Planning, Land Use & Transportation

LIST OF TABLES

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

SECTION 2: VISION, OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

SECTION 3: LAND USES

Table 3.1 Land Use Statistics	30
Table 3.2 Summary of Land Use Designations	33
Table 3.3 Summary of Cluster and Commercial Designations	34
Table 3.4 Urban Residential Planning Guidelines	38
Table 3.5 Townhouse Residential Planning Guidelines	40
Table 3.6 Townhouse / Apartment Flex Planning Guidelines	43
Table 3.7 Stacked Townhouse / Apartment Flex Planning Guidelines	45
Table 3.8 Low Density Cluster Planning Guidelines	47
Table 3.9 Medium Density Cluster Planning Guidelines	50
Table 3.10 High Density Cluster Planning Guidelines	53
Table 3.11 Mixed Use Commercial / Residential Planning Guidelines	57
Table 3.12 Neighbourhood Commercial Planning Guidelines	59
Table 3.13 Summary of West Clayton Land Use Designations (Zones)	63
SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK	
	74
	82
	93
Table 4.4 Interim Arterial Road Features	101
Table 4.5 Standard Road Features	103
Table 4.6 Green Lane Features	108
Table 4.7 184 Street (70 Avenue to 71A Avenue) Features	108
Table 4.8 Fraser Highway Features	108
Table 4.9 Unique Local Road Features	113
Table 4.10 Summary of Transportation DCC Revenues and Expenditures	124
Table 4.11 DCC-Eligible Transportation Servicing Costs	124
SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES	
Table 5.1 Energy Density Bonus Allowances for Developments	132

SECTION 6: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES Table 6.1 Summary of Amenity Contributions for NCP

Table 6.1 Summary of Amenity Contributions for NCP	164
Table 6.2 Cost Recovery Surcharge Fee Summary for NCP	165

Part B – Engineering Servicing

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

7.1	Financial Analysis for Engineering Infrastructure	170
7.2	Ten Year Servicing Plan Implementation	173

SECTION 8: DRAINAGE AND ENVIRONMENT

8.1	Existing Stormwater Servicing	174
8.2	Design Criteria and Analysis	182
8.3	Proposed System	192
8.4	Costs and Financing	204

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

9.1	Existing Sewer Servicing	208
9.2	Design Criteria and Analysis	212
9.3	Proposed System	242
9.4	Costs and Financing	244

SECTION 10: WATER

10.1	Existing Water Servicing	247
10.2	Design Criteria and Analysis	252
10.3	Proposed System	262
10.4	Costs and Financing	266

FIGURES

Part B – Engineering Servicing

LIST OF FIGURES

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

SECTION 8: DRAINAGE AND ENVIRONMENT

Figure 8.1 NCP Existing Drainage Boundaries Relative to ISMP	175
Figure 8.2 Proposed Drainage catchments and Discharge Points	178
Figure 8.3 Schematic West – East Hydrogeologic Profile of Clayton Upland	181
Figure 8.4 Storm Sewer Profile East of 188 Street at 74 Avenue	185
Figure 8.5 Proposed Drainage Infrastructure	189
Figure 8.6 Stormwater Detention Pond Catchments	195
Figure 8.7 Typical RF-12 Lot with 10% Infiltration Footprint	198
Figure 8.8 Typical West Clayton Road Cross Sections	199
Figure 8.9 Local Road Section with Rain Garden in Parking Lane Bulge	200
Figure 8.10 Local Road Cross Section with BMPs	201
Figure 8.11 Proposed Major Drainage Trunk System (DCC Eligible)	206

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

Figure 9.1 West Clayton NCP Existing Sanitary Sewer System	
Figure 9.2 Proposed Sanitary Infrastructure Network	217
Figure 9.3 Potential Sewer Profile along 74 Avenue	220
Figure 9.4 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Committed Condition)	227
Figure 9.5 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Interim Condition)	228
Figure 9.6 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Long Range Condition)	229
Figure 9.7 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Committed Condition) 180 St Diversion	235
Figure 9.8 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Interim Condition) 180 St Diversion	236
Figure 9.9 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Long Range Condition) 180 St Diversion	237

SECTION 10: WATER

Figure 10.1 Existing Water Distribution System	
Figure 10.2 West Clayton NCP Water Servicing Options	256
Figure 10.3 West Clayton NCP Water MDD+FF Results	259
Figure 10.4 West Clayton NCP Water Peak Hour Results (Pressure and Velocity)	260
Figure 10.5 West Clayton NCP Water Peak Hour Results (Headloss Gradient)	261
Figure 10.6 Recommended Water Servicing Strategy	

TABLES Part B – Engineering Servicing

LIST OF TABLES



SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Table 7.1 Projected DCC Revenues and Construction Cost for West Clayton NCP170Table 7.2 DCC Municipal Assist factor for DCC-Eligible Costs attributed NCP Utilities170Table 7.3 Comparison of Current City-Wide DCC Rates and Estimated DCC Rates171Table 7.4 Increase to City's Major Infrastructure Categories173

SECTION 8: DRAINAGE AND ENVIRONMENT

Table 8.1 Pre-Development Flow Rates	183
Table 8.2 Catchment Modelling Parameters	184
Table 8.3 Model Results: Peak Flow Exiting the NCP Area	190
Table 8.4 Post Development Creek Base Flow Diversion Rates	191
Table 8.5 Proposed Pond Details	193
Table 8.6 BMP Operation and Maintenance Schedule	203
Table 8.7 Stormwater Trunk Servicing Class 'D' Cost Estimate	205

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

	~ ~ ~
Table 9.1 West Clayton NCP Population Summary	214
Table 9.2 Future "North Clayton" NCP Population Allowance	215
Table 9.3 West Clayton Sewer Flows and Sizing Range for Long Range Condition	223
Table 9.4 North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Flows and Sizing for Long Range Condition	226
Table 9.5 Undersized Sewer Downstream of West Clayton NCP	230
Table 9.6 North Cloverdale Lift Station Capacity	231
Table 9.7 North Cloverdale Lift Station Peak Inflows	233
Table 9.8 North Cloverdale Lift Station Peak Inflows with 180 Street Diversion Sewe	er238
Table 9.9 Proposed 180 Street Diversion Sewer	239
Table 9.10 Deep Gravity Sewer Tunnel Estimated Costs (2005, Dayton & Knight)	240
Table 9.11 Updated Deep Gravity Sewer Tunnel Estimated Costs	241
Table 9.12 DCC Eligible Sewer Infrastructure Servicing Cost Estimates	245

SECTION 10: WATER

Table 10.1 Bulk Meter Flow Data	250
Table 10.2 Population and Water Demands (West Clayton & "North Clayton" NCP)	253
Table 10.3 90m and 115m Pressure Zone Water Demands	254
Table 10.4 Water Infrastructure Servicing Cost Estimate	267

Part C – Appendices and Acknowledgements

APPENDICES

- A-1 Corporate Reports (Stage I and Stage 2)
- A-2 Background Studies
 Environmental
 Transportation
 Energy
 Commercial Viability
 Engineering Servicing
- A-3 Summary of Stage 2 Public Consultation Feedback and Results
- A-4 Sustainability Charter Objectives
- A-5 Other

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



Planning, Land Use and Transportation

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT SECTION 2: VISION, OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES SECTION 3: LAND USES SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES SECTION 6: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

PARTA

SECTION 1 Planning Background & Context

and an and and

White M. Littley

- 1.1 Plan Area
- 1.2 Plan Initiation
- 1.3 Community Planning Framework
- 1.4 Opportunities and Challenges

SECTION 1 - PLANNING BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

1.1 PLAN AREA

The West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) area lies predominantly to the west of 188 Street, north of Fraser Highway, east of the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) boundary and south of 80 Avenue. A small part of the Plan Area, comprising a narrow finger of land, also extends east of 188 Street between the north edge of the preexisting East Clayton North NCP area and 74 Avenue. The West Clayton NCP area contains approximately 266 properties comprises a total of approximately 289 hectares (715 acres) as identified in *Figure 1.1.*

1.1.1 Plan Area Boundaries

During the initial planning stage for the West Clayton NCP Area, the Plan was divided into two distinct Areas – NCP Area #1 and NCP Area #2; with 76 Avenue as the dividing line between these two areas.

The reason for this division was that a preliminary review of the major infrastructure work required to support urban development in the Plan Area had revealed that there was a significant distinction between the extents of the infrastructure works that would be required for the area to the south of 76 Avenue versus the area to the north of 76 Avenue. This initial review showed that the development of the area on the north side of 76 Avenue would likely exceed the existing capacity of the North Cloverdale Pumping and Gravity System, possibly requiring more extensive upgrades of the pumping and gravity systems and, therefore, the development of that area could be delayed until the remaining Clayton area was ready for urban development to share the cost of the more extensive works. As a result, it was thought that Stage 2 of the NCP for each of the two areas would proceed at a different pace. However, a more detailed servicing analysis conducted in Stage 1 showed that significant upgrade works, possibly including the construction of a new pump station, will be required for the entire Plan Area. Therefore, the decision was made to remove the boundary between the two separate NCP areas, and proceed with the preparation of Stage 2 of the entire Plan Area as a single NCP Area as identified in Figure 1.1.

Following the above noted boundary creation process, Surrey City Council also included the West Clayton Extension area. This extension area is located north of the East Clayton North NCP and South of 74 Avenue. This amendment to the plan boundary is discussed in more detail in Section 1.2.

-






Figure 1.1 - West Clayton NCP Area Boundaries

+

1.1.2 Claytons Historic Context

Clayton was originally occupied in pre-contact time by the Katzie and Semiahmoo First Nations people, and historically included the neighbourhoods of West Clayton and East Clayton.

In the early 1880s, the Americans and English began to settle the area, making Clayton one of the oldest communities in Surrey. Clayton, formally known as Serpentine Flats and Clover Valley, was named after the Ohio home town of the first Postmaster John George in 1889. The first commercial logging was undertaken by the Royal City Mills in 1864. By 1919, Clayton had its own lumber mill (the Clayton Lumber Mill). Settlement grew as logging and other industries developed in the area. As the logs were depleted, agriculture became an increasingly important and ultimately the predominant industry. The economy of West Clayton was comprised of home-based agriculture, including raising chickens, bee keeping, ranching, and milling.

Adjacent Old Yale Road was one of the most important and historic trails through Surrey, connecting Clayton with the markets in New Westminster. Over the twentieth century, the community continued to grow as West Clayton's position as a transportation hub was established. Overtime, Clayton has remained primarily an agricultural area.

Claytons Agricultural Past

West Clayton's historically pastoral character is reflected in the many small buildings on large properties, supplemented by barns, garages, stables, silos and other agricultural structures. Many sites have mature trees, plantings, fields, and agricultural lands.

The evaluation of the area identified many houses, farm buildings, and natural features. Many of the built resources are modest in comparison to other parts of Surrey due to the rural character of the West Clayton area. As the area was historically primarily agricultural, the setting of the buildings is as important as the built heritage in understanding the growth and development of the area.

1.1.3 Current and Existing Uses

The West Clayton Plan area is generally characterized by its rural and semi-rural ambiance and contains larger lots ranging from one acre to about 10 acres in area; the majority zoned RA (One-Acre Residential Zone) south of 76 Avenue and are used primarily for residential acreage homes or hobby farming purposes as generally shown in Figure 1.2. The portion of the West Clayton Plan Area to the north of 76 Avenue is zoned A-1 (General Agriculture Zone) except for one lot, which is zoned A-2 (Intensive Agriculture Zone). Several commercial farm operations exist in this area, including poultry and beef operations and along the westerly edge of the NCP are several large agricultural parcels, straddle the NCP edge. Parts of these parcels lying within the NCP area typically contain barns and farmhouses and the remaining parts of the parcels, which are within the ALR outside of the NCP area, contain agricultural fields.

Several suburban enclaves within the NCP area contain estate homes on wellmaintained one-acre lots, most notably an area to the north-west of 72 Avenue and 182 Street. This area is relatively isolated from the rest of the West Clayton area and provides good views of the adjacent farmland and distant views to the northwest.

Several City Parks and School sites are currently located in the plan area. Clayton City Park is located to the east of 184 Street on the north side of 70 Avenue. The City also owns three parcels of land to the east of the Clayton Park. The parcel adjacent to the park on the south side of 72 Avenue contains the Hazelgrove Elementary School Annex that was leased to the Surrey School District on an interim basis while a new elementary school (Katzie Elementary) was constructed in East Clayton.

The other two City parcels fronting 188 Street are currently used for an off-leash dog park. Also, adjacent to the westerly NCP edge and south of 74 Avenue, the City owns a parcel designated as park. It is located within the ALR and is currently undeveloped. Clayton Elementary School is currently located southwest of 76 Avenue and 184 Street.






Figure 1.2 - West Clayton Context Map

1.2 PLAN INITIATION BACKGROUND

METRO VANCOUVER REGIONAL GROWTH STRATEGY

The West Clayton Area is designated as a *'future growth area'* within the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy. The West Clayton area is allocated to receive nearly 9% of Surrey's residential unit growth and over 5% of the population growth as part of the Region's Plan by 2041.

SURREY'S OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN

The West Clayton NCP area is designated 'Suburban-Urban Reserve' in the City of Surrey's Official Community Plan (OCP) as illustrated in Figure 1.2. Land within this designation is intended to support the retention of Suburban land uses in areas where future urban development is expected and is subject to City Council initiation and approval of a Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

CLAYTON GENERAL LAND USE PLAN

In 1999, Surrey City Council approved the Clayton General Land Use Plan (GLUP). It provided a conceptual reference for the exploration of detailed land use concepts for future neighbourhoods of Clayton and, as such, illustrated, in broad terms, the general land use pattern, including residential, commercial, work place, institutional and other land uses at densities appropriate to meet the overall objectives of the City. It also illustrates general locations of parks, schools, major greenways, the community structure, and sets out a general approach to providing engineering services to the area.

April 12, 2010

COR R080 - Council instruct staff to conduct a survey of the owners of the properties within and around the West Clayton area, to determine the level of support for the preparation of a NCP and directs staff to provide a report to Council on the survey results.

July 26, 2010

COR R180 - Council authorizes preparation of a Terms of Reference (TOR) to prepare an NCP for West Clayton Neighbourhood #1 and West Clayton Neighbourhood #2 lands.

February 28, 2011

COR R033 - Council authorizes staff to proceed with the preparation of West Clayton Neighbourhood #1 and West Clayton Neighbourhood #2 based on West Clayton NCP Terms of Reference.

June 25, 2012

COR R145 – Council authorizes staff to proceed with an NCP planning process for the West Clayton Extension area, in accordance with the Terms of Reference that have been previously approved for the development of NCPs in the West Clayton area.

December 16, 2013

COR R254 - Council approves the Stage 1 Land Use Concept for the West Clayton (Area #1 and Area #2) Neighbourhood Concept Plan ("NCP") and authorizes staff to undertake the Stage 2 component of the NCP planning process for the West Clayton NCP on the basis of the Stage 1 Land Use Concept.

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION




Figure 1.3- West Claytons Official Community Plan Designation




Figure 1.4 - Clayton General Land Use Plan 1999

1.3 COMMUNITY PLANNING FRAMEWORK

Community planning and development in Surrey is conducted and administered within a series of plans, policies and by-laws. These include the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy, Surrey Official Community Plan, Secondary and Neighbourhood Concept Plans, the Zoning By-law, as well as several other city bylaws and Provincial regulations.

Within the hierarchy of Plans, the Official Community Plan must conform to the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy while all the other Plans and By-Laws must conform to the Official Community Plan. Like the Regional Growth Strategy and the Official Community Plan, all other Plans within the hierarchy generally address the physical, social and economic aspects of the area for which they are prepared.

1.3.1 Land Use Planning Framework

1. Regional Growth Strategy

This Planning Strategy establishes the general nature of future development in the Region of Metro Vancouver and forms the framework within which Regional Growth boundaries and Official Community Plans are to be prepared. In this respect, the City of Surrey Official Community Plan functions as a link between the broad concepts of the Regional Plan and local conditions and municipal objectives in the City of Surrey.

2. The Official Community Plan (Bylaw)

The Surrey Official Plan provides general policies and guidelines for development and the provision of community services in the entire City and forms the basis for Secondary and Neighbourhood Plan formulation.

3. General Land Use Plans (GLUPs)


General Land Use Plans provide an overall planning framework in designated future urban growth areas of the city that will act as a guide for the preparation of future Neighbourhood Concept Plans.

4. Neighbourhood Concept Plans (NCPs)

NCPs, once completed, plan for the servicing, development, and ultimate build-out of neighbourhoods within a GLUP area. They are prepared because the general policies directing city-wide growth and development are rarely detailed enough to address the specific issues in an individual community or neighbourhood. Once adopted by Council, these Plans inform the OCP and are integrated into the OCP by formal amendments as development (which is consistent with the NCPs) is approved.

5. Zoning By-law

The City of Surrey Zoning By-law sets out detailed regulations for land use and development. Zoning is to be consistent with the objectives and policies of the OCP, and Neighbourhood Plans. The purpose of the Zoning By-law is to implement the policies of the various plans and provide standards for individual developments within the broad planning context.



1.4 OPPORTUNITIES & CHALLENGES

The West Clayton NCP addresses a number of opportunities and challenges inherent in the development of the Plan area to urban density, including transportation issues, high cost of servicing, agricultural land interface, and green space management.


1.4.1 Opportunities

- To plan for the development of West Clayton as compact, walkable, transit-supportive urban neighbourhoods based on sustainable planning principles;
- To complete and reinforce "village centre" for Clayton at 188 Street and 72 Avenue envisioned in Clayton General Land Use Plan;
- To improve and construct 72 Avenue to arterial road standard, through Clayton, and provide a safe connection to Fraser Highway that would accommodate the projected eastwest traffic demand;
- To support the future rapid transit along Fraser Highway by providing for medium to high residential density developments within walking distance of the transit service;
- To create a vibrant pedestrian-friendly mixed use neighbourhood centre at the future rapid transit station location on Fraser Highway at 184 Street;
- To protect and preserve the fish habitats and forest hubs and to provide for and enhance the wildlife connectivity;
- To protect the water quality and low-lying farmland from flooding by incorporating best management practices for stormwater as recommended by the Clayton ISMP report;
- To provide appropriate buffer and land use transition to the farmland in the ALR;
- To enhance public access and enjoyment of the view opportunities over and beyond the farmland;
- To enhance opportunities for outdoor recreation and accommodate the need for an indoor recreation amenity;
- To reduce the Greenhouse Gas emissions by offering bonus density commensurate with the level of energy efficiency in buildings, incorporating a district energy system as alternative source of energy for heating & cooling and by promoting the use of electric vehicles to reduce dependence on fossil fuel for driving;
- To create a sense of place and identity through urban design, place-making and by incorporating the history and heritage of the area through such means as protection, integration, commemoration and interpretation.

1.4.2 Challenges

- Construction of 72 Avenue to full arterial road standard while ensuring the impact is mitigated on the existing suburban enclave of well-maintained one-acre lots in the relatively isolated pocket of land to the north-west of 72 Avenue and 182 Street;
- Special Land use planning and road layouts in the areas constrained by a number of Class "A" and Class "B" watercourses;
- Land use planning and density transition and preservation of the existing landscape and hillside views cape adjacent to the ALR lands;
- Achieving fine-grid road layout in the area near the Fraser Highway given the land configuration and ensuring consideration and provision for appropriate noise and visual buffer from traffic and rapid transit in the future;
- Ensuring a network of connected Green
 Infrastructure by preserving large core
 habitat areas, ensuring connectivity between
 habitat areas and providing a diversity of
 habitat features consistent with the Surrey
 Biodiversity Conservation Strategy Green
 Infrastructure Network (GIN) plan.
- Achieving the "village centre" that is pedestrian-friendly and inviting, given the location on 72 Avenue, a 4-lane arterial road and 188 Street – a major collector;
- Achieving a "Transit Commercial Node" near the future Rapid Transit Stop along Fraser Highway that is pedestrian-friendly and inviting, given the location of 184 Street – a major arterial, and Fraser Highway a - major regional arterial.
- Implementing the bonus density incentive for energy efficiency and district energy system;
- Protecting and achieving appropriate integration of the historic and heritage assets identified by the Heritage Study.

SECTION 2 Planning Vision, Principles and Strategies



SECTION 2 Planning Vision, Principles & Strategies

2.1 VISION

West Clayton will be a community that is inclusive, integrated and diverse – mixing a diversity of housing types, populations of different ages, including seniors and youth, and a variety of lifestyles. It will be a healthy and sustainable community that will promote a sense of place, walkability, bicycling and transit as the preferred modes of travelling, universal accessibility, and preservation of natural areas, wildlife connectivity, while proiding a respectful transition between existing and new places, and energy efficiency and conservation.

Community amenities and services will be located within a convenient distance of most homes, with opportunities for access to nature and recreation provided through parks and greenways throughout the community, and opportunities for social interaction provided by creating outdoor public spaces for perchance meetings, festivals and public art, and by emphasizing street-friendly design.


Green spaces and clustering of homes along the agricultural interface will provide a respectful relationship with the neighbouring agricultural community and assist preservation of the hillside. Community's history, heritage and character will be respected and promoted in the creation of new places.




2.2 PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES

The West Clayton NCP land use concept is linked to the Clayton General Land Use Plan (GLUP), which envisioned Clayton as a complete community with local village commercial nodes, transit/commercial and employment nodes, a mix of residential uses and densities, community facilities, schools, parks, pathways, open space and protected areas.

The Principles for the development of West Clayton were further refined through the community visioning process conducted during the Stage I Land Use Plan process. The Objectives and Principles are facilitated by specific strategies which led to the Land Use and Land Use Policies for the Area.





N

Α

2.2.1 GREEN

Principle 1. Provide an equitable distribution and access to high quality public parks and greenways throughout the NCP area, with parkland within walking distance of all new residences.

Principle 2. Protect, integrate and enhance environmentally sensitive and other natural areas to create a network of wildlife corridors and habitat hubs, and where appropriate, access to nature for people.

Principle 3. Connect parks, natural spaces and neighbourhood destinations with greenways and multi-use pathways.

Principle 4. Cluster and locate homes away from the environmentally sensitive areas and agricultural land uses.

Principle 5. Pursue the conveyance of riparian areas to the City through the subdivision and development process in order to ensure the consistent management of natural environmental values in riparian corridors.

2.2.2 CONNECTED

Principle 1. Create a compact community to promote walking and support transit.

Principle 2. Provide an interconnected street grid to allow multiple ways to disperse traffic, to provide choices to travel on foot, or by bicycle or car, and to provide safe and convenient access to transit.

Principle 3. Provide sites for neighbourhood parks and schools in convenient and equitably distributed locations that are easily and safely accessible on foot or by bicycle.

Principle 4. Provide opportunities in the neighbourhood for safe and convenient access to shopping, services and amenities within a short distance of most homes that is convenient for walking and bicycling.

Principle 5. Provide opportunities for access to fresh food by encouraging a provision of such amenities as garden commons or garden plots in multiple housing developments.

N

B

С

2.2.3 COMPLETE

Principle 1. Provide for a variety of housing types, densities and forms to accommodate a range of housing choices and lifestyles, while respecting the existing established residential areas.

Principle 2. Locate higher residential densities in proximity of the village centre at 72 Avenue and 188 Street, the future rapid transit station at 184 Street and Fraser Highway and in areas of lower environmental value.

2.2.4 DISTINCT

Principle 1. Promote a sense of place by providing special urban design at entrances to the neighbourhood, at the village centre, in proximity of the rapid transit station and other prominent locations. Emphasize contextual design and place-making, and include opportunities for public gathering places, festivals, public art, outdoor seating spaces and landscaping to provide comfort, texture and colour.

Principle 2. Reinforce the village centre as a focus for the Greater Clayton community and create a focus for the West Clayton neighbourhood around the future rapid transit station by providing a mix of commercial spaces and residential land uses, and outdoor public spaces at these locations, and by ensuring pedestrian oriented and people friendly spaces and buildings.

Principle 3. Protect the natural beauty, preserve historic and natural references and provide view corridors, as appropriate, and preserve, integrate and adapt, as necessary, West Clayton's heritage with buildings and landscapes.

Principle 4. Encourage street and pedestrian friendly site and building designs.

N

D

2.2.5 WELL DESIGNED

Ε

Principle 1. Provide a street grid and maximize street connectivity within the neighbourhood, and provide appropriate densities and mix of uses within 400-800 metres of the village centre and the rapid transit station to support transit and energy efficiency, sharing of waste energy between different land uses and consideration for district energy.

Principle 2. Maximize east-west oriented streets and development blocks for solar gain and energy conservation.

Principle 3. Provide policies and guidelines for energy-conserving and energy-efficient site layouts and buildings.

Principle 4. Provide appropriate residential land use and density to create transition to the existing established enclave of suburban homes to the west of 182 Street.

Principle 5. Provide for mitigation of impact from the traffic on Fraser Highway through appropriate buffer, building designs and site layouts.

2.3 PLANNING STRATEGIES

2.3.1 An Energy Shift Neighbourhood

West Clayton is intended to be the first neighbourhood for piloting innovative ideas to help reduce energy costs for residents and meet Surrey's commitment to reducing GHG emissions. For the first time, NCP included requirements for consideration of energy and energy Bonus criteria as a factor in land use planning.

As an initial step in planning with energy as a factor, an Energy Design Charrette was organized with City staff, consultants and BC Hydro and Fortis BC Gas representatives as co-participants in a hands-on land use design workshop to generate land use options and ideas that will contribute to reducing energy consumption and GHG emissions. After considering various opportunities and actions resulting from this Charrette, City Council recommended to:

"Adopt of a policy for providing a density bonus in return for a commitment from developers and builders to achieve increasing levels of energy efficiency beyond what would be expected under current energy codes and rating systems;" and

"Conduct a study for the potential of a district energy system and electric-vehicle charging stations in the neighbourhood." The attributes of the West Clayton Land use Concept Plan have included the mixing of land uses to include characteristics which ensure energy-conscious planning and assist in achieving energy efficiency and conservation in support of the ENERGY Shift model neighbourhood:

STATEGY 1

Create a fine grid interconnected road network of predominantly east-west oriented streets and blocks.

STATEGY 2

Designate density concentrations in and around the neighbourhood commercial and village nodes and the Fraser Highway transit corridor.

STRATEGY 3

Building energy standards required for developments seeking to exceed the base density within NCP, and established additional density allowances.

2.3.2 A Sustainable Neighbourhood

The West Clayton NCP responds to the NCP's vision and reflects the planning principles and actions of the City of Surrey Sustainability Charter.

The NCP implements a number of specific actions set out under the Socio-Cultural (SC) Scope, Economic (EC) Scope and Environmental (EN) Scope of the City's Sustainability Charter included in Appendix A-4.

STATEGY 1

Support walking, cycling and transit use to reduce the need for the use of private vehicles by concentrating higher density residential development near planned rapid transit on Fraser Highway and near commercial areas, and by planning a grid of interconnected streets, greenways and walkways.

STATEGY 2

Create an interconnected system of natural areas and open space that conserves ecosystems and functions, while providing benefits to both wildlife and people with emphases on Biodiversity Corridors, Hubs and Sites within forest patches and the ALR edge.

STATEGY 3

Support mixed use (commercial and residential) and live-work, to add diversity to the housing opportunities

STATEGY 4

Provide energy-efficient buildings through density bonus incentives.

STATEGY 5

Support place-making through heritage preservation, character of public places and key streets, and view protection.

2.3.3 A Green Linked Neighbourhood

The West Clayton NCP is designed to include Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) corridors to maintain and enhance the City of Surrey's Green Infrastructure Network Plan (GIN). The Land use Concept therefore, generally manages for the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) corridors proposed in the BCS Plan adopted by Council in July 2014.

Land identified within the BCS green infrastructure network will be achieved through a balance of parkland acquisition, gross density transfer and the conveyance of riparian areas through the rezoning and land development process.. The creation of green linkages in the West Clayton Land Use Concept Plan includes several strategies, including:

STRATEGY 1

Creation and enhancement of natural and active area Parks including eight new parks and the enhancement of Clayton Park;

STATEGY 2

Enhancement and streamside buffers for Riparian areas of Class "A", Class "AO" and Class "B" watercourses;

STATEGY 3

BCS (Biodiversity Conservation Strategy) corridors including Green Density Transfer (GDT) areas, portions of which are required for ALR buffer purposes including:

- A minimum of 15-metre/50-ft. wide
 ALR buffer and riparian area for
 relocated watercourses along 80
 Avenue;
- A 5-metre/buffer area along the Fraser Highway;

STATEGY 4

Multi-use pathways to connect and supplement the designated Greenways, including:

- along the BCS Corridors edges, within (or adjacent to) the proposed right-of-way for a sanitary sewer main and the existing Fortis BC Gas right-of-way;
- along Fraser Highway, 184 Street, 72
 Avenue and 80 Avenue.

STATEGY 5

Landscape buffer area proposed to the northwest of Fraser Highway and 180 Street along the future long-term extension of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway. This buffer area will preserve an existing bald eagle's nest near the riparian forest of a Class "B" watercourse. Further, given its location at the edge of the NCP area and uphill travelling east on Fraser Highway, it will act as a landmark for the neighbourhood. A public art piece to identify and reinforce its landmark status should be installed in this park.

STRATEGY 6

A 10-metre (33-ft.) wide north-south corridor is required along the east side of 189B Street between 73 Avenue and 74 Avenue. (This will be dedicated as a wide boulevard.) It will accommodate a 6-metre (20-ft.) wide landscaped corridor.

This is not required as a BCS Corridor, but its purpose is to link greenway between the proposed park on 73 Avenue and riparian areas of the Class "A" and Class "B" coded watercourses to the north of 74 Avenue. The remaining 4 metres of the 10-metre corridor will be provided as a multi-use pathway. A greenway, to be required as a public right-ofway, is proposed within part of the Fortis BC Gas right-of-way. This greenway will provide an east-west connection, to the east of 184 Street, for pedestrians and recreational bicyclists.

2.4 PLANNING AND CONSULTATION PROCESS

To inform the neighbourhood planning process, a number of background studies, including reports on the existing and potential heritage resources, feasibility and requirements for commercial development, environmental resources, transportation plan, and integrated stormwater management plan were undertaker as well as several targeted consultation processes opportunities as generally described below and as illustrated in Figure 2.2.


2.4.1 Citizen Advisory Committee

A Citizens' Advisory Committee ("CAC") was formed and met regularly to provide advice and comments on plan as it was developed. There where ten meetings of the CAC over the entire planning process. The CAC included a crosssection of property owners from within the Plan area as well as representatives from neighbourhoods adjacent to the Plan area and three citizens representing the interests of the City at large.

Feedback on the vision, planning principles and land use directions and options were obtained through public open houses and from comment sheets that interested parties completed and forwarded to staff. Staff also held meetings with other stakeholders and workshops with youth to obtain input in the development of the land use concepts.

2.4.2 Public Open House Meetings

Three well-attended public meetings where held as part of Stage I of the NCP process and one additional public meeting was held for Stage II, as listed:



A summary of the results of the public meeting(s)/open house(s) held is contained in **Appendix A-4**.

2.4.3 Interagency Meetings

Several meetings where held with an Interagency Committee comprised of representatives from utilities, Provincial ministries (Including ALC/MOA & MOT), as well as Metro Vancouver and TransLink. Several meetings also took place with staff of the Surrey School District all to ensure coordinated planning for schools in the area.

2.4.4 Youth Involvement


Five workshops were held with students from Clayton Elementary School and the Clayton Heights Secondary School to gather the perspectives of youth on the future neighbourhoods in West Clayton. A Youth Strategy document was established which ultimately influenced the creation of the West Clayton NCP planning principles.

2.4.5 City Committee Meetings

Presentations to receive early and ongoing feedback were also made to the Agriculture & Food Security Advisory Committee (AFSAC), the Heritage Advisory Commission (HAC), the Development Advisory Committee (DAC), the Environment & Sustainability Advisory Committee (ESAC), and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (TIC) at various stages in the Planning proces

N

STAGE 1



Citizen Advisory Committee Meeting (CAC)

🖌 Public Open House Meeting (POH)

Figure 2.2 - West Clayton Planning Process Summary

SECTION 2: VISION, OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

SECTION 3 Land Uses

- 3.1 Summary of Land Uses
- 3.2 Residential Land Uses
- 3.3 Commercial and Mixed Uses

Let at

- 3.4 Institutional Uses
- 3.5 Parks and Green Space

SECTION 3 – LAND USES

3.1 SUMMARY OF LAND USES

The West Clayton Neighbourhood concept plan shown in Figure 3.1, contains a range of residential, commercial, mixed use, institutional, park and recreation land uses including:


- a diversity of residential areas to accommodate a variety of future urban housing forms and densities (ranging from small single family and attached housing to townhouse and apartment buildings);
- two mixed-use commercial/residential and transit nodes;
- a small neighbourhood commercial site;
- a new recreation/community centre and library;
- a new secondary school and three elementary schools (one existing);
- nine parks, including Clayton Park and eight new parks, as well as three linear parks and BCS corridors.

3.1.1 Vision

"The West Clayton neighbourhood is envisioned as a compact and walkable neighbourhood, with a network of interconnected streets, greenways and walkways to distribute traffic and encourage walking cycling and use of public transit. The street network is designed to accommodate local transit service in the future and provide convenient access to the future rapid transit service along Fraser Highway. Neighbourhood amenities and facilities including parks, schools and neighbourhood shopping areas are located to be accessible by walking and cycling along safe walkways and greenways from all parts of the community. "

In addition to the built environment, a network of natural areas is proposed for protection and enhancement of the City's green infrastructure, including:

- Riparian areas associated with significant watercourses;
- Landscaped buffer areas on a portion of the land adjacent to the ALR for transition and hillside preservation;
- East West and North South
 Biodiversity Conservation Corridors as
 identified in detail in Section 3.5.3.



3.1.2 Land Use Plan Statistics

Table 3.1 provides a breakdown of the land uses projections with regard to land area, population and employment projections at full build-out of the West Clayton NCP area. The population projections noted below do not include Secondary Suites within single family developments.


West Clayton Land Use	Conce	pt Sta	tistics			-
Land Use	Acres	Area %	Low Units	High Units	Low Population	High Population
Existing Park	31.5	4.4%	0	0	0	0
Proposed Park	44.5	6.2%	0	0	0	0
Proposed Public Recreational Facility	4.2	0.6%	0	0	0	0
Wildlife Corridor	8.4	1.2%	0	0	0	0
Landscape Buffer	9.2	1.3%	0	0	0	0
Day-Lighted Creek Buffer	1.1	0.2%	0	0	0	0
Creek Buffers (A and AO Class)	25.0	3.5%	0	0	0	0
Future School/Park	3.0	0.4%	0	0	0	0
Existing Elementary School	3.0	0.4%	0	0	0	0
Proposed Elementary School	23.7	3.3%	0	0	0	0
Existing and Proposed Roads	187.3	26.2%	0	0	0	0
School District Property	8.6	1.2%	0	0	0	0
Neighbourhood Commercial	5.2	0.7%	0	0	0	0
Mixed Use Commercial/Residential	17.2	2.4%	1,013	1,266	1,418	1,772
Suburban Residential	22.1	3.1%	44	44	150	150
Low Density Cluster	66.3	9.3%	398	663	1,352	2,254
Urban Transition	2.7	0.4%	16	16	56	56
Urban Residential	54.1	7.6%	541	758	1,461	2,046
Medium Density Cluster	83.8	11.7%	838	1,173	2,262	3,166
Urban/Townhouse Flex	12.3	1.7%	123	333	333	898
Townhouse Residential	56.6	7.9%	1,246	1,529	3,364	4,128
Townhouse Residential Transition	2.5	0.4%	56	68	150	185
Townhouse/Apartment Flex	24.3	3.4%	607	655	1,638	1,769
Stacked Townhouse/Apartment	7.1	1.0%	213	319	575	862
High Density Cluster	9.8	1.4%	245	294	343	412
Total	713.6	100%	5,340	7,119	13,103	17,699

Table 3.1 - West Clayton NCP Land Use Plan Statistics

m

3.2 RESIDENTIAL LAND USES

Approximately 138 hectares (341 acres) of the West Clayton NCP area is designated for residential uses. A variety of housing densities and forms may be permitted within the residential designated lands. Unless mentioned otherwise, (such as within the Cluster Residential Areas) the densities are "net" densities to be calculated over "net" site area. Base Densities [Units per Acre (UPA) and in some designations Floor Area Rations (FAR)] may be increased based on Energy Efficiency Policy as provided in the example illustrated below, and as described in Sections 5.3 and 6.5.



Intent of Planning Guidelines

The intent of the residential area guidelines and are to encourage the development of a variety of housing types, densities, and forms that will provide a variety of housing options while still ensuring a strong and unified residential character for West Clayton while preserving environmental significant features where feasible.

PRINCIPLE 1

Provide for a variety of housing types, densities and forms to accommodate a range of housing choices and lifestyles, while respecting the existing established residential areas.

PRINCIPLE 2

Locate higher residential densities in proximity of the village centre at 72 Avenue and 188 Street, the future rapid transit station at 184 Street and Fraser Highway and in areas of lower environmental value.

PRINCIPLE 3

Provide opportunities in the neighbourhood for safe and convenient access to shopping, services and amenities within a short distance of most homes that are convenient for walking and bicycling.

PRINCIPLE 4

Cluster and locate homes away from the environmentally sensitive areas and agricultural interface.

PRINCIPLE 5

Provide appropriate residential land use and density to create transitions to the existing established enclave of suburban homes to the west of 182 Street.

PRINCIPLE 6

Provide opportunities for access to fresh food by encouraging a provision of such amenities as garden commons or garden plots in multiple housing developments.

PRINCIPLE 7

Provide a street grid and maximize street connectivity within the neighbourhood, and provide appropriate densities and mix of uses within 400-800 metres of the village centre and the rapid transit station to support transit and energy efficiency, sharing of waste energy between different land uses and consideration for district energy.

Summary of Land Use Descriptions

The West Clayton Land Use Plan identifies ten (10) designations allowing for primarily residential use, three (3) of which describe single family or two family densities, four (4) which describe ground oriented multiple family densities ranging from multiple attached housing to row house development, and two (2) which mainly describe higher density multifamily development consisting of townhouses and low-rise apartment developments.

Each of the land use designations are described in detail in section (3.2.1-3.2.10) and are summarized in Table 3.2-3.3, and Figure 3.2 below. Density Guidelines and typical zones are also provided sections (3.2.1-3.2.10) with a summary provided in Table 3.12 for convenience use.

Typical Land Use Planning Abbreviations:

Standard Land Use definitions abbreviations referenced throughout the Land Use Section include:

- □ FAR (Floor Area Ratio)
- □ UPA (Units Per Acre)
- □ NET (Net Density)
- □ GROSS (Gross Density)
- □ CD (Comprehensive Development Zone)
- □ OS (Open Space)



m

32

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

					CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP		E LAND USE DESIGNATIONS
Land Use Designation		UPA Effic Der	*Energy Efficiency Density Bonus	Density (with	Typical Building Height	Minimum Open Space % Required	General Building Form Description
Resid	lential Designations						
	Suburban Residential	2 UPA Net	N/A	N/A	2-Stories	N/A	Intended for single family homes on large acreage lots and covers most of the existing enclave of 25 lots, located to the north-west of 72 Avenue and 182 Street. Existing lots range in size from 1 acre to 2.5 acres. One Secondary suite per unit may be permitted.
	Urban Transition	6 UPA Net	N/A	N/A	2-Stories	N/A	Intended for larger than traditional single family urban lots This designation provides a transition between future urban residential development and is intended to mitigates impact on the existing suburban lots on the west side of 182 Street. Lots may be no less than 20 metres in width. Buildings will be setback no less than 10 metres from 182 Street including a 5 m wide landscape buffer within the setback. Parking access to be provided by rear public lane. One Secondary suite per unit may be permitted.
	Urban Residential	10 UPA Net	+4 UPA	14 UPA Net	2-3 Stories	N/A	Intended for primarily single family detached or semi-detached and duplex ground-oriented units on urban size lots. This designation will provide a diversity of housing choices and may also include triplexes and manor houses within the same block or on street corners, subject to their impact being adequately addressed and the units being designed in keeping with appropriate design considerations. Parking access provide by rear public or private lanes.
	Townhouse Residential	22 UPA Net	+5 UPA	27 UPA Net	2-3 Stories	N/A	Intended for ground oriented Townhouses and Row house units in areas with access to major circulation routes, business districts and public amenities. Typical developments may consist of two-or-three story buildings that house multiple dwelling units (Strata of Fee Simple) and may provide some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces. Parking access provide by rear public or private lanes.
	Urban / Townhouse Flex: TYPE 1 (Urban Residential) TYPE 2 (Townhouse)	10 UPA Net 22 UPA Net	+4 UPA +5 UPA	14 UPA Net 27 UPA Net	2-3 Stories	N/A	Intended for a mix of small lot single family detached or semi-detached residential units on urban lots and/or ground oriented Townhouses and Row house units in areas with access to major circulation routes, business nodes and public amenities. Typical developments may consist of two-or-three story buildings that house multiple dwelling units. Parking access provide by rear public or private lanes.
	TYPE 2 (Apartment	25 UPA Net 1.3 FAR	+2 UPA +0.2 FAR	27 UPA Net 1.5 FAR	3-4 Stories	N/A	Intended for a mix of mid-rise apartments and ground oriented urban townhouses. Walk ability and pedestrian access are key considerations. Parking access is to provide by rear public or private lanes for townhouse developments and underground parking for apartments. Some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces are to be provided on site.
	Stacked Townhouse / Apartment: TYPE 1 (Staked Townhouse) TYPE 2 (Apartment)	30-45 UPA Net 1.3 FAR	0.2 FAR	1.5 FAR	3-4 Stories 4-6 Stories	N/A	Intended for mid-rise apartments with Townhouse base and underground parking. May include high-intensity stacked Townhouses and Low-Rise Walk-up apartment units surround central retail or transit areas with convenient access to neighbourhood amenities. Underground parking is preferred. Walk ability and pedestrian access are key considerations

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

	Use Designation	Base Density UPA	*Energy Efficiency Density Bonus	Max Density (with Bonus)	Typical Building Height	Minimum Open Space % Required	General Building Form Description
Cluste	er Residential Designations (Open S Low Density Cluster: TYPE 1 – 5% OS TYPE 2 – 7.5% OS	pace Preservation) 6 UPA Gross 8 UPA Gross	+2 UPA +2 UPA	8 UPA Gross 10 UPA Gross	2-Stories	5% 7.5%	Intended for urban housing on a large development site, in the form of single- family or semi-detached dwellings on individual lots (or bare land strata lots for areas downslope of the Sanitary Sewer Trunk Main) or in the form of lower density ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings with substantial public open space set aside. This designations maximum density should only be considered if there are special amenities such as mature vegetation,
	Medium Density Cluster: TYPE 1 – 7.5 % OS TYPE 2 – 10% OS	10 UPA Gross 12 UPA Gross	+2 UPA +2 UPA	12 UPA Gross 14 UPA Gross	2-3 Stories	7.5% 10 %	 watercourse, riparian areas, ravines, slopes or the site development can contribute open spaces as parkland within Green Density Transfer areas. Intended for urban oriented housing on a large development site. Housing forms may vary, from single-family dwellings, two-family dwellings or multiple attached dwellings with substantial public open space set aside. This designations maximum density should only be considered if there are special amenities such as mature vegetation, watercourse, riparian areas, ravines, slopes or the site development can contribute open spaces as parkland within Green Density Transfer areas.
	High Density Cluster: TYPE 1 – 10% OS TYPE 2 – 15% OS	25 UPA Gross 25 UPA Gross	+2 UPA +5 UPA	27 UPA Gross 30 UPA Gross	2-3 Stories	10% 15%	Intended for urban townhouses on a large development site. Housing forms are intended to be multiple residential ground oriented dwellings and townhouses with substantial public open space set aside. May include some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces. Parking access provide by rear public or private lanes. This designations maximum density should only be considered if there are special amenities such as mature vegetation, watercourse, riparian areas, ravines, slopes or the site development can contribute open spaces as parkland within Green Density Transfer areas.
Comr	nercial & Residential Commercial M	Vixed Use Designation	IS				
	Mixed Use Commercial/Residential: VILLAGE NODE TRANSIT NODE	1.3 FAR 1.5 FAR	+0.2 FAR +0.3 FAR	1.5 FAR 1.8 FAR	4-Stories 6-Stories	N/A	Intended to medium-rise, multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity spaces, which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design. Built form intended to integrate commercial and residential uses in the same building. The ground floor of the buildings will be designed to accommodate small, pedestrian-oriented retail shops (as opposed to large-format retail), and personal and general service stores, all with their primary store entrances from the adjacent streets. Small-scale offices and other commercial businesses permitted, if provided, should be located above ground floor. Alternatively, if they are located within the ground floor, they should not occupy any street-fronting location on 184 Street.
	Neighbourhood Commercial	0.5 FAR	N/A	N/A	1-2 Stories	N/A	A Neighbourhood oriented commercial area which promotes a mix of commercial, retail, restaurant, and office uses which serve the day-to-day needs of surrounding residents. It caters to shoppers in the immediate neighbourhood for convenience items, such personal care, groceries, dental and medical offices, etc.

Table 3.3- Summary of Cluster Residential and Commercial/Mixed Use Designations

3.2.1 Suburban

The SUBURBAN designation is consistent with the designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP). It is in keeping with the wishes of a majority of the lot owners who have expressed a desire to maintain the same suburban designation in the NCP. Given the relatively isolated location of this enclave, the Suburban designation is expected to remain unchanged for some years in the future.

The designation is intended for single family homes on large acreage lots and covers most of the existing enclave of 25 lots, located to the north-west of 72 Avenue and 182 Street. Existing lots range in size from 1 acre to 2.5 acres.

DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR SUBURBAN:

- Densities within the SUBURBAN designation may range up to a maximum of 5 units per hectare (2 units per acre).
- Densities with the SUBURBAN designation may be calculated on a gross site area where sufficient parkland and/or community benefit are provided.
- Zones that may be acceptable within the SUBURBAN designation may include: One Acre Residential (RA), Acreage Residential Gross Density Zone (RA-G) Zones.







35

3.2.2 Urban Transition

The URBAN TRANSITION Designation is intended for larger than traditional single family urban lots but smaller than typical suburban lots. This designation provides a transition between future urban residential development is intended to mitigates impact on the existing suburban lots on the west side of 182 Street.

This designation is intended for lots along the east side of 182 Street between 72 Avenue and 74 Avenue.

DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR URBAN TRANSITION:

- Densities within the URBAN
 TRANSITION designation may range up to a maximum of 14.75 units per hectare (6 units per acre).
- Lots may be no less than 20 metres in width.
- Buildings will be setback no less than 10 metres from 182 Street.
- A 5 metre wide landscaped buffer with trees and shrubs adjacent to 182
 Street is required. (A restrictive covenant should be registered over landscaped buffer to ensure it is maintained.)
- Vehicle access to the RESIDENTIAL TRANSITION area lots will be restricted to a rear lane.
- Zones that may be acceptable within the URBAN TRANSITION designation may include the Single Family Residential (RF) Zone.



Base

UPA





m

3.2.3 Urban Residential

The URBAN RESIDENTIAL Designation is Intended for primarily single family detached or semi-detached groundoriented units on urban sized lots. This designation will provide a diversity of housing choices and may also include option of triplexes and manor houses within the same block or on street corners, subject to their impact being adequately addressed and the units being designed in keeping with appropriate design considerations. Parking access will be provide by rear public or private lanes.

This designation is intended for lots in the areas:

- between 76 Avenue and 74 Avenue from 184 Street to the future 187 Street;
- west of 184 Street and both to the north and south of 74 Avenue;
- east of 188 Street between 74Avenue and the future 73B Avenue.



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR URBAN RESIDENITAL:

- The Base Densities within the RESIDENTIAL TRANSITION designation may range up to a maximum of 24.7 units per hectare (10 units per acre) Net.
- With Energy Efficient Density Bonus
 Densities may be increased by up to
 9.88 units per hectare (4 units per acre).
- Vehicle access to the Urban
 Residential area lots will generally be restricted to a rear lane.





SECTION 3: LAND USES

TABLE 3.4 U	TABLE 3.4 URBAN RESIDENTIAL – PLANNING GUIDELINES					
-Density	Max UPA (including Bonus) Base UPA + 10 + (+4) Max Bonus	 10-14 units per acre. A Bonus Density may be available. See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy 				
Possible Zones	Dossible Zones The allowable zones on developable portions of the private properties may include: RF-12, RF-10, RF-SD, RM-10, RM-15 and site-specific CD.					
Built Form	 Primarily detached or semi-detached and duplex ground-oriented units on urban lots. To provide diversity and increase housing choices, triplexes, fourplexes, manor house small scale low density townhouse complexes may also be considered within the same bl in the adjacent area, subject to their impact being adequately addressed and the units designed in keeping with design considerations. 					
Heritage Considerations	 Within this designation, the West Clayton Heritage Study identifies the following heritage resources: House at 18377 74 Avenue as a potential heritage resource; and The Edward Armstrong House and a barn located at 7381 192 Street, which are listed on the City's Heritage Register. See Heritage Strategy in Section 5.7. 					
Landscaped / Permeable Surfaces Minimum Land	area to be located in thedevelopable area of theFor details and addition	e equal to a consolidated infiltration area of a minimum of 10% of the lot e front yard; and 800mm of pervious material on all pervious surfaces in the lot. al requirements, see Servicing, Drainage and Environment Section 8 and Consolidation Strategy				
ASSEMBLY						

3.2.4 Townhouse Residential

The TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL Designation is Intended for ground oriented Townhouses and Row house units in areas with access to major circulation routes, business districts and public amenities. Typical developments may consist of twoor-three story buildings that house multiple dwelling units (Strata of Fee Simple) and may provide some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces. Parking access typically provide by rear public or private lanes.

This designation is intended primarily for lots in the areas:


- South of 74 Avenue both to the east and west of 188 Street, much of it bounded by the Fortis BC Gas rightof-way with a smaller area lying up to 73 Avenue east of the future 190 Street;
- To the west side of 182 Street both on the north and south sides of 72 Avenue with a portion of the designation on the north side of 72 Avenue shown as a transition area adjacent to the suburban lots.



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR TOWNHOUSE RESIDENITAL:

- The Base Density within the TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL designation has a maximum of 54.3 units per hectare (22 units per acre) Net.
- With Energy Efficient Density Bonus Densities may be increased by up to 12.4 units per hectare (5 units per acre).
- Vehicle access to the Townhouse Residential area lots will be restricted to a rear lane.







SECTION 3: LAND USES

39

TABLE 3.5 TC	DWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL – PLANNING GUIDELINES
-DENSITY	□ 22-27 units per acre.
	Max UPA (including Bonus) A Bonus Density may be available. See Energy Efficiency Density
	Bonus Policy
	Base 27 May
	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dase} & \textbf{Z} & \text{Max} \\ \text{UPA} & \textbf{PA} & \text{22} + (5) & \textbf{F} & \text{Bonus} \end{array}$
Possible Zones	The allowable zones on developable portions of the private properties may include:
	RM-23, RM-30 and site-specific CD.
	□ Clusters of attached multiple ground-oriented units in a strata development and/or ground-
	oriented units on fee-simple lots and attached to adjacent units in a row. Along with the
	clusters of multiple attached units some semi-detached units may also be provided as part of a
	comprehensively designed strata development.
BUILT FORM	Within the transition area adjacent to the suburban lots, to the north-west of 72 Avenue and
Boilt Folian	182 Street, the built form will need to be designed to address the interface issues of massing,
	scale, setback and privacy.
	\square At select locations, the ground floor of street-fronting units may need to be designed to
	facilitate the options for live-work. See Land Use Option – Live-Work in this Section.
	See Design Considerations.
	□ Within this designation, the West Clayton Heritage Study identifies the following houses as
	heritage resources:
HERITAGE	 House at 18535 72 Avenue as a potential resource; and
CONSIDERATIONS	• The George E. Lawrence House located within the townhouse complex at 6945 185
	Street. It is on the City's Heritage Register.
	See Heritage Strategy.
LANDSCAPED /	BMP (Best Management Practices) measures may be required.
PERMEABLE	□ 800mm of pervious material on all pervious surfaces in the developable area of the lot.
	□ For details and additional requirements, see Part 3 General Servicing, Section 3.3 Drainage
SURFACES	Infrastructure.
MINIMUM LAND	May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy
ASSEMBLY	


3.2.5 Urban/ Townhouse Flex

The URBAN / TOWNHOUSE FLEX Designation will permit the options of developing:

TYPE 1 – URBAN RESIDENTIAL Designation uses and/or TYPE 2 – TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL Designation uses

This designation is intended to provide either a mix of small lot single family detached or semidetached residential units on urban lots and /or ground oriented Townhouses and Row house units. Typical developments may consist of two-or-three story buildings that house multiple dwelling units. Parking access will be provide by rear public or private lanes.

This designation is intended for lots north of 72 Avenue, south of 73 Avenue, west of the URBAN TRANSITION Designation and east of 184 Street within a Flex Block alignment Option.




DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR URBAN / TOWNHOUSE FLEX:

- TYPE 1 (Urban Residential) see URBAN RESIDENTIAL Designation for density, built form, description of uses and guidelines.
- TYPE 2 (Townhouse Residential) see TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL
 Designation for density, built form, description of uses and guidelines.













3.2.6 Townhouse / Apartment Flex

The TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT FLEX

designation will permit the option of developing townhouses or apartments or a combination of these two housing types. The intent is to enable innovative site layouts, built forms and development options within the context of the urban blocks.

Walk ability and pedestrian access are key considerations. Parking access is to provide by rear public or private lanes for townhouse developments and underground parking for apartments. Some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces are to be provided on site.

This designation is intended for lots :


- Between 71A Avenue and 72 Avenue and 182 Street and 185A Street;
- Between 183 Street and 184 Street and the north side of 71A Avenue; and
- From 185 Street up to the east boundary of the NCP area, adjacent to the Clayton Heights Secondary School – on the south side of 70 Avenue.

DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT FLEX:

- Densities within TOWNHOUSE / APARMENT designation may range as follows:
 - TYPE 1 Townhouse areas have a base net density of 61 unite per hectare (25 units per acre) up to a maximum of 66 units per hectare (27 units per acre) with Energy Efficiency Bonus.
 - TYPE 2 Apartment areas have a base
 Floor Area Ratio of 1.3 up to maximum
 1.5 Floor Area Ration with Energy
 Efficiency Bonus.
- At select locations identified in the NCP, the ground floor of street-fronting units may need to be designed to facilitate the option for live-work.

	8'"
Дөдөдөдө	8,98

	00 00 00 00 00 00	1
	00 00 00 00 00 00	
	88 00 88,09 80 88	
3 ·	5104E 510HE CAPE	
and the second s	And and a set of the s	





SECTION 3: LAND USES

TABLE 3.6 TO	OWNHOUSE / APARTMEN	NT FLEX – PLANNING GUIDELINES				
DENSITY	TYPE 1 - Townhouse	Base density of 25 units per Acre Net.				
	Max UPA	A Bonus Density of 2 additional units per acre may be available.				
	(including Bonus)	See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy				
	★					
	Base 27 Max UPA \rightarrow 25 + (2) \checkmark Bonus					
	TYPE 2 - Apartment	Base density of 1.3 Floor Area Ratio (FAR).				
	Max FAR (including Bonus)	□ A Bonus Density of 0.2 (FAR) for additional units per acre may				
	(be available. See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy				
	Base 1.5 Max					
	FAR - 1.3 + (0.2) - Bonus					
Possible Zones	 Multiple Family – 	RM-23, RM 30, RM-45 or a CD Zone is preferred to allow innovative				
F USSIBLE ZUNES	developments in kee	eeping with the intent of the flex designations.				
	May include detach	ched or semi-detached units, townhouse clusters and 4-storey apartment				
	buildings or anothe	ner innovative built form, depending on the specific flex designation and				
BUILT FORM	subject to addressing the contextual and interface issues.					
	□ At select locations,	s, the ground floor of street-fronting units may need to be designed to				
	facilitate the option	n for live-work. See Land Use Option – Live-Work in this Section				
	Within this designa	ation, the West Clayton Heritage Study identifies the following houses as				
	heritage resources:	:				
HERITAGE	o House at 1	18535 72 Avenue as a potential resource; and				
CONSIDERATIONS	o The Georg	rge E. Lawrence House located within the townhouse complex at 6945 185				
	Street. It i	t is on the City's Heritage Register.				
	See Heritage Strateg	2gy.				
LANDSCAPED /	BMP (Best Managen	ement Practices) measures may be required.				
PERMEABLE	□ 800mm of pervious	s material on all pervious surfaces in the developable area of the lot.				
SURFACES	For details and additional requirements, see General Servicing, Section					
MINIMUM LAND	May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy					
ASSEMBLY						

3.2.7 Stacked Townhouse / Apartment Flex

The STACKED TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT FLEX designation is intended to accommodate primarily up to 4 storey apartments buildings, buildings up to 6 storeys may also be considered, provided any interface issues are addressed. In combination with the apartment buildings, however, ground-oriented stacked townhouses may also be permitted subject to comprehensive development.


Walk ability and pedestrian access are key considerations. Parking access is to provide by underground parking for apartments. Some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces are to be provided on site.

This designation is limited to three locations in proximity of the future light rail transit station on Fraser Highway at 184 Street.

At two locations adjacent to the Clayton Community Park, Flex Block designation also applies. The Flex Block designation would permit the STACKED TOWNHOUSE/ APARTMENT FLEX designation to expand into the adjacent MIXED USE COMMERCIAL /RESIDENTIAL designation, subject to innovative design and comprehensive development. DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR STACKED TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT FLEX:

- STACKED TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT RESIDENTIAL designation has a base FAR of 1.3.
- With Energy Efficient Density Bonus,
 Densities may be increased by up to
 0.2 FAR for a maximum of 1.5 FAR.
- At select locations identified in the NCP, the ground floor of streetfronting units may need to be designed to facilitate the option for live-work.










SECTION 3: LAND USES

TABLE 3.7 ST	ACKED TOWNHOUSE / A	PARTMENT FLEX – PLANNING GUIDELINES			
DENSITY		Base density of 1.3 Floor Area Ratio (FAR).			
	Max FAR (including Bonus)	$\hfill\square$ A Bonus Density of 0.2 (FAR) for additional units per acre may			
	, ŭ ,	be available. See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy			
	Base 1.5 Max FAR \rightarrow 1.3 + (0.2) \leftarrow Bonus				
	The allowable zones	on developable portions of the private properties may include RM-45 and			
POSSIBLE ZONES	site-specific CD. On	ly in combination with RM-45, RM-30 may be considered as a base zone for			
	crafting of the CD Zo	one.			
	Stand-alone mediur	n rise apartment buildings up to 6 storeys high or in combination with			
	ground-oriented stacked townhouses.				
BUILT FORM	□ At select locations,	the ground floor of street-fronting units may need to be designed to			
	facilitate the option	for live-work. See Land Use Option – Live-Work in this Section for design			
	Considerations.				
LANDSCAPED /	BMP (Best Manager	nent Practices) measures may be required.			
PERMEABLE	For details and additional requirements, see Drainage and Environment Section				
SURFACES					
MINIMUM LAND	May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy				
Assembly					
DESIGN	Provision for Urban Landmark at select locations may be required.				
CONSIDERATIONS					

3.2.8 Low Density Cluster

The LOW DENSITY CLUSTER designation is intended for urban oriented cluster housing on a large comprehensively planned development site, in the form of singlefamily dwellings or duplexes on individual lots (or bare land strata lots for areas downslope of the Sanitary Sewer Trunk Main) or in the form of lower density ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings with substantial supplementary public open space set aside within the subdivisions in accordance with the West Clayton NCP planning guidelines.

A majority of the designation is provided for the area north of 78 Avenue, with a small area to the west of 184 Street and a second area to the north of 73 Avenue.



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR LOW DENSITY CLUSTER:

Densities within LOW DENSITY CLUSER designation may range as follows dependent upon Open Space provisions:

- **TYPE 1**—Base density of 14.8 unite per hectare (6 units per acre) up to a maximum of 19.7 units per hectare (8 units per acre) with 5% Open Space provided.
- TYPE 2 Base density of 19.7 unite per hectare (8 units per acre) up to a maximum of 24.7 units per hectare (10 units per acre) with 7.5% Open Space provided.

Open Space areas should be contiguous areas of significant environmental features and will be provided to the city in excess of standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements..





SECTION 3: LAND USES

TABLE 3.8 LC	DW DENSITY CLUSTER – PLAN	NNING GUIDELINES				
-Density	TYPE 1	□ If a minimum of 5% open space is conveyed to the city above				
	Max UPA (including Open Space Bonus)	standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements, the				
		application is considered under TYPE 1 and a base density of 6				
	Base 8 Max	units per gross acre may be applied to the site.				
	UPA \rightarrow 6 + (2) \leftarrow Bonus OS (5%) (with OS)	□ If a minimum of 7.5% open space is conveyed to the city above				
		standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements, the				
	Ť	application is considered under TYPE 2 and a base density of 8				
	Minimum Open Space (0S) %	units per gross acre may be applied to the site.				
		An Energy Density Bonus may be available in tandem with the				
	TYPE 2 Max UPA	Open Space allocation being provided for up to 2 units per acre.				
	(including Open Space Bonus)	See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy.				
	•	The open space allocation amounts within the Cluster				
	Base 10 Max	designations are to be conveyed to the city above the typical				
	UPA \rightarrow 8 + (2) \leftarrow Bonus (with OS)	prescribed parkland dedication requirements.				
	OS (7.5%)	□ This designations maximum density should only be considered,				
	l Minimum Open Space (0S) %	only if, there are special amenities such as mature vegetation,				
	Winimum Open Space (05) 70	watercourse, riparian areas, ravines, slopes or the site				
		development can contribute open spaces as parkland within				
		Green Density Transfer areas and in association with the West				
		Clayton Energy Efficiency Bonus Policy.				
		Density resulting from the application of 6 to 8 units per acre Gross				
Possible Zones		es on developable portions of the private properties may include: RC,				
		I-10, and site-specific CD, provided that any development downslope of				
	the sanitary main sewer right-of-way is required to be a strata development.					
		units and/or semi-detached units (duplexes) within the transition areas				
	close to the ALR.					
BUILT FORM		3-4 attached multiple residential unit clusters may also be considered				
	within the transition areas and elsewhere in this designation, provided they are located farther					
	-	boated within the interior of the site.				
HERITAGE	_	to the west of 184 Street, the West Clayton Heritage Study identifies				
CONSIDERATIONS	the existing grain silo and the Clayton Hill (the hillside terrain and views) as potential heritage features. See Heritage Strategy in Section 5.7 .					
LANDSCAPED /		ual to a consolidated infiltration area of a minimum of 10% of the lot				
PERMEABLE		ont yard; and 800mm of pervious material on all pervious surfaces in the				
SURFACES	 developable area of the lot. For details and additional requirements, see Drainage and Environment Section. 					
Corra						
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE		s open space (Parkland) may be required.				
		area dedication may be required. Area Guidelines in Section 5.2.				
		ity entitlement from the Green Density Area (the ALR Buffer along the				
BUFFER	-	ie and/or the Forest Preservation/Restoration between 78A and 78B be transferred to the developable portion of the same site. See Green				
	Density Transfer Guidel					


M

	A Minimum of 30m wide along the ALR edge within the two Low Density Cluster designations to the west of 184 Street; and
	 A minimum of 15m wide ALR buffer and riparian protection area for the existing Class "AO" and Class "B" watercourses along 80 Avenue.
MINIMUM LAND Assembly	 May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy

3.2.9 Medium Density Cluster

The MEDIUM DENSITY CLUSTER RESIDENTIAL designation is intended for urban oriented housing on a large development site. Housing forms may vary, from single-family dwellings, two-family dwellings or multiple ground oriented dwellings with substantial public open space set aside within the subdivisions in accordance with the West Clayton NCP planning Guidelines.


One portion of this designation is located to the west of 184 Street, and two other areas are located south of 76 Avenue (one to the east of 184 Street and the other on the west side of 188 Street.)



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR MEDIUM DENSITY CLUSTER:

- TYPE 1 –Base density of 25 unite per hectare (10 units per acre) up to a maximum of 30 units per hectare (12 units per acre) with 7.5% Open Space provided.
- TYPE 2 Base density of 30 unite per hectare (12 units per acre) up to a maximum of 34.5 units per hectare (14 units per acre) with 10 % Open Space provided.
- Open Space areas should be contiguous areas of significant environmental features and will be provided to the city in excess of standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements.





m

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

TABLE 3.9 M	EDIUM DENSITY CLUSTER –	PLANNING GUIDELINES	
-DENSITY	TYPE 1	□ If a minimum of 7.5% open space is conveyed to the city above	
	Max UPA (including Open Space Bonus)	standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements the	
		application is considered under TYPE 1 and a base density of 12	
	Base 12 Max	units per gross acre may be applied to the site.	
	UPA \rightarrow 10 + (2) \leftarrow Bonus	If a minimum of 10% open space is conveyed to the city above	
	(with OS) OS (7.5%)	standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements the	
	↑	application is considered under TYPE 2 and a base density of 14	
	Minimum Open Space (0S) %	units per gross acre may be applied to the site.	
		 An Energy Density Bonus may be available in tandem with the 	
	TYPE 2	Open Space allocation being provided for up to 2 units per acre.	
	Max UPA (including Open Space Bonus)	See Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy.	
	↓ ↓	The open space allocation amounts within the Cluster	
	Base 14 Max	designations are to be conveyed to the city above the typical	
	UPA 🔶 12 + (2) 🔶 Bonus	prescribed parkland dedication requirements.	
	OS (10%) (with OS)	 Density is to be calculated on the basis of the entire lot, <u>only if</u>, 	
	T	substantial open space and/or Agricultural Land Reserve buffers	
	Minimum Open Space (0S) %	are provided in accordance with the West Clayton NCP and/or	
		Farm Protection Development Permit Guidelines. If Open space is	
		not provided, base density UPA is to be considered the maximum	
		for the lot.	
Possible Zones	Possible Zones On the basis of the Net Density resulting from the application of 10-12 units per active the allowable zones on developable portions of the private properties may include: RF-SD, RM-10, RM-15, RM-23 and site-specific CD, provided that any development of sanitary main sewer right-of-way is required to be a strata development.		
Built Form	 Preferably single detached units and/or semi-detached units (duplexes) within the transition area close to the ALR and in the areas, south of 76 Avenue, adjacent to the Medium Density Residential (10-12 UPA) designation which is intended primarily to accommodate single family units. Other built forms such as 3-4 multiple residential unit clusters may also be considered within the transition area and elsewhere in this designation, provided they are located farther from the ALR edge or are located within the interior of the site. 		
	-	he west of 184 Street, the West Clayton Heritage Study identifies the	
	following as potential heritag		
HERITAGE		51 76 Avenue;	
CONSIDERATIONS		77 76 Avenue;	
		17 74 Avenue; and	
	,	lill (the hillside terrain and views). See Heritage Strategy .	
LANDSCAPED /		Il to a consolidated infiltration area of a minimum of 10% of the lot area	
PERMEABLE		vard; and 800mm of pervious material on all pervious surfaces in the	
SURFACES	developable area of the lot.		
	For details and additional rec	quirements, see Drainage and Environment Section	
Green Infrastructure Management	INFRASTRUCTURE provide an east-west connection for the wildlife. An alternative to the location of this corridor, currently shown along 75 Avenue, may be considered depending on the site layout design, provi		

M

	 an east-west forest connection is generally maintained. Recommendations from a qualified environmental consultant may be required to support the alternative location. This corridor is a Green Density Transfer area, which allows for the transfer of the density entitlement from it on a site to the developable portion of the remaining site. North of 76 Avenue, a minimum of 45-metre wide corridor is required for forest preservation and/or forest restoration or establishment purposes to support wildlife habitat. This corridor is located on the north side of the southerly road of the 78 Avenue couplets, adjoining the 25-metre corridor within the Low Density Cluster area. It is designated as a Green Density Transfer area. The total width of the forest corridor will be 70 metres between 184 Street and 188 Street, and it will function as a BCS Corridor.
Buffer	 Tree retention and riparian area dedication may be required. Minimum of 50m wide landscape buffer along the ALR edge within the designation to the west of 184 Street. All or part of the density entitlement from the Green Density Area (the ALR Buffer along the ALR edge to the west of 184 Street and/or the Forest Preservation/Restoration along 78 Avenue) on a site may be transferred to the developable portion of the same site.
Minimum Land Assembly	 May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy

3.2.10 High Density Cluster

The HIGH DENSITY CLUSTER RESIDENTIAL designation is intended for urban oriented housing on a large development site. Housing forms are intended to be multiple residential ground oriented dwellings and townhouses with substantial public open space set aside within the subdivisions in accordance with the West Clayton NCP planning Guidelines.

This designation is located to the east of 186A street, west of 188 Street between 73 Avenue and 74 Avenue.

DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR HIGH DENSITY CLUSTER:

- □ TYPE 1 –Base density of 54.3 unite per hectare (22 units per acre) up to a maximum of 66.7 units per hectare (27 units per acre) with 10% Open Space provided.
- TYPE 2 Base density of 61.7 unite per hectare (25 units per acre) up to a maximum of 74.1 units per hectare (30 units per acre) with 15 % Open Space provided.
- Open Space areas should be contiguous areas of significant environmental features and will be provided to the city in excess of standard 5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements.



25 + (5)


OS (15%)

Minimum Open Space (OS) %

UPA

Max Bonus

(With OS)









TABLE 3.10 H	HIGH DENSITY CLUSTER – PL/	ANNING GUIDELINES		
-DENSITY	TYPE 1	□ If a minimum of 10% open space is conveyed to the city above standard 5%		
	Max UPA (including Open Space Bonus)	parkland subdivision dedication requirements the application is considered		
		under TYPE 1 and a base density of 22 units per gross acre may be applied		
	Base 27 Max	to the site.		
	UPA 🔶 22 + (5) 🗲 Bonus	$\hfill\square$ If a minimum of 15% open space is conveyed to the city above the standard		
	(with OS) OS (10%)	5% parkland subdivision dedication requirements the application is		
	↑	considered under TYPE 2 and a base density of 25 units per gross acre may		
	Minimum Open Space (0S) %	be applied to the site.		
		□ An Energy Density Bonus may be available in tandem with the Open Space		
	TYPE 2 Max UPA	allocation being provided for up to 5 units per acre. See Energy Efficiency		
	(including Open Space Bonus)	Density Bonus Policy.		
		$\hfill\square$ The open space allocation amounts within the Cluster designations are to		
	Base 30 Max	be conveyed to the city above the typical prescribed parkland dedication		
	UPA \longrightarrow 25 + (5) \longleftarrow Bonus (with OS)	requirements.		
	OS (15%)	Density is to be calculated on the basis of the entire lot, <u>only if</u> , substantial		
	T Minimum Open Space (00) %	open space and/or Agricultural Land Reserve buffers are provided in		
	Minimum Open Space (0S) %	accordance with the West Clayton NCP and/or Farm Protection		
		Development Permit Guidelines. If Open space is not provided, base		
		density UPA is to be considered the maximum for the lot.		
	\Box On the basis of the Net De	nsity resulting from the application of 22-25 units per acre Gross Density, the		
POSSIBLE ZONES	allowable zones on developable portions of the private properties may include: RC, RF-SD, RM-15, RM-23,			
	RM-30 and site-specific CD, provided that development is restricted to areas north of the Gas ROW.			
D		ground-oriented townhouse units in a strata development. Along with the		
BUILT FORM	clusters of multiple attached units, duplex/side-by-side detached units may also be provided as part of a comprehensively designed strata development.			
Heritage		/est Clayton Heritage Study identifies the House at 18772 74 Avenue as a		
CONSIDERATIONS	potential resource. See Herit			
	On-lot pervious surface equal	al to a consolidated infiltration area of a minimum of 10% of the lot area to be		
LANDSCAPED /	located in the front yard; and			
PERMEABLE	 800mm of pervious material on all pervious surfaces in the developable area of the lot. 			
SURFACES		uirements, see Drainage and Environment Section.		
	 Lands south of the BC Gas ROW are intended for forest preservation, and to provide extension of parkland via 			
		the city through a site specific zone. This area is Green Density Transfer area,		
	which allows for the transfer	of the density entitlement from it on a site to the developable portion of the		
GREEN	remaining site.			
INFRASTRUCTURE		um of 60 metre wide corridor is required for forest preservation and/or forest purposes to support wildlife habitat. This corridor is located on the east side of		
MANAGEMENT		A Street adjoining the High Density Cluster area. 20 meters of the area adjacent		
		as a Green Density Transfer area.		
		corridor will be 60 metres between 186A and the High Density Cluster Residential		
	 area and it will function as a BCS Corridor. Tree retention may be required. 			
	 May be required. See Land Comparison 			
ASSEMBLY				

M

3.3 COMMERCIAL, MIXED USE RESIDENTIAL AREAS

Approximately 9.1 hectares (22.4 acres) of the West Clayton NCP area is designated for NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL OR MIXED USE COMMERCIAL /RESIDENTIAL uses. A variety of building forms may be permitted within the mixed used and commercial designated lands depending on their location.

Unless mentioned otherwise, Base Densities Floor Area Ratios (FAR) may be increased based on Energy Efficiency Policy as illustrated in the example below.



Intent of Planning Guidelines

The land use planning guidelines of the Commercial and Mixed Use areas support the following Planning Principles:

PRINCIPLE 1

Reinforce the Clayton village centre as a focus for the Greater Clayton community and create a focus for the West Clayton neighbourhood around the future rapid transit station by providing a mix of commercial spaces and residential land uses, and outdoor public spaces at these locations, and by ensuring pedestrian oriented and people friendly spaces and buildings.

PRINCIPLE 2

Provide opportunities in the neighbourhood for safe and convenient access to shopping, services and amenities within a short distance of most homes that are convenient for walking and bicycling.

PRINCIPLE 3

Encourage street and pedestrian friendly site and building designs.

PRINCIPLE 4

Provide a street grid and maximize street connectivity within the neighbourhood, and provide appropriate densities and mix of uses within 400-800 metres of the village centre and the rapid transit station to support transit and energy efficiency, sharing of waste energy between different land uses.

Commercial Nodes

Three Commercial nodes are provided in the Land Use Concept Plan and each of these nodes contain commercial and/or mixed use designations. The three neighbourhood nodes include:

Transit Station Node

1

The Transit Station Node is located along 184 Street between Fraser Highway and the future 71A Avenue. Fraser Highway from City Centre to 196 Street is a planned Frequent Transit Corridor in the Official Community Plan. A light rail transit station is proposed at the intersection of Fraser Highway at 184 Street. This area contains MIXED USE COMMERCIAL /RESIDENTIAL designations.

2 Village Centre Node

This neighbourhood Village Centre node is located at the intersection of 72 Avenue and 188 Street. Given its central location in Clayton and accessibility within about 10-12 minutes walking distance from the surrounding area, it has been envisioned as a Village Centre for Clayton in the 1998 Clayton General Land use Plan and recognized as such in the East Clayton NCP and East Clayton North NCP.

The proximity to the Clayton Community Park and the future Public Recreation, would reinforce is role as the village centre for the Clayton community. The central location and the future upgrading of 72 Avenue to its full arterial road standard connecting to Fraser Highway should attract a range of commercial businesses including a supermarket-type of store of approximately 4,100 sq. m. (45,000 sq. ft.) floor area, acting as an anchor for smaller retail stores and other businesses (See Commercial Study in APPENDIX A-2). While most of the office uses, such as professional offices, may gravitate towards the Transit Station Node, some of the offices may also locate at this node given its central location. To accommodate the expected range of commercial uses and to generate pedestrian traffic, Mixed Use Commercial/Residential and Commercial designations are provided at this node, as follows:

- MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL to the south-west of 72 Avenue and 188 Street. The residential units, in addition to bringing pedestrian activity to the node, will also create active interface with the future community recreation centre in the adjacent Clayton Park; and
- NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL to the north-west of this intersection.

3 Local Commercial Node

This Neighbourhood commercial node is proposed at the south-east corner of 74 Avenue and 184 Street. It is intended for small-scale neighbourhood convenience retail and service stores to meet day-to-day shopping needs of the residents living within the walking distance.


This area contains the NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL designation.

3.3.1 Mixed Use Commercial / Residential

The MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL designation is intended for medium-rise, multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity spaces, which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design. The built form that integrates commercial and residential uses in the same building.

The ground floor of the buildings will be designed to accommodate small, pedestrianoriented retail shops (as opposed to largeformat retail), and personal and general service stores, all with their primary store entrances from the adjacent streets. Small-scale offices and other commercial businesses permitted, if provided, should be located above ground floor.

Parking access is to be provide by rear lane access parking lot and underground parking for apartments. Some form of indoor and outdoor amenity spaces are to be provided on site.



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR **MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/ RESIDENTIAL:**

- Densities within MIXED USE COMMERCIAL / RESIDENTIAL designation may range as follows:
 - TYPE 1 VILLAGE NODE area has a base Floor Area Ratio of 1.3 up to a maximum of 1.5 Floor Area Ratio with Energy Efficiency Bonus.
 - **TYPE 2 TRANSIT NODE** areas have a base Floor Area Ratio of 1.5 up to maximum 1.8 Floor Area Ration with Energy Efficiency Bonus.
- □ At select locations identified in the NCP, the ground floor of street-fronting units may need to be designed to facilitate the option for live-work.

00 00 00 00 00 00	<u>1</u> :11:0
00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
504E 519K CAFE	



m

TABLE 3.11	MIXED USE COMMERCIAL	/ RESIDENTIAL – PLANNING GUIDELINES
	TYPE 1 – VILLAGE NODE	Base density of 1.3 FAR.
	Max FAR	□ A Bonus Density of 0.2 FAR may be available. See Energy Efficiency
	(including Bonus) ⊥	Density Bonus Policy
	Base 1.5 Max	Buildings up to 4 Storeys
	Base 1.5 Max FAR → 25 + (2) ← Bonus	
	TYPE 2 – TRANSIT NODE Max FAR	 Base density of 1.5 Floor Area Ratio (FAR).
	(including Bonus)	A Bonus Density of 0.3 FAR for additional may be available. See Energy
	↓ ↓	Efficiency Density Bonus Policy
Density	Base 1.8 Max FAR → 1.5 + (0.3) ← Bonus	 Building up to 6 Storeys
Possible Zones	zone for the CD Zone, sub	include: RM-45, RM-70 and site-specific CD. C-15 may also be considered as a base ject to the height being restricted to the maximum allowable height in RM-45. A CD le for innovative comprehensive developments.
Built Form	 Preferably a built form that integrates commercial and residential uses in the same building. The ground floor of the buildings will be designed to accommodate small, pedestrian-oriented retail shops (as opposed to large-format retail), and personal and general service stores, all with their primary store entrances from the adjacent streets. Small-scale offices and other commercial businesses permitted under the C-15 Zone, if provided, should preferably be located above ground floor. Alternatively, if they are located within the ground floor, they should not occupy any street-fronting location on 184 Street. Separate commercial and residential buildings may be permitted, provided that: the ground floor of the stand-alone commercial building is designed to meet the same criteria as the criteria of the building which has commercial and residential integrated in the building, as noted above under the previous two bullets; and The street-fronting portions of the ground floor of the stand-alone residential building are designed to facilitate the options for live-work, as described under Land Use Option – Live-Work. The live-work units 	
HERITAGE Considerations	 should front onto and be directly accessible from the adjacent streets. Within this designation, the existing Clayton United Church building at 7027 184 Street is on the City's heritage register. Currently, it is used as Surrey Little Theatre for live theatre performances. The building should be preserved, as an integrated part of a comprehensive development within the same block and parking provision for the theatre use within the same block should be addressed. Incentives such as additional density, zoning variances, parking relaxations, etc. and other appropriate incentives may be considered. See Heritage Strategy. 	
LANDSCAPED /		ractices) measures may be required.
PERMEABLE	For details and additional r	requirements, see Drainage and Environment Section
	May be required for the	nd Concolidation Stratomy
MINIMUM LAND Assembly	May be required. See Lai	nd Consolidation Strategy.

3.3.2 Neighbourhood Commercial

The NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL designation is intended to promote a mix of commercial, retail, restaurant, and office uses which serve the day-to-day needs of surrounding residents. It caters to shoppers in the immediate neighbourhood for convenience items, such personal care, and groceries, including dental and medical offices. A supermarket-type of store of approximately 4,100 sq. m. (45,000 sq. ft.) floor area is preferred on the northern side of 72 Avenue and 188 Street.

Walk ability and pedestrian access are key considerations. Parking access is to provide by underground parking for any above ground office uses.

DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/ RESIDENTIAL:

Densities within NEIGBHOURHOOD COMMERCIAL have a Floor Area Ratio of 0.5 but may consider an addition of up to 0.3 FAR for the site north of 72 avenue and west of 188 Street.



00	88) O(3 09	
504		ŚTOWE	ΠΠ	(ML	









TABLE 3.12	TABLE 3.12 NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL – PLANNING GUIDELINES		
	Max FAR (including Bonus) ↓ Base 0.5 Max		
DENSITY	FAR → 0.5 + (N/A) ← Bonus		
Possible Zones	□ The allowable zones may include: C-5, C-8 and site-specific CD. A CD Zone is preferred to provide for a comprehensive development.		
Built Form	 Buildings primarily 1 Storey up to 2 storeys. The street-fronting portions of the ground floor of the buildings will preferably be designed to accommodate small, pedestrian-oriented retail shops and personal and general service stores, all with their primary store entrances from the adjacent streets. A larger store such as a grocery store or drug store may be located preferably away from 188 Street or 72 Avenue locations. Small-scale offices and other commercial businesses, If provided, should preferably be located above ground floor. Alternatively, if they are located within the ground floor, they should preferably not occupy any street-fronting location. Small stores to provide retail and personal services. Any Dwelling units integrated within the buildings should be encouraged to bring activity to the area and to enhance safety and security, provided they are located on the second floor. 		
LANDSCAPED /	BMP (Best Management Practices) measures may be required.		
PERMEABLE SURFACES	For details and additional requirements, see General Servicing, Section		
Green	□ The existing Class "B" watercourse, currently located in a culvert under 184 Street, should be		
Infrastructure	day lighted and relocated to the east of the Commercial development, within an appropriate		
Management	riparian buffer straddling the commercial site and the adjacent multiple density residential site.		
	See Interface Cross Sections.		
Minimum Land	May be required. See Land Consolidation Strategy.		
Assembly			
Design Considerations	Provision for Urban Landmark Feature may be required.		

3.3.3 Land Use Option: Live - Work

The LIVE-WORK designated areas are intended to allow up to 30% of the total floor area of a residential unit to be designed to accommodate a variety of low impact small-scale commercial uses such as: artist studios and galleries, businesses such as bakery, deli, coffee shops, hair-salons, custom tailors, computer service and professional office uses such as interior designers, lawyers, accountants, architects, etc.



DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION FOR LIVE-WORK:

LIVE-WORK may be considered generally within most of the residential designations subject to addressing the location-specific issues and as part of a Comprehensive Development zone. However, in order to reduce the impact of business-related activities and on-street parking that will be a requirement for live-work units, the Land Use Concept Plan specifically provides for the livework option in select locations:

In the MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL designation:


 Within the block bounded by 183 Street, 71 Avenue, 183A Street and 184 Street:

On the east side of 183 Street:
On the south side of 71 Avenue; and
On the west side of 183A Street.

To the south-east of 71 Avenue and 183A Street:

○ On the East side of 183A Street; and○ On the south side of 71 Avenue.

To the East of 184 Street on the north side of 70 Avenue up to the Pedestrian Street.



 \leftarrow Residential

← Residential

←Live-Work Space

In the TOWNHOUSE/APPARTMENT FLEX designation

- Between 71A Avenue and 72 Avenue on both sides of 183 Street;
- Between 183 Street and 184 Street on the north side of 71A Avenue; and
- From 185 Street up to the east boundary of the NCP area, adjacent to the Clayton Heights Secondary School on the south side of 70 Avenue.

In the TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL designation:

- Between Fraser Highway and 71A Avenue – on the west side of 183 Street; and
- Between 184 Street and 185 Street on the south side of 70 Avenue.

In the STACKED TOWNHOUSE designation:

- To the south-west of 71A Avenue and 184 Street – on the south side of 71A Avenue; and on the north side of 71 Avenue.
- To the east of the Clayton Park, between the Pedestrian Street (185 Street alignment) and 185A Street – on the north side Of 70 Avenue.

At the above-noted select locations, provision for live-work spaces at the ground floors of the street-fronting units will be strongly encouraged. On-street parking will be a requirement for these units. Additional dedicated live-work parking, if necessary, may be provided within the strata development site associated with the units as part of a compressive development.

3.4 INSTITUTIONAL USES

Approximately 15.5 hectares (33.8 acres) of the West Clayton NCP area is designated for School or Institutional Uses. The combined total Institutional and School uses account for about 5% of the entire NCP Area. Three elementary school sites have been identified on the Land Use Concept Plan, as well as one Secondary school site. A Recreation and Community Facility is proposed to be constructed north of Clayton Park along 72 Avenue adjacent to the Clayton Village Area. This facility may also include a Clayton Library facility.

Private Schools, Daycares, Assembly Halls and other such institutional uses have not been specifically located,. rather, general, criteria for such uses are as follows:

- Uses may be considered in Mixed us and Commercial Designations;
- Daycares would be suitable in residential areas, as well as areas in close proximity to schools.

Any future consideration of other institutional uses is preferred:

- □ along transit routes;
- on arterial or collector roads when possible close to commercial nodes;
- to include public amenities such as benches and seating, plaza areas, public art as part of the development where appropriate.

School Projections

The construction of two new elementary schools is a high priority in the Surrey School district's 5-year capital plan (awaiting Ministry of Education funding approval).

Student demand in West Clayton is to be relieved for by constructing 2-3 new elementary schools in the Clayton area and adding a potential addition to Clayton Elementary.

A new 1,500 capacity secondary school located along 184 Street between 74 Avenue and 72 Avenue is in the design phase and is scheduled to open in 2017.

Based on full build out of the West Clayton NCP it is estimated that 2,200 to 3,000 (plus) new students will be enrolled in schools from Kindergarten to Grade 12, [1,350 - 1,850 (plus) elementary students 850 – 1150 (plus) secondary students]. It is estimated that the Schools and future Recreation / Library facility combined will provide for 70-100 jobs within West Clayton.



WEST CLAYTON NCP – LAND USE DESIGNATIONS Neighbourhood Commercial Flex Apartment Flex **Density Cluster** High Density Cluster Low Density Cluster Townhouse Residential Townhouse , Townhouse , Commercial Townhouse Urban Residential Apartment **Mixed Use** Residential Suburban Transition Medium Urban / Stacked Urban ZONES Single Family RA 0 RH RC 0 0 0 RF 0 0 RF-G 0 0 0 **RF-12** • 0 0 0 0 **RF-10** • Two Family Residential RF-SD 0 0 0 0 Multiple Family **RM-10** • 0 0 **RM-15** 0 • 0 0 **RM-23** 0 0 • • **RM-30** • 0 0 • RM-45 0 0 0 **RM-70** • Commercial and Mixed Use 0 C-4 0 C-5 0 C-15 Comprehensive Development *CD ۲ ۲ ۲ ٠ ۲ ٠ ۲ ٠ ۲ ۲ ٠ FORM / DENSITY GUIDELINES Base UPA 6-8 10 22 10 22 10-22 25-45 30-45 45-60 (+4) (+4) (+5) (+4)(+5) (+2) **(+Bonus) = 2 10 27 14 24 14 27 60 6 45 45 MAX UPA (Net) (Net) (Gross) (Gross) (Gross) (Net) (Net) (Net) (Net) (Net) (Net) 0.5 1.5 1.3 1.5 Base FAR (+0.3) (+0.2) (+0.3) **(+ Bonus) 0.5-0.5 -0.5 -0.6-**MAX FAR** 0.7 0.52 0.7 0.9 0.7 0.9 1.8 1.5 1.8 0.5 0.6 ***Minimum 5-10% **Open Space** 5-7.5% 10-15% % Max Height (30 (30 ft.) (36 ft.) (43 ft.) (36 ft.) (60 (50 ft.) (70 ft.) (30 ft.) (31 ft.) 36 ft.) (43 ft.) ft.) 2 Stories + 2 Stories + 3 Stories 3 Stories 3 Stories 3 Stories 2 – 3 ft.) 4-Stories 4-6 2 Stories Basement Basement stories Stories 2-3 4-6 Stories Stories 0 Base Density Only \mathbf{m} With Energy Efficiency or

Table 3.13 Summary of West Clayton Land Use Designation, Zoning and Density Potential Guidelines

Open Space Density Bonus

Comprehensive Development Zone based on potential combinations of Zones

identified above.

63


3.5 PARKS AND GREEN SPACE

Approximately 70 hectares (175 acres) of the West Clayton NCP is designated as an integrated system of parks, green spaces and environmental conservation areas (Figure 3.2).

Central to the system is Clayton Park, a large community level park which serves the broader Clayton Heights area.

There are eight (8) new neighbourhood parks planned throughout the NCP within walking distance of all new residents. Supplementing these neighbourhood parks are a number of linear parks and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) corridors, riparian areas and Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) buffers.

The parks and green spaces are connected through an integrated network of greenways and multi-use pathways to ensure all residents are safely and accessibly connected through the community.



Parks Planning and Design in Surrey is guided by a number of plans, strategies, guidelines and standards. These include the Parks, Recreation & Culture Strategic Plan, Parks Design Guidelines, Parks Standard Construction Documents as well as more thematic plans and strategies, such as the Dog Offleash Strategy, Greenways Master Plan, Natural Areas Management Plan and the Playground Master Plan.

Within the hierarchy of plans, the *Parks, Recreation & Culture Strategic Plan* establishes the strategic direction for the provision and development of parkland in Surrey, including the general planning activities and needs identification for park amenities across the City.

Parks Design Guidelines provides a framework for the design and development of parks, including a design aesthetic that expects quality, focuses on the sustainability of park development and ensures a more consistent look and feel throughout Surrey's park system. The Parks Standard Construction Documents provide reference for staff and external consultants to guide specific and detailed park development processes.

Surrey's Parks, Recreation & Culture Department will manage and oversee the planning, design, construction and maintenance of all parks within the West Clayton NCP. Planning and design of each park identified within the plan will be completed in consultation with the future community.

3.5.1 Clayton Park

The West Clayton Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan is centered on Clayton Park, an existing large integrated-use community level park with both passive and active amenities that attract residents from the surrounding neighbourhoods (Park Site A on Figure 3.2).

Clayton Park is home to a baseball diamond, playground, sports court, picnicking areas, pathways and trails, as well as the Clayton dog off-leash area and the Surrey Lawn Bowling Club. The park currently measures an area of over 11 Ha (28 acres), which includes approximately 9 hectares (22 acres) of forest and natural area, which includes the headwaters of a class B creek.

As part of the West Clayton NCP, Clayton Park will be expanded to an ultimate size of over 16 hectares (40.4 acres), creating an integrated central green hub for the community. The park will be improved with additional amenities to serve the growing community, such as new tennis courts, expanded athletic fields, playground upgrades, a youth park, a horticultural display garden, parking upgrades and other amenities identified through a future public consultation process. The park's natural areas will be retained with opportunities for nature play as well as additional forest pathways and trails. The northwest of the park is also the future home of a community centre for the residents of Clayton

3.5.2 Neighbourhood Parks

In addition to Clayton Park, the NCP will provide eight (8) new neighbourhoods parks, intended to serve the needs, and be within walking distance of local residents (see Figure 3.2). These neighbourhood parks will range in size from large, integrated parks with several active amenities, to urban mini parks and plazas. Where possible, neighbourhood parks will also include pockets of natural areas to serve both habitat and creative play purposes. They will each be designed through a public process to serve the needs of their immediate neighbourhood.

In total, the NCP will provide around 14 hectares (35 acres) of new neighbourhood parkland, spread between the following locations identified in Figure 3.2:

Park Site B is approximately 8.5 hectares (21 acres) and will be located next to Clayton Elementary School. The park features a unique topography, with sloping meadows and considerable natural area, including two fish bearing creeks. The park will offer unique views over the Serpentine lowlands, with opportunities for amenities such as viewing platforms, picnicking areas, disc golf, pathways and a play area. The park will also form part of the green buffer between the adjacent residential neighbourhoods and the ALR, and will protect a portion of BCS corridor (GIN #140), that runs along the western edge of the NCP. Given the size of this park it is anticipated a parking lot will be required with access from 184 Street. This future park site is highly visible from Hwy 15 and other arterial roads and as such is key to preserving the viewscape of a forested escarpment along the northwest edge of Clayton

Park Site C is a small neighbourhood park located in a medium density residential neighbourhood. Due to the projected density of surrounding areas, there will be a need for a play area, as well passive open space.

Park Site D is located within the mixed use transit node, within walking distance of the future LRT station and higher density multi-family developments. This urban mini-park will be more intensively programmed to take advantage of limited space, providing opportunities for an urban plaza with horticultural beds, seating areas and play. Lighting may be considered given the size and location of this park.

Park Site E is a small (0.48 ha) active park located next to the future high school along the central BCS corridor (GIN #141). Given its proximity to the high school, the park will be suited for youth oriented amenities, such as beach volleyball. The park will also provide an amenity node along the Hazelgrove Greenway.

Park Site F is a small (0.67 ha) passive park located along the Hazelgrove Greenway. In addition to providing open space, the site offers an opportunity to daylight an existing yellow coded stream currently piped along 184 Street.

Park Site G is approximately 1.25 hectares (3.13 acres) and will predominantly be a natural area neighbourhood park, reflecting the character of the surrounding lower density residential neighbourhood and the site's existing natural features. Potential amenities include an improved natural area, forest trails, a nature play area and passive open space. The site is home to the headwaters of a yellow coded creek and is adjacent to east-west BCS Corridor (GIN # 139).

Park Site H is located along the Hazelgrove Greenway adjacent to existing parkland in the East Clayton NCP area. When combined with the existing adjacent

parkland this site will measure an area of approximately 2.2 hectares (5.5 acres). The site is bisected by a Fortis gas main, which limits larger active amenities, although provides opportunities for passive park amenities such as community gardens, bike tracks, pathways and passive open space.

Park Site I is approximately 1.19 hectares (3 acres) and is located along the central BCS corridor (GIN #141). The park offers opportunities for natural areas with complementary amenities such as forest trails, seating areas and children's nature play.

3.5.3 Linear Parks & Biodiversity Corridors

In addition to active parkland, the West Clayton NCP features a total of 8.75 hectares (21.5 acres) of linear park & Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BC) corridors. These corridors are linear habitat areas that encourage the movement of species between fragmented hubs and site. They allow species to access new habitat features required to meet their life needs. They also support a pathway network which link active park sites and provide an integrated green network across the plan area. Although these corridors are predominantly natural areas, other amenities may include pathways, benches, viewpoints and open meadow.

Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BC) corridors vary in corridor width and habitat requirements depending on the species they are intended to support and where they are located within the City. Corridors that have been identified within the BCS are all considered significant and a priority to establish. Each corridor has been identified by a Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) ID number, with corresponding recommendations for their implementation within the BCS. The development of BCS corridors within the NCP will correspond with the management objectives and best practices outlined within the BCS.

BCS Corridor (GIN #139)


Located between 77B and 78A Avenue, this corridor provides wildlife connectivity between the ALR, BCS Corridor GIN # 140 and BCS Hub 'C' in the east (located in the future North Clayton NCP area). This area supports habitat for species at risk, with a target corridor width of 60m. The corridor is also the alignment of the Great Northerm Greenway, providing multi-use pathway connectivity across the northern portion of the NCP, and is adjacent to neighbourhood park site G.

BCS Corridor (GIN #140)


This BCS corridor has a target width of 50m which provides wildlife connectivity and ALR buffering along the western and northern edge of the plan area. The corridor provides important edge habitat for ALR field habitat, including an established forested corridor along the ALR perimeter. The corridor also provides a linkage with BCS Corridor GIN #139, as well as a neighbourhood park site B, and is host to the Great Northern Greenway providing multi-use pathway connectivity through the plan area.

Central BCS Corridor (GIN #141)

BCS corridor GIN # 141 provides wildlife and multiuse pathway connectivity through the central core of the plan area, linking North Creek (south of Fraser Highway) and Clayton Park with the northern BCS Corridor GIN #139, and neighbourhood park sites E and I. The corridor's multi-use pathway will connect with the Hazelgrove Greenway and Great Northern Greenway, providing safe and accessible off-street pedestrian and bicycle connections to the adjacent elementary and high school sites. This corridor has a target width of 60m, and should be naturalized and reforested as much as possible.



PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION



3.5.4 Greenways & Multiuse Pathways

The West Clayton parks and green space system is connected through an integrated network of off-street greenways and multi-use pathways. These connections provide a convenient and accessible active transportation network, reducing dependencies on automobiles and linking residents with community amenities and services.

Great Northern Greenway

The Great Northern Greenway follows the alignment of BCS Corridor #'s 139 & 140, connecting with the Armstrong Greenway at 184 Street, as well as neighbourhood parks B & G. Portions of the greenway will follow the alignment of the sewer main, particularly at major creek crossing where it will take advantage of shared sewer-pathway bridge crossings. The greenway will connect with neighbourhoods south of Fraser Highway at the 72nd Avenue intersection.

Armstrong Greenway

The Armstrong Greenway is an on-street multiuse pathway that follows the alignment of 184 Street. It provides a direct connection between the future LRT station transit oriented development area with the residential neighbourhood to the north. It provides connections with a number of east-west multiuse pathways, including the Hazelgrove Greenway, Great Northern Greenway and Serpentine Flats Greenway.

Siddons Greenway

The Siddons Greenway follows the alignment of the central BCS Corridors (GIN #s 141), connecting Clayton Park and the future community centre and library with the residential neighbourhoods to the north. Like the Armstrong Greenway, it also provides connections with a number of east-west multiuse pathways, including the Hazelgrove Greenway, Great Northern Greenway and Serpentine Flats Greenway.

Hazelgrove Greenway

The Hazelgrove Greenway is a mixed on-street and off-street multi-use pathway that runs eastwest through the centre of the NCP area, linking neighbourhood park B in the west with the East Clayton NCP in the east. Along the way the Greenway also connects with neighbourhood park sites E, F & I, the Armstrong and Siddons Greenway and the new high school site.

Serpentine Flats Greenway

The Serpentine Greenway follows the alignment of 80 Avenue, and forms the northern boundary of the NCP. It extends west across the ALR to Newton and East into Langley providing the NCP with regional greenway linkages.

Fraser Greenway

The Fraser Greenway is an on-street multi-use pathway that follows the alignment of Fraser Highway along the southern boundary of the NCP. The Fraser Greenway runs predominantly on the south side of Fraser Highway, with the exception that multi-use pathways are featured on both sides of Fraser Highway within the extents of the NCP. It continues northwest across the ALR to Fleetwood, and southeast into the Langley.

3.5.5 Riparian Areas

A total of 29 hectares (72 acres) of riparian area are located within the West Clayton NCP. This includes approximately 10.5 hectares of red coded (Class A) creeks, 18.2 hectares of yellow coded (Class B) creeks and 0.5 hectares of daylighted creeks. The final amount and location of riparian areas will be subject to the approval of the Surrey Riparian Area By-law, and at minimum will comply with the Federal Fisheries Act and Provincial Fish Protection Act.

Riparian areas provide for limited or no public access as their function is to protect sensitive habitat areas, including the land around all significant creeks. In some cases, however, low impact natural area pathways should be encouraged along the edge of riparian areas, adjacent to residential development, as a means to provide neighbourhood connectivity, access to nature and to prevent residential encroachment. In such cases, riparian area fencing is required on the creek side of the path to limit access into sensitive habitat areas.

Riparian areas are considered undevelopable and are to be conveyed to the City through the development process, subject to the Surrey Riparian Area By-law, for long term management and protection.

SECTION 4 Transportation Network

- 4.1 Existing Transportation Network
- 4.2 Surrey Transportation Plans and Policies
- 4.3 Transportation Analysis
- 4.4 Planned Transportation Network
- 4.5 Transportation Financing

4.1 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION

NETWORK

At present, the City's existing road network in West Clayton is relatively sparse and discontinuous, with predominantly two-lane rural roads with stop control. The existing road network, laning, and traffic control are illustrated in Figure 4.1, and discussed in detail in the following sections.


4.1.1 Road Network

The development of West Clayton is intended to integrate into Surrey's existing and planned major road network. Existing arterial and collector roads within and adjacent to West Clayton are described below:

- Fraser Highway is a northwest-southeast arterial road that connects Surrey City Centre to Langley and Abbotsford, and runs along the southern boundary of West Clayton. Currently four lanes through much of Surrey, including West Clayton, in the future it will carry light-rail transit (LRT) from Surrey City Centre to Langley City Centre, which is anticipated to be primarily centrerunning, subject to detailed design.
- 72 Avenue is an east-west arterial road that runs through West Clayton from Fraser Highway, where it was temporarily closed in 2003, to Glover Road and 216 Street in Langley. Although mostly two lanes at present, segments in developed areas in both Surrey and Langley have been widened to four lanes, reflecting its increasingly important role in carrying intermunicipal traffic.
- 76 Avenue is a two-lane east-west collector road that runs through West Clayton from 184 Street to the Langley border (196 Street).
- 80 Avenue is a two-lane east-west arterial road that forms the northern boundary of West Clayton and connects from 168 Street in

Fleetwood to 216 Street in Langley. From 172 Street to 184 Street, 80 Avenue is fully within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR), and from 184 Street to 188 Street, it forms the southern boundary of the ALR. Any improvements to 80 Avenue within the ALR (and to any other road in the ALR) will be costly and difficult because of the need to pre-load and acquire property, and will be subject to approval from the Agricultural Land Commission.

- 184 Street is a north-south arterial road that runs through West Clayton and currently connects from 0 Avenue in South Surrey to 80 Avenue, but is planned to ultimately connect to Anniedale Road, immediately south of Highway 1, in the Anniedale-Tynehead NCP. 184 Street is two lanes except from 68 Avenue to 70 Avenue where it is four lanes. From 80 Avenue to 90 Avenue, 184 Street is at least partially in the ALR and any improvements have the same challenges as on 80 Avenue.
- 188 Street is a two-lane north-south collector road that forms the eastern boundary of West Clayton and connects from 52 Avenue to 72 Avenue, and again from 80 Avenue to Harvie Road. The Anniedale-Tynehead NCP plans for the connection of 188 Street from 52 Avenue to Anniedale Road. From 80 Avenue to 86 Avenue, 188 Street is at least partially in the ALR and any improvements have the same challenges as on 80 Avenue.
- 192 Street is a north-south arterial road that runs from 16 Avenue to 98A Avenue with discontinuities at 64 Avenue / Fraser Highway and Highway 1. While mostly two lanes at present, the segment from 68 Avenue to 72 Avenue has been widened to four lanes, but one lane per direction is currently being used for on-street parking.




4.1.2 Recent Traffic Volume Growth

Existing traffic volumes in West Clayton are shown on Figure 4.2. While volumes on most roads within West Clayton are fairly low as a result of the predominately rural land use, they have experienced significant growth corresponding to the build-out of the East Clayton NCP over the last ten years, as well as the Willoughby community in Langley. (A portion of 192 Street has experienced a traffic volume reduction as a result of the partial closure of 192 Street at 64 Avenue, and the construction of other road connections.) For the sake of comparison, select 2006 traffic volumes have been provided below in Table 4.1. Please note that some segments have been combined and averaged to allow direct comparison.

Road Segment	2006 Daily Volume	2013/2014 Daily Volume	Change
72 Avenue, 184 Street to 188 Street	3100	5300	+71%
72 Avenue, 188 Street to 192 Street	3100	9400	+203%
80 Avenue, 184 Street to 192 Street	3700	7600	+105%
184 Street, Fraser Highway to 72 Avenue	6300	8100	+29%
184 Street, 72 Avenue to 80 Avenue	4600	5400	+17%
192 Street, 68 Avenue to 72 Avenue	5800	4100	-29%
192 Street, 72 Avenue to 80 Avenue	5600	6900	+23%
Fraser Highway, Highway 15 to 184 Street	24,500	30,900	+26%
Fraser Highway, 184 Street to 64 Avenue	20,700	32,300	+56%

Table 4.1: 2006 and 2013/2014 Daily Traffic Volumes



TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

4.1.3 Walking and Cycling Networks

As West Clayton is currently predominately rural in nature, nearly all existing roads in West Clayton are built to rural standards with no sidewalks or bike lanes, although they may have narrow shoulders and very low traffic volumes. The existing walking and cycling networks are illustrated on Figure 4.3, and described below.

184 Street from Fraser Highway to 76 Avenue has a narrow asphalt sidewalk on the west side, and 72 Avenue from 184 Street to 188 Street has a narrow asphalt sidewalk on the south side, which service Clayton Elementary School (7541 184 Street) and the former East Clayton Elementary School (18680 72 Avenue).

The open portion of 188 Street within West Clayton, from 70 Avenue to 72 Avenue, has an ultimate concrete sidewalk adjacent to the developed lands, and an interim asphalt sidewalk adjacent to the undeveloped lands.


Fraser Highway has a concrete sidewalk on the north side, within West Clayton, from 180 Street to 68 Avenue, and two short segments of multi-use path, fronting recent developments. The south side of Fraser Highway has a multiuse path.

Within West Clayton, 184 Street and 80 Avenue are shared-traffic bike routes. Fraser Highway has bike lanes.

4.1.4 Transit Network

There is currently no transit service within West Clayton, but there is service on two boundary roads, Fraser Highway and 188 Street, as illustrated on Figure 4.4. The 502 and 503 run on Fraser Highway, and the C70 on 188 Street.

The 502 is part of the Frequent Transit Network (minimum 15-minute frequency, 15 hours a day, seven days a week) and connects Surrey Central Station to Langley Centre and Brookswood. The 503 provides limited-stop service between Surrey Central Station and Aldergrove. The C70 is a community shuttle with half-hour service that meanders through East Clayton and connects Cloverdale to Willowbrook.






Figure 4.4 Existing Transit Network

4.2 SURREY TRANSPORTATION PLANS AND POLICIES

The transportation portion of this NCP has been developed based on the guiding principles identified in the City's Transportation Strategic Plan and supplementary Walking and Cycling Plans, and TransLink's South of Fraser Area Transit Plan.

In addition, it is consistent with the Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997, No. 13007 and other City policies and practices regarding traffic operation. Brief summaries of these plans and policies are provided in the following sections.

4.2.1 Transportation Strategic Plan

The Transportation Strategic Plan (2008) was developed to set out the vision, objectives and principles for transportation in Surrey. The Plan's six guiding principles are as follows:

- Effective and efficient network management: Efficiently manage, maintain, and improve the transportation system for all modes
- More travel choice: Promote alternative and sustainable travel choice and provide better accessibility to jobs, education, health, and recreation for all
- 3. Safer, healthier communities: Improve community safety, health, and quality of life
- Successful local economies: Reduce congestion and support the sustainable economic development and vitality of Surrey
- Protection of our built and natural environment: Reduce the impacts of transportation on the built and natural environment

 Transportation integration: Promote integration between transportation and land use to reduce the need for travel and support trips by more sustainable modes

In support of these principles, the general road network objectives for West Clayton are:

- Provide an open, inter-connected and continuous grid or modified grid road network that is integrated with established and planned future roads within and surrounding the study area
- Provide a road network with blocks generally 100 x 200 metres in size
- Avoid the use of cul-de-sacs, unless required to avoid environmental or other impacts; if possible, favour loop roads over cul-de-sacs to ensure a minimum of two entry/exit points to all developments
- Align intersections of minor roads across major roads to provide better inter-connection of neighbourhoods and avoid offset T-intersections

4.2.2 Surrey Walking Plan

The Surrey Walking Plan (2011) recognizes that as everyone is a pedestrian at some point during every trip they make, walking is critically important to achieving the six broad goals of the Transportation Strategic Plan. The Walking Plan identifies a number of Actions for Change that have been used in the West Clayton NCP planning process, including:

- Promote community connectivity for all modes through the development of a finer grid network through NCPs and new development
- Promote land use patterns and densities that are supportive of transit services
- Encourage the development of mixeduse neighbourhoods
- Incorporate urban design principles that create a walkable environment
- Continue to promote the use of utility corridors for walking
- Continue to maximize enhanced greening guidelines for landscaping and street trees along walking routes

4.2.3 Surrey Cycling Plan

The Surrey Cycling Plan (2012) seeks to promote cycling as a viable, sustainable and growing alternative to the private car. It identifies a number of Actions for Change that have guided the West Clayton NCP planning process, including:

- Promote cycling connectivity through the development of a finer grid road network, through NCPs and new developments
- Expand the on-street cycling route network, using arterial and collector roads
- Expand the off-street cycling network as part of the greenways network
- Continue to partner with other City departments to ensure cycling facilities are built and cycling is facilitated with new developments, projects, and policies

4.2.3 Transit Planning

The Metro Vancouver Mayor's Council Regional Transportation Investments Plan provides a framework for the implementation of the Surrey Rapid Transit Study and the South of Fraser Area Transit Plan. Among other improvements, the Mayor's Plan specifies the construction of Light Rail Transit on Fraser Highway from Surrey City Centre to Langley City Centre in twelve years. Through the Surrey Rapid Transit Study, which has completed an initial phase of planning for rapid transit in Surrey, Fraser Highway has been identified as the highest-performing corridor, and the intersection of Fraser Highway and 184 Street, in West Clayton, has been identified as a station location for LRT. As such, it is important that the area around the station be planned to support rapid transit, in terms of type and mix of land use, density, walkability, a finer-grain road network, boulevard design, and urban design standards.

TransLink's South of Fraser Area Transit Plan (2007) provides a long-range transit plan for the region through 2031, including potential bus routes and service frequency. In and near West Clayton, the 2031 plan includes rapid transit service not only on Fraser Highway but also on 200 Street, frequent transit service (minimum 15-minute frequency, 15 hours per day, seven days a week) on 64 Avenue, local bus service (minimum 30-minute frequency) on 72 Avenue and 184 Street, and neighbourhood bus service (minimum 30-minute frequency) on 68 Avenue.

TransLink's service guidelines endeavour to situate bus stops so that at least 90% of residents and employees within urban areas are no more than 400 metres from transit service. A grid network supports this by reducing the walking distance between trip ends and transit stops.

4.2.4 Road Classification

The Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91), which is Schedule D to the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, is the key document upon which the planning, operation, and management of the City's transportation network is based. It is the base for establishing road allowance alignments and widths, and road cross-sections, determining transit routes, snowploughing priorities, traffic signal locations, and appropriate locations for traffic calming. Schedule K of the By-law, the Surrey Major Road Allowance Map, is used in tandem with the Road Classification Map and defines the road allowance requirements on a block-by-block basis for all major roads, to accommodate the servicing needs for the continued growth of the City, ensure consistency with the City's standard crosssections for arterial and collector roads, and to reflect specific dedication requirements determined through major long-term transportation and planning studies.

As identified on the Maps, the City defines roads as one of the following classifications:

- Provincial and regional highways generally controlled-access facilities that provide high-speed regional connections
- Arterial roads generally carry through traffic from one part of the City to another with as little interference as possible from adjacent land uses, and may provide limited direct access to adjacent properties as a secondary function, although this is generally not desirable
- Collector roads primary function is to distribute traffic between arterial roads, other collector roads, and local roads within an area, and may provide access to adjacent properties if required
- Local roads lower-volume neighbourhood streets that provide

SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

community connectivity and access to individual properties

There are no provincial or regional highways in West Clayton.

The existing road classifications are shown on Figure 4.1. The existing road allowance requirements for arterial and collector roads in West Clayton are shown in Table 4.2. (Note that these roads may currently carry additional statutory right-of-way requirements.)

Table 4.2: Existing Major Road Allowance Requirements

Road	Classification	Road Allowance Width
72 Avenue	Arterial	30 m
76 Avenue	Collector	24 m
80 Avenue	Arterial	30 m
184 Street	Arterial	30 m
188 Street	Collector	24 m
192 Street	Arterial	34 m
194A Street	Collector	24 m
Fraser Highway	Arterial	42 m

4.2.5 Major Road Network

Metro Vancouver's Major Road Network (MRN) consists of over 600 kilometres of provincial highways and strategic municipal arterial roads. The MRN is overseen by TransLink, and they fund the maintenance of MRN roads and costshare capital works with municipalities. The designation of a road as being part of the MRN is based on the road providing access to important activity centres in the region, and meeting criteria related to trip lengths, traffic volumes, transit ridership, and commercial vehicle demand. Within West Clayton, Fraser Highway is part of the MRN.

4.2.6 Access Management

The Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997, No. 13007 and Schedule A to the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, the Engineering Design Criteria Manual, regulate access to roads. The following policies for West Clayton are consistent with the By-laws, and can be summarized as follows:

- Provide primary access via local roads
- Maximize the number of access routes and permeability of the street system
- Manage direct access on arterial roads
- Require rear lane access for all residential land uses fronting arterial roads
- Minimize direct access on collector roads through the development of rear lanes or side streets, particularly in higher density and mixed-use areas to improve the pedestrian environment on the fronting streets and increase the on-street parking supply; if direct access is unavoidable, follow the principles of good access management in terms of location, spacing, sight distance, and permitted movements
- Restrict direct access across multi-use pathways
- Avoid frontage roads and gated private communities

4.2.7 Traffic Control

As part of the Transportation Strategic Plan, the City has recognized the need and importance of managing the transportation network on a dayto-day basis. Maintaining a safe and efficient transportation system with properly managed traffic operations is critical to supporting the efficient movement of goods, and regional and local traffic. As well, it reduces the potential for through traffic to use local streets. Examples of good traffic control principles are:

- Consider roundabouts as alternatives to traffic signals, if conditions are appropriate.
- Plan for likely locations of traffic signals (all arterial/arterial and arterial/collector intersections) but only install when warrants are met based on traffic volumes, delay, and collision history.
- Install traffic calming devices on local roads as per the City's traffic calming policy.


4.3 TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

During Stage One of the NCP, a detailed traffic modelling analysis was undertaken, to validate the planned West Clayton road network. For the purposes of the analysis, build-out of the NCP was assumed, including completion of the road network and full implementation of the traffic control plan, and a horizon year of 2041 was used, to coincide with available traffic modelling and road network data for the rest of Surrey. A key goal of the modelling was to review the alignment for the extension of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway. The analysis confirmed that the connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway west of the 18000 block was the optimal location for an interim connection,(as discussed in Section 4.4.2), as it would provide the most direct connection from West Clayton and points east to Fraser Highway, attract traffic from 68 Avenue (a heavily-used lower-order road), and reduce the traffic volumes through the intersection of Fraser Highway and 184 Street, which will already experience congestion as a result of high traffic volumes and the presence of an LRT station.

The long-term future extension of 72 Avenue west to 152 Street was not evaluated as it was outside the scope of the NCP modelling exercise.

A preliminary design of both the interim and ultimate connections of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway was completed, to determine the exact alignment of these connections. Figure 4.5 shows these alignments.

The traffic modelling analysis has confirmed that the planned road network in West Clayton will operate satisfactorily as designed.



TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

4.4 PLANNED TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

The road network in West Clayton will be built as development occurs. As per the City's Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, for collector and local roads, developers are obliged to construct the full length of their road frontage to current standards or as otherwise detailed in this NCP, measured from the middle of the road to the development site for roads bounding the site, and the full extent of roads within the site. It is acknowledged that this means that until build-out of West Clayton occurs, many roads will have gaps in the sidewalk, minimal pavement width, no streetlighting, or temporary curbs, but is consistent with the City's practice that development funds development, rather than the City funding development. For arterial roads, Development Cost Charges (DCCs) are assessed and used to fund the ultimate road standard, constructed by the City according to relative priority among other projects in Surrey, as outlined in the Engineering Department's 10-Year Servicing Plan. However, to maintain the integrity of West Clayton's arterial roads in the interim, a strategy has been developed, which is detailed in Section 4.4.7.

For all roads, developers must also dedicate the necessary lands to accommodate the road, as well as all other engineering servicing (e.g. underground utilities).

While most road features (e.g. streetlights) are installed with the initial construction of a road, most traffic control measures are installed on an engineering warrant basis. That means that while many of these interventions, such as traffic signals, have been identified in this document, they are only installed once an engineering evaluation has been undertaken and concluded that the intervention is warranted, and then according to priority within the 10-Year Servicing Plan.

4.4.1 Road Network Overview

West Clayton is generally bounded by existing major roads: 80 Avenue (arterial) to the north, Fraser Highway (arterial) to the south, and 188 Street (collector) to the east, with 72 Avenue (arterial) running through the middle. The planned internal street network for West Clayton, illustrated in Figure 4.6, provides a well-connected grid road pattern and pedestrian and bicycle network that promotes the principles of the Transportation Strategic Plan and its supporting documents. Benefits of a grid network in the context of West Clayton include:

- □ Increased active mode share
- Increased transit mode share, and in particular increased walkability within the future LRT station catchment (an 800-metre radius around the Fraser Highway / 184 Street intersection)
- Multiple route options to increase network resiliency and improve emergency response time
- Reduced per capita vehicle travel, traffic congestion, and pollution emissions

In general, the West Clayton NCP has a grid network of 200 metre by 100 metre blocks. In addition to supporting the goals of the Transportation Strategic Plan, Walking Plan, and Cycling Plan, this is consistent with the set of planning principles adopted by the West Clayton Citizens' Advisory Committee early in the planning process, which included the following:

Provide an interconnected street grid to allow multiple ways to disperse traffic, to provide choices to travel on foot, by bicycle, or by car, and to provide convenient access to transit.

However, the grid network has not been uniformly applied in all areas; where appropriate, it has been modified to

SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

accommodate natural and man-made constraints as well as the proposed development type. Factors include the following:

- Crossings of the riparian areas and wildlife corridor
- Constraints of the ALR, creeks and forest blocks, and school and park sites
- □ Existing property lines
- □ Topography
- The proportional relationship between density and connectivity
- Proximity to the future LRT station and the increased need for walkability


Where densities are higher and close to the future rapid transit station, where walkability is more important and where there is a greater need to distribute traffic, typical block sizes are in the range of 100 metres by 100 metres.

The road network is designed to provide connectivity both within West Clayton and to the adjacent transportation network, through the provision of a number of full-movement intersections onto 80 Avenue, 188 Street, and Fraser Highway, including the reconnection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway. As much as possible, it will distribute traffic through the neighbourhood so as to minimize the traffic impacts on any particular street. The road network also protects the ability to provide local road connections into and through the area that is designated "suburban" to the northwest of 72 Avenue and 182 Street so as to allow the redevelopment of this area in the future.

While the new roads within the network generally are centred on existing property lines, some occasional meanders are shown conceptually to indicate where intersections must align; the exact meander will be determined through design at the development stage.

Some roads are designated as "flexible alignment", meaning that the exact alignment of the road can vary from the land use plan, as long as the intent of the road connection remains and intersection locations remain generally fixed.

Depending on the form of development within the cluster designations north of 76 Avenue, additional roads may be necessary in order to adequately service the development, and depending on any relocation (with proper approvals and compensation) of the riparian areas.



4.4.2 Road Classification and Alignment Changes

While the majority of the new road network planned for West Clayton involves local roads, there are two classification changes (74 Avenue and 182 Street) and one alignment change (72 Avenue) to the Surrey Road Classification Map (R-91), with corresponding changes to the Surrey Major Road Allowance Map.

74 Avenue – Change to Classification

74 Avenue is currently a local road, but is proposed to be reclassified to a collector road from 184 Street to 192 Street as part of this NCP, in recognition of the increased demands on 74 Avenue due to the planned surrounding densities, and 74 Avenue's role in providing neighbourhood connectivity as a result of its existing alignment.

182 Street – Change to Classification

182 Street is currently a local road, but is proposed to be reclassified to a collector road from Fraser Highway to 72 Avenue as part of this NCP. This is in recognition of the increased demands on 182 Street due to its role in providing neighbourhood connectivity both for West Clayton NCP area and the Provinceton neighbourhood south of Fraser Highway via the planned signalized intersection at Fraser Highway.

72 Avenue – Change to Alignment

Within East and West Clayton, 72 Avenue is classified as an arterial road; however, in 2003, 72 Avenue was closed just east of Fraser Highway, in 18000 block. The East Clayton North Extension NCP first identified the need for the reconnection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway to provide a better east-west connection in the neighbourhood. In addition, growth in the future North Clayton NCP, in Anniedale, Tynehead, Port

SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

Kells, and in Langley will put extra pressure on 72 Avenue, making it critical that it be directly connected to Fraser Highway. Already between 2006 (the North Extension NCP was approved in 2005) and 2014, traffic volumes on 72 Avenue within East Clayton grew from 3100 to 9400 vehicles per day, a 203% increase.

A signalized connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway slightly west of 180 Street is planned in the shorter term (shown in Figure 4.5), anticipated to occur in conjunction with a certain level of redevelopment along 72 Avenue, and dependent on traffic growth in West Clayton generally. The intersection location has been selected to minimize the impact on the suburban enclave in the NCP, provide a logical connection for pedestrians and cyclists to the North Creek Greenway located on the 180 Street alignment south of Fraser Highway, provide a crossing for potential future bus stops, and provide additional network options for the Provinceton neighbourhood south of Fraser Highway, when LRT is constructed and turning movements along Fraser Highway are further restricted.

A long-term connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway approximately 350 metres northwest of 180 Street has also been identified (also shown in Figure 4.5), which would be built to facilitate an extension of 72 Avenue to Newton (at 152 Street). This intersection location and geometric design was selected to minimize impact on the ALR, environmental impact, construction cost, and property impacts, while maximizing road safety and efficient traffic operations. The connection of 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway in the 18000 block is anticipated to be retained in some form.

4.4.3 Walking Network

All of the roads within West Clayton are planned to have either a sidewalk or a multi-use path on either side, in keeping with City standards, separated from vehicle traffic by boulevards able to grow and sustain large street trees. Although the on-street walking network represents the backbone of the network, the NCP also includes a further network of pathways which diverge from the vehicular network, to further improve pedestrian connectivity within West Clayton and between West Clayton and neighbouring communities. Please note that the multi-use path network is discussed in more detail in Section 4.4.4.

In addition to Surrey's road standards and the walking network illustrated in Figure 4.7, the requirements listed below identify a number of other features to encourage walking and provide a safe and comfortable pedestrian environment in West Clayton. These include:

- Minimum 1.8 m sidewalks on both sides of arterial and collector roads (or multiuse pathways in lieu of sidewalks)
- Minimum 1.5 m sidewalks on both sides of local roads (or multi-use pathways in lieu of sidewalks)
- Wider sidewalks in areas of high pedestrian demand (e.g. adjacent to schools) through the use of an additional statutory right-of-way, rather than a reduced boulevard (details to be determined at the development stage)
- Construction of the ultimate sidewalk on arterial roads in conjunction with the development of the adjacent land (rather than in conjunction with the four-laning of the road)—see Section 4.4.7 for details
- Construction of interim curb returns on arterial roads in conjunction with the development of the adjacent land, in order to provide a reduced crossing distance until such time as the road is four-laned—see Section 4.4.7 for details

- Pedestrian-scale streetlighting along all multi-use pathways, whether adjacent to a roadway or off-street
- Curb bulges on both sides of local roads with 10.5 m or greater pavement width at all intersections, including T-intersections, except on the local road receiving leg at an arterial road intersection (curb bulges on local roads with 8.5 m pavement width may be considered at the development stage)
- Curb bulges on both sides of collector roads at all intersections, including Tintersections, unless left-turn lanes are planned
- Pedestrian crossings of arterial roads at 200-400 metre spacing, according to context, by including median refuge crossings (typically where vehicle movements are restricted) in addition to planned signalized locations
- Pedestrian signals or crosswalks with activated flashing lights, as well as full traffic signals; please note that these interventions, along with any marked and signed crosswalks, would be installed as warranted according to an engineering evaluation, as per City practices
- Requirement of lane access for singlefamily lots with multi-use paths across their frontage (i.e. no single-family driveway crossings of multi-use paths)
- Off-street multi-use pathways (pedestrian-cyclist streets) of sufficient width (8 metres) to maintain sightlines, accommodate streetlighting, and comply with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles

Together, the features of the walking network will provide safe and comfortable routes for walking within the community and will reduce the need for local residents to drive to local shops, services, and schools, and support walking access to existing and planned transit services.




Figure 4.7 - Planned Walking Network

4.4.4 Cycling Network

The City's standard road cross-sections include bike lanes on both sides of all collector and arterial roads. Traffic volumes and speeds on local roads are generally expected to be low enough to allow cyclists to comfortably share the road with vehicles. As mentioned in Section 4.4.3, a comprehensive multi-use path network has also been developed, to accommodate cyclists less comfortable with cycling on-street, and to increase connectivity. Some roads have both bike lanes and multi-use pathways, to ensure that a broad range of cyclists, from commuters to children, are comfortable cycling. The cycling network is shown in Figure 4.8.

Bike lanes on arterial and collector roads will be 1.8 metres wide. Bike lanes will generally be marked in segments of at least one block, and for both sides of a road at the same time. The interim arterial road standard, outlined in Section 4.4.7, includes bike lanes.

A robust multi-use pathway network is planned for West Clayton, to provide neighbourhood connections to schools, parks, transit, and shopping areas; to improve the cross-City cycling network; and to provide opportunities for recreational cycling. Six of the pathways form part of the City-level greenway network, and as such, have been named. The names are shown on Figure 4.8, and are explained in more detail in Section 4.4.9.


Multi-use pathways are asphalt and 4 metres wide, and available for use by cyclists, pedestrians, and other forms of non-motorized transport. On 184 Street within the commercial area around the LRT station (Fraser Highway to 72 Avenue), the cycling path and sidewalk will be separated, as discussed in more detail in Section 4.4.8. In addition to paralleling streets, the network takes advantage of two utility corridors, the Fortis gas corridor and the planned sanitary trunk corridor. It also takes advantage of riparian corridors, by providing public access between natural areas and private lands. The off-street path designs include street lighting and either one or two boulevards, to maximize safety and security in keeping with **Crime Prevention Through Environmental** Design (CPTED) principles.

The total corridor width of the off-street pathways is 8 metres. Figure 4.9 shows the typical cross-section C, used for all off-street multi-use pathways except for those running between active parkland and private property, which are shown in Figure 4.10 for typical crosssection D.

Cross-sections of on-street pathways are provided in Section 4.4.8 and described in Table 4.3 below.

Cross- Section	Dedication	SROW	Sidewalks	Boulevards	Streetlighting
С	8 m	None	4 m MUP	2 m on both sides	Pedestrian lighting on one side
D	8 m	None	4 m MUP	4 m on non- park side	Pedestrian lighting on non- park side

Table 4.3: Off-Street Multi-Use Pathway Features



PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

Figure 4.9




Figure 4.9- Special Pedestrian Street Cross Section C

Figure 4.10




Figure 4.10 – Special Pedestrian Street Cross Section D

4.4.5 Transit Network

The NCP provides transit-supportive land uses and densities generally, and in particular where LRT is planned. In addition, in support of the delivery of successful transit service within the NCP and LRT on Fraser Highway, the planned road network provides routing options for future transit: Arterial and collector roads will generally be suitable and available for public transit service (as per service planning ultimately undertaken by TransLink and its subsidiary, Coast Mountain Bus Company).

To date, the South of Fraser Area Transit Plan has identified 72 Avenue and 184 Street as being a future transit route (as discussed in Section 4.2.3); but additional route planning will occur in the future, in collaboration with TransLink. Potential future bus stops for this route have been identified on Figure 4.11.

A bus stop is assumed to have a 400-metre catchment, or a five-minute walk. Bus stop locations will be determined as part of future transit service planning. In order to accommodate bus stops on collector roads, onstreet parking will most likely need to be restricted in the vicinity of the stops.

Potential future bus stops have also been identified on Fraser Highway at 72 Avenue.

As noted in Section 4.2.3, an LRT station is planned at the intersection of Fraser Highway and 184 Street. In addition, higher-density development (mixed-use and residential) has been planned surrounding the station, and the finer-grain road network in this area, together will support increased walkability and access to the station. An LRT station is assumed to have an 800-metre catchment, which is equivalent to a 10-minute walk.

To accommodate LRT on Fraser Highway, as well as pedestrians, cyclists, and motor vehicles,

an increased road allowance width is required, as discussed in Sections 4.1.4 and 4.4.8.

Figure 4.11 shows the bus stop and LRT station locations, and associated catchments.




Figure 4.11 - Planned Transit Network

4.4.6 Traffic Control

The West Clayton NCP anticipates the need for a number of types of traffic control and access management, as shown on Figure 4.12.

Traffic signals are planned at all arterial-arterial and arterial-collector intersections. Where traffic signals aren't anticipated, median refuge crossings for pedestrians and cyclists are planned on arterial roads to accommodate greenway crossings, crossings at bus stops, and to allow neighbourhood connectivity. Traffic signals and crosswalks will be installed in accordance with the City's warrant procedures.

Roundabouts are planned at all collectorcollector intersections, and will be installed in conjunction with development. Traffic circles are planned at select local-local intersections, and will be installed in conjunction with development.

Where traffic signals aren't planned, certain turning movements will be restricted at arteriallocal intersections, to maximize traffic safety and operations. Right turns into and out of the local road will be permitted at all of these intersections, and select intersections will accommodate left-turns into the local road.


To improve pedestrian safety and comfort, and discourage on-street parking in appropriate locations, curb bulges will be installed in the following locations:

- On both sides of local roads with 10.5 m or greater pavement width at all intersections, including T-intersections, except on the local road receiving leg at an arterial road intersection
- May be considered at the development stage on one side of local roads with 8.5 m pavement width

- On both sides of collector roads at all intersections, including T-intersections, unless left-turn lanes are planned
- Across lane entrances on local and collector roads

Curb bulges may be grassed or may contain rain gardens. If rain gardens are used, the associated plantings must be low to ensure pedestrian and driver sightlines are maintained.

Speed humps will be installed in back lanes and on local roads or collector roads fronting elementary schools (within the 30 km/h elementary school zone), in conjunction with development.



4.4.7 Interim Arterial Roads

Most arterial roads within West Clayton are currently in a rural condition, with minimal pavement width, open ditches, no streetlighting, and no accommodation for pedestrians or cyclists.

As development occurs, additional lands are dedicated to accommodate the ultimate (fourlane) arterial road, which means that houses are set very far back from the existing pavement. In addition, most arterial roads are widened to their ultimate a number of years after development occurs, and as a result, the urban boulevard features are not constructed until that time. In order to maintain road safety, minimize maintenance obligations for both homeowners and the City, provide pedestrian and cyclist facilities, and ensure an attractive public realm, a new interim arterial road cross-section has been developed, illustrated in Figure 4.13 and described in Table 4.4, to be constructed at the time of development. This includes the ultimate boulevard (sidewalk, streetlights, street trees, and grass), an interim boulevard (swaled grass), an interim curb, and minimal pavement widening to provide a road shoulder, which will double as a bike lane. Root barrier will be used to separate the ultimate and interim boulevards, and signs will be posted to advise residents of the location of the future curbline.

Table 4.4: Interim Arterial Road Features

No. of Lanes	Road Allowance	Statutory Right-of- Way	Pavement Width	Sidewalks	Bike Facilities
2	30 m	2 @ 0.5 m	9.6 m	2 @ 1.8 m	1.8 m bike lanes
		1 @ 0.5 m, 1 @ 2.7		1 @ 1.8 m	
		m on multi-use path		4 m multi-use path	
		side			

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION





Figure 4.13 - Interim Arterial Road Standard

4.4.8 Road Cross-Sections

Roads are the City's largest public space, and the road cross-sections accommodate many uses and functions, including:

- Vehicle travel lanes
- Bike lanes
- Parking
- □ Sidewalks
- □ Multi-use pathways
- □ Street signs
- □ Streetlighting
- □ Trees and landscaping
- Hydro poles or underground power lines

- □ Sewer mains
- Water mains
- □ Storm sewer mains
- Ditches and swales
- □ Telecommunications lines
- □ Transit stops, shelters, and benches
- Garbage cans
- □ Fire hydrants

Standard Road Cross-Sections

The City has standard cross-sections for the new construction and the upgrading of arterial, collector, and local roads, as shown in Table 4.5 and illustrated in Figures 4.14, 4.15, and 4.16.

Road Classifi	cation	Design Feature	es				
Туре	Sub-Type / Land Use	No. of Lanes	Road Allowance	Statutory Right-of-Way	Pavement Width + Median	Sidewalks	Bike Facilities
Standard Arterial Roads	Divided Urban Divided Rural	4 plus median/left- turn bays 4 plus two- way left-turn lane	30 m	2 @ 0.5 m 1 @ 0.5 m, 1 @ 2.7 m on multi- use path side Variable, to accommodate ditches Variable, to accommodate ditches and multi-use path	20 m	2 @ 1.8 m 1 @ 1.8 m 4 m multi-use 0 4 m multi-use	1.8 m bike lanes
Standard Collector Roads Standard Local Roads	 Commercial Medium- to high- density	2 plus 2 parking lanes, or 2 plus left-turn lanes 2	24 m 20 m	2 @ 0.5 m 1 @ 0.5 m, 1 @ 2.7 m on multi- use path side 2 @ 0.5 m	14 m 11 m 10.5 m	2 @ 1.8 m 1 @ 1.8 m 4 m multi-use 2 @ 1.5 m	1.8 m bike lanes path Shared traffic lane
	residential Low-density residential		18 m	-	8.5 m		

Table 4.5: Standard Road Features

Note: "Medium- to high-density residential" is generally RF-12 zoning and denser; "Low-density residential" is generally RF and less dense.

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.14 - Typical Arterial Cross Section

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

Figure 4.15




Figure 4.15 - Standard Collector Road Cross Section




Figure 4.16- Standard Local Road Cross Section

Parking

Collector and local roads will all have parking on both sides of the street, with a few exceptions:

- No parking on collector roads where left-turn bays are planned
- No parking on local or collector roads where they cross the BCS corridor
- □ No parking along select park frontages
- □ No parking opposite elementary schools

Parking will not be permitted on arterial roads with the exception of a portion of 184 Street as described below.

Within commercial areas and in the vicinity of the LRT station, on-street parking is expected to be in high demand. On roads in these areas, the use of parking management tools including time-limited parking and pay parking can be anticipated. These tools can help encourage parking turnover, which benefits commercial areas, and dissuades commuters from using these areas as all-day park-and-ride facilities.

Street lighting

As per Surrey's new standards, all street lighting in West Clayton will be LED, not high-pressure sodium. For roadway lighting, standard flatglass cobra head fixtures will be used on powder-coated black octagonal poles.

Lighting will be provided for all multi-use pathways. Where multi-use pathways are adjacent to roads, pedestrian fixtures will be attached on the back of the poles. Where multi-use pathways are not adjacent to roads, pedestrian-scale poles (also powder-coated black) will be used with the same pedestrian fixtures. These fixtures are shown in Figure 4.17 (note that an LED fixture will be used and not HPS, and that the poles will be powder-coated black).

300mm LONG x 60mm DIA. LUMINAIRE ARM LUMCA CP0401 LUMINAIRE (70W H.P.S.- RC2 DISTRIBUTION, FLAT GLASS) C/W SLF SIDE ARM ADAPTOR OR EQUIVALENT. ADAPTOR AND LUMINAIRE COLOUR TO MATCH POLE (LUMCA AP04-16 ROUND ALUMINUM POLE POWDER COATED LIGHT GREY (RAL 7040) HANDHOLE LUMCA WB11 BASE COVER POWDER COATED SEMI-GLOSS TEXTURED BLACK TYPE B CONCRETE BASE (CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ANCHOR BOLT CIRCLE WITH MANUFACTURER PRIOR TO ORDER BASE)

Figure 4.17: Pedestrian Light Fixture

TYPICAL PEDESTRIAN LIGHT POLE

Please see the Engineering Department's Design Criteria Manual for required lighting levels.

Green Lanes

A green lane is a type of back lane that also has a sidewalk and grass boulevard on one side. Within Surrey, they are typically used to provide access for multi-family developments when direct road access is not permitted. The cross-section is described in Table 4.6 and illustrated in Figure 4.18.

Table 4.6: Green Lane Features

Dedication	SROW	Pavement Width	Parking	Sidewalks	Boulevards	Streetlighting
12 m	0.5 m on sidewalk	6.5 m	None	1.5 m on one side	2 m on both sides	On sidewalk side
	side					

184 Street, 70 Avenue to 71A Avenue

Within the commercial area on 184 Street near the LRT station, a certain amount of on-street parking will be permitted, which will require a 35 metre dedication width from 70 Avenue to 71A Avenue. Parking will not be permitted within the left-turn lane storage areas.

The multi-use pathway will be split in this segment (separate cycling and walking facilities), in order to allow cyclists free passage without having to navigate around window-shopping pedestrians.

This cross-section is described in Table 4.7 and illustrated in Figure 4.19.

Table 4.7: 184 Street (70 Avenue to 71A Avenue) Features

No. of Lanes	Road Allowance	Statutory Right-of-Way	Pavement Width + Median	Sidewalks	Bike Facilities
4 plus 2 parking lanes	35 m	1 @ 0.5 m, 1 @ 5.5 m on path side	25 m	2 @ 1.8 m	1.8 m bike lanes, 3 m path

Fraser Highway

As discussed in Sections 4.1.4 and 4.4.5, Fraser Highway will accommodate LRT in addition to arterial traffic. A conceptual cross-section is shown in Figure 4.21, with some details provided in Table 4.8.

Table 4.8: Fraser Highway Features

No. of Lanes	Road Allowance	Statutory Right-of-Way	Pavement Width + Median	Sidewalks	Bike Facilities
4 plus LRT	42 m	2 @ 2.5 m	31 m	0	1.8 m bike lanes
				2 @ 4 m m	ulti-use path

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.18: Residential Green Lane Cross Section





Figure 4.19 - 184 Street (70 Avenue to 71A Avenue Features

Figure 4.20




Figure 4.20: Conceptual Cross Section of Fraser Highway with future Light Rail Transit

Unique Local Roads

A number of unique scenarios have been identified in Figure 4.21, and special crosssections have been developed to accommodate these locations, as shown in Figures 4.22 through 4.28. Information regarding the crosssections is provided below and in Table 4.9 (note that cross-sections C and D are off-street multi-use pathways and are included in Section 4.4.4). Although some roads may have a lesser total road width than standard, if a development site includes one-half of any of these roads, a minimum 11.5 m dedication is required (and may be more in some cases).

Cross-Section A (Figure 4.23)

- This is a modification to a standard local road, to be used where it crosses a BCS corridor
- All boulevard features are to remain in the same alignment as for a 20 m road with 10.5 m pavement width

Cross-Section B (Figure 4.24)

- This cross-section is to be used in select locations adjacent to BCS corridors and/or parks
- □ Log-rail fence is to be installed along the property line on the BCS/park side
- The multi-use path (MUP) will be constructed within the BCS corridor and/or park, and may meander slightly within a maximum 9-metre-wide corridor adjacent to the road allowance.

Cross-Section E (Figure 4.25)

- This is a road that is generally supplemental to the base road network shown in Figure 4.6.
- This secondary road may be used to improve neighbourhood connectivity in locations with townhouses on both sides; it is not appropriate for other land uses.
- Townhouse driveways must be on the side of the road with parking, or else

aligned exactly opposite another driveway.

Cross-Section F (Figure 4.26)

- This is a "main street" road in the commercial/mixed-use area around the LRT station.
- Curb bulges will be constructed through the parking lane at intersections and the pedestrian/cyclist street crossing.
- This road includes a landscaped median, which will be replaced with left-turn bays at 184 Street.
- □ The boulevard will be hard-surfaced adjacent to commercial land uses.

Cross-Section G (Figure 4.27)

- □ This is a local road that provides a green connection between BCS corridors.
- Lane access is required for the lots on the multi-use pathway (east) side of the road.
- The landscaping in the 6.75 m boulevard shall conform to Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles and ensure sightlines are maintained between the roadway and the multi-use path.

Cross-Section H (Figure 4.28)

 This is a local road that has been modified to include a multi-use pathway.


Cross-Section I (Figure 4.29)

- This is a series of cross-sections for 70 Avenue adjacent to Clayton Park.
- Parking is not permitted on the park side (north side) of the road, but will be provided where possible on the south side.
- The multi-use pathway can meander in and out of road allowance and into parkland as needed to preserve trees, with a minimum boulevard width of 2 metres and a maximum boulevard width of 7 metres.

 Adjacent to the Clayton Heights Secondary School building and parking lot, parking will not be permitted to allow a minimum pavement width (7 metres for two-way traffic and 11 metres where a left-turn bay is required), and the boulevard and sidewalk will be reduced in order to minimize impacts on the school property and parking lot.

Cross-	Dedication	SROW	Pavement	Parking	Sidewalks	Boulevards	5	Streetlighting
Section		a -	Width					
A	20 m	0.5 m on	6.6 m	None	1.5 m on	5.2 m on b	oth sides	Both sides
		both sides	0.5		both sides			
В	15.25 m	0.5 m on	8.5 m	On non-	1.5 m on	3.25 m on	•	Road and
		non-park		park side	both sides	side, 2 m o	n park side	pedestrian
		side,		only	OR 1.5 m			lighting in
		variable			on non-			boulevard on
		on park			park side			park side
		side			and 4 m			
					MUP on			
					park side			
E	14.5 m	0.5 m on	7.5 m	One side	1.5 m on	2 m on bot	h sides	One side
		both sides			both sides			
F	24 m	3 m on	14 m	Both sides	1.5 m on	3.25 m on	both sides	Road lighting
		MUP side,			one side			on both sides,
		0.5 m on			and 4 m			with pedestrian
		non-MUP			MUP on			lighting on
		side			other side			MUP side
G	24 m	0.5 m on	8.5 m	On non-	1.5 m on	3.25 m on	non-MUP side	Road lighting
		both sides		MUP side	one side	and 6.75 m	on MUP side	on non-MUP
				only	and 4 m			side and
					MUP on			pedestrian
					other side			lighting
								adjacent to
								MUP
н	20 m	0.5 m on	8.5 m	On non-	1.5 m on	3.25 m on	non-MUP side	Road and
		both sides		MUP side	one side	and 2.75 m	on MUP side	pedestrian
				only	and 4 m			lighting on
					MUP on			MUP side only
					other side			
I	20 m	0.5 m on	8.5 m	Adjacent to	1.5 m on	2-7 m	3.25 m	Road and
		non-park	adjacent to	residential	south side,	adjacent	adjacent to	pedestrian
		side,	residential	only	4 m MUP	to park	residential	lighting in
	Variable, to	variable	7 m by	None	on park		1.5 m hard	boulevard on
	be	on park	school		side		surface	park side
	determined	side	parking lot					
	through		11 m on					
	design		188 St					
	_		approach					
	determined through	-	parking lot 11 m on 188 St		SUC		SUITACE	park side

Table 4.9: Unique Local Road Features



PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.22 - Special Local Road Cross- Section A

PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.23 - Special Local Road Cross-Section B

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.24 - Special Local Road Cross Section E






Figure 4.25- Special Local Cross-Section F






Figure 4.26 - Special Local Cross-section G

PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION






Figure 4.27

Figure 4.27- Special Local Cross-Section H





SECTION 4: TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

4.4.9 Road and Greenway Names

In accordance with the Surrey Street Naming Policy, all roads within West Clayton have been numbered or named, as illustrated on Figure 4.6. In addition, the City-level greenways have also been named, as illustrated in Figure 4.8. The named roads and greenways generally honour notable historic figures within West Clayton, as described below:

Pickard Drive is named after John Wesley Pickard. The first Crown Grant in the Clayton area (then called the Serpentine Flats, Serpentine Valley, or Clover Valley) was issued to John Wesley Pickard in 1883. In the 1887 directory, the Pickard family makes several appearances: John Wesley Pickard and Elisha Pickard were listed as farmers, and Thomas W. Pickard listed as a dairyman. John Pickard was also one of Surrey's first councillors in 1880.

Stone Drive is named after the Stone family. William Stone was born in Ontario in 1850, and came to B.C. with his brother Edward in 1879. After a year of working in logging camps in Vancouver, they settled in Surrey in 1880 and each homesteaded 160 acres of land in the Clayton area, William at the corner of 88 Avenue and 176 Street, and Edward at the corner of 80 Avenue and 184 Street. In 1903, William married Elizabeth Ann Triggs, a daughter of Mr. and Mrs. George Triggs, also pioneer settlers in Surrey.

Ohio Crescent North and South are named in recognition of John George, who was the first postmaster in the Serpentine Flats area. In 1889, George renamed the area Clayton, after his hometown of Clayton, Ohio.

Great Northern Greenway is named after the old Great Northern Railway line, which was on the Harvie Road alignment. The Greenway runs parallel to the old tracks, approximately 800 metres to the east, and will provide views to the northwest, overlooking the railway alignment. The railway station at Fry's Corner (what's now the intersection of Fraser Highway, Harvie Road, and Pacific Highway) served Clayton.

Armstrong Greenway is named after the first mail carrier in Clayton, Johnny Armstrong, who began his route in 1915. Naming a greenway after him is a nod to another form of nonmotorized transportation—Armstrong conducted his route by horse and buggy.

Hazelgrove Greenway in West Clayton is an extension of an existing greenway in East Clayton, and runs through Hazelgrove Park and by Hazelgrove Elementary School.

Serpentine Flats Greenway is named after one of the original names of the Clayton/Port Kells area, which recognizes the Serpentine River. The greenway runs along 80 Avenue, originally named Serpentine Road.

Fraser Greenway runs predominantly along the south side of Fraser Highway, with the exception that multi-use pathways are featured on both sides of Fraser Highway within the NCP boundary between but runs on both sides of Fraser Highway within the extents of the NCP. The Fraser Greenway is named after the road.

Siddons Greenway follows the alignment of the central BCS Corridors (GIN #s 141), connecting Clayton Park and the future community centre and library with the residential neighbourhoods to the north all the way to 80 Avenue.

4.5 TRANSPORTATION FINANCING

The transportation infrastructure required to service West Clayton will be funded in two ways:

- Directly by the developer of the adjacent lands, or
- By Development Cost Charges (DCCs) collected from new development throughout Surrey

Local roads are fully paid for and built by the developers of the adjacent land. As per the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, developers are required to build the road across their full frontage measured from the centreline of the road to their site, and the full road within their site. (Please note that there may be additional requirements.)

Collector roads are built with development. The local road component is paid for by developers, and DCCs pay for the "upsizing" (additional road features) to the collector standard.

The interim arterial road standard is built with development. DCCs pay for the ultimate works (through a DCC rebate, which has an upset limit) and developers directly pay for the interim works. The ultimate works include the sidewalk, streetlights, street trees, and ultimate boulevard. A road profile will be provided by the City. The interim works include minimal pavement widening to provide a road shoulder / bike lane, an interim curb, and sodded swale.

The ultimate arterial road standard is built according to City-wide priorities, as identified in the Engineering Department's 10-Year Servicing Plan, and paid for largely by DCCs. (Other funding sources, if applicable, are identified in the Servicing Plan.) Traffic control treatments on arterial and collector roads, such as traffic signals, roundabouts, and crosswalks, are paid for by DCCs. Where possible, these treatments have been identified on Figure 4.12 and have been included in the transportation financing calculations for this NCP. However, not all of these treatments are foreseeable and therefore explicitly accounted for in this NCP, but, when warranted, they will be constructed by the City and are anticipated to be funded by DCCs.

Most forms of traffic control are constructed when warranted by an engineering study, and according to priority within the Servicing Plan. Roundabouts within West Clayton, however, are anticipated to be built in conjunction with the development of the fourth corner at the intersection.

On local roads, in common with other NCPs and general development practices, the cost of construction of traffic circles on local roads will be shared between the developers of the four corners at the intersection, and are anticipated to be built in conjunction with the development of the fourth corner at the intersection.

All multi-use pathways within road allowance will be constructed and funded by the fronting development.

Although DCCs received through redevelopment in West Clayton are pooled with DCCs received from redevelopment elsewhere in Surrey, and used to fund projects across the City, a certain number of DCC expenditures attributable to traffic generated by West Clayton were calculated, to ensure that West Clayton is "pulling its weight". As there is a slight surplus, no additional transportation fees will be required. Table 4.10 summarizes the anticipated transportation DCC revenues and expenditures, and Table 4.11 provides details of the DCC-eligible projects and estimated costs.

Table 4.10: Summary of Transportation DCC Revenues and Expenditures

DCC Туре	Revenues	Expenditures
Arterial Roads	\$58.9 million	\$51.9 million
Non-Arterial Roads	\$13.6 million	\$7.6 million
Transportation Total	\$72.5 million	\$59.5 million

Table 4.11: DCC-Eligible Transportation Servicing Costs

Road	Unit Price	Quantity	Portion to West	Cost to West
			Clayton	Clayton
Arterial Road Expenditures				
72 Avenue, Fraser Highway to 182 Street	\$4,000,000	1	40%	\$1,600,000
(interim)	¢0.750.000		100/	6075 000
72 Avenue, Fraser Highway to 182 Street (ultimate)	\$8,750,000	1	10%	\$875,000
72 Avenue, 182 Street to 188 Street	\$7000/metre	1200 metres	60%	\$5,040,000
72 Avenue / 184 Street signal	\$200,000	1	50%	\$100,000
72 Avenue / Fraser Highway property	\$3,500,000	1	40%	\$1,400,000
80 Avenue, 168 Street to 172 Street	\$3600/metre	800 metres	20%	\$576,000
80 Avenue, 172 Street to Highway 15	\$5400/metre	800 metres	20%	\$864,000
80 Avenue, Highway 15 to 184 Street	\$9600/metre	1650 metres	50%	\$7,920,000
80 Avenue, 184 Street to 188 Street	\$9600/metre	800 metres	80%	\$6,144,000
80 Avenue, 188 Street to 196 Street	\$7000/metre	1600 metres	20%	\$2,240,000
80 Avenue / 184 Street signal	\$200,000	1	10%	\$20,000
184 Street, 70 Avenue to 80 Avenue	\$7000/metre	2000 metres	100%	\$14,000,000
184 Street, 80 Avenue to 90 Avenue	\$9600/metre	2000 metres	25%	\$4,800,000
Culverts	\$325,000	7	100%	\$2,275,000
LRT supportive improvements	\$5,000,000	1	80%	\$4,000,000
Total				\$51,854,000
				1
Non-Arterial Road Expenditures				
74 Avenue, 184 Street to 188 Street	\$450/metre	800 metres	100%	\$360,000
74 Avenue, 188 Street to 192 Street	\$450/metre	800 metres	50%	\$180,000
76 Avenue, 184 Street to 188 Street	\$450/metre	800 metres	100%	\$360,000
70 Avenue, 18500 block to 188 Street (south half)	\$2000/metre	450 metres	100%	\$900,000
182 Street, Fraser Highway to 72 Avenue	\$450/metre	250 metres	100%	\$112,500
188 Street, 70 Avenue to 73 Avenue	\$450/metre	600 metres	50%	\$135,000
188 Street, 73 Avenue to 74 Avenue	\$450/metre	200 metres	100%	\$90,000
188 Street, 74 Avenue to 80 Avenue	\$450/metre	1200 metres	50%	\$270,000
188 Street, 80 Avenue to 82 Avenue	\$2925/metre	400 metres	40%	\$468,000
188 Street, 82 Avenue to 84 Avenue	\$5400/metre	400 metres	50%	\$1,080,000
188 Street, 84 Avenue to 86 Avenue	\$2925/metre	400 metres	40%	\$468,000

74 Avenue / 188 Street roundabout	\$500,000	1	50%	\$250,000
76 Avenue / 188 Street roundabout	\$500,000	1	50%	\$250,000
70 Avenue / 188 Street signal	\$100,000	1	100%	\$100,000
70 Avenue / 188 Street civil works	\$700,000	1	100%	\$700,000
70 Avenue / 188 Street property	\$600,000	1	100%	\$600,000
72 Avenue/ 182 Street signal	\$200,000	1	100%	\$200,000
72 Avenue / 188 Street signal	\$200,000	1	50%	\$100,000
74 Avenue / 192 Street signal	\$200,000	1	20%	\$40,000
80 Avenue / 188 Street signal	\$200,000	1	75%	\$150,000
76 Avenue / 184 Street signal	\$200,000	1	100%	\$200,000
74 Avenue / 184 Street signal	\$200,000	1	100%	\$200,000
70B Avenue / 184 Street signal	\$200,000	1	100%	\$200,000
Fraser Highway / 182 Street signal	\$200,000	1	80%	\$160,000
Total				\$7,573,500



SECTION 5 Design and Development Guidelines

- 5.1 Riparian Area Management
- 5.2 Green Density Transfer Area Guidelines
- 5.3 Energy Density Bonus Standards
- 5.4 Flex Block and Flex Density Guidelines
- 5.5 Special Land Use Interface Area Guidelines
- 5.6 Urban Design and Place making Elements

IMB

- 5.7 Heritage Strategy
- 5.8 Land Consolidation Strategy

SCREE

ChG.

awn-

SECTION 5 – DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

5.1 RIPARIAN AREA MANAGEMENT

With urban development comes increased pressure on natural watercourses and streams. Within the Land use Concept Plan Riparian Buffers have been identified to protect Riparian areas. The City of Surrey works with the federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans and the provincial Ministry of Environment to ensure that development respects these riparian resources and preserves and enhances them for all residents of Surrey.


Depending on the type of development proposed land dedication (riparian setbacks), restrictive covenants, or landscaping may be required. Regulations and legislation to preserve riparian areas and prevent water pollution are identified the:

- □ Federal Fisheries Act;
- Provincial Water Act;
- Provincial Environmental Management Act;
- Stormwater Drainage Regulation and Charges By-Law; and
- Surrey Riparian Area Bylaw
 (Development of this bylaw is currently underway).
- Surrey Environmental Development Permit Area (Development is currently underway)


Please see Drainage and Environment Section 8, and West Clayton Environmental Study as well as contact Planning and Development Department for information on environmental management and riparian area setback requirements and regulations.

Riparian Management Areas

Within the West Clayton NCP, some riparian areas have been identified for riparian area management improvements. These riparian areas will be prioritized for stream enhancement through redevelopment of the NCP area. Figure 5.1 identifies potential Riparian compensation and enhancement areas (pending environmental review and city approvals) within the West Clayton NCP. Compensation for stream movement or removal will be a requirement for any areas within the West Clayton are, and any proposed development must first be vetted by the Surrey Engineering and Drainage department.



PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION



5.2 GREEN DENSITY TRANSFER AREAS

Within the Land Use Concept Plan (Figure 3.1) CLUSTER Designations GREEN DENTISY TRASFER Areas have been identified. These areas allows for the development value (gross residential unit density) associated with one section of a property to be transferred and added to the amount of potential residential units available on another section of a property or development site. These GREEN DENSITY TRANSER Areas:

- Serve as a mechanism that permanently protects ecologically significant areas (Riparian areas, Significant Tree Patches, and Green Infrastructure) or agricultural buffer areas without the expenditure of public funds or long term enforcement of landscape maintenance;
- Are applied at a NCP level within prescribed Cluster Designation Areas;
- Provides a mechanism that restricts building on portions of land while providing equity to the private landowner in correlation with that restriction;
- Promote preservation of green areas while allowing development to occur in predefined designated areas and near service infrastructure.

5.2.1 Application

Cluster housing guidelines apply to the three "Cluster Residential" designation areas within West Clayton Neighbourhood. The three "Cluster Designation" areas (identified and summarized in Section 3) enable the transfer of development potential at rezoning from GREEN DENSITY TRANSFER Areas the City seeks to conserve/enhance or as Agricultural Buffer Areas, or are biologically significant to improve and protect, to areas specifically designated to be developed. These include the:

- □ LOW DENSITY CLUSTER Designation
- MEDIUM DENSITY CLUSTER Designation; and
- □ HIGH DENSITY CLUSTER Designation.

These designation areas are provided to enable the redistribution of development potential from one location to another in a way that is fair and equitable to landowners, while supporting community development, agricultural buffers, urban planning and environmental management goals.

5.2.2 Green Density Transfer Areas (GDT)

"Green Density Transfer" areas referred to in the Land Use Concept Plan (Figure 3.1) refer to the areas of a site where preservation of open space is to occur. The potential density from the green space transfer area is intended to be transferred to the "development area" of a site. A formal survey will be required to outline exact location and amount of Green space transfer Areas and they must be identified as such on any subdivision plans.

The following areas or land uses may not be counted as a part of designated green space transfer areas:

- Areas Covered by any Structures or Buildings;
- □ Road Rights-of-ways;
- Strata Lanes;
- Property Setbacks and private front or backyard areas;

The following areas shall be high priorities for inclusion as designated Green Space Transfer areas

- Riparian Dedication Setback Areas and Utility Corridors;
- Landscape Buffers separating uses;
- Agricultural Land Reserve Buffers;
- Ecologically Significant Vegetation Areas;
- Environmental Assessment);

- Passive Recreation and Trail Areas;
- Biodiversity Hub, Site, or Corridors areas as identified in Surrey BCS;
- Steep or unstable Slopes (Greater than 15%) as identified in the OCP Hazard Land Development Permit;

Portions of the Green Space Transfer areas may be "community space" and conveyed to the City, and may be used for public passive or active recreation, community gardens, or rainwater management facilities that meet all design, construction, maintenance, and public safety requirements set forth by the City of Surrey. At least 75% of designated open green space shall be contiguous, with no portion less than 20 meters wide.

5.2.3 Development areas – Receiving Sites (DA)

Development Areas refer to the portion of the site where building and development should ideally be located. These areas are intended to be developed intensely, so that preservation can occur on other portions of the site. Individual Tree protection within Development Areas may still apply, as per the City of Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw.

5.2.4 Determining Cluster Housing Unit Yield

Density Transfer Values for sites with a "Cluster" Designation:

- 100% of site's density designation value from Green Space Transfer Areas
- 50% of site's density designation value from Riparian, Gas, or Hydro ROW Areas;
- □ 0% from Road Dedication Areas;
- □ 0% from land purchased for Park by the City

		******		Park purchased
Road (Rw) o%	Developable Area (DA) (Density Receiving Site)	Green Space (GS) 100%	Riparian or Utility (Ri) 50%	by the City (PL) 0%
	Þ			

5.2.5 Density Allocation in Cluster Areas

The amount of Green Space preservation required should generally increase with increase in land use density, because of the feasibility of protecting open space and to offset the cost of development.

In lower density cluster designs (north of 78Avenue and along ALR edge), different techniques such as clustering homes into small groups may be used while in higher density urban areas small lot zoning and multiple family dwellings can be used to intensify development in specific locations such as near roads, on flatter slopes, and away from the Agricultural land reserve or environmentally sensitive features and stands of established trees.

The base and maximum densities in the Cluster designations should meet the requirements outlined in Section 3..

5.2.6 Green Space Area Management

The boundaries of designated green space areas, recreation areas, rainwater management facilities, and natural areas shall be clearly delineated on plans, including subdivision plans, rezoning plans, and marked in the field with signage during construction approved by the Surrey Planning and Development Department to distinguish these areas from private or common property.


5.3 ENERGY DENSITY BONUS POLICY

This section describes the building energy standards required for developments seeking to exceed the base density as outlined in the West Clayton Neighbourhood Land Use Plan and establishes the additional density allowances.

5.3.1 Density Bonus Objectives

The following comprise the City of Surrey's objectives of the Energy Density Bonus.

- to mitigate the emissions of greenhouse gases associated with the operation of buildings;
- to minimize the demand for electricity and natural gas in buildings;
- to reduce building operating costs for owners and occupants; and
- 4. to improve the comfort and indoor air quality of buildings.



5.3.2 Density Bonus Definitions

Airtightness Testing: The process of quantifying the air leakage of a building or suite. Airtightness shall be measured in accordance with the as-operated method based on CAN/CGSB 149.10-M86 "Determination of the Airtightness of Building Envelopes by the Fan Depressurization Method."

Building Commissioning (Cx): The procedure used to verify and document that building systems and assemblies are planned, designed, installed, tested, operated and maintained to meet the owner's project requirements. Building commissioning shall adhere to ASHRAE Standard 202-2013.

Compartmentalize: The design and installation of a continuously sealed air barrier system to fully enclose a suite, thereby separating it from adjacent indoor and outdoor areas.

ENERGY STAR: The version of Natural Resources Canada's ENERGY STAR[®] for New Homes Standard current at the time of building permit application.

Floor Area Ratio (FAR): The ratio of the area of all the floors of the buildings constructed or proposed to be constructed over the gross area of the lot.

Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV): A mechanical system used to balance ventilation and recover heat from exhaust air. Eligible HRVs shall be at least 65% efficient at 0°C and certified by the Home Ventilating Institute as an HRV or ERV, or be ENERGY STAR[®] qualified.

Units per Acre (UPA): A measure of the total number of dwelling units per acre of gross or net lot area depending on land use type.

5.3.3 Density Bonus Criteria

TYPE 1: PART 9 BUILDINGS

Residential developments with buildings less than four storeys and not more than 600m2 in building area (Part 9) shall be allowed to increase the Units per Acre beyond the base density stated in the Neighbourhood Community Plan according to Table 5.1 if:

I. all buildings proposed in the development application are certified to the ENERGY STAR standard.

TYPE 2: PART 3 BUILDINGS

Developments with buildings greater than or equal to four storeys or greater than 600m2 in building area (Part 3) shall be allowed to increase Floor Area Ratio above the base density stated in the Neighbourhood Community Plan according to Table 6.1 if all buildings proposed in the development application:

- I. are constructed with compartmentalized suites,
- II. are equipped with in-suite heat recovery ventilators,
- III. undertake building commissioning (Cx), and
- IV. conduct airtightness testing of the building envelope and a minimum of five selected suites to confirm air leakage less than or equal to 1.3 L/s/m2 at 50 Pa

Land Use Designation	Part 9 Additional Density (UPA)	Part 3 Additional Density (FAR)
Urban Residential	4	
Townhouse Residential	5	
Urban/Townhouse Flex		
Type 1 – Urban Residential	4	
Type 2 – Townhouse	5	
Townhouse/Apartment Residential Flex		
Type 1 – Townhouse	4	
Type 2 – Apartment		0.2
Stacked Townhouse/Apartment Residential Flex		
Type 1 – Stacked Townhouse	-	
Type 2 – Apartment		0.2
Low Density Cluster	2	
Medium Density Cluster	2	
High Density Cluster		
Type 1	2	
Type 2	5	
Mixed Use Commercial/Residential		
Village Node		0.2
Transit Node		0.3

Table 5.1 West Clayton Land Use Designations and Density Allowances for Part 9 and Part 3 Developments

5.4 FLEX BLOCK AND FLEX DENSITY GUIDELINES

Flex Block and Flex Density designations are provided in selected locations within and in proximity of the two neighbourhood nodes as mentioned in the Land Use Section and identified on the Land Use Concept Plan (Figure 3.1).

5.4.1 Flex Block Areas

The intent of the Flex Block areas is to enable innovative site layouts, built forms and development options within the context of the urban blocks. In keeping with the intent of these flex designations, zoning variances may be permitted subject to achieving good urban design.

The locations of these designations are as follows:

- □ Fraser Highway, 183 Street, 71A Avenue and 184 Street;
- 70 Avenue, a84 Street, 71A Avenue and 185 street adjacent to the Clayton park;
- 71A avenue, 182 street, 72 Avenue and 185 Street adjacent to the Clayton park;
- 72 Avenue, 188 Street, 73 Avenue and the rear lane parallel to 182 Street; and
- 72 Avenue, 187 Street, 73 Avenue along the south side of the Fortis BC Gas Right-of-way and 187A street.

5.4.2 Flex Block Designation


The Flex Block designation will allow flexibility in the distribution of densities and building forms between adjacent blocks as well as, subject to Engineering approval, alternatives to the street alignment and/or location. Replacing or substituting a street between blocks may be considered provided a pedestrian and/or vehicle connection (with a public right-of-way) through the development is maintained, subject to the requirement and approval of Engineering Department.

5.4.3 Flex Density Designation

The Flex Density designation will allow two different building forms at different densities within the same block subject to confirming to the maximum allowable overall density. There are two types of Flex Density designations.

Type 1: The TOWNHOUSE / APARTMENT FLEX Density designation will permit the options of developing townhouses or apartments or a combination of these two housing types.

Type 2: The URBAN / TOWNHOUSE FLEX designation will permit the development of ground-oriented units as single family detached on fee simple lots or within a strata development and/or townhouses or some combination of these building types.




5.5 SPECIAL LAND USE INTERFACE AREA GUIDELINES

A number of unique urban interface areas have been identified in the West Clayton Land Use Concept Plan (Figure 3.1) and are identified in Figure 5.2.

The special interface cross-sections have been developed to accommodate special design consideration in these locations for areas adjacent to Riparian areas, agricultural edges, green space preservation corridors, landscape buffers, or along hillsides) as shown in Figures 5.3-5.8. Information regarding these special interface area cross-sections is provided in Table 5.2 below.

Table 5.2 - Special Land Use Interface Areas

Special Urban Use Interface Transition	Location	Description
Transition 1 – Figure 5.2	LOW DENSITY CLUSTER Areas South of 80 Avenue.	80Avenue ALR Edge Interface , (15 m Landscaped Buffer)
Transition 2 – Figure 5.3	MEDIUM DENSITY CLUSTER Areas Adjacent to ALR boundary west of 184 Street.	ALR Landscape Buffer Interface along western boundary. (50 m Landscaped Buffer)
Transition 3 – Figure 5.4	NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL South east of 74 Avenue and 184 Street.	Landscaped buffer and day lighted stream interface.
Transition 4 - Figure 5.5	TOWNHOUSE RESIDENTIAL adjacent to Fraser Highway.	Fraser Highway interface. (5 m Landscaped Buffer)
Transition 5 - Figure 5.6	URBAN TRANSITION Area East of 182 Street.	Landscaped buffer Interface adjacent to 182 Street. (5 m Landscaped Buffer)
Transition 6 - Figure 5.7	MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL Area with Transit Node along 184 Street.	184 Street Commercial and Street Interface adjacent to 184 Street (Additional dedication for On street Parking adjacent to Commercial areas).






Figure 5.3 - 80 Avenue ALR Edge Interface



Figure 5.4 ALR Landscape Buffer Interface along western boundary



Figure 5.5 - Neighbourhood Commercial Interface to Day-lighted Stream with Landscaped Buffer




Figure 5.6 – Landscaped Buffer interface along 182 Street.




Figure 5.7– Landscaped Buffer interface along Fraser highway.




Figure 5.8 – Interface along 184 Street Transit Commercial Node with On-street Parking.

5.6 URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS

Urban Design guidelines are to be used in the assessment of development applications in the COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL MIXED USE and NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL areas of West Clayton area where URBAN LANDMARKS, PLAZAS and/or FORECOURT areas identified in the Land Use Concept Plan in Figure 3.1 and in the Place making Elements Map in Figure 5.9.

The guidelines should assist developers and consultants to establish a design rationale and to create improved public open amenity spaces associated with new projects. While not intended for rigid application, the guidelines highlight important considerations which, when appropriately selected and interpreted, can result in safe and useful outdoor places which add economic and amenity value to a project. Special urban design areas are designated at the village centre node area at 72 Avenue and 188 Street, the Transit Node area in the vicinity of the future rapid transit station on Fraser Highway at 184 Street, and the prominent intersections of 72 Avenue at 184 Street and Fraser Highway, 80 Avenue at 184 Street and 188 Street, and 70 Avenue.

Special urban design for these areas, will implemented through Form and Character Development Permits, and will look to create public spaces entrance features, visual markers, public art, pedestrian amenities, tree planting and special street design, public/private art opportunities and landscaping. View corridors, viewpoints and public seating areas could also be provided along the greenway running parallel to the ALR edge. Public parks and Pathway design guidelines area also intended to ensure connections to vibrant, safe spaces in the Plan area.

In addition, the redevelopment of the Transit Node are is required to exploring the opportunity to create a mini plaza or forecourt for the Surrey Little Theatre, which is a registered heritage building as described in Section 5.7, and illustrated conceptually in Figures 5.10.



SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES 142

5.6.1 Plazas and Forecourts

Plazas and Forecourts provide an urban open space area designed for public use and defined by surrounding buildings and/or streets. Its primary function is to encourage a diversity of opportunities for social interaction and activities, to provide relief and relaxation, to expand and reinforce the public realm and to contribute to the livability and general amenity of unique village and commercial areas of the West Clayton area.

As the Neighbourhood grows, opportunities are presented through new development to provide open amenity spaces that offer delight, surprise, rest, enlightenment and amusement for a wide variety of users over the course of the day, week and year. Activities accommodated by public plazas such as socializing, resting, eating, bus waiting, exhibitions and open air markets add to the quality of city living and working, enhancing diversity and increasing the educational and cultural opportunities that define the positive experience of urban living.


Concept Design

Like a successful building, a plaza requires a program of use and a strong concept. Therefore, careful thought should be given to a plaza's principal functions and to its relationship with the adjacent public realm (i.e. streets, pedestrian routes, other open spaces), activities and architecture. While some plazas may act primarily as pedestrian nodes, others function best as important viewpoints or enhance the setting for a

building.

A plaza should also reflect and reinforce the character of its location. Within the Clayton Neighbourhood, an individual plaza may function best as part of a hierarchy of open spaces, some small, others grand, still others as links within an open space network. Therefore, an understanding of area objectives, existing plazas and pedestrian movement, building and street scale, materials and circulation patterns are all essential in developing a use program and overall concept.

Plaza's and/or Forecourt areas should be planned comprehensively within identified site (including incorporation the United Church Heritage building), and mixed use areas to complement and extend public streets, pathways and parks. Plazas and open spaces should be designed to serve specific functions and activities for adjacent buildings and uses.




A Plaza's Proposed Uses, Functions and Linkages Should Be Determined as Part of the Overall Project Design Process

Visibility and Views

Good street-to-plaza visibility announces the plaza's internal attractions. It signifies that it is a public space, it permits users to watch street activity and it makes the space safer. Good visibility can be achieved by the following:

- arranging any walls and planting to not screen or block off the plaza from the street;
- locating the plaza at or as close as possible to street level, preferably no more than 1.0 m above or below street level.
- A plaza should also take advantage of distant views to the mountains, bluffs, valley bottoms, and other landmarks wherever possible.



Good Street-to-Plaza Visibility Should Announce the Plaza's Internal Attractions

Linkages

A plaza should be linked to other surrounding open spaces, as well as interior spaces such as lobbies, to create a dynamic pedestrian network. Such links will make the plaza more useful and provide a more dynamic, coherent urban environment. Linkages can be achieved or reinforced using the following devices:

- □ passages;
- □ bridges;
- □ steps/ramps;
- paving patterns;
- □ planting.

Seating

Good seating is important to plaza users. Without it, fewer people will stop to use a space. There are four major points to remember when planning seating:

- 1. Plentiful Seating
 - a. maximize opportunities for sitting: walls, steps, planters, fountain edges, lawns.
- 2. Choice of Sitting Location
 - a. locate seating toward street, oriented to a view, near building entrances, next to attractions/amenities, in shade, in sun.
- 3. Variety of Seating Types
 - a. in groups/couples/alone;
 - b. fixed and moveable;
 - c. disabled accessible.
- 4. Comfortable Seating
 - a. provide warmth: generally wood is preferable to stone, concrete or metal;
 - b. provide contoured seating, preferably with a back and armrest.



Group Seating Example within a Plaza

Plaza Activity Generators

Successful plazas are generally characterized by several activity generators. Examples of such activity generators include food and retail outlets, as well as entertainment, which attract users and encourage socializing, relaxation and festivities. Good plaza management can include soliciting groups to activate the space, such as farmers markets, street theatre musicians and exhibitors. Providing the infrastructure for events (e.g. electrical outlets, water supply and lighting) will facilitate such activity.



Food and Retail Outlets and Entertainment Create a Social Atmosphere



Example of Open Air Café

Plaza Amenities

A plaza which is furnished with a variety of amenity features encourages general public usage and creates a sense of liveliness and excitement. Art work should provide a focal point for the plaza or become an integral component of the overall design of the plaza. Bike racks, drinking fountains and waste/recycling receptacles are practical, essential amenities. Some others are:

- □ game tables;
- □ kiosks for information and posters;
- □ open air cafes;
- children's play equipment (where appropriate).



Plazas Should Be Furnished With Open Air Cafes, Sculptures, Game Tables and Kiosks, etc.



Sculpture or Art pieces often provides a Focal Point in the Plaza

Natural Elements within Plaza

Natural elements which reflect seasonal change should be provided within plaza areas, such as water and trees, shrubs, ground covers, vines and flowers in a variety of colours and textures.

Whenever appropriate, lawn areas should be provided to visually "soften" the urban environment and as an effective dry weather seating area. Vegetation should never create substantial enclosures from the street.



Natural Elements such as trees and landscaping "Soften" a Plaza and attract users

5.6.3 Urban Landmarks

Urban landmarks and neighbourhood gateway features are identified in Figure 3.1 and Figure 5.9, and are planned to announce entries into different areas of the west clayton neighbourhood, create civic focal points, and enhance the network of visual reference points throughout the community.

The cost of Urban Landmark features is not included in the estimated cost of the park and related amenities. It should therefore, be provided either through the City's capital construction program or through private sector sponsorship and development.

Public art should be incorporated into gateway features and/or at other locations in the Commercial and Mixed Used areas and as identified in the Land Use Concept Plan. Urban landmark features may incorporate special landscaping, signage, special design features and public art.



Possible example of Special Neighbourhood Entry Signage into West Clayton




Possible example of Neighbourhood Gateway Feature into West Clayton



Example of Landmark Feature area at Street Corner

PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION



PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION




Figure 5.10 - Illustrated Urban Design Concept Plan for Transit Station Node

SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES 150

5.6.3 Parks and Pathway Design Guidelines

The intent of park, pathway and recreation area design guidelines are to encourage the development of public spaces that are connected and integrated into the broader community and provide a vibrant, safe and accessible place for community gathering and interaction. The following considerations are applicable for the design of all parks, pathways and public spaces in the plan area:

Guideline 1

Planning and design of all active park sites and public pathways will follow the intent and direction of the City of Surrey, Parks Design Guidelines. Detailed design will reference, wherever possible, the City of Surrey, Parks Standard Construction Documents.

Guideline 2

Parks will maintain community identity and character through the retention, wherever possible, of trees and native vegetation of environmental significance, integration of heritage features, acknowledgement of the ALR, and protection of key view corridors of mountains and the Serpentine Lowlands.

Guideline 3

Parks will provide spaces for gathering that are safe, accessible, provide amenities and promote social interaction

Guideline 4

Private development adjacent to, or across the street from all parks and public pathways should ensure CPTED design principles such as unit orientation, clear site lines, active rooms and windows facing public spaces be applied. Commercial development adjacent to parks and public pathways should provide an active commercial interface and avoid loading and other back-of-house functions adjacent to public space.

Guideline 5

Any private fencing adjacent to active parks or public pathways shall be permeable, located on the private property line and constructed no higher than 1.2 m to maintain site lines and public surveillance. Adjacent landscape materials at mature growth shall also not exceed this height to protect sight lines over time.

Clayton Park Design

Clayton Park will serve as an integrated community level park for the West Clayton NCP, serving the active amenity and athletic needs of the broader community. The park will also be the future home of the Clayton Recreation and Arts Centre and Library.

The park will be located adjacent to the NCP's highest density neighbourhoods and will be within walking distance of the village centre and transit station mixed-use nodes, as well as the two high schools. As such, the park's design will respond to the urban character and demographics of the surrounding land uses and institutions, and will focus on amenities for families and youth. Clayton Park amenities may include new athletic fields, a washroom building, new tennis courts, playground upgrades, a youth park, parking upgrades and new pathways and forest trails.

Park Site B Design

Park Site B is a large integrated neighbourhood park with a variety of natural features, including two fish bearing creeks, old field habitat and sloping views of the Serpentine Lowlands. The design of this park site will complement the natural character of the site and focus on the protection of the fish bearing creeks and the site's proximity to the ALR. Parks amenities may include trails and pathways, natural areas, viewing platforms, picnicking areas, a small washroom building and a children's play area. Park access should be anticipated from 184 Street and should include a small parking lot.

Park Site C Design

is a traditional suburban style neighbourhood park. The park will reflect the surrounding single family and multi-family residential neighbourhood with opportunities for passive open space, pathways, benches and a children's play area. This site is predominantly existing residential lawn, with opportunities to plant larger canopy park trees.

Park Site D Design

Park Site D is an urban mini-park within a high density mixed-use neighbourhood. This park will feature a contemporary design with opportunities for an urban plaza, horticultural display beds, seating areas and play. The design of the adjacent mixed-use development will be critical to the function of the park. Any adjacent commercial development should acknowledge the park with a storefront interface, with opportunities for patios and other active uses facing the park. This park may be suited for lighting

Park Site E Design

Park Site E is adjacent to the high school and will be located at the junction of the Siddons and Hazelgrove Greenways. As such, the site will be an active park site with amenities suited to joggers and other greenway users, as well as youth from the adjacent high school. The site is suited for a greenway amenity node, as well as active sport courts, such as sand or grass volleyball

Park Site F Design

Park Site F is a small passive park located along the Hazelgrove Greenway. It is predominantly suited as a passive open space with opportunities for a greenway amenity node, as well as daylighting an existing creek that is currently piped along the interface with 184 Street.

Park Site G Design

Park Site G is an existing forested natural area and is the headwaters to a yellow coded creek. The site is also adjacent to the northern BCS Corridor (GIN # 139). Given the site's natural features, the design will focus on natural area improvements and naturalization. Passive park amenities may include forest trails, seating areas, passive open space and opportunities for nature play.

Park Site H Design

Park Site H will be amalgamated with existing parkland in the East Clayton NCP area. Combined, the site will serve residents of both East and West Clayton. The site will serve a predominantly multi-family and small lot single family residential neighbourhood, with opportunities to provide a larger passive open spaces, and amenities such as a community gardens, tyke bike tracks, pathways and seating areas.

Park Site I Design

Park Site I is located along the central BCS corridor (GIN #141), with an existing forested natural area. The surrounding neighbourhood is predominantly single family residential. The park offers opportunities for natural areas with complementary amenities such as forest trails, seating areas and a children's play area.

5.7 HERITAGE STRATEGY

5.7.1 Historic Buildings, Sites and Features

A number of historic buildings were identified as having heritage significance through the Heritage Register evaluation process identified in Figure 5.11. These sites are considered to have the greatest heritage value, and should be the primary focus for heritage conservation efforts.



- 1. Clayton United Church (7027 184 Street), Clayton Elementary School (7541 184 Street), and Edward Armstrong House and Barn (7381 192 Street) are listed on the Surrey Heritage Register but do not have formal heritage protection.
- 2. George Lawrence House (18431 Fraser Highway), a replica, is listed on the Surrey Heritage Register and is protected by Heritage Revitalization Agreement.
- 3. Additional buildings/ sites may or may not possess sufficient individual value to warrant addition to the Surrey Heritage Register; however, further evaluation is required. These include:
 - George Whitehead Farm (18717 74 Avenue)
 - □ House and Barn (7481 184 Street)
 - House (18477 76 Avenue)
 - □ Silos (7831 184 Street)
- There are a number of natural features located throughout the area that have been identified as potential heritage resources. These include:
 - □ The West Clayton Ridge, "Clayton Hill"
 - □ The West Clayton Ravine
 - Large Tree, corner of 80 Ave and 184
 Street (adjacent to West Clayton NCP planning




Figure 5.11 - West Clayton Heritage Sites and Areas

SECTION 5: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES 155

5.7.2 Heritage Policy Guidelines

The redevelopment of West Clayton will constitute major changes to the built heritage and agricultural character of the area. A programme of conservation, commemoration, documentation, and interpretation should be considered in conjunction with the preservation of historic buildings, sites and features. Heritage conservation, interpretation and commemoration strategies should include:

Objective 1

The preservation of registered heritage buildings/sites as well as buildings/sites recommended for addition to the Surrey Heritage Register. Development on these sites should not occur until the protection and restoration of each of the buildings is secured in a manner considered satisfactory to the City (e.g., heritage revitalization agreement). These buildings are intended to remain in private ownership with an adaptive use that respects the heritage value and encourages a viable future.

The registered Clayton United Church, and contiguous sites, should not be developed until the Church property and adjacent properties have been consolidated and the Church is protected in a manner considered satisfactory to the City (Figure 6.1 Consolidation Area Map). If possible the Church should be integrated into future development and upgraded to meet current standard with some conceptually illustrated examples provided in Figures 5.10, 5.12-5.13.

Objective 2

As opportunity permits, the preservation of additional buildings or sites that have not yet

been proposed for addition to the Surrey Heritage Register.

Objective 3

Encouraging preservation of heritage buildings/ sites through variations in density, use, siting, and other regulations via heritage revitalization agreement, or other tools as provided in the Local Government Act.

Objective 4

Ensuring that new construction adjacent to heritage buildings/sites is sensitive to the historic context and design of existing heritage buildings;

Objective 5


Encouraging publicly accessible interpretation about the value associated with heritage resources in the area, First Nations history, pioneering families, and historic street names;

Objective 6

Requiring the documentation and interpretative commemoration of demolished heritage buildings; and

Objective 7

Retain the West Clayton Ravine as a walkable feature, negotiate for the retention of other identified natural features, and retain view corridors and topography that reflect the historic 'feel' of the neighbourhood.



5.8 LAND CONSOLIDATION STRATEGY

In several areas of the NCP, lot consolidation will be required to ensure efficient development of properties. These land consolidation opportunities will, in most circumstances, be determined on a case-bycase basis at development application stage.

In some cases, however, consolidation requirements have been identified in the Land Use Plan to avoid creating remnant pieces created by fragmented ownership that would not be developable on their own or limit the development potential of an adjoining lot.

Land consolidation areas have been generally identified in Figure 5.2 to inform developers and owners of the consolidation strategy guidelines, to ensure compatibility and feasible development areas, and to achieve an equitable distribution of road dedication and construction costs across properties.

If land consolidation is NOT proven to be possible or feasible during the development process, the developer must:

- Demonstrate that the development potential of the excluded property is not compromised to the satisfaction of the City; and
- Share any required road construction costs amongst properties shown in the land consolidation area.



Distributed land ownership after development

PART A - PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION




Figure 5.15 - Consolidation Area Strategy

SECTION 6 Implementation Strategy

1.1

- 6.1 OCP Bylaw Amendments
- 6.2 Design and Development Guidelines
- 6.3 Zoning Bylaw Amendment (Amenity Contributions)
- 6.4 Development Permit Areas
- 6.5 NCP Consultant Cost Recovery Surcharge
- 6.6 Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Implementation Process

SECTION 6 – IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY


6.1 OCP BYLAW AMENDMENTS

The majority of area covered by the West Clayton NCP is currently designated Suburban-Urban Reserve in the OCP. It is, therefore, recommended that the necessary bylaw amendment changes to the OCP land use designations related to the West Clayton NCP land use plan proceed following the approval of the West Clayton NCP to update the Surrey Official Community Plan as generally illustrated in **Figure 6.1**.


6.2 DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

In the case of single-family residential development, the Design Guidelines will be implemented through the process of reviewing and approving subdivision plans and in developing and approving building schemes for each such subdivision.





9



PART A – PLANNING, LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION

6.3 ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT (AMENITY CONTRIBUTIONS)

In accordance with City Council policy to address the amenity needs of the proposed new development in West Clayton, all development proposals at the time of rezoning or building permit issuance will be required to make a monetary contribution toward the provision of new police, fire protection and library services and toward the development of the parks, open spaces and pathways.

To enact amenity contribution requirements for development within the NCP as discussed above, the Zoning By-law will need to be amended to add West Clayton to the list of NCPs within which monetary contributions are required. The proposed amendments to Schedule G of the Zoning By-law to incorporate the amenity fees for the West Clayton NCP area are documented in Table 6.1.

The monetary contributions toward police, fire and library materials (described later in this section) will offset the capital costs of providing these services to the new development and are applied on a standardized basis in all of Surrey's Neighbourhood Concept Plan areas.

The monetary contributions toward parks, open spaces and pathway development (described later in this section) are based upon an estimate of the capital costs of these improvements for this particular NCP area.

The total cost is divided by the average anticipated number of dwelling units and acreages in the case of non-residential development to ensure an equitable contribution arrangement. The amenity contributions noted above are payable upon subdivision for single-family subdivisions or upon issuance of building permits for multiple development and other uses.

The Amenity Contributions rates are summarized in Table 6.1, and are derived from the average densities proposed in the residential designations of the West Clayton NCP and the number of dwelling units (excluding any secondary suites) that are anticipated.

The estimated costs of the various amenities are distributed evenly to each dwelling unit. Therefore, if the number of dwelling units in a proposed development is lower than that anticipated by the NCP, the applicant will be expected to "top up" the amenity fees based on the number of the dwelling units used to calculate the amenity charge to ensure that there is no shortfall in the funding for the proposed.

6.3.1 Parkland & Pathway Development

The scope of parkland development within the West Clayton NCP will include an expanded community level park (Clayton Park), eight (8) new neighbourhood level parks and three (3) Linear Park and BCS corridors. The estimated cost of developing park amenities is approximately \$9,618,075, which results in a \$1,544.08 (in 2015 dollars) per dwelling unit. This estimate includes the construction of onsite park amenities, such as playgrounds, washroom buildings, parking lots, sports courts, athletic fields, tree and horticultural plantings, park pathways and on-site plazas, seating areas, viewing platforms and passive open spaces. This also includes natural and riparian area management within land acquired by Parks.

Park amenity calculations do not include riparian area works on land conveyed to the City through the development process, such as invasive species removals, fence construction, replanting and naturalization, in-stream works and any other related riparian area costs, including planning and design costs, which are to be accounted for as part of the development process and subject to the Riparian Area By-Law. *6.3.2 Library Materials* A study of library requirements in Surrey's new neighbourhoods has established that a contribution of \$144.84 (in 2015 dollars) per dwelling unit (non-residential development is exempt) is necessary to cover the capital costs for library materials and services, which is sensitive to population growth. Consequently, a total of approximately \$902,208.36 will be collected from West Clayton towards materials such as books, computers and CDs.

6.3.3 Fire Protection

Future development in this neighbourhood will drive the need to upgrade existing fire and police protection facilities. A study of fire protection requirements in Surrey's new neighbourhoods has established that a contribution of \$278.12 per dwelling unit and \$1112.48 per acre of nonresidential development (in 2015 dollars) will cover the capital costs for fire protection. This will result in a total capital contribution from West Clayton of approximately \$1,732,409.48 toward fire protection.

6.3.4 Police Protection

Similar to Fire Services, a contribution of \$64.39 per dwelling unit and \$257.56 per acre of non-residential development will cover the capital costs for police protection. This will result in a total capital contribution from West Clayton of approximately \$401,085.31 toward police protection.

WEST CLAYTON NCP COMMUNITY AMENITY CONTRIBUTIONS			
	Per Unit Contribution All Residential (Approx. 6,229 dwelling units @ average density)	Per Acre Contribution All Non-Residential Uses	Anticipated Total Revenue at Build Out
Police Protection	\$64.39 per dwelling	\$257.56 per acre	\$401,085.31
Fire Protection	\$278.12 per dwelling	\$1112.48 per acre	\$1,732,409.48
Development of Parks& Pathways	\$1,544.08 per dwelling	n/a	\$9,618,075.00
Library Materials	\$144.84 per dwelling	n/a	\$902,208.36
Total Contribution (per unit or per acre)	\$2,031.43 per dwelling	\$1370.04 per acre	
Anticipated Total Revenue			\$12,653778.15

Table 6.1- Summary of Amenity Contributions for West Clayton NCP amenities

6.4 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREAS

Where developments are located in designated Development Permit Areas as identified in the Surrey Official Community Plan (such as Steep Slopes, Farm Protection, Environmental Sensitive areas, etc.) as well as in the case of multiple unit residential developments or commercial developments, the Official Community Plan Design Guidelines will be implemented through the process of reviewing and approving the related Development Permit at the time of development application review and approval.

6.5 NCP CONSULTANT COST RECOVERY SURCHARGES

Several consultants were retained to assist with the preparation of the West Clayton NCP, including heritage, environmental, commercial, transportation, energy, and engineering service studies. The total cost of consultant services to the City was \$461,642.82.

It was therefore recommended that the City amend the Fee Imposition By-law to provide for the recovery of these NCP preparation costs through the payment of application surcharge fees at time of development.

A per unit surcharge fee will be based on the anticipated 6,229 units at the mid-range density, and will result in a per unit fee of \$74.11. Should the actual number of proposed units fall below the number anticipated on any particular site, the applicant will be required to make up the shortfall in the surcharge fee to ensure the City's NCP preparation costs are fully recovered. For nonresidential development the equivalent application surcharge fee will be based on the lot area at a rate of 10 units per hectare (4 units per acre).

The proposed amendments the Fee Imposition Bylaw to require the payment of additional application "surcharge" fees to recover the costs of preparing the NCP for West Clayton NCP are documented in Table 6.2.The surcharge fees noted in Table 6.2below are payable at time or rezoning application.

Cost Recovery of West Clayton NCP Preparation (Surcharge Fee)			
Consultant Study	Cost	Per Unit Fee (Based on 6,229 units)	
Environmental Study	\$21,770.28	\$3.49	
Heritage Study	\$9,637.60	\$1.55	
Commercial Market Study	\$24,360.00	\$3.91	
Land Economic Study	\$16,744.00	\$2.69	
Building Energy Analysis	\$1,732.50	\$0.28	
Transportation Study	\$68,000	\$10.92	
Servicing Studies (Water, Sanitary, Drainage)	\$319,398.44	\$51.28	
TOTAL STUDY COSTS:	\$461,642.82	\$74.11	

Table 6.2- Cost Recovery Surcharge Fee Summary for West Clayton NCP

6.6 ENERGY EFFICIENCY DENSITY BONUS IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

Developments seeking to build more units or floor area than the base density will be accepted within specified allowances if all buildings are constructed to meet the green building standard stated in West Clayton Energy Efficiency Density Bonus Policy identified in Figure 6.3, and as identified in Section 3 Land Uses.

The following steps outline the procedure to follow as part of the energy efficiency density bonusing process illustrated in Figure 6.1:

Step 1:

Those developments seeking density above the baseline are identified with the responsible Planner at the pre-application stage and details of the requirements are discussed.

Step 2:

A Restricted Covenant is registered at the time of rezoning indicating the requirement that buildings are constructed to the green building standard.

Step 3:


A bond is submitted to the City at the time of building permit application. The value of the bond is set at 1% of construction hard costs.

Step 4:

The builder engages with a third party Certified Energy Advisor (CEA) who is responsible for validating that all the buildings meet the green building standard. CEAs can also help secure for additional incentives.

Step 5:

The bond is released once all requirement documentation has been submitted to the City.







PART B

Engineering Servicing

SECTION 7: Servicing Summary and Financial Plan SECTION 8: Drainage and Environment SECTION 9: Sanitary Sewer SECTION 10: Water

SECTION 7 Servicing Summary & Financial Plan

7.1 Financial Analysis for Engineering Infrastructure7.2 Ten Year Servicing Plan Implementation

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

7.1FINANCIAL ANALYSIS FOR ENGINEERING INFRASTRUCTURE

The water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer and transportation infrastructure required to support development in the NCP area is expensive. Table 7.1 summarizes the projected DCC revenues and construction costs for each of the major infrastructure systems that will be needed to support build-out of this NCP area. The revenues are based on the current DCC rates that came into effect on March 15, 2013, and include the DCC municipal assist factor for all DCC-Eligible Costs attributable to the NCP area for each utility as identified in Table 7.2.

Included in these costs are road improvements that will be necessary for the development of this NCP but that will also benefit development outside of this NCP area. In this regard, the NCP has only been burdened with a proportionate share of the total costs related to the road improvements.

Service	Estimated DCC Revenues	DCC-Eligible Costs Attributable to the NCP Area	DCC Surplus/Shortfall
Water	\$10,200,000	\$7,500,000	\$2,700,000
Sanitary Sewer	\$12,800,000	\$20,800,000	-\$8,000,000
Drainage	\$14,200,000	\$19,300,000	-\$5,100,000
Arterial Roads	\$58,900,000	\$51,900,000	\$7,000,000
Non-Arterial Roads	\$13,600,000	\$7,600,000	\$6,000,000

Table 7.1 - Projected DCC Revenues and Construction Cost for West Clayton NCP

Table 7.2 – DCC Municipal Assist factor for DCC-Eligible Costs attributed to West Clayton NCP Utilities

Service	Municipal Assist Factor	Cost of the Municipal Assist Factor
Sanitary Sewer	10%	\$750,000
Water	10%	\$2,080,000
Drainage	10%	\$1,930,000
Non-Arterial and Arterial Roads	5%	\$3,275,000

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

170

7

7.1.1 Financing Options

As summarized in Table 7.1, the cost to provide the necessary sanitary sewer and storm water infrastructure to support development in the NCP area exceeds the expected DCC revenues from development in the area. The estimated DCC revenue shortfall as documented above will necessitate the introduction of additional levies to support development of this NCP area. There are four options available to address this shortfall:

- □ Establish an area specific DCC; or
- Authorize the developers in the NCP area to address the shortfall through the establishment of various development works agreements (DWAs); or
- Authorize the establishment of a Local Area Service charge to address the shortfall; or
- □ A combination of the two or more of the options above.

Financing Option 1 – Area Specific DCC

The use of an area specific DCC is not new in the City. They have been used in other NCP areas (Anniedale-Tynehead, Highway 99 Corridor and Campbell Heights) to assist in funding the costs of new infrastructure where the DCC revenues on their own are not sufficient to fund the necessary infrastructure.

Financing Option 2– Development Works Agreement

The use of a Development Works Agreement (DWA) to address a DCC funding shortfall is not new in the City. For this NCP area, DWAs could be established to address the funding shortfalls for the two stormwater detention ponds and various onsite and offsite sanitary sewer improvements.

The above approach is a common approach that has been completed numerous times. A recent example of this approach occurred at its Regular meeting on July 29, 2014, where Council adopted the recommendations of Corporate Report No. R157;2014 which authorized the use of a development cost charge front-ending agreement (DCCFEA) and a DWA to with the front-ending developer, for the construction of a community detention pond within the North Grandview Heights neighbourhood.

Financing Option 3– Local Area Service

The use of a Local Area Service (LAS) to address a DCC funding shortfall is relatively new in the City with Council only supporting this approach at its Regular meeting on February 2, 2015, where Council the recommendations of Corporate Report No. R018;2015 which authorized the use of a Local Area Service initiative for the southern portion of the Sunnyside Heights NCP Area as a means to finance a portion of the construction of the Fergus Pump Station and force main.

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

7.1.2 Recommended Financing Approach

Given that there is a DCC funding shortfall for both sewer and stormwater infrastructure and that there are a number of projects that make up this shortfall, it is recommended that an area specific DCC be established for sewer and stormwater for this NCP area.

Although both a DWA and LAS would be effective in addressing the shortfalls, given that there are a number of projects that make up the shortfall, a number of DWA and LAS petitions would need to take place. Administration of these various petitions will be onerous for both the City and the front-ending developer(s) and staff also believe that these various petitions would likely cause some confusion with land owners and developers in the respective benefiting areas. Establishing an area specific DCC will ensure a simple and clear financing approach as identified in Section 7.1.3.

7.1.3 Area Specific Development Cost Charge (DCC)

Table 7.3 below provides a comparison of current City-wide DCC rates and an estimate of the DCC rates that would be required to fully fund all of the sanitary sewer and drainage works in the West Clayton NCP area. These rates were developed in accordance with guidelines contained in the DCC Best Practices Guide as published by the Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development.

Land Use	Existing City Wide DCC Rate	Estimated West Clayton DCC Rate	Proposed Rate as a % of Existing City Wide DCC Rate
SF (RF, RF-12)	\$28,691 per lot	\$31,200 per lot	109%
SF Small Lot (RF-10)	\$24,950 per lot	\$26,900 per lot	108%
RM-10, RM-15 & RM-30	\$16.42 per sq.ft.	\$17.75 per sq.ft.	108%
RM-45 and RM-70	\$18.06 per sq.ft.	\$19.25 per sq.ft.	107%
Commercial (ground floor)	\$10.11 per sq.ft.	\$11.25 per sq.ft.	111%

Table 7.3 – Comparison of Current City-Wide DCC Rates and Estimated DCC Rates for West Clayton NCP.

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

7.2 TEN YEAR SERVICING PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

The 10-Year Servicing Plan establishes the City's capital expenditure plan for the construction of engineering infrastructure to service existing neighbourhoods and to support new growth across the City. It also forms the basis for establishing the City's DCC rates. In December 2014, Council adopted an updated 10-Year (2014-2023) Servicing Plan and related DCC Bylaw. The Servicing Plan has been reviewed annually since 2006 and updates have occurred every two years since 2010.

The City is currently reviewing the 10-Year Servicing Plan and are intending to bring forward a new 10-Year (2016 – 2025) Servicing Plan and related DCC By-law to Council for their consideration this fall. As the introduction of any amendment or new DCC By-law is subject to consideration by Council in advance of being provided Provincial Ministry of Community, Sport and Cultural Development for approval prior to its final adoption by Council and so as not to burden staff at the City and the Province in addition to resulting in some confusion with land owners and developers as to which DCC By-law is applicable and when, it is also recommended that the proposed area specific DCC for the West Clayton NCP area only be introduced with the 10-Year (2016 - 2025)Servicing Plan and related DCC By-law review currently underway.

7.2.1 Operational and Maintenance Responsibilities

As indicated above, the sanitary servicing approach of expanding the existing pump station as opposed to replacing with a deep trunk will result in a higher ongoing operation and maintenance cost. In addition, the development of the NCP area will increase the total length of infrastructure that the City is required to operate, maintain and eventually replace. The increases to the City's major infrastructure categories are indicated in Table 7.4.

The midline build-out population estimate of 15,500 persons in the Plan area represents a 3.0% increase in the City's population. The infrastructure needed to support this increase in population results in the City's infrastructure inventory increasing by 1.0 to 1.9%. Therefore, the added infrastructure to support the development of the Plan area is positively balanced when compared against the increase in population.

Infrastructure Type	Existing Inventory	Increase to Inventory	Increase to Inventory (%)
Sewer mains	1,565 km	28.0 km	1.8
Water mains	1,840 km	27.5 km	1.5
Drainage mains	1,911 km	19.7 km	1.0
Local, Collector and			
Arterial Roads	1725.5 km	32.2 km	1.9%
(centreline length)			

Table 7.4 - Increase to City's Major Infrastructure Categories

SECTION 7: SERVICING SUMMARY AND FINANCIAL PLAN

7

SECTION 8 Drainage & Environment

- 8.1 Existing Stormwater Servicing
- 8.2 Design Criteria and Analysis
- 8.3 Proposed System
- 8.4 Costs and Financing

SECTION 8: Drainage & Environment

8.1 EXISTING STORMWATER SERVICING

8.1.1 Study Area

The West Clayton NCP area is approximately 286 hectares (ha) in size. The overall flow regime for the area drains northwest through the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) to the Serpentine River. Based on 1m contours, the highest elevation in the study area is 83m, located at 74 Avenue and 192 Street. The terrain slopes northwest with 3-5% grade to the ALR, which is located at 1m elevation. Several Class A, AO, and B watercourses have been identified in the study area, and must be preserved and protected as development progresses within the Clayton watershed. **Figure 8.1** shows the study area boundaries.

8.1.2 Clayton ISMP

The stormwater servicing approach for the West Clayton NCP is governed by the *Clayton Integrated Stormwater Management Plan (ISMP)* completed by AECOM in August 2012. The ISMP provides long-term direction for local government and land owners to address community land use choices and determine the best options to manage growth while conserving the area's natural resources. The ISMP incorporates the requirements for drainage and flood control, terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems conservation, the existing community and future development, watershed health, as well as aesthetic, recreational and downstream functions. At the time of the ISMP, the 1999 Clayton General Land Use Plan (GLUP) was used to establish the future land use of the area. This GLUP envisioned the West Clayton area to be predominantly sub-urban with the southeast corner near 72 Avenue and Fraser Highway to be urban.

The ISMP notes that overall current imperviousness of 12% increases to 40% under full build-out conditions based on the GLUP. This increase limits green space and environmentally valuable areas, and raises potential water quality issues. Therefore, the need to properly manage stormwater in terms of creek base flows, erosion concerns, flood mitigation, and no negative impacts to the downstream ALR is critical to the servicing strategy for West Clayton.

The approach to stormwater servicing for the West Clayton NCP was developed from the ISMP recommendations to ensure that a cohesive long-term vision for the area is achieved. The stormwater servicing strategy will be closely related to that of the ISMP, which, for reference purposes also reflects similarly to the approach implemented in East Clayton. The West Clayton NCP study area falls within the Fry's Corner watershed.

SECTION 8: DRAINAGE AND ENVIRONMENT




Figure 8.1 NCP Existing Drainage Boundaries Relative to ISMP

8.1.3 Existing Drainage System

Figure 8.1 shows the West Clayton NCP area in relation to the Clayton ISMP study area. Presently, the area consists of large acreages with contiguous forested areas. Latimer Creek lies northeast of 188 Street and 80 Avenue and North Creek is located south of Fraser Highway. There is minimal drainage infrastructure within the study area.

The majority of the study area drainage system consists of roadside ditches and culverts, which direct stormwater overland towards several creeks. The creeks drain the uplands to channels in the ALR, which ultimately discharge into the Serpentine River at the Fry's Corner Pump Station. Drainage is primarily discharged through flood boxes, and pumped only when the Serpentine River water level is high. The open channels within the ALR have been straightened along roads, property lines, farm fields, and right-of-way corridors. The channels are typical of the agricultural ditches throughout Surrey.

The land use within the ALR area is predominantly grasslands and agricultural crops. There are small patches of trees in wetland regions and areas that are not under cultivation. Typical roadside channels are 0.5 -1 meter deep and 1-2 meters wide with homogenous channel cross sections.

8.1.4 Watershed Boundaries

As shown in **Figure 8.1**, West Clayton NCP is located within the Fry's Corner watershed, with the exception of two areas; a small area east of 192 Street that falls within the adjacent Latimer watershed, and the southern portion of the study area which drains to the Serpentine River via North Creek.

8.1.5 Existing Fish Classifications and Creek Buffers

The City has classified streams according to their value in supporting fish habitat. Developed in 1995, Surrey's Watercourse Classification Map is constantly updated through various resources and was initially used for City Engineering staff. Now it is widely used by City Planners, residents, and land developers to identify streams and setback requirements for new development.

Class A, AO, and B watercourses are regulated under the Federal Fisheries Act. This means that any modifications to in-stream or riparian habitat requires approval by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). DFO review and approval of any modifications to Class C watercourses is site specific. The stream classifications are divided into four classifications:

- Class A (Red) watercourses support fish populations year round or have the potential to support fish populations year round if migration barriers are removed;
- Class AO (Red-dashed) watercourses support fish populations generally only during the winter months; often roadside ditches that have very low flows and warm temperatures in the summer;
- Class B (Orange) do not support fish populations, but provide food and nutrients to downstream fish habitats and often are supported year-round by groundwater; and,
- Class C (Green) do not support fish populations and generally only convey flows associated with rainfall events; often roadside ditches in headwater areas.

Several Class A, AO, and B watercourses fall within the boundaries of the West Clayton NCP.

The proposed land use incorporates 30m creek buffers from top of bank for all Class A and AO watercourses, and 15m buffers for Class B watercourses; these setbacks should be reviewed for compliance upon Council approval of the upcoming Riparian Area By-Law. The majority of creeks in the study area drain northwest to the ALR, or north into existing roadside ditches along 80 Avenue. The three Class A watercourses that fall within the NCP study area are identified as 76 Avenue B Creek, Creek 274, and Creek 283. The tributary areas of the creeks are shown in Figure 8.2, and summarized in the following section in Table 8.1.

North Creek, located south of Fraser Highway, is also a Class A watercourse, and traverses eastwest where it ultimately discharges into the Serpentine River. While this creek is outside of the study area, the southern portion of the NCP currently discharges to North Creek. Similarly, Latimer Creek South Arm is located outside the NCP; however stormwater from the area east of 192 Street flows into this creek. The future land use plan will manage runoff from development to maintain pre-development peak flows to these creeks. Where drainage area is rerouted by proposed storm sewers, base flows will be maintained in watercourses and creeks through low-flow diversion structures.




Figure 8.2 Proposed Drainage catchments and Discharge Points

8.1.6 Existing Soils and Hydrogeology

An understanding of the surficial soils is important to developing knowledge of the watershed and its operation under a range of conditions. A review of the surficial soils was undertaken to establish an understanding of the potential engineering constraints relating to drainage and possible infiltration as it relates to storm water best management practices (BMPs).

Historically, the upland area soils are of glaciomarine origin of moderately finely textured material; while the lowland area soils are of marine, floodplain, or deltaic origin with a large organic component. Upon these primary characteristics was imposed the effect of a humid and temperate climate. The large but unevenly distributed rainfall, together with moderately high temperatures and long growing season, combined to produce forest cover typical of the coastal western hemlock forest zone. The uplands supported a heavy forest of Douglas Fir and Western hemlock. In wetter soil locations and where seepage occurred, the Western red cedar was abundant. The lowlands were frequently inundated with salt and freshwater creating flood tolerant vegetation zones.

Since the onset of urban development there has been a pattern of removal of large contiguous portions of the organic soil horizon combined with the replacement of the native vegetation with species more desirable in a rural-residential setting. The lowlands have been altered by agriculture and flood management. The long term soil genesis under these conditions will ultimately result in soil types that are far different than those found during pre-development conditions.

Soil mapping of Surrey shows similar soil conditions for the West Clayton NCP area as those found in the East Clayton NCP area. The primary soil unit for both areas is the Bose soil unit; which when undisturbed and un-compacted, is typically able to absorb 1mm/hr in winter conditions and 1-2 mm/hr in summer conditions. The Clayton ISMP study area includes an upland groundwater recharge area, a lowland discharge area, and at least three aquifers. These aquifers are known as the Upper Clayton Upland aquifer, the Lower Clayton Upland aquifer (also known as the Pre-Semiahmoo aquifer), and the Pre-Westlynn aquifer. Well records for the area show depths to range from 4-8m to as deep as 100m, while well yields ranged in capacity from 0.6 to 250gpm. Artesian (free flowing) wells are found throughout the lowland areas.

Figure 8.3 shows the schematic west-east hydrogeological profile of the upland area within the Clayton watershed. The existing soil makeup consists of four units:

- Thin, relatively well drained sediment layer 1-2m thick, composed of geologic unit Ce (which is defined under the *Geological Survey of Canada Map No. 1484A* as Capilano sediments

 mainly marine silt loam to clay loam with minor sand, silt, and stony glacial marine material up to 60+m thick), and Bose/Whatcom soils which form an unconfined, near-surface, aquifer in many of the upland areas. This unit supplies shallow wells in the area. Portions of this unit may dry out in summer; but near the toe of the slope east of the ALR boundary, above 20m elevation, the unit contributes base flows to streams on a year-round basis.
- Thick, upper silty cap of geologic unit Ce sediments which thin towards the east. This is an aquitard layer that does permit some downwards percolation. It confines the aquifer below, generating artesian (free flowing) wells in the lowland area. Where this unit is thin or absent (eastern portions of the study area), important groundwater recharge areas are found. These are generally located in the Willoughby area of Langley. Aquitard – Low permeability saturated geologic unit that can transmit a small quantity of water to springs or other aquifers (a leaky aquifer).
- A moderately extensive deep regional aquifer unit composed of hydraulically interconnected sand and gravel units. There is a high degree of variation in the substrate and definitive boundaries are not possible to delineate. It is possible to identify a consistent northwest

gradient with a minor flow gradient to the southeast. The estimated travel time for groundwater entering the significant recharge areas in Langley and discharging to the west via the deep aquifer is 90-150 years.

4. Silty clay aquitard unit.

The ISMP recommends identifying groundwater seepage areas in the upland area as these springs provide base flows for the numerous creeks. Development and drainage planning for these areas should ensure that these sources are maintained. It is estimated that developing within the Clayton area without infiltration compensation could reduce aquifer recharge by as much as 20-40%. It is estimated that this could result in a 40-60% reduction in creek base flows. As such, infiltration is a key component of the stormwater management for this area.

8.1.7 Key Drainage / Environmental Issues

The ISMP and other background information for the NCP identify the following key drainage / environmental issues:

- Minimize impacts on the downstream agricultural lowlands;
- Reduce erosion of ravine streams along the steep slopes and ravines that border between West Clayton and the lowlands;
- □ Maintain base flows in streams;
- Protect Class A, AO, and B streams. In some cases Class B streams are roadside ditches which will be impacted by future roadways in the area (i.e. 184 Street and 72 Avenue), in which case compensation will be needed; and
- Review and implement best management practices (BMPs), detention ponds and major storm trunk sewers as recommended by the Clayton ISMP.




Figure 8.3 Schematic West – East Hydrogeologic Profile of Clayton Upland

8.2 DESIGN CRITERIA AND ANALYSIS

8.2.1 Level of Service

The City's Design Criteria Manual provides the main source for drainage design criteria for the NCP. The recommendations from the Clayton ISMP have also been incorporated into the servicing strategy. The ISMP recommends the use of stormwater detention ponds, to be utilized in conjunction with the implementation of BMPs.

For the West Clayton NCP study area, the following specific requirements apply:

- Provide a storm sewer system with conveyance capacity to accommodate the 1:100-year return period storm, allowing for in-ground basements in single family residential homes; the maximum hydraulic gradeline (HGL) to be 300mm below minimum building elevation (MBE).
- Provide stormwater detention to attenuate post-development peak flow rates, and improve water quality. The 5-year peak flows will be controlled to pre-development rates as per the Design Criteria Manual.

- Where erosion is a concern, the 5-year postdevelopment flow will be controlled to the more stringent of the following criteria; either 50% of the 2-year post-development, or the 5-year pre-development flow.
- The City's Design Criteria Manual also describes minimum pipe sizes, pond designs, etc. to be used.
- Implement the Clayton ISMP BMP Strategy for the purposes of improving water quality, runoff volume reduction, and to reduce erosion potential from small, frequent storms events by providing retention and infiltration BMPs sufficient to capture 46mm of rainfall in 24 hours.

8.2.2 Drainage Catchments & Outfall Points

Figure 8.2 shows the proposed drainage catchment areas and outfall points where stormwater runoff will leave the study area. A total of 137 sub-catchments were delineated based on the proposed land use plan, and eight outfall points were identified.

The proposed drainage network will alter the tributary area of several creeks in the study area. The plan therefore includes diversions to maintain creek base flows, and peak flow targets to match pre-development conditions.

8.2.3 Clayton ISMP Unit Rates

The Clayton ISMP stipulated that for future developments within this watershed the post-development condition must meet the pre-development condition so as to not have any negative impact on downstream creeks and agricultural lands. Predevelopment conditions are defined as those existing before 1978. To achieve this target, the ISMP identified that managing peak flows and stormwater volume is needed. Therefore, unit rates for both flow and storage were provided in the ISMP to meet this requirement, as summarized below.

□ Clayton ISMP Pre-Development Unit Flow Rates:

- o 8.1 L/s/ha for 1:2 year pre-development flow unit rate;
- o 11.5 L/s/ha for a 1:5 year pre-development flow unit rate; and,
- 21.0 L/s/ha for a 1:100 year pre-development flow unit rate.

□ Clayton ISMP Unit Storage Rates:

 Minimum of 34 m³/ha for a 1:100 year storage unit rate with the implementation of BMP Strategy #4

These unit flow rates were based upon rainfall and runoff relationships within adjacent watersheds to the West Clayton study area and available historical flow monitoring data, as described in the Watercourse Hydrology (Section 5.5) of the Clayton ISMP. Stream gauges for the Salmon River at 72 Avenue and for West Creek near Fort Langley were used in establishing these unit runoff rates for existing conditions within the Clayton watershed. The unit storage rates are described in the Peak Flow Management (Section 7.7) of the ISMP.

8.2.4 Pre-Development Conditions

From the Clayton ISMP unit rates, pre-development flow rates were calculated at each creek outfall point and are summarized in **Table 8.1**.

Outfall	Study	Watercourse	Contributing Area	1:2 Year	1:5 Year	1:100 Year
point Sub-Area		(ha)	Pre-Dev	elopment Flov	v (L/s)	
1	North Creek	North Creek	34.26	278	394	719
2		180th Street Creek	56.36	457	648	1,184
3		Creek 283	79.40	643	913	1,667
4	Fry's Corner	Creek 274	26.98	219	310	567
5		Harvie Lowlands	4.60	37	53	97
6		Creek 266	15.45	125	178	324
7		76th Avenue B Creek	65.80	533	757	1,382
8	Latimer	Latimer Creek South Arm	2.93	24	34	62
Total:			285.78			

Table 8.1 Pre-Development Flow Rates

8

8.2.5 Hydraulic Analysis

The hydraulic analysis for the study area was conducted using PCSWMM software. PCSWMM utilizes both runoff and hydraulic modules. The runoff module generates hydrographs based on rainfall (or hyetographs), soil characteristics, catchment widths, depression storage, impervious area, and infiltration rates. The hydraulic module routes these hydrographs through the drainage system, on a real time basis, from the start to the end of the rainfall event. The drainage system is represented as links (pipes or other conduits) and nodes (manholes or other junctions). Therefore, the hydro-dynamic model provides simulated results that emulate the real flow pattern and parameters such as flow rates, velocities, water depths, and volumes.

PCSWMM requires the input of various hydrologic parameters that define the catchment characteristics to be assigned to the nodes. These parameters include:

- catchment area, percent imperviousness, width, and overland slope;
- initial abstractions (impervious and pervious areas);

- soil infiltrations (Horton's infiltration); and
- rainfall hyetographs.

The West Clayton drainage model was developed based on the NCP land use plan. The model analyzed the future drainage system under the 2-year, 5-year, and 100-year design storm events. Future scenarios were assessed without modelling the best management practices (BMPs) in order to determine conservative estimates of peak flows. The purpose of the BMPs is to improve water quality within the watershed, and to improve creek base flows by infiltrating frequent, low-intensity surface runoff. They are not intended to attenuate large storms events such as the 1:5 or 1:100 year storm. The stormwater system will therefore be designed to convey the worst-case storm events without flow reductions from onlot infiltration devices.

A total of 137 sub-catchments were delineated based on the proposed land use plan, and the eight outfall points. Modelling sub-catchment input parameters were matched to those applied in the Clayton ISMP, and are summarized in Table 8.2.

Table 8.2 Catchment Modelling Parameters

Impervious Manning's n	0.013
Pervious Manning's n	0.200
Impervious Depression Storage (mm)	2.5
Pervious Depression Storage (mm)	7
Horton's Maximum Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	40
Horton's Minimum Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	2.3
Horton's Infiltration Decay Constant (1/hr)	3.6

8.2.6 Post-Development Conditions

Area East of 188 Street Drainage Catchments

The area of 74 Avenue from 188 Street to 192 Street slopes westerly towards the center of the West Clayton NCP, draining to 283 Creek. However, the 2.9 ha area between 192 Street and 194 Street is flat and generally slopes north, draining to Latimer Creek; baseflows will need to be maintained to this watershed.

Upon a preliminary review of the topography along the 74 Avenue alignment, the potential exists to service the area east of 192 Street through the NCP via a deep storm sewer, with capacity to convey the 100-year flow west towards proposed drainage infrastructure. As per City Design Criteria the uppermost leg of any storm system must have a minimum slope 0.4%, and have an installation depth sufficient to service properties on both sides of the roadway. Pipe installations deeper than allowable for Class III pipes must be specially designed for the specific conditions.

The recommended strategy is to install a 300mm sewer at 1m depth east of 194 Street, sloping west at minimal grade, which will transition to a deep storm sewer (approximately 5m deep) near 192 Street. Servicing basements by gravity will not be a viable option for properties east of the 19450 block, subject to a review of topographic survey for confirmation. Figure 8.4 illustrates the potential sewer profile along 74 Avenue between 188 and 194 Street. Where the sewer depth exceeds 3.5m depth for an extended length, a second sewer at a shallower depth ("header pipe") would reduce the depth of service connections to the lots fronting the deep sewer. The header pipe would also facilitate the low-flow diversion required at 192 Street (outfall point 8) to maintain base flows towards Latimer Creek.




Figure 8.4 Storm Sewer Profile East of 188 Street at 74 Avenue

185

Creek 283

Creek 283 is a Class A watercourse located in the north-western portion of the study area, crossing 184 Street as shown in **Figure 8.2**. The creek has a large tributary area (79 ha), the majority of which will be developed be as part of the NCP. The increased impervious area from development will lead to higher peak runoff during storm events if unmitigated.

The existing crossing under 184 Street is a 750mm culvert which outlets to a concrete splash pad before draining to a deep ravine channel. The ravine area has numerous documented erosion points identified in the City's Biannual Ravine Assessment Project, making the creek especially vulnerable to increasing peak flows; therefore due to erosion concerns, more stringent City Design Criteria will apply to outfall point 3 to limit postdevelopment flows. In this case limiting the 5year post development flow to 50% of the 2year post development flow was found to be the more stringent criteria.

To achieve the design criteria a diversion sewer will be required on 184 Street and 74 Avenue, which will convey all flows exceeding the target from the 36 ha upstream area to the detention pond (Pond#1). Additionally 19 ha of tributary area south of 74 Avenue will be rerouted from Creek 283 to the stormwater detention pond. Existing storm sewers on 184 Street and 74 Avenue will need to be upgraded to convey post-development peak flows. The existing culvert crossing was reviewed in the ISMP, and is estimated to have sufficient capacity to convey the 100-year storm.

<u>Creek 274</u>

Creek 274 is a Class A watercourse also located in the north-western portion of the study area, crossing 184 Street as shown in **Figure 8.2**. The residential area near 185 Street and 75 Avenue currently has storm sewers that drain to Creek 274. Drainage is conveyed across 184 Street by a 375mm culvert which discharges to a ravine. Developable area west of 184 Street, which is too low to drain to the proposed trunk sewer, will also outlet to the creek. Development of the tributary areas will result in higher peak flows if not mitigated. Erosion concerns have not been identified for Creek 274, therefore standard City Design Criteria will apply to outfall point 4.

To achieve the design criteria at the outfall, runoff from upstream areas will need to be reduced by rerouting enough flow to the 184 Street sewer system (Pond#2) to allow the downstream areas to drain uncontrolled. A diversion structure will be constructed for the 8 ha of area draining to the existing storm sewer on 185 Street and 75 Avenue. An orifice will limit the 5-year peak flow draining to the creek to 50% of the 2-year flow, and divert excess flows to the pond via a new storm sewer.

76 Avenue B Creek

76 Avenue B Creek is a Class A watercourse (and several Class B tributaries) draining 66 ha of the study area to a Class AO ditch on 80 Avenue (see Figure 8.2). The Ravine Assessment Project have identified one of the creek's channels as having numerous erosion points, and the Clayton ISMP noted the channel has insufficient capacity to convey existing 100-year peak flows. Due to the erosion concerns, all of the developable areas tributary to 76 Avenue B Creek will be routed to Pond#2. Baseflows will be maintained to the watercourses by low-flow diversions to be provided at 3 locations (76 Avenue, and two on 78 Avenue). The diversion flows will be regulated by an orifice to only convey base flows to the creeks, and divert all flows exceeding 50% of the 2-year postdevelopment flows to Pond#2.

Creek 266 and Class B Watercourses

Creek 266 is a Class B watercourse crossing 184 Street, draining a total of 15 ha as shown in Figure 8.2. The area tributary to the creek is planned to develop as medium and low density cluster residential. Since the area slopes towards the ALR, the proposed lots west of 184 Street will be too low in elevation to be serviced by Pond#2. An outfall structure will be required at Creek 266 in order to provide gravity servicing for these lots. As part of the development process, developers will be required to secure any required right-of-ways to support the stormwater management system. To avoid any increase in flow to the creek, the 8 ha of upstream tributary area east of 184 Street will be rerouted to Pond#2. To help maintain baseflows within the upper portion of the creek, a low-flow diversion (peak outflow set to 50% of 2 year storm) will be installed on the proposed storm sewer on 184 Street.

Similarly, all remaining Class B streams within the study area that are adjacent to a storm sewer will have low-flow diversions regulated by an orifice. This will help to maintain creek baseflows while ensuring peak runoff greater than 50% of the 2-year peak flow will be conveyed to downstream storm sewers. Existing minor culvert crossings should be maintained to allow current surface runoff to contribute to baseflows.

8.2.7 Water Quality

Where flow diversions and storm outfalls discharge to a receiving water course, treatment to improve water quality will be required. The existing storm infrastructure consists mostly of roadside ditches, and creek channels collecting runoff from roads and forested areas. Under the ultimate conditions the source of the surface runoff will be urban, which may have lower water quality characteristics. Roadways accumulate hydrocarbons, sediments, and oils which are transported by runoff to receiving watercourses. The presence of these pollutants can negatively impact the health of the watershed, therefore water-quality improvements are recommended at all proposed flow diversions and outfalls. As a minimum, Oil-Grit Separators (OGS) should be installed where untreated runoff is entering a watercourse. OGS are in-ground structures usually designed to treat the "first-flush", the initial surface runoff, which typically contains the majority of contaminants from areas with large portions of impervious surfaces.

For the storm sewer discharging to Creek 283, a higher level of treatment may be required due to the size and proposed density of the contributing area. It is recommended that the water quality be further studied at this location to establish a baseline before development occurs. This will help to determine if an OGS will be sufficient to maintain water quality, or if a higher level of treatment is required at this location.

8.2.8 Hydraulic Model Analysis

The PCSWMM model was run using the West Clayton proposed land use conditions for the 2year, 5-year, and 100-year design storm events. Various durations of the design storms were run to identify the most critical. It was found that the model produced the highest peak flows under the 100-year 1-hour event, while producing the largest runoff volumes under the 100-year 24-hour event. Storm pipe sizes and flow diversion rates were therefore determined using the 100-year 1-hour storm.

The model results indicate that peak flows at the 8 outfall points are at or below predevelopment flow rates with the recommended drainage system improvements in place.

Outfall point 1 (to North Creek) flows to an existing 600mm storm sewer crossing Fraser Highway at 184 Street. Model results indicate the existing sewer is sufficiently sized to convey the 100year peak flow to North Creek.

Detention ponds are required to attenuate peak flows throughout the study area. The ponds have been sized sufficiently to control flows at outfall points 2 and 7 to pre-development levels and compensate for areas discharging uncontrolled to these outlets. The ponds also benefit areas discharging to outfall points1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 by reducing the overall contributing drainage area at each point, and accepting the flows that have been redirected. The ponds help mitigate the impact of development over the entire study area. Finally, outfall point 8 is a baseflow diversion installed to maintain flow to Latimer Creek. The peak flow is regulated by an orifice to allow low flows to drain to the creek while diverting remaining flows to the storm sewer.

Figure 8.5 shows the proposed drainage infrastructure network. The model results are summarized below for post development conditions, which includes active flow diversions and detention ponds. The peak flow at each outfall point is summarized in **Table 8.3** for the three design storms. A comparison of the pre-& post-development peak flows are also included.




Figure 8.5 Proposed Drainage Infrastructure

	Pre-Development			Post-Development				
Outfall Point	1:2 Year	1:5 Year	1:100 Year	1:2 Year	1:5 Year	1:100 Year	Design Criteria Achieved?	
			Peak Fl	ow (L/s)	•			
1 (North Creek)	278	394	719	214	289	527	Yes	
2 (Pond#1)*	457	648	1184	477	636	955	Yes	
3 (Creek 283)	643	913	1667	434	490	630	Yes	
4 (Creek 274)	219	310	567	110	136	247	Yes	
5	37	53	97	22	27	69	Yes	
6 (Creek 266)	125	178	324	86	102	156	Yes	
7 (Pond#2)*	533	757	1382	583	744	1056	Yes	
8 (Latimer)	24	34	62	18	20	23	Yes	

Table 8.3 Model Results: Peak Flow Exiting the NCP Area

*Results shown from the 24-hour duration storm event, which was the critical design storm at these outlets

Diversion Location	Diversion Type	Receiving Watercourse	Design Criteria 50% of 2-Year or 5-Year Pre-Dev	1:5 Year Post-Dev	1:100 Year Post-Dev	Orifice Size (mm)
			Реа	ak Flow (L/s)		
74 Ave/184 St.	Peak Flow Management	Creek 283	497	494	622	615
75 Ave/185 St.	Peak Flow Management	Creek 274	67	62	76	275
76 Ave west of 188 St.	Baseflow	76 Ave B Creek	122	31	33	150
78 Ave west of 188 St.	Baseflow	76 Ave B Creek	72	33	37	150
78 Ave. east of 184 St.	Baseflow	76 Ave B Creek	52	20	23	150
74 Ave/192 St.	Baseflow	Class B Ditch to Latimer Creek	26	14	17	150
78 Ave./184 St.	Baseflow	Creek 266	90	30	36	150
72 Ave. west of 188 St.	Baseflow	Class B Ditch	19	11	14	100

Table 8.4 Post Development Creek Base Flow Diversion Rates

Table 8.4 summarizes the peak flows that enter the creeks and ditches via the low-flow diversions that have been recommended to maintain baseflows. Since these diversions are only intended to provide low flow to the water courses, the outlets have been controlled by an orifice. In order to avoid concerns with erosion, the orifice at each diversion has been sized to limit the maximum allowable flow to the receiving watercourse's pre-development 5yr rates or 50% of the post-development 2-year rate. In most cases the baseflow rate well below the allowable flow.



8.3 PROPOSED SYSTEM

8.3.1 Stormwater Detention Ponds

The total NCP area is approximately 286 ha in size. Of this area, the detention ponds will directly service a total of 143 ha of developable land. It is important to note that as part of reviewing the proposed land use and Clayton ISMP detention pond locations, the recommended ponds C2 and C3 identified in the ISMP were combined into a consolidated "Pond#2" to reduce the land area required. The recommended pond C1's location was also modified as discussed below for "Pond#1".

Pond#1 has a tributary area of 71ha with and discharges to outfall point 2. An additional 36 ha of area can contribute flow to the pond through the flow diversion structure at 184 Street and 74 Avenue; the diversion is active for flows that exceed 50% of the 2 year storm. It is necessary to have a low release rate from this pond to compensate for peak flows from the 19 ha that are tributary to outfall point 2 but not serviced by the detention pond.

The previously recommended Pond#1 location on 72 Avenue east of 180 Street (Stage 1 Report, and Clayton ISMP) was modified upon review of the NCP land use plan. The 72 Avenue location posed several constraints, including limiting the drainage area served to areas adjacent to Fraser Highway, and limiting the size and type of facility to a dry pond, which does not provide water quality treatment. Since the receiving water course is a Class AO stream, which supports fish populations, maintaining high water quality from the pond is important. By relocating the site to the park at 74 Avenue and 180 Street, the area serviced by the pond can be increased, and the level of water quality treatment can be improved since there is enough space to provide a wet pond facility. Under the original Pond#1 location the majority of the NCP would be dependent on Pond#2, which would limit the amount of interim

development before incurring significant capital costs.

The proposed Pond#1 site poses a challenge since it is located within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR). The City currently owns the parcel of land, and has zoned it as a park. In order to construct a stormwater facility on the parcel, the City will apply to the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) on behalf of the development community for permission to use the land in the ALR for non-farm purposes, under Section 20(3) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act. If the application to the ALC is unsuccessful, the City should work with stakeholders to find an appropriate alternative.

Pond#2 has a tributary area of 72ha, and discharges to outfall point 7. An additional 8 ha of area can contribute flow to the pond through the diversion structure at 185 Street and 75 Avenue; the diversion is active for flows that exceed 50% of the 2 year storm. Pond#2 will outlet to a Class AO watercourse which is a roadway ditch on the south side of 80 Avenue. The ditch flows west to the ALR, crossing to the north side of 80 Avenue though an existing 600mm culvert east of the proposed pond, and a 450mm culvert crossing 184 Street. The pond will require a new road crossing as part of the outlet structure, with the alignment to be confirmed at the detailed design stage when the final siting has been selected.

Both ponds have been designed as wet pond facilities with a permanent pool depth of 1.5m to provide water quality treatment. They have been designed to attenuate the 2-year and 5year peak flows to pre-development levels at outfall points 2 and 7, respectively. The model calculated the required active storage volume of 24,500 m³ for Pond#1 and 14,200 m³ for Pond#2 under the 5-year 24-hour design storm to meet the level of service required by the City's Design Guidelines. Table 8.5 provides the details of each pond. In meeting the requirements to attenuate the 5year 24 hour design storm, the ponds have sufficient capacity to attenuate the 100-year 1hour storm event, which the storm sewers have been sized to convey. An overflow spillway will be designed as part of the outlet structure in case of a blocked outlet, or overloading due to consecutive storms. The spillway will be sized to convey the peak inflow from the 1:100year storm. The detention pond sizing provided below is based on City Design Criteria, using maximum side slopes of 1V:7H, and allowing for a minimum freeboard of 0.6m from the design high water level to the surrounding maintenance access path. The preliminary estimates are based on available site information, and will need to be confirmed at the detailed design stage.

Detention Pond	Pond #1	Pond #2
Location	74 Avenue and 180 Street	80 Avenue and 184 Street
Catchment Area (ha)	70.8	72.2
Active Storage Volume, 5-year 24-hour (m ³)	24,500	14,200
Maximum Active Depth 5-year 24-hour (m)	2.0	2.0
Approximate Pond Footprint (ha)	1.6	1.1
Approximate Site Footprint (ha)	2.3	1.5
Peak Inflow, 5-year 1-hour (m ³ /s)	2.019	1.786
Design Attenuation: Peak Outflow, 5- year 1-hour (m ³ /s)	0.177	0.284
Outlet Orifice Size (mm)	360	420
Emergency Spillway Capacity (equals peak 100-year inflow) (m ³ /s)	4.090	3.426

Table 8.5 Proposed Pond Details

8.3.2 Stormwater Infrastructure Phasing

Although some areas of the NCP are not directly serviced (i.e. connected to) the proposed stormwater detention ponds, those that are seeking to develop in the NCP area will need to arrange for the construction of these ponds. The developments within the area of the NCP that drain to outfall points 1, 2, 3, and 8 will need to arrange for the construction of Pond #1, given that Pond #1 seeks to over detain stormwater runoff from areas that are connected to the pond so that stormwater runoff does not need to be controlled from areas that are not directly connected to the pond.

The developments within the area of the NCP that drain to outfall points 4, 5, 6, and 7 will need to arrange for the construction of Pond #2, given that Pond #2 seeks to over detain stormwater runoff from areas that are directly connected to the pond so that stormwater runoff does not need to be controlled from areas that are not directly connected to the pond.

Had the servicing strategy sought to ensure that all areas are directly connected to a pond, several new ponds would need to be added which would substantially increase the cost to service the NCP in addition to reducing the amount of land available for development. As such, the proposed approach is considered the most cost effective approach to service the NCP area.

Figure 8.6 shows the areas that are directly connected to each detention pond, and the areas that drain uncontrolled but are dependent on the ponds to over detain runoff.

The following list summarizes the required sequence for constructing drainage infrastructure as West Clayton develops:

- 1) Construct Pond#1 at 74 Avenue and 180 Street
- 2) Construct the trunk sewer on 74 Avenue from Pond#1 to 184 Street
- 3a) Construct flow diversion structure at 74
 Avenue and 184 Street. Once completed,
 development can begin on 74 Avenue system
 between 184 Street and 192 Street.
- 3b) Construct trunk sewers on 182 Street, connecting with local drainage systems as development occurs.
- 3c) Construct trunk sewers on 184 Street, connecting with local drainage systems as development occurs.
- 4a) Construct outfall and low-flow diversion at 74 Avenue and 192 Street after 3a is completed.
- 4b) Construct outfall structure and local drainage system at 72 Avenue, east of 180 Street, after 3b is completed.
- 4c) Construct local drainage system and connect to existing sewers at 70 Avenue/185 Street after 3c is completed.
- 5) Construct Pond#2 at 80 Avenue and 184 Street.
- Construct trunk sewers on 80 Avenue, connecting with local drainage systems as development occurs.
- Construct trunk sewers on 184 Street, connecting with local drainage systems as development occurs.
- 8a) Construct outfall structure and local drainage system to Creek 266 (78 Avenue west of 184 Street).
- 8b) Construct diversion structure at 75 Avenue/185 Street and connect to Pond#2 system.
- 8c) Construct outfall structures and local drainage systems to Creek 274 (outfall point 4) and outfall point 5.




Figure 8.6 Stormwater Detention Pond Catchments

8.3.3 Best Management Practices (BMP) Strategy

The Clayton ISMP identifies that in order to manage stormwater within the watershed, a combination of on-lot BMPs for source control measures coupled with end-of-pipe detention ponds are necessary to mitigate negative impacts downstream from continued development.

Metro Vancouver's Source Control Design Guidelines are recommended to form the basis of the BMP strategy, with a target capture of 90% of the average annual rainfall. Site specific measures should be used to provide capacity to retain the 6-month 24-hour rainfall (equivalent to 72% of the 2-year 24-hour rainfall); for the Clayton area this means providing retention and infiltration sufficient to capture 46mm of rainfall in 24 hours.

There are many considerations that should be made when developing requirements for stormwater source controls, several of which are discussed below.

- All impermeable areas of the site should direct runoff over permeable areas to promote infiltration. Roof leaders should be disconnected from the storm sewer.
- On-lot infiltration devices will only work if they are maintained. It is particularly difficult for the City to monitor if residents are maintaining the on-lot infiltration devices within backyards. The City should require that infiltration devices be within the front yard building setback adjacent to the street right-of-way.
- Infiltration measures are site specific and require geotechnical investigations to verify soil conditions, infiltration capacity and local ground water table.

- The target percolation rate is a minimum of 1 mm/hr. Developers should provide an enhanced reservoir volume where percolation rates are found to be less than 1 mm/hr to prevent surface ponding while providing the necessary retention volume.
- Low-flow drains (very small diameter) are required from the infiltration system to the storm sewer for all sites where testing has shown the percolation rate to be less than 15 mm/hr to help prevent surface ponding from consecutive storms.
- All infiltration systems should have an overflow to the storm sewer (or ditch) system.
- Where inflow to a stormwater source control is a point discharge (as in a disconnected roof leader or curb cut), a transition area of clean crushed rock or rounded river rock should be used. This allows the flow to disperse and helps control erosion.
- Permeable utility trenches that intercept stormwater source controls should be sealed off with low permeability trench dams to prevent water from short-circuiting through the utility trench.
- Growing Medium (topsoil) should meet
 MMCD requirements for Topsoil and Finish
 Grading, with the following amendments to
 Table 2 of Section 32-91-21; the minimum
 saturated hydraulic conductivity should be
 70 mm/hr; and the minimum organic matter
 content should be 8% for lawns, and 15% for
 planting areas.

SECTION 8: DRAINAGE AND ENVIRONMENT

8

- Native on-site topsoil can be used as a growing medium provided that it is amended to meet the standard set for imported topsoil.
- Parking lots shall have vegetated curb-less islands set below pavement grade to provide bio-retention and conveyance of parking lot run-off.
- Urban forestry should be encouraged by requiring a minimum of 35% of canopy coverage at maturity.

8.3.4 On-Lot Stormwater Source Controls

A combination of on-lot source controls are recommended to achieve the retention and infiltration target for all parcels in the West Clayton study area. Infiltration areas should be sized with a minimum area equal to 10% of the lot, and provided with vegetative (grass, shrubs, trees) or organic (mulch, straw, wood fiber) cover. For RF-10 zoning, the porous landscaping required in the bylaw can be counted towards the source control requirements.

The maximum ratio of impervious area draining to pervious area for absorbent landscaping is 2:1, as per MV Design Guidelines. Lots where the ratio is greater than 2:1 should use a rain garden, or similar device with a constructed reservoir, in place of absorbent landscaping.

To achieve the retention/infiltration target of 46mm of rain within 24hrs, the City should permit the following stormwater source controls as sufficient to meet the requirements:

450mm of growing medium (topsoil)
 covered with absorbent landscaping on all
 pervious areas to provide infiltration; and

- all lot drainage is directed over an infiltration area; and
- all infiltration areas are provided with an overflow (surface route or pipe) to a storm sewer.

If the total impervious area (roofs, patios, driveways, etc.) is less than 67% of the lot area (i.e., less than 2:1 impervious to pervious ratio), the on-lot source control requirement is met if the above requirements are implemented. If the ratio of impervious area is greater, additional source controls with sufficient capacity to meet the target will be required, as calculated using <u>MV Source Control Design</u> <u>Guidelines</u>.

Additional Source Controls are:

- Driveway constructed of Porous Paving or Pervious Pavers
- □ Infiltration Dry Well (Soak-away Manhole)
- Infiltration Swale
- □ Rain Garden (with reservoir and underdrain)
- Green Roof

Figure 8.7 illustrates a 10% infiltration footprint for a typical small lot in the study area.





Figure 8.7 Typical RF-12 Lot with 10% Infiltration Footprint

8.3.5 Road Right-of-Way Stormwater Source Controls


The Clayton ISMP recommends the road rightof-ways have infiltration source controls with a contact area equal to 50% of the right-of-way. The City of Surrey should implement this requirement for arterial, collector, and local roads. Lanes should be exempt from the infiltration requirement due to the limited cross-sectional area. **Figure 8.8** shows the typical road cross sections in the NCP study area. BMPs are not shown on these figures; refer to **Figure 8.10** for a local road example with BMPs implemented.

Figure 8.8 Typical West Clayton Road Cross Sections



ARTERIAL ROADS e.g. 72nd Ave, Fraser Hwy

LOCAL ROADS e.g. 70 AVE, 70A AVE



e.g. 68 Ave, 188 St

e.g. 68 Ave, 188 St

With the implementation of porous sidewalks these road cross sections range from 33% pervious for an arterial road (with turning lane), to 48% pervious for a local road. To achieve the infiltration recommendation, some additional stormwater source controls will be required. The addition of a grassed boulevard with additional topsoil, or an infiltration swale would increase the pervious area sufficiently.

Where the cross section does not include a median, road surface drainage will need to be


directed to a stormwater source control, such as a rain garden, tree planter, or catch basin draining to an infiltration trench. Where a parking lane ends, typically before an intersection, a bulge can be installed containing a rain garden with absorbent landscaping. Moving the road catch basin into the bulge would allow for road surface drainage to infiltrate, instead of draining to a storm sewer. Another strategy is to use parking lanes constructed of pervious pavers set above an infiltration trench.



Figure 8.9 Local Road Section with Rain Garden in Parking Lane Bulge

An example showing porous sidewalks and rain garden source control within a parking bulge is shown in **Figure 8.9** and a detailed figure showing the BMP cross section is shown in **Figure 8.10**.

8



Recommended Right-of-Way Source Controls

To achieve the recommended 50% infiltration area within the road right-of-way, the following stormwater source controls may be considered (subject to City approval):

- □ Grassed boulevards with additional topsoil and infiltration;
- □ Trees in boulevards;
- □ Catch basins draining to infiltration trenches;
- Rain gardens with panel curbs to accept road surface runoff (as required); and
- Porous sidewalks (pervious concrete or porous paving) (as required).

8.3.6 Source Control Operations & Maintenance

BMPs such as absorbent landscaping, infiltration trenches, porous sidewalks, and pervious pavement require specific operation and maintenance requirements in order to function properly. As such, it will be necessary to perform regular inspections and upkeep on BMPs. **Table 8.6** summarizes the key BMPs identified and the associated O & M plan for each.

Stormwater source controls rely on appropriate maintenance for performance and longevity. The lifespan of source controls will vary with the type, design, and maintenance provided. Absorbent landscaping and growing medium methods (topsoil) rely on living systems, which can be maintained indefinitely through proper care. This involves regular weeding, aeration, fertilizing of lawns and planters, and replacing dead plants. Infiltration systems must be kept clear of debris which can clog the system and reduce permeability. The primary sources of clogging are sediment and vegetative litter, the accumulation of which are site specific, therefore appropriate design will be crucial for longevity, followed by maintenance. Preventive maintenance involves regular inspection and clearing of debris, which has been shown to

maintain and restore performance in many infiltration systems such as porous pavers and permeable concrete. Some loss of permeability can be expected but the proposed system will continue to function. Should the City determine that the permeability performance requires restoration in the future, US EPA studies suggest that rehabilitation costs can range from 15 to 20% of the initial cost.

Table 8.6 BMP Operation and Maintenance Schedule

BMP	Description	Maintenance Required When	Operation & Maintenance Action	Timeline
	Gravel-filled	• Standing water is visible in the	Catch basins and inlets to be inspected and cleaned.	Annually
Infiltration Trench	excavations that temporarily store stormwater	observation well for more than 48	• Ensure vehicles are not driven or parked on trench.	On-going
		hours after a rain event.Insects and/or odour problems	Avoid excessive compaction from equipment and mowers	On-going
		 develop. There is visible damage to the 	Remove debris from surface to maintain proper function.	Quarterly
		 trench (e.g. sinkholes). Trash, leaves, and other debris 	Repair any damages to trench.	As needed
	and allow it to drain into underlying soil.	 A read of the surface. Runoff is conveyed over and across the trench and not into the facility. 	 Provide temporary diversions and ensure trench is protected from sediments during construction phase. 	Construction Phase
	underlying som	the trenen and not into the facility.	Inspect cleanouts of perforated drains.	Quarterly
Pervious	Provide structure and stability while allowing runoff to	 Significant amounts of sediment have accumulated between the pavers. 	 Surface sweeping to be completed with a commercial vacuum sweeping unit. Less frequent maintenance plan than porous paving due to application area (walk ways, parking lanes) 	Annually
Pavers	infiltrate through to the ground surface.	 Ponding of water is visible on the 	Inspection to check surface conditions to determine if any remedial work is needed.	Annually
	Diverts runoff through a porous		 Avoid loading or placement of landscaping materials such as mulch, sand, or topsoil on porous paving. 	On-going
Porous Paving	concrete layer surface 48 hours after	 Standing water is visible on the surface 48 hours after a rain event. There is visible damage to the 	 Inspection of surface conditions to determine if there is uneven settling, water ponding, or potholes. 	Bi-annually
	underground gravel trench, gradually	 pavement (e.g. Sinkholes). Dirt, debris, or vegetation is present on the surface. 	 Surface vacuuming with commercial vacuum sweeping unit or pressure washing of clogged surfaces. 	Bi-annually
	infiltrating into the subsoil.		 Restrict use of de-icing chemicals and sand on porous paving. 	Winter periods
	Treats runoff by infiltration		Inspections to ensure required depths have been constructed throughout the construction phase.	Construction Phase
Absorbent Landscaping	through soil. Provides detention, and reduces peak flows.	 Vegetation is wilting or dying. Topsoil is exposed and/or being eroded. 	 Ensure areas of topsoil placement remain un- compacted during the construction phase. 	Construction Phase
	Stormwater basins that	 Vegetation is wilting or dying. 	Inspect vegetation of pond to ensure healthy growth.	Quarterly
Detention Pond	permanent pool for water quality.	Inspection of any erosion, flow channelization, bank stability, or sediment/debris accumulation.	Quarterly	
Pond	for water quality treatment and temporary runoff storage.	Undesirable species of plants or insects are present.	 Pond to be drained and sediment to be removed from forebay. 	Every 7 to 10 years

8.4 COSTS AND FINANCING

8.4.1 Stormwater Servicing Cost Estimate

The major drainage system encompasses creeks, ponds, collector ditches, culverts, and trunk sewers which service an area of 20 ha or larger. The minor drainage system includes minor ditches, water crossings, and storm sewers.

Table 8.7 summarizes Class 'D' cost estimates for the construction of the proposed stormwater trunk servicing strategy for the West Clayton NCP that are considered DCC eligible. This includes all drainage infrastructure (detention ponds, water quality upgrades, sewers, etc.) that serves an area equal to or greater than 20 ha in size.

The City of Surrey provided 2016 unit rates for storm sewers which are dependent on the existing road classification. To estimate cost of the detention pond costs, the City also provided land acquisition costs of \$600,000 per acre (\$1,482,600 per hectare) for Pond#1 and \$465,000 per acre (\$1,150,300 per hectare) for Pond#2.

8.4.2 BMP Cost Estimate

The Clayton ISMP established a BMP implementation plan to be carried out as part of the development process within the Clayton watershed. This BMP plan, in summary, includes:

- Each lot convey its runoff to infiltration devices with a minimum area equal to 10% of the lot area;
- The road right-of-way shall have infiltration devices with an area equal to 50% of the right-of-way;
- A minimum of 450mm of top soil is required on all pervious areas; and
- Stormwater detention facilities are to be constructed.

The Class 'D' cost estimate per unit lot (based on a standard small lot 12m x30m size) to construct these BMPs is estimated at \$3,300 per lot. The cost was calculated as the difference between implementing BMPs and constructing traditional works. The estimate includes BMPs to be implemented within the road right-of-way as well as in the 10% lot frontage.

Figure 8.11 illustrates the proposed major drainage trunk system that is DCC eligible

Table 8.7 Stormwater Trunk Servicing Class 'D' Cost Estimate

em No.	Description	Existing Road Class	Unit	Unit Price	Quantity	Cost
1	Pond 1 Related Storm Sewers*				<u>I</u> I	
1.01	Storm Sewer, 675mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$2,475	411	\$1,018,1
1.02	Storm Sewer, 750mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$2,650	58	\$152,7
1.03	Storm Sewer, 600mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$1,611	534	\$859,7
1.04	Storm Sewer, 675mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$1,782	209	\$372,0
1.05	Storm Sewer, 750mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$1,908	360	\$686,8
1.06	Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$2,439	623	\$1,519,0
1.07	Storm Sewer, 1200mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$2,925	42	\$123,
					Sub-Total =	\$4,732,
2	Water Quality Infrastructure in P	ond 1 Catchment Area				
2.01	Diversion Structure c/w large Oil-G	rit Separator	each	\$92,000	1	\$92,
				12% Engineering and	15% Contingency	\$24
					Sub-Total =	\$116,
3	Detention Pond #1**					
3.01	Land Requirement		ha	\$1,482,600	2.3	\$3,409,
3.02	Excavation Volume		cu.m.	\$100	24,500	\$2,450,
		I	40% Engineer	ing, Administration, and	d Contingency***	\$980,
					Sub-Total =	\$6,839,
4	Pond 2 Related Storm Sewers*					
4.01	Storm Sewer, 675mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$2,475	388	\$960,
4.02	Storm Sewer, 750mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$2,650	347	\$919,
4.03	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$3,013	151	\$455,
4.04	Storm Sewer, 1200mm dia.	Arterial	lin.m.	\$4,063	18	\$71
4.05	Storm Sewer, 600mm dia.	Collector	lin.m.	\$1,790	163	\$291,
4.05						
4.06	Storm Sewer, 750mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$1,908	117	\$222,
	Storm Sewer, 750mm dia. Storm Sewer, 900mm dia.	Local Local	lin.m. lin.m.	\$1,908 \$2,169	117 23	
4.06						\$222, \$48 \$794,
4.06 4.07	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia.	Local	lin.m.	\$2,169	23	\$48 \$794,
4.06 4.07	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia.	Local Local	lin.m.	\$2,169	23 326	\$48
4.06 4.07 4.08	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia.	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area	lin.m.	\$2,169	23 326	\$48 \$794,
4.06 4.07 4.08 5	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area	lin.m. lin.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439	23 326 Sub-Total = 1	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27
4.06 4.07 4.08 5	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area	lin.m. lin.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000	23 326 Sub-Total = 1	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27 \$7
4.06 4.07 4.08 5	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area	lin.m. lin.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000	23 326 Sub-Total = 1 15% Contingency	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27 \$7
4.06 4.07 4.08 5 5.01	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P Baseflow Diversion Structure c/w C	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area	lin.m. lin.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000	23 326 Sub-Total = 1 15% Contingency	\$48 \$794, \$3,764,
4.06 4.07 4.08 5 5.01 6	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P Baseflow Diversion Structure c/w C Detention Pond #2**	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area Dil-Grit Separator	lin.m. lin.m. each ha cu.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000 12% Engineering and \$1,150,300 \$100	23 326 Sub-Total = 1 15% Contingency Sub-Total = 1.5 14,200	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27 \$7 \$34 \$1,725,
4.06 4.07 4.08 5 5.01 6 6.01	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P Baseflow Diversion Structure c/w C Detention Pond #2** Land Requirement	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area Dil-Grit Separator	lin.m. lin.m. each ha cu.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000 12% Engineering and \$1,150,300	23 326 Sub-Total = 1 15% Contingency Sub-Total = 1.5 1.5 14,200 d Contingency***	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27 \$7 \$34 \$1,725, \$1,420, \$568,
4.06 4.07 4.08 5 5.01 6 6.01	Storm Sewer, 900mm dia. Storm Sewer, 1050mm dia. Water Quality Infrastructure in P Baseflow Diversion Structure c/w C Detention Pond #2** Land Requirement	Local Local ond 2 Catchment Area Dil-Grit Separator	lin.m. lin.m. each ha cu.m.	\$2,169 \$2,439 \$27,000 12% Engineering and \$1,150,300 \$100	23 326 Sub-Total = 1 15% Contingency Sub-Total = 1.5 14,200	\$48 \$794, \$3,764, \$27 \$7 \$34

*	= Unit prices for sewers based on the City's 2016 unit prices and include 12% engineering and 15% contingency allowances.
**	= Pipes are required for detention pond inlet and outlets.
***	= Higher engineering and contingency rate due to ponds having higher tender variation, landscaping and planting works.





Figure 8.11 Proposed Major Drainage Trunk System (DCC Eligible)




SECTION 9 Sanitary Sewer

0

153.4



-



SECTION 9: Sanitary Sewer

9.1 EXISTING SEWER SERVICING

9.1.1 Existing Sewer System

The West Clayton neighbourhood area generally slopes to the northwest and there is no existing sanitary sewer infrastructure in this area to service the NCP. All of the existing lots are large / rural residential and are currently serviced by individual septic fields. The residential and commercial properties to the east (East Clayton) are serviced by the City's sewer system, but this system does not have the capacity to service the NCP area nor does the topography permit such a servicing strategy.

At present the City has limited sanitary sewer infrastructure in the adjacent area. The City's sanitary sewers closet to the NCP area are:

- 1050mm diameter sanitary sewer on 177A Street alignment (south of Fraser Highway) at 72 Avenue;
- 2. 300mm sanitary sewer on 182 Street at south side of Fraser Highway; and
- 300mm sanitary sewer on 184 Street, at 70 Avenue (north of Fraser Highway).

All of the City's existing sewer systems in this area drain into the City's North Cloverdale Lift Station at 176 Street (Highway 15) and 68A Avenue. The lift station pumps sewage south through 740m long - 350mm and 400mm diameter HDPE forcemains on 176 Street, which discharges to a City 600mm diameter PVC gravity trunk sewer at 65A Avenue and continues to flow south to Metro Vancouver's Cloverdale Trunk Sewer on 56 Avenue (Highway 10).

Figure 9.1 illustrates the City's existing sanitary sewer system in the West Clayton NCP area and downstream.

9.1.2 Previous Studies

Several background reports and studies on the City's sanitary sewer system have been completed for this area, and these studies have been reviewed to further understand the existing sewer system and potential servicing strategies that have already been evaluated. Background reports include:

- Dayton & Knight (2005) Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Conveyance Study
- Earth Tech (2007) 184 Street, North of Fraser Highway, Sanitary Servicing Study
- RF Binnie (2011) Preliminary Design of the City's North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer (178 Street / 72 Avenue to 188 Street).




Figure 9.1 West Clayton Existing Sanitary Sewer System

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

Key recommendations from the previous studies are summarized below.

<u>North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer – Cloverdale</u> Trunk Sewer Conveyance Study, June 2005

The City had previously commissioned Dayton and Knight (D&K) to complete a sanitary servicing strategy for the North Cloverdale area, which encompasses West Clayton NCP and areas to the northeast. D&K evaluated potential servicing strategies for the area, including the assessment of the City's downstream North Cloverdale Lift Station and subsequent discharge infrastructure up to Metro Vancouver's Cloverdale Trunk Sewer (CTS).

The key recommendation from the D&K study was a North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer (NCTS) to service the Clayton / Cloverdale area. The sewer will extend from the existing 1050 mm diameter trunk sewer on 72 Avenue and 177A Street, across Fraser Highway and run along the toe of slope to the intersection of 188 Street and 80 Avenue. From there, lateral trunk sewers would extend to the upper reaches of the West Clayton NCP and future "Northern Clayton NCP" area. The previous D&K study also evaluated downstream servicing options as to whether the North Cloverdale Lift Station (NCLS) will require upsizing and forcemain twinning, or if the station could be removed and a deep trunk sewer installed on 176th Street from 69 Avenue to the Metro Vancouver's CTS on 56 Avenue.

In 2011 RF Binnie completed preliminary design of the City's NCTS (from 72 Avenue and 177A Street) to 188 Street and 80 Avenue. The primary objectives of the design were to: confirm trunk sewer alignment, sewer flows, trunk sizing based on topography, property requirements, environmental permits, risks and a more accurate cost estimate.

Fraser Highway and 184 Street Pocket

In 2007 the City had previously commissioned Earth Tech (now AECOM) to complete a sanitary servicing study of the area around 184 Street, north of Fraser Highway. Findings from the study concluded that the area around 184 Street and north of Fraser Highway (within the West Clayton NCP) could be serviced by either:

- Gravity flow through lateral sewers in the NCP which will ultimately drain into the future North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer; OR
- Gravity flow through the local sewer system that drains south across Fraser Highway and into the existing sewer system in Cloverdale.

The former servicing option was deemed more cost effective; however the latter approach (more costly) may be more favourable from a development timing approach, subject to the time of other pending developments in approved NCP's (i.e. East Clayton).

9.1.3 Future Servicing

To develop the sewer servicing strategy for the NCP area it is important to assess the NCP requirements as well as consider the long-term servicing plan for the existing surrounding area and future developments or NCP's that will contribute to the same downstream infrastructure, namely the North Cloverdale Lift Station and the City's Cloverdale Trunk Sewer. The overall servicing strategy prepared for the NCP takes into consideration the entire contributing area.

West Clayton NCP Area

The West Clayton NCP land use plan forms the basis of the engineering servicing analysis.

Future "North Clayton" NCP

The future North Clayton NCP is the 263 hectare area located east of 188 Street and north of 74 Avenue. The topography of the future NCP area ranges from 28m to 62m, and slopes downward in a northern direction. Most of the future NCP can be serviced, by gravity, by extending the NCTS east of 188 Street; while the remainder of the future NCP may require a small lift station to convey sewage up to the trunk sewer.

Population and catchment area estimates from the future "North Clayton" NCP should be incorporated into the peak flow estimates for the NCTS. Population estimates, sewage flow projections and the respective allocation of flows from the future NCP are discussed in further detail in Section 9.2.2.

Surrounding Cloverdale Area

As shown in Figure 9.1, the sewer infrastructure downstream of the NCP also conveys flows from existing areas of Cloverdale. As the existing area develops, re-develops and adds secondary suites to single-family properties there will be an increase in peak sewage flows and as such the capacity of the North Cloverdale Lift Station, forcemain, and downstream trunk sewer needs to service the ultimate demand conditions from the West Clayton NCP + future "North Clayton" NCP + build-out of the surrounding area. The future sanitary sewer flow projections for the surrounding Cloverdale area are discussed in Section 9.2.2.

9.2 DESIGN CRITERIA AND ANALYSIS

9.2.1 Design Criteria

The City of Surrey Design Criteria Manual has been used as the servicing criteria for the preparation of this NCP. Summarized below are the key sewer design criteria applicable to the NCP:

Sewage Flow Rates

- □ Average daily sanitary flows of 350 L/cap/day
- Peaking factor as per Harmon's formula
- Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) allowance rate of 11,200 L/ha/day

Sewer Capacity / Sizing

- Manning's "n" of 0.013 for all pipes (local and trunk);
- Sewers designed to convey Peak Wet Weather Flow (PWWF);
- □ Local Sewers are those with a PWWF ≤ 40
 L/s, and peak flow depth shall not exceed 50% of internal diameter (d_{peak}/d_{full} ≤ 0. 50) which translates to a peak flow versus pipe capacity ratio of 50% (Q_{peak}/Q_{full} < 0.50)
- □ Trunk Sewers are those with a PWWF > 40
 L/s, and peak flow depth shall not exceed
 70% of internal diameter (d_{peak}/d_{full} ≤ 0. 70)
 which translates to a peak flow versus pipe
 capacity ratio of 83.5% (Q_{peak}/Q_{full} ≤ 0.835)

- Pipe slopes should be greater than 0.5%, with exception to uppermost pipes which may require 0.6% to 1.0% slope;
- Pipe slopes may be less than 0.5% if a minimum velocity of 0.6 m/s at 70% of PDWF is achieved.

Low Pressure Sewers

- Q = 0.008xP + 2.10 where Q (flow) is expressed in L/s and P is population (per lot);
- □ Minimum velocity in LPS = 0.6 m/s
- Maximum Total Dynamic Head in LPS = 35 m

<u>Lift Stations</u>

- Pumps sized to convey PWWF at Total
 Dynamic Head (TDH) with a standby
 pump;
- □ Minimum pump efficiency of 70%
- Discharge forcemain Hazen Williams friction coefficient C=120, as well as design to ensure no pump over-runs with a C=140;
- Minimum forcemain velocity of 1.0 m/s and maximum of 2.4 m/s for short forcemains.
 Preferred maximum velocity is in the range of 1.5 – 1.8 m/s;

9.2.2 Population Projections

The West Clayton NCP will impact the downstream sewer system, and so will flows from the future "North Clayton" NCP and portions of Clayton-Cloverdale that are already serviced by the downstream sewer system. Three land-use horizon / population scenarios have been identified for further engineering analysis in order to determine the impact of the development of the West Clayton NCP on the downstream system, and develop a long-range servicing strategy that allows for future development plans. The three conditions can be summarized as:

- Committed Condition: Existing Clayton Cloverdale Area, downstream to 56 Avenue
- Interim Condition: Existing Clayton Cloverdale Area + West Clayton NCP.
- Long-Range Condition: Existing Clayton Cloverdale Area (including densification) + West Clayton NCP + North Clayton NCP

Described below are the assumptions used to estimate populations and peak sewage flows from the various areas, for these three (3) landuse horizons / conditions.

<u>#1 Committed Condition: Existing Clayton –</u> <u>Cloverdale Area</u>

The first condition represents the existing population scenario and was used to verify the capacity of the existing sewer system and potential excess capacity to service the NCP. The existing sewer system downstream of the NCP services areas of Cloverdale, between 74 Avenue and 56 Avenue, from 164 Street to 192 Street. The sewer system and catchment area downstream of the NCP is shown on Figure 9.1.

Populations for the existing serviced areas were generated based on the current zoning designations for parcels that have an existing sewer service. The City's 2004 Engineering Design Criteria Manual has an estimated rate of 2.8 residents for single-family houses in Cloverdale, which has become an underestimate due to changing household demographics and the addition of secondary suites. From the City's Sanitary Sewer Model (AECOM 2010), actual densities for Single Family residences in Cloverdale were 3.44 people / unit, of which 12.5% had secondary suites with an additional unit rate of 1.76 people/suite. By the time the West Clayton NCP develops, it is predicted the population density will be 3 to 4% higher than existing; therefore a combined density of 3.77 people per single-family residence was used in the analysis of the committed condition. This density was derived through discussions with City Engineering and Planning and Development staff.

<u>#2 Interim Condition: Existing Clayton –</u> <u>Cloverdale Area + West Clayton NCP</u>

For this land-use condition / scenario, the population estimates for the existing serviced areas remained unchanged from Condition #1 noted above. However, sewage flows as the result of development of the West Clayton NCP area was included in this condition. Peak sanitary flows for the West Clayton NCP land-use were generated using parcel size and zoning densities, with equivalent populations for commercial and institutional (IC) land uses, as outlined in *"Table 2.6 (b) of the City's Engineering Design Criteria Manual"*.

The following summarizes the population densities used to estimate the populations within the West Clayton NCP:

- Single Family with Secondary Suite Density of 5.33 people per lot (3.56 people in main dwelling + 1.77 people in Suite);
- Single Family Household Size of 3.56 people per dwelling;

- Townhouse Household Size of 2.69 people per unit;
- Apartment Household Size of 1.43 people per unit;
- Commercial: 60 people / ha;
- Mixed use Commercial / Residential: 196 people / ha;
- Institutional (school and child care facilities):
 50 people / ha; and,
- Recreational: 50 people / ha

The total population including secondary suites and institutional/commercial equivalent is 21,792 for the NCP area. This population and the NCP area is summarized in Table 9.1. The values shown in the table are rounded to the nearest whole number.

Area	Land-Use	Population Equiv.	Gross Land-Area (ha)	Average Density (people/gross area)
	Residential	20,379	125	163
	Institutional, Commercial	1,413	20	69
West Clayton NCP	Creeks, Parks, ALR buffers, Wildlife Corridors, Forest Preservation, and SWM Ponds	0	74	0
	Road ROWs (Approx.)	0	66	0
Total		21,792	286	76

Table 9.1 – West Clayton NCP Population Summary

<u>#3 Long Range Condition: Existing Area + West</u> <u>Clayton NCP + North Clayton NCP + OCP</u>

The long-range condition represents the build out of the OCP, or full saturation, condition, which includes development of the West Clayton and future "North Clayton" NCP areas. In addition, the OCP condition includes infill, redevelopment, densification and secondary suites for all single-family parcels amongst the existing service areas throughout Clayton-Cloverdale.

Future "North Clayton" NCP

It is anticipated that the land-use within the future "North Clayton" NCP will be very similar to that proposed for West Clayton NCP, and likewise similar to the East Clayton NCP area. To estimate the potential future population, and sewage flows, for the future "North Clayton" NCP the average population density of 100 people equivalents per gross land hectare was used (derived from West Clayton NCP with a 15% growth factor). This approach is reasonable if the future "North Clayton" NCP has a similar percentage of landarea designated for parks, creeks, riparian areas and road right-of-ways. This approach also ensures that the future "North Clayton" NCP population allowance incorporates secondary suites for all single-family lots, with the assumption that the ratio of single family to multi-family population remains relatively similar to the West Clayton NCP.

The future "North Clayton" NCP was divided into three large catchment areas, for ease of assigning the sewage flow allowances into the West Clayton NCP servicing strategy at specific locations based on topography and probable servicing strategy. The locations of these three (3) "discharge points" from North Clayton into the West Clayton sewer network are shown graphically on Figure 9.2. Population estimates / allowances and the contributing catchment area(s) for the future "North Clayton" NCP, divided into each of the three large catchments, are summarized in Table 9.2.

Table 9.2 – Future "North Clayton" NCP Population Allowance

	Catchment Area Drains To "Node"	Average Density (people/gross area)	Gross Land- Area (ha)	Population Equivalent
	E06	100 people/ha	45.4	4,540
Future "North Clayton" NCP	J06	100 people/ha	13.4	1,340
	Т50	100 people/ha	202.2	20,227
Total		100 people/ha	261	26,107

OCP Infill / Re-Development of Existing Areas

In the long-range, the existing serviced areas outside the NCP designated areas will likely redevelop to a slightly higher density, up to the maximum density permitted by the zoning bylaw. In addition, over the next 50+ years it is expected that all single-family dwellings will have secondary suites. Under this condition, the population and sewage flows from the existing serviced areas outside the NCP's was increased to account for secondary suites, development of vacant parcels and subdivision of residential parcels to the City's Zoning Bylaw.

9.2.3 Sanitary Servicing Strategy and Options

The preliminary design alignment (RF Binnie, 2011) for the City's NCTS was used as the base for the overall strategy to service the West Clayton NCP area by gravity flow for the majority of the area. The alignment was adjusted, based on the latest land use and road network layout layer provided by the City (December 2014), to follow the rights-of-way in areas that were not serviced by a road. Provision for future development northeast of the NCP area (east of 188 Street and north of 74 Avenue) was included in the servicing strategy for the NCP because once this area develops it will ultimately be serviced by the NCTS. For the area around Fraser Highway, from 184 Street to 186 Street, the potential exists to service portions of the NCP via existing sewers on the south side of Fraser Highway.

Figure 9.2 outlines the conceptual layout of the future NCTS along with the proposed sanitary sewer network through the NCP. This figure also shows the future "North Clayton" NCP area and how it can be serviced by the sewers within West Clayton, including where the allowance of additional flows from the future "North Clayton" NCP were allocated into the sanitary sewer analysis.

PART B - ENGINEERING SERVICING




Figure 9.2 Proposed Sanitary Infrastructure Network

The elevation of the NCTS, and hence gravity servicing for the most of NCP, is governed by the invert elevation (6.15m geodetic) of the existing 1050mm diameter sanitary sewer near 177A Street and 72 Avenue alignment. From this invert the NCTS slopes upwards in a northeastern direction and the sewer alignment follows the 11m contour, eventually reaching an invert of 10m +/- at 184 Street and approximately 12m at 188 Street (NE edge of the NCP). In general, this means all properties above the 10 to 12m contour can be serviced by gravity whereas properties below the 10 to 12m contour will require a low-pressure sewer (pumped service).

NCP Area (Gravity Catchments)

For the NCP, the sewer connections from the development to the NCTS have been concentrated at a number of locations to minimize the number of direct connections into the trunk sewer. The location of each connection is determined based on the topography and proposed road network, and the goal of maximizing the extent of the upstream catchment area that can be serviced by a gravity sewer without any right-of-way being required. However, there are a few catchment areas (161, 171, 172 and 174) located north of 78 Avenue as shown on Figure 9.2 that do not have a right-of-way or road/lane access. These areas adjacent to the NCTS can drain by gravity to the trunk sewer area assuming that a right-of-way is established or a private tie-in is allowed.

These local sewers follow the road network developed as part of the land use plan. In the vicinity of 72 Avenue the NCTS crosses the existing residential parcels and as such a rightof-way will be required before the NCTS can be extended east to service the NCP.

NCP Area (Non-Gravity Catchments)

Properties north/northwest of the NCTS alignment are below the invert of the proposed trunk sewer and will require pumping into the NCTS for servicing. As shown on the NCP land use plan, the northwestern portion of the NCP, at the toe of the slope / lowlands, is identified as low-density residential parcels transected by a series of creeks and riparian setbacks - this land-use establishes a buffer between the NCP and ALR. Because of the low density and segregated nature of the parcels due to creeks, the most cost effective servicing strategy for these low lying areas would be a low pressure sewer (LPS) where each property has their own private sewage pump rather than multiple larger, municipal pump stations.

The LPS system operates with individual private pumps discharging into a common City forcemain, which then connects into the NCTS. The servicing objective includes minimizing the number of LPS forcemains and direct connections to the trunk sewer, for odour control and operation/maintenance reasons.

Figure 9.2 also illustrates the areas that are identified to be serviced via a LPS system. The area around 80 Avenue and 184 Street can be serviced by a common forcemain on these fronting roads, whereas the other small LPS pockets may require a few different, short length, municipal forcemains.

<u> 182 Street – 186 Street and Fraser Highway</u> <u>Pocket</u>

For the NCP pocket area north of Fraser Highway, between 182 Street and 186 Street, the previous Earth Tech strategy was reevaluated in consultation with City Engineering Staff and with consideration of pending development applications in approved NCP's. The long term strategy for this area is recommended to flow westerly to the NCTS trunk sewer (as shown on Figure 9.2) except for a portion (sanitary catchments 1 to 5) between 184 Street and 186 Street that will flow south via gravity flow in the sanitary sewer along 184 Street.

74 Avenue, between 188 Street and 194 Street

The area along 74 Avenue, west of 192 Street, slopes westerly towards the center of the West Clayton NCP. However, the segment of 74 Avenue between 192 Street and 194 Street is flat and generally slopes north and northwest towards the future "North Clayton" NCP area.

At the present time, the NCP area includes the fronting properties along the south side of 74 Avenue but not those on the north side that are also fronting 74 Avenue. For the purposes of the servicing strategy, the catchment area contributing to a future sewer on 74 Avenue will likely include the properties fronting 74 Avenue, from the south, and a small portion of those on the north side which would be the immediate fronting parcels once the properties subdivide. Refer to Figure 9.2 for an illustration of the catchment area extents for the portion of the lots on the north side of 74 Avenue that were assumed will be ultimately connected to the 74 Avenue sewer.

Upon a preliminary review of the topography along the 74 Avenue alignment, the potential exists to service the area east of 192 Street through the NCP via a deep sanitary sewer. A 250mm gravity sewer draining west along 74 Avenue, starting east of 194 Street and sloping at minimal grade until west of 192 Street. As per the City's Engineering Design Criteria:

- The terminal section of sewer servicing 6 houses or less shall be at 1.0%;
- □ The sewer between the 7th and 12th house connection can be at 0.6%;
- Minimum slope, for sewers with more than 12 connections, shall be 0.5%;

If the area east of 19350 block is developed for "slab on grade" houses, the sanitary sewer would start at a depth of 1m and result in maximum depths of 4 – 5m over a 300m length. This is still above the City's design criteria however local re-grading around 192 Street at the time of development could result in this depth being reduced further to 3.5 to 4m range.

Figure 9.3 illustrates the potential sewer profile along 74 Avenue, between 188 Street and the 19450 block, for this recommended strategy. Upon review of a detailed topographic survey of the area, if the sewer segment along 74 Avenue does exceed the 3.5m depth for an extended length, a second sewer at a shallower depth ("header pipe") may be required to reduce the depth of service connections to the lots fronting the deep sewer segment.




Figure 9.3 – Potential Sewer Profile along 74 Avenue

If the area east of 19350 block desired belowgrade basement suites it would require a sewer depth of 2.4m at 194 Street which translates to a depth of 6 to 7m (using the above design criteria) along 74 Avenue, for a 300m long segment. Even with local re-grading, within maximum limits authorized by the City, the sewer depth would be well beyond the City's design criteria maximum depth of 3.5m and over a considerable length; as a result servicing basements by gravity is not a viable option for the properties east of 19350 block. Figure 9.2 highlights those properties along 74 Avenue which below-ground basements cannot be achieved, subject to confirmation with a detailed topographic survey.

As an alternate approach, if the extension area east of 19350 block prefers to develop with below-grade basement suites then it would require servicing to the north through the future "North Clayton" NCP.

Future "North Clayton NCP"

For the future "North Clayton NCP" (north of 74 Avenue and east of 188 Street), it is unknown at this time when the area will develop. The NCTS sizing and preliminary design is based on flow contribution from this future NCP. Figure 9.2 illustrates the anticipated servicing locations for the future "North Clayton" NCP, as discussed previously in Section 9.2.2.

East Clayton Transit Oriented Area

A part of East Clayton along the north side of Fraser Highway between 188 Street and proposed 192 Street Diversion is planned as a transit oriented area with land uses allowing for higher densities; a total population of 2,626 is estimated for this area. The sanitary catchment area is divided into three outlets: west to188 Street, southeast to 192 Street and east to 65 Avenue. Only the catchment area (estimated

population of 1,110) with the outlet to the west along the sanitary sewer on 188 Street will contribute flow the NCLS. A sanitary flow of 17.85 L/s, estimated by others were provided by the City for inclusion into the model. Due to the location of this area with respect to the NCLS, the peaking factor would be lower than the value of 3.77 as calculated for the local sewer; the peaking factor is calculated at 2.17. The peak wet weather flow from this area expected at the NCLS is 10.7 L/s. The portion of the transit oriented area that contributes flow into the NCLS is shown in Figure 9.1.

9.2.4 Hydraulic Analysis within the NCP

Peak flow rates from the West Clayton NCP were generated using the Harmon Peaking Factor and spreadsheet based approach, and then used to size the sewer infrastructure. Lengths for the sewer system were estimated using GIS and the latest land-use plan. The City's 1m contours of the ground elevations were used to estimate pipe invert elevations, and subsequently the pipe slopes. For the NCTS, the pipe diameters and slopes from the RF Binnie preliminary design drawings were used and confirmed through the hydraulic analysis.

Table 9.3 summarizes the estimated populations, peak wet weather flows (PWWF's) and proposed sewer sizes for the proposed servicing strategy for the sewers within the NCP. Flow rate calculations for LPS properties are based on the City's design criteria, which represents a constant peak pumping rate that incorporates I&I allowance. Table 9.4 summarizes the estimated peak wet weather flows (PWWF's) and sewer sizes for the proposed North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer as it parallels the NCP.

9.2.5 Analysis of Downstream Sewer System

As shown in Figure 9.2, sewage flows from the NCP Areas will enter the City's existing sewer system at the 1050mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer on 72 Avenue alignment (south of Fraser Highway) at 177A Street. From this location, sewage flows will be conveyed through the City's existing sewer system to the City's NCLS, from where the sewage is pumped via twin 350mm and 400mm diameter forcemains into a 600 mm diameter, gravity trunk sewer near 65A Avenue and continues to Metro Vancouver's Cloverdale Trunk Sewer (refer to Figure 9.1). A pocket of catchments (1 to 5) north of Fraser Highway between 184 Street and 188 Street will be conveyed through the existing sewer on 184 Street to the NCLS.

The West Clayton NCP will increase peak flows through the City's sewer system. An analysis of the downstream sewer system (refer to Figure 9.1) was completed utilizing peak flows generated from the NCP (Tables 9.3 and 9.4) coupled with the City's recently developed InfoSWMM hydraulic computer model of the downstream sewer system and flows from Clayton-Cloverdale. The InfoSWMM sewer model includes a pipe-by-pipe computer model of the City's sewer system and it includes flows rates from all properties, on a lot-by-lot basis / allocation. Figure 9.4 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Committed Condition". This figures depicts the peak flow and pipe capacity, and is colour coded based on the d/D ratio. As shown on this figure, there is only 96m of downstream sewer segments which do not currently achieve the desired d/D ratio. The sewer segments are mainly a short section of 750mm sewer on 60 Avenue, immediately west of 176 Street. The NCLS will need to be upgraded to convey a design flow of 202 L/s.

Figure 9.5 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Interim Condition" with the – which represents peak flows from current service parcels plus the addition of the West Clayton NCP. As shown on this figure, there is 1.6 km of sewer segments that do not meet the City's design criteria for peak flows / depths. The NCLS will also need to be upgraded to convey a design flow of 460 L/s for this scenario.

Figure 9.6 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Long Range Condition" – which represents peak flows from OCP build-out plus the addition of the West Clayton and future "North Clayton" NCP's. As shown on this figure, there is 2.1 km of sewer segments that do not meet the City's design criteria for peak flows / depths – all of which are on the Cloverdale Trunk Sewer downstream of the lift station forcemain discharge. The NCLS will need to be upgraded to convey the ultimate design flow of 735 L/s.

Table 9.5 summarizes the downstream sewers that have been identified as not being able to achieve the City's design criteria for any of the above three land-use horizons/conditions.

Table 9.3 West Clayton Sewer Flows and Sizing Range for Long Range Condition

Loca	itions	la serie de la	-	1	100	0	-	S	ubcatchments	Contraction of the	Arm		Contraction of the local division of the loc	-	-	and the second second	Pipe Paramele	rs	at an a second second
	e No.	Pipe	Branch	Eq. Pop. Bensity	Ansa	Acoum. Area	Poplo			Peak Factor	Reals dry Weather Flow	Inflow & Infiltration	Peak Wet Weather Flow	Length	Diameter	Slope			Actual Flow Velocity
From	Τœ	ID	Branch	PopDans	A	a.	Pop	Pop	ADWF	Ea	PDWF	- Que	u~	ī	D		0	Qn Qm	Via
MH	MH	ID	Graner	(pep/ha)	(Ha)		Top	1-1-22	(L/s)	10	(L/s)	(L/6)	(L/6)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(L/s)		(m/s)
A04	A03	117		299.1	1.95	1.9	583	583	2.4	3.9	9,3	0,3	9,6	90	200	1.02%	33	29%	0.9
A03	A01	118		42314	1100	1.9		583	2.4	3.9	9,3	0.3	9.6	85	200	5.05%	74	13%	1.7
A11	A01	144	1	299.1	0.50	2.4	150	150	0.6	4.2	2.5	0.3	2.9	81	200	1.23%	36	8%	0.7
A01	A02	143		166.2	1.12	3.6	186	919	3.7	3.8	14.2	0.5	14.7	22	200	1.35%	38	39%	1,1
A02	A09	119				3.6		919	3.7	3.8	14.2	0.5	14.7	49	200	1.03%	33	44%	1.0
A09	A07	122		299.1	0.86	4.4	257	1,175	4.8	3.8	17.9	0.6	18.4	52	200	1.35%	38	48%	1.2
A08	A07	121				0.0		0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	64	200	8.38%	95	0%	0.7
A07	A06	41		166.2	0.43	4.9	71	1,246	5.0	3.7	18.9	0.6	19.5	139	200	2.01%	47	42%	1.4
A10	A06	44		294.0	1.37	1.4	402	402	1.6	4.0	6,5	0.2	6,7	128	200	1.17%	35	19%	0.9
A06	A05	43			-	6,2		1,648	6.7	3.6	24.4	0,8	25.2	11	200	4.02%	66	38%	1,9
B06	805	59		50.4	0.13	0.1	7	7	0.0	4.4	0,1	0.0	0.1	141	200	4.68%	71	0%	0.6
B08	B07	61		50.0	1.17	1.2	58	58	0.2	4.3	1,0	0.2	1.2	141	200	1.93%	46	3%	0.6
B07	805	62		254.8	1.75	2.9	446	504	2.0	4.0	8,1	0.4	8,5	167	200	1.14%	35	24%	0.9
B05	B04	60		50.0	1,80	4.8	90	600	2.4	3.9	9,6	0,6	10.2	649	200	2.07%	47	22%	1.2
B11	B10	40		206.7	0,79	0.8	163	163	0.7	4,2	2,8	0,1	2,9	201	200	3.16%	58	5%	0,9
B10	B09	42		294.0	1,16	1.9	341	504	2.0	4,0	8.1	0.3	8,4	148	200	0.61%	26	33%	0.7
B12	B09	36		206.7	0,91	0,9	187	187	0,8	4,2	3,2	0,1	3,3	203	200	2.44%	51	6%	0,9
B09	B04	35		299.1	1.45	4,3	435	1,126	4,6	3,8	17.2	0,6	17.7	101	250	0.59%	46	39%	0.9
B04	B03	7		299.1	1,32	10.5	394	2,121	8.6	3,6	30.6	1,4	32.0	405	250	2,85%	100	32%	1.8
B21	B19	45		294.0	1,09	1.1	321	321	1,3	4.1	5.3	0,1	5,4	89	200	3.06%	57	9%	1.2
B24	B23	114		294.0	0,44	0,4	129	129	0,5	4,2	2,2	0,1	2,3	86	200	1.00%	33	7%	0.6
B23	B20	142				0,4		129	0.5	4,2	2.2	0.1	2.3	26	200	1,36%	38	5%	0.7
B20	B19	116		294.0	0,53	1.0	155	284	1.2	4.1	4.7	0,1	4,8	129	200	1.09%	34	14%	0.8
B19	B16	46				2.1		605	2.5	3.9	9,6	0.3	9.9	96	200	1,41%	39	25%	1.0
B17	B16	38		294.0	0,84	0.8	247	247	1.0	4,1	4,1	0,1	4,2	143	200	0,80%	29	14%	0.7
B16	815	39				2.9		852	3.5	3,8	13,3	0.4	13.6	107	250	0.51%	43	32%	0.8
B18	B15	37		206,7	1,14	1.1	235	235	1.0	4.1	3,9	0.1	4,1	175	200	1.68%	43	10%	0.9
B15	813	34		200.5	2.41	6,4	483	1,570	6.4	3.7	23,3	0,8	24.2	204	250	2.24%	89	27%	1.5
B14 B13	813 803	32		166.2 299.1	1.47	1,5 9.2	245 393	245	1.0	4.1	4.1 31.8	0,2	4,3 33,0	130 113	200	2.14%	48	9% 34%	1.0
B13 B03	B03 B02	33		166.2	2.62	13.3	435	4,764	19.3	3.3	63.0	1.7	64,7	205	250	4.17%	121	53%	2.5
B22	802	30		166.2	3.03	3.0	503	503	2.0	4.0	8,1	0.4	8.5	149	200	3.42%	61	14%	1.4
B02	801	29		166.2	1.62	18.0	269	5,536	22.4	3.2	71.8	2.3	74.2	158	250	5.32%	137	54%	2.8
B01	T01	28	В	100.4	2,02	18.0	405	5,536	22,4	3.2	71.8	2,3	74.2	178	250	9.53%	184	40%	3.5
	N	1	-	1			-		-					-	-			-	
C03	C02	12		184.4	0,83	0.8	153	158	0.6	4,2	2,6	0,1	2,7	210	200	3.59%	62	4%	1.0
C05	C02	127		184.4	0,49	0.5	91	91	0.4	4,3	1,6	0,1	1.6	86	200	3.16%	58	3%	0.8
C02	C01	13		184.4	1.03	2.4	189	434	1.8	4,0	7,0	0.3	7,3	200	200	4.82%	72	10%	1.5
CD9 C12	C08	8		50.0	8.61	8.6	431 361	431	1.7	4.0	7,0	1.1	8,1	295	200	2.37%	50	16%	12
C12 C08	C08 C07	126		100.2	2.17	2.2	361		1.5	3.9	5.9	1.4	6.2 13.8	81 203	200	2.11%		13%	1.1
C08	C07	125		184.4	1.38	10.8	254	792	3.2	4.1	4,2	0.2	4.4	80	200	3.62%	62 76	6%	1.6
C07	C07	125	-	184.4	1.38	13.2	200	1,246	5.0	3.7	18.9	1.7	20.6	205	250	4.44%	125	16%	1.3
C10	C06	124	-	49.1	0.27	03	13	1,246	0.1	4.4	0.2	0.0	0.3	81	200	5.34%	76	0%	0.6
C06	C08	124		49,1	0.27	13.8	13	1,272	5.2	3.7	19.2	1.8	21.0	103	250	4.19%	122	17%	1.9
C01	TOS	27	C	26,3	2.39	18.5	63	1,769	7.2	3.6	26.0	2.4	28.4	255	250	8.18%	170	17%	2.6
-	8	1	-		A COLUMN TWO IS NOT				-	-				the second second		1	1	-	and the second se
D32	D31	138		93,1	1,55	1.6	144	144	0.6	4.2	2,5	0.2	2,7	32	200	8.69%	97	3%	12
D31	D30	139		1500	1.07	1.6	170	144	0.6	4,2	2.5	0.2	2.7	184	200	7.06%	87	3%	1.2
D33 D30	D30 T12	140	D2	158.0	1.07	2.6	170	170 314	0.7	4.2	2.9	0.1	3,0	86 57	200	8.21%	94	3%	1.3
030	112	141	02	0	-	2.6		514	1.3	4,1	5.2	U.d.	2,5	3/	200	11.21%	110	276	1.8

PART B – ENGINEERING SERVICING

Table 9.3 West Clayton Sewer Flows and Sizing Range for Long Range Condition

	allons						-		ubcatchments				the second se	-	-	-	Pipe Parameter		
Nort	e Na	Pipe	<u>P</u> tauqi	Eq. Pop Density	Area	Accum Area	Poplin	Accum Popl'n	Average Flow	Peak Factor	Peak dry Weather Flow	Intlav & Infiltration	Peak Wel Westher Flaw	Length	Diameter	Slope	Pipe Capacity	Flow Ratio	Actual Flow Velo
From	70	12	Branch	PonDens	d'	TA.	Pop	Pep	ADWF	Pp.	POWF	Qui	a.,	L	Ð	S	- Q	a. 10-	W_
MH	MH	10		(pop/ha)	(Ha)			Part and a state	(1.%)	1	(Us)	(1/5)	(L/s)	(m) -	(mm)	(%)	(L/s)	-16	(m/s.
009	D08	1		93.1	1.29	1.3	121	121	0.5	4.2	2.1	0.2	2.2	411	200	1.00%	33	7%	0.6
029	D08	102	-	93.1	0.12	0.1	11	11	0.0	4,4	0.2	0.0	0.2	-58	200	5.16%	74	0%	0.6
D08	D07	2		93.I	0.81	2.2	75	206	0.8	4.1	3.5	0,3	3.8	221	200	0.60%	25	15%	0.6
D28	D07	50		130.1	1.47	15	191	191	0.8	4.2	3.2	0.2	3,4	199	200	2.37%	51	7%	0.9
D07	D06	3		93.1	0.74	4.4	69	466	1.9	4.0	7.5	0.6	8,1	210	200	0.56%	25	33%	0.7
006	D05	4		93.1	1.26	5.7	117	583	2.4	3.9	9.3	0.7	10.0	356	200	0.97%	32	31%	0.9
025	D24	51		93.1	0.54	0.5	50	50	0.2	4.3	0.9	0.1	0.9	189	200	2.73%	54	2%	0.6
026	D24	101	1	166.2	1.38	1.4	229	229	0.9	4.1	3.8	0,2	4.0	287	200	1.64%	42	10%	0.9
024	D23	52	F	166,2	1,90	3,8	316	595	2.4	3.9	9.5	0,5	10.0	355	200	0.85%	30	33%	0.8
D27	D23	58	1	166.2	1.13	1,1	188	188	0.8	4.2	3.2	0.1	3,3	79	200	0.72%	28	12%	0.6
023	D05	53	1	166.2	0.89	5.8	148	931	3.8	3.8	14.4	0.8	15.2	94	200	1.10%	34	44%	1.1
005	D04	5		166.2	3.45	15.0	573	2,087	8.5	3.6	30.2	1.9	32.1	294	200	3.84%	64	50%	2.0
22	D20	54		50.0	1.89	1.9	113	113	0.5	4.2	1.9	0.2	2.2	211	200	1.30%	37	6%	0.6
020	D19	56		266.5	2.27	4.2	504	717	2.9	3.9	11.3	0.5	11.8	150	200	4.42%	69	17%	1.7
019	D04	57		1		4,2	1	717	2.9	3.9	11.3	0,5	11.8	148	200	1.19%	36	33%	1.0
004	D03	6		166.2	3,19	22.3	531	3,336	13,5	3.4	46,0	2.9	48.9	502	200	3.88%	65	76%	2.2
018	D03	17	1	60.0	-0.50	0.5	30	30	0.1	4.4	0,5	0,1	0.6	77	200	6.49%	84	1%	0.7
2003	D02	19		93.1	0.82	23.6	77	3,442	13.9	3.4	47.3	3,1	50.4	207	250	2.67%	97	52%	2.0
017	D02	132		93.1	0.50	0.5	47	47	0.2	4.3	D.8	0.1	0.9	.82	200	3.24%	59	1%	0.6
002	D01	18	1	93.1	0.94	25.1	88	3,577	14.5	3.4	48.9	3.3	52.2	201	250	5.81%	143	36%	2.6
15	D10	131	1	49.1	0.27	0,3	13	13	0.1	4.4	0.2	0.0	0.3	-89	200	4,44%	69	0%	0.6
13	D12	14	1	93.1	0.80	0.8	75	75	0.3	4.3	1,3	0,1	1.4	205	200	4.00%	66	2%	0.8
015	D12	129		93.1	0.54	0,5	50	50	0.2	4.3	0,9	0,1	0,9	79	200	4.04%	66	1%	0.7
012	D10	15	1	166.2	0.41	1.7	57	192	0.8	4.2	3.2	0,2	-3,5	203	200	5.40%	.75	5%	1.2
D10	D01	16		49.1	0.29	2.3	14	219	0.9	4.1	3.7	0.3	4.0	92	200	4.62%	70	5%	1.2
D01	T10	26	D1	11		27.4	1	3,797	15.4	3,4	51.6	3.6	55.1	123	250	10.82%	196	28%	3.4
_		_	N Clayton	100.0	45.40	45,4	4,540	4,540	18.4	3.3	60.4	5,9	66,3						
806	E05	47		131.5	2.42	47.8	319	4,859	19.7	3.3	64.1	6.2	70.3	220	250	3.44%	110	64%	2.4
22	EOS	70		158.0	0.82	0.8	130	130	0.5	4.Z	2.2	0.1	2,3	187	200	3.66%	63	4%	0.9
105	E04	68				48.6		4,988	20.2	3.2	65.6	6.3	71.9	108	300	2.01%	137	53%	2.0
21	E04	69	·	93.1	0.77	0.8	72.	72	0.3	4.3	1.2	0.1	1.3	185	200	3.70%	63	2%	0.7
E04	E03	67	·			49.4	1.	5.060	20.5	3.2	66.4	6.4	72.8	101	300	1.37%	113	64%	1.7
20	EO3	66	()	93.1	0.70	0.7	65	65	0.3	4.3	1.1	0.1	1,2	184	200	1.87%	45	3%	0.6
03	E02	48	1	93.1	0.61	50.7	57	5,182	21.0	3.2	67.8	6,6	74.4	229	300	5.53%	227	33%	2.8
18	E17	63	1	93.1	0.89	0.9	83	83	0.3	4.3	1.4	0,1	1.5	224	200	2.94%	56	3%	0.7
26	E17	136	1	93.1	0.77	0.8	72	72	0.3	4.3	1.2	0,1	1.3	91	200	1.75%	43	3%	0.6
17	E16	64	1	158.0	1.30	3.0	206	360	1.5	4.0	5.9	0.4	6.3	209	200	4.23%	67	.9%	1.4
E16	E15	65		93.1	0.64	3.6	60	420	1.7	4.0	6.8	0.5	7.3	173	200	3.23%	59	12%	1.3
23	£15	135		93.1	0.54	0,5	50	50	0,2	4,3	0,9	0.1	0,9	91	200	3.76%	64	1%	0.7
15	E14	98		93.1	0.74	4,9	69	538	2.2	4.0	8.6	0.6	9,3	130	200	5.24%	75	12%	1.7
19	E14	100		93.1	0.79	0.8	74	74	0.3	4.3	13	0,1	1.4	203	200	4.93%	73	2%	0.8
14	E02	99		93.1	0.62	6,3	58	670	2.7	3.9	10.6	0.8	11.4	77	200	2.05%	47	24%	12
02	EO1	49		158.0	1.86	58.9	294	6,146	24.9	3.Z	78.7	7.6	86.3	152	300	4.50%	205	42th	2.8
E12	E11	20		93.1	0.72	0.7	67	67	0.3	4.3	1.2	0.1	1.3	218	200	3.09%	58	2%	0.7
825	E11	137	1	93.1	0.66	0.7	61	61	0.2	4.3	1.1	0.1	1.2	72	200	2.02%	47	2%	0.6
F11	£10	21		158.0	1.04	2,4	164	292	1.2	4.1	4.8	0.3	5,1	216	200	2.94%	56	3%	11
10	EO9	22		93.1	0.44	2.9	41	333	1.3	4.1	5.5	0,4	5.8	162	200	4.11%	67	2%	1.3
E24	EO9	134		93.1	0.48	0.5	.45	45	0.2	4.3	0.8	0.1	0.9	74	200	2.92%	56	2%	0.6
	EOS	23		93.1	0.92	4.3	86	464	19	4.0	7.5	0.6	\$.0	191	200	5.16%	75	11%	1.6
E09	EUS																		

Table 9.3 West Clayton Sewer Flows and Sizing Range for Long Range Condition

Loca	lions		-				-	S	ubcatchments			and the second second	and the second sec	-		_	Pipe Parameter	s	Section and the second section of the section of t
Nod		Pipe	Branch	Em Pop Density	Avea	Accum Area	Poplin			Feak Factor	Feak dry Weather Flow	Inflow & Infiltration	Peak Wel Weather Flow	Length	Diameter	Skipe			Actual Flow Velocit
-	To	in.	Discolle	PopGens	. <u>Å</u>	A	Rop	Pop	ADWF		FOWF	Qon		1	D	s	20	0.10	22
From Mil	MH	10	Branch	(pop/ha)	(Ha)		нор	FVP	(L/s)	Pp.	(L/s)	(L/s)	Q	(m)	(0000)	(%)	(L/s)	Q1=/Qn==	(m/s)
_		1 1 E		93.1				44							and a second sec	5.50%		-	1001100
E13 E07	E07 E01	123	-	79.0	0,44	0.4	41 51	41 581	0.2	4.3	0.7 9.3	0.1	0.8	166	200	2,80%	77	1%	0.7
E07	T17	71	E	./9.0	0.65	5.0	21	6,727	27.3	3.9	9.5	8.4	93.5	215	300	7.65%	268	35%	3.4
FOT	11/	11	1		-	04,5		0,727	21,5	5.1	1.50	0.4	95.2	213	500	7,05%	200	33%	5.4
F03	FO2	76		158,0	1.11	1.1	176	176	0.7	4.2	3.0	0.1	3,1	158	200	3,97%	65	5%	1.0
F02	F01	77		140.9	1,03	2.1	145	321	1.3	4.1	5.3	0.3	5,6	218	200	4,76%	72	8%	1.4
F06	FOS	74		158.0	1.05	1.0	166	166	0.7	4.2	2.8	0.1	2.9	160	200	4,26%	68	4%	1.0
F05	F04	75	**	158.0	1.55	2.6	245	410	1.7	4.0	6.7	0.3	7.0	217	200	4,07%	66	11%	1.4
F04	F01	73		158.0	0.29	2.9	46	456	1.8	4.0	7.4	0.4	7.8	93	200	5.48%	77	10%	1.6
F01	T18	72	F	158.0	1.55	6.7	263	1,040	4.2	3.8	16.0	0.9	16.8	168	200	7.24%	88	19%	2.2
603	G02	79		113.8	0.26	0.3	29	29	0.1	4.4	0.5	0.0	0.5	168	200	3.44%	61	1%	0.6
G06	G02	82		158.0	1.31	1.3	206	206	0.8	4.1	3.5	0.2	.3.6	156	200	5.33%	76	5%	1.2
G02	G01	80		158.0	2.03	3.6	321	556	2.3	3.9	8.9	0.5	9.4	215	200	3.21%	59	16%	1,4
G05	G04	83	-	147.3	1.14	1.1	167	167	0.7	4.2	2.8	0.1	3.0	196	200	4.96%	73	4%	1.1
G04	G01	81				1.1		167	0.7	4.2	2.8	0.1	3.0	72	200	1,45%	40	8%	0.7
G01	T25	84	G			4.7		723	2.9	3.9	11.4	0.6	12.0	65	200	6.01%	80	15%	19
H02	H01	86		95.9	0.44	0.4	42	42	0.2	4.3	0.7	0.1	0,8	125	200	3,16%	58	1%	0.6
HO3	HO1	88	-	158.0	0.53	0.5	83	83	0.3	4.3	1.4	0.1	1.5	70	200	4.57%	70	2%	0.8
HOI	T26	85	н	105.4	0.48	1.4	51	176	0.7	4.2	3.0	0.2	3,2	196	200	5,03%	74	4%	1.1
H04	T30	89	HZ	84.3	2,52	2.5	212	212	0.9	4,1	3.6	0.3	3.9	159	200	5.04%	74	5%	1.2
103	102	78		126.3	4.52	4.5	571	571	2.3	3.9	9.1	0.6	9,7	329	200	5.07%	74	13%	1.7
102	101	87		158,0	0.21	4.7	83	604	2.4	3.9	9.6	0.6	10.2	85	200	4,21%	67	15%	1.6
101	T35	90	1	76.8	3.16	7.9	242	847	3.4	3.8	13.2	1.0	14.2	227	200	4.69%	71	20%	1.8
J14	J13	91		151.3	4.45	4,4	673	673	2.7	3.9	10.6	0.6	11.2	340	200	6,40%	.83	14%	1.9
J13	J12	93	-	88.5	0.67	5.1	59	732	3.0	3.9	11.5	0.7	12.2	88	200	2.61%	53	23%	1.4
J12	J11	104	-		H	5.1		732	3,0	3.9	11.5	0.7	12.2	20	200	2.50%	52	23%	1.3
J17	J11	105		105.4	0.21	0.2	23	23	0.1	4.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	78	200	5.81%	86	1%	0.7
J11	310	108		52.7	0.14	5.5	7	762	3.1	3.9	12.0	0.7	12.7	91	200	5.67%	78	16%	1.9
J10	109	109		105.4	0.90	6.4	95	857	3.5	3.8	13.3	0.8	14.2	70	200	1.00%	33	43%	1.0
109	308	110	1			6.4	4	857	3.5	3.5	13.3	0.8	14.2	35	200	1.01%	33	43%	1.0
108	J07	111				5.4		857	3,5	3.8	13.3	0.8	14.2	43	200	5,21%	75	19%	1.8
107	103	113			1	6,4	1	857	3.5	3.8	13.3	0.8	14.2	13	200	13.96%	123	12%	2.7
			N Clayton	100.0	13,40	13.4	1,340	1,340	5.4	3.7	20.2	1.7	21.9	1.00				1	1.000
106	105	96	1	105,4	0.10	13.5	10	1,350	5.5	3.7	20.3	1.7	22.1	69	200	5,45%	77	29%	2.1
)05	104	106			1.1	13,5		1,350	5.5	3.7	20.3	1.7	22.1	111	200	5.93%	80	28%	2.1
104	103	95			1000	13.5		1,350	5.5	3.7	20,3	1.7	22.1	86	200	10.69%	107	21%	2.7
103	102	112		52.7	0.04	19.9	2	2,209	8.9	3.6	31.8	2.6	34.4	50	200	4.77%	72	48%	2.3
J02	T45	103	1		-	19,9	-	2,209	8.9	3.6	31.8	2.6	34.4	15	200	4.87%	72	47%	2.3
KO2	T50	94	ĸ	105.4	1.67	1.7	176	176	0.7	4.2	3.0	D.2	3.2	248	200	9.02%	98	3%	1.3

PART B – ENGINEERING SERVICING

Table 9.4 North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Flows and Sizing for Long Range Condition

	tions				100	-	-		tchments		the second second second	the second second second		L.			Pipe Paran		
Nort	e Wo	Pipe	Branch/Sub-catch ID	Eq. Pop. Density	Area	Accum Area	Ropin	Accum. Papi'n	Average Flow	Peak Factor	Peak dry Westner Flow	Inflow & Infilhation	Peak Wet Weather Flow	Length	Diameter	Slope	Pipe Capacily	y Flow Ratio	Actual Flow Velocit
Fran	To				A	R)	Pop	Pop	ADWF	31	POWF	Dias	a.	L	D	5	0.00	Qu/Q_	N _{ect}
MH	8AH	(D)		(pop/ha)	(Ha)	and the second s		And the owner of the owner	(Lis)		(L/s)	/L/s)	(L/s)	(177)	(mm)	(36)	(L/s)	1%	(uurs)
			North Clayton	100.0	202.20	202.2	20,227	20,227	819	2.65	216.9	26.2	243.1			1			
T50	T47	3	ĸ	105,4	1.7	203.9	176.2	20,403	82.7	2.64	218.5	26.4	244.9	35,89	703	0.23%	449	55%	1.2
T47	T45	4				203.9	1	20,403	82.7	2.64	218,5	26.4	244.9	51.77	703	0.23%	449	55%	1.2
T45	T43	5	4	0.0	19,9	223.8	2208.9	22,612	91.6	2.60	238.1	29.0	267.1	35.76	703	0.23%	449	59%	1.2
T43	T42	6		105.4	0.14	223.9	14	22,626	91.7	2.60	238.2	29.0	267.2	54.47	703	0.23%	449	59%	1.2
T42	T41	7		52.7	1.70	225.6	90	22,716	92.0	2.60	239.0	29.2	268.2	46.82	703	0.23%	449	60%	1.2
T41	T40	B		105.4	0.35	226.0	37	22,753	92.2	2.60	239.3	29.3	268.6	37.64	703	0.23%	449	60%	1.2
T40	T37	9	1	105.4	0.86	226.8	90	22,843	92.5	2.59	240.1	29.4	269.5	81.16	703	0.23%	449	60%	1.2
T37	T36	10		1		226.8		22,843	92.5	2.59	240.1	29.4	269.5	47.02	703	0.23%	449	60%	1.2
T36	T35	11		1 ····································	1.1.1.	226.8		22,843	92.5	2,59	240.1	29.4	269.5	30,74	703	0.23%	449	60%	1.2
T35	T34	12		76.8	7.9	234.7	846.6	23,690	96.0	2.58	247.5	30.4	277.9	18.92	703	0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T34	T33	13				234.7		23,690	96.0	2.58	247.5	30,4	277.9	36.95	703	0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T33	T32	14		105.4	0.25	235.0	26	23,716	96.1	2,58	247.7	30,5	278.2	38,70	703	0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T32	T31	15			A	235.0		23,716	96,1	2,58	247.7	30.5	278.2	37.09	703	0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T31	T30	16		1	1	235.0		23,715	96.1	2.58	247.7	30.5	278.2	42.95	703	0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T30	T27	17	H2	84.3	2.5	237.5	212.3	23,928	96.9	2.57	249.6	30.8	280.3	133.75		0.23%	449	62%	1.2
T27	T26	18		105.4	1.77	239.2	186	24,114	97.7	2.57	251.2	31.0	282.2	137.88	703	0.23%	449	63%	1.2
T26	T25	19	H1	105.4	1.4	240.7	176.2	24,290	98.4	2.57	252.7	31.2	283.9	25.18	703	0.23%	449	63%	1.2
100	T25		LPS FM	61.2	13.19	13.2	805	25,097	101.7	2.55	259.6	31.2	290.8	- and the		- COLUTION			
T25	T23	20	G		4.7	245.4	723.5	25,820	104.6	2.54	265.8	31.8	297.7	82.97	703	0.24%	462	64%	1.2
18.0	T23		LPS 13	122.1	0.47	0.5	57	25,877	104.8	2.54	266.3	31.8	298.1	- Caller	145				
T23	T22	21	0.0_10	158.0	0.23	245.6	36	25,914	105.0	2.54	265.6	31.8	298.5	66.89	703	0.24%	462	65%	1.2
14.5	T22		LPS 12	52.8	0.34	0.3	18	25,932	105.0	2.54	265.8	31.8	298.6	Consa	100	0.2300		0010	-
T22	T21	22	0.0_12	61.3	0.51	246.2	31	25,963	105.2	2.54	267.1	31.9	299.0	22.80	703	0.24%	462	65%	1.2
144	T21		LPS_11	105.6	0.23	0.2	24	25,988	105.3	2.54	267.3	31.9	299.2	22.00	103	0.2470	402	0.570	412
T21	T20	23	0.5_11	158.0	0.10	246.3	16	26,003	105.3	2.54	267.4	31.9	299.3	31.13	703	0.24%	462	65%	1,2
121	T20	- 25	LPS 10	105.6	1.01	1.0	106	26,110	105.8	2.54	268.3	31.9	300.2	51,15	143	0.5470	402	0.5.70-	416
-	T20		LPS 9	105.6	0.82	0.8	37	26,196	105.1	2.54	269.1	31,9	301.0		-			1	
	T20	-	LPS 8	52.8	1.01	1.0	53	26,250	106.3	2.53	269.5	31.9	301.4	-	-				
T20	T19	24	173 0	158.0	0.27	246.5	43	26,293	106.5	2.53	269.9	32.0	301.8	57,79	703	0.24%	462	65%	1,2
T19	T18	25		130.0	9,27	246.5	1 2 1	26,293	106.5	2.53	269.9	32.0	301.8	43.90		0.24%	745	41%	1.3
11.5	T18		LPS-7	105.6	0.36	0.4	38	26,331	106.7	2.53	270.2	32.0	302.2	40,00	0.94	0.04/0	145	74.00	4,0
	T18	-	LPS 6	105.6	0.60	0.6	64	26,394	106.9	2.53	270.2	32.0	302.7	-	-			1	
-	T18	-	LPS 5	79.0	1.13	1.1	89	26,484	107.3	2.53	271.5	32.0	303.5	-	-			+	
T18	T17	26	F	158.0	6.7	253.2	1040.2	27,524	111.5	2.51	280.3	32.8	313.1	52.47	841	0.24%	745	42%	1.3
T17	T16	20	E	130.0	64.5	317.7	6727.1	34,251	138.7	2.42	335.9	41.2	377.1	45.07	841	0.24%	745	51%	1.3
T16	T15	28		79.0	1.32	319.0	105	34,356	138.7	2.42	336.8	41.4	378.1	54.28	841	0.24%	745	51%	1.3
T15	T12	29	-	50.0	2.55	321.6	105	34,336	139.2	2.42	337.8	41.4	379.5	411.96		0.24%	745	51%	1.3
145	T12	2.5	LPS 4	70.4	1.00	1.0	70	34,553	140.0	2.42	338.4	41.7	3/9.5	411.30	041	5.2476	745	31/0	1.2
-	T12		LPS B	70.4	0.36	0.4	25	34,555	140.0	2.42	338.6	41.7	380.2	-	-			-	
-	T12		r.a_a	91.0	1.08	322.7	99	34,579	140.1	2,42	339.4	41.7	380.2	-				-	
T12	T10	30	D2	51.0	2.6	325.3	314.3	34,677	140.5	2,42	341.9	42.2	384.1	139.13	841	0.24%	745	52%	.1.4
T10	T07	30	01		27.4	323.8	3796.6	38,788	157.1	2.41	372.2	45.7	417.9	75.37	841	0.24%	745	56%	1.4
T07	107	32	10	26.3	3.15	352.7	83	38,871	157.5	2,37	372.9	45.7	417.9	111.05	841	0.24%	745	56%	1,4
10/		32	IDE 7											111.05	641	0.24%	/40	30%	1.4
TAF	T05		LPS_2	17.6 26.3	1.23	1.2	22	38,893 40,661	157.6	2.37	373.0 386.9	46.1	419.2	100 00	0.44	0.24%	745	5002	1.4
TOS	TOB	33	C	26.3	18.5		1/68.6		164.7	2,35		48.5	435.5	162.09	841	0.24%	745	58%	1,4
701	701		LPS_1			1.0	-	40,679	164.8		387.1			1 4 4 7 7 1	0.01	0.040	745	CON	1.4
T03	T01	34		26.3	1.37	375.8	36	40,716	164.9	2.35	387.4	48.7	436.1	141.71	841	0.24%	745	59%	1.4
T01	OUT	1	B		18.0	393.7	5536.0	46,252	187.4	2.30	430.2	51.0	481.3	141.85	981	0.20%	1019	47%	1.3





Figure 9.4 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Committed Condition) SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER






Figure 9.6 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Long Range Condition) SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

229

Table 9.5 Undersized Sewer Downstream of West Clayton NCP

		it and	-		Cur	rent Condi	tion	Inte	erim Condit	ion	Long	Range Co	ndition	a second
Location	Between	Ex. Diameter (mm)	Length (m)	Existing Capacity (Q_{cap})	Q _{des} (L/s)	Q _{des} /Q _{cap}	d/D	Q _{des} (L/s)	Q _{des} /Q _{cap}	d/D	Q _{des} (L/s)	Q _{des} /Q _{cap}	d/D	Prop. Diameter (mm)
Highway 15	65A Ave. and 64 Ave.	600	136	483	250	0.52	0.51	502	1.04	> 1.0	779	1.61	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	65A Ave. and 64 Ave.	600	133	467	250	0.53	0.52	502	1.07	> 1.0	801	1.71	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	64 Ave. and 62A Ave.	600	107	456	250	0.55	0.52	502	1.10	> 1.0	801	1.75	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	64 Ave. and 62A Ave.	600	126	455	250	0.55	0.52	502	1.10	> 1.0	801	1.76	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	62A Ave. and 62 Ave.	600	91	460	250	0.54	0.52	502	1.09	> 1.0	801	1.74	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	62A Ave. and 62 Ave.	600	14	660	250	0.38	0.42	502	0.76	0.68	801	1.21	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	62 Ave. and 61A Ave.	600	140	670	251	0.37	0.42	503	0.75	0.67	801	1.19	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	62 Ave. and 61A Ave.	600	89	916	251	0.27	0.35	503	0.55	0.55	801	0.87	0.72	900
Highway 15	61A Ave. and 60 Ave.	600	144	549	251	0.46	0.47	503	0.92	0.80	801	1.46	> 1.0	900
Highway 15	61A Ave. and 60 Ave.	600	9	878	251	0.29	0.36	503	0.57	0.56	801	0.91	0.74	900
Highway 15	61A Ave. and 60 Ave.	600	39	645	251	0.39	0.43	503	0.78	0.69	801	1.24	> 1.0	900
Highway 15 ¹	61A Ave. and 60 Ave,	600	37	1043	251	0.24	0.33	510	0.49	0.51	801	0.77	0.65	900
60 Avenue	Highway 15 and 175A Street	750	78	236	284	1.20	> 1.0	536	2.27	> 1.0	843	3.57	> 1.0	1350
60 Avenue	Highway 15 and 175A Street	750	14	213	284	1.33	> 1.0	529	2.48	> 1.0	843	3.95	> 1.0	1350
Property north of 59 Ave. and west of Hwy. 15	60 Ave. to 59A Ave.	750	100	370	304	0.82	0.68	556	1.50	> 1.0	872	2.35	> 1.0	1350
Property south of 60 Ave. and west of Hwy. 15	59A Ave. and 59 Ave.	750	110	352	264	0.75	0.64	<mark>5</mark> 56	1.58	> 1.0	872	2.48	> 1.0	1350
59 Avenue	Highway 15 and 175A Street	750	150	798	304	0.38	0.42	556	0.70	0.64	872	1.09	> 1.0	1350
175 Street ¹	59 Ave. and 58A Ave.	750	102	1102	304	0.28	0.35	556	0.50	0.52	872	0.79	0.67	1350
175 Street ¹	59 Ave. and 58A Ave.	750	78	1033	309	0.30	0.37	560	0.54	0.54	875	0.85	0.7	1350
175 Street	58A Ave. and 58 Ave.	900	56	871	315	0.36	0.41	567	0.65	0.61	875	1.00	0.82	1350
175 Street	58 Avenue and 57 Avenue	900	4	159	315	1.97	> 1.0	576	3.61	> 1.0	877	5.50	> 1.0	1350
175 Street	58 Avenue and 57 Avenue	900	31	458	325	0.71	0.62	576	1.26	> 1.0	877	1.92	> 1.0	1350
175 Street	58 Avenue and 57 Avenue	900	8	646	325	0.50	0.50	598	0.93	0.78	897	1.39	> 1.0	1350
175 Street	58 Avenue and 57 Avenue	900	142	559	333	0.60	0.55	585	1.05	> 1.0	897	1.60	> 1.0	1350
175 Street	57 Ave. and Highway 10 (56 Ave.)	900	184	526	334	0.63	0.57	585	1.11	> 1.0	898	1.71	> 1.0	1350
				Sub-Total =	0.	1 km undersiz	ed	1.	6 km undersiz	ed	2	2.1 km undersi	zed	

Note: ¹The flow capacity just meets the criteria for this section. However, since pipe size should not be smaller than the section upstream, this section is to be upsized to a larger diameter sewer.

North Cloverdale Lift Station

Sewage from the entire West Clayton NCP area (and future "Northern Clayton NCP") will drain into the City's existing North Cloverdale Lift Station. The lift station is currently a triplex station with three (3) – 50 hp pumps that currently discharge through a 350mm diameter forcemain with additional twin 400mm forcemain, then into the City's gravity trunk sewer and eventually to Metro Vancouver's Cloverdale Trunk Sewer.

In 2005 Dayton and Knight (D&K) completed the "Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Conveyance Study", in which they assessed the future flows expected in the area, the capacity of the lift station and trunk sewer, and recommended a future servicing strategy.

Table 9.6 – North Cloverdale Lift Station Capacity

* Capacity is based on 85% of theoretical maximum capacity.

Scenario	350mm forcemain operating	400mm forcemain operating	Ex. Capacity * (L/s)
One 50 hp Pump	\checkmark		77 L/s
One 50 hp Pump		\checkmark	98 L/s
Two 50 hp Pumps		\checkmark	115 L/s
Two 50 hp Pumps	\checkmark	\checkmark	170 L/s

As shown in Table 9.6, the lift station currently has a maximum capacity of 170 L/s whilst having one pump for standby. A further evaluation of the existing lift station structure and site plan needs to be completed in order to confirm if the station can be retrofitted to a higher capacity or if a completely new station, including property acquisition, is required. Regardless of which option, it is anticipated that 3 – 100 Hp pumps, a larger wet-well, emergency storage tank and electrical upgrades will be required to service the West Clayton NCP.

Like sanitary sewers, the City's Design Criteria is to size a sanitary lift station to convey the peak wet weather flows, which is based on a 5year: 24hr event. Since trunk sewers are sized to operate no more than 70% full (d/D ratio), there is some flexibility to convey higher peak flows and mitigate impacts of higher levels of I&I before surcharging. However, lift stations have a finite capacity (which reduces with wear and tear) and do not have flexibility in managing sudden increases in peak instantaneous flows that may result from higher levels of I&I.

It is good engineering practice to size lift stations to convey peak wet weather flows, with design storm events higher than a 1 in 5-year return period, and/or provide allowance for overflow storage facilities to attenuate the increases in peak flows that are attributed to higher levels of I&I. This is particularly the case for the City of Surrey in Cloverdale where the City has completed flow monitoring to determine the average 5 year:24 hour I&I rates in Clayton-Cloverdale is 17,100 L/ha/day, which is 50% higher than the City's Design Criteria. This is only further amplified by the fact that I&I has been proven to increase as the sewer system ages.

Table 9.7 summarizes the estimated peak flows into the North Cloverdale Lift station for the "committed", "interim' and "long range" conditions. In addition to the three land-use conditions / horizons, an "existing actual" scenario was simulated in the model - this represents the existing peak sewage flows based on measured flow data from 2010, it does not account for increases in secondary suites, and should be considered underconservative and only used for references purposes to assist in timing the pump upgrades. Peak flows were also estimated for various rainfall events to estimate the increase in I&I, and assess the impact on the lift station relative to its theoretical capacity.

Land-Use Condition / Scenario	Ex. Capacity* (L/s)		Peak Wet Weather Inflo	w (L/s)
		5yr : 24hr Event	25yr : 24hr Event	100yr : 24hr Event
Existing Actual (2010)	170	110	120	128
Full Zoning Actual	170	185	197	207
#1 – Committed Condition (Zoning Regulatory)	170	202	na	na
#2 – Interim Condition (with West Clayton NCP)	170	460	na	na
#3 – Long Range Condition (OCP + West + North Clayton NCP)	170	735	834	877

Table 9.7 – North Cloverdale Lift Station Peak Inflows

As shown in Table 9.7, the existing NCLS is presently operating below its peak capacity however, the committed peak flow of 202 L/s is above the stations current maximum capacity of 170 L/s.

In order to service the West Clayton NCP, the station will need to be upgraded to a capacity of 460 L/s, with consideration to an ultimate capacity of 735 L/s when the future North Clayton NCP develops. Coinciding with the OCP / long range lift station upgrade, the existing forcemains will require a 3rd twin forcemain of diameter 600mm.

180 Street Diversion Sewer

Currently sewer flows from the residential area between 184 St to 180 St and from 66 Avenue to 69 Avenue are conveyed by a 450 mm sewer along 68 Avenue to the North Cloverdale Lift Station (NCLS) at 176 Street and 69 Avenue. Instead of continuing to convey sanitary flow from this area to NCLS, an option is to construct a diversion sewer on 180 Street (or other local options to be reviewed during the detailed design stage) and divert flows to the trunk sewer on 176 St at 65A Avenue. The diversion sewer would mainly reduce flows to the NCLS and the capacity analysis of infrastructure downstream of the forcemain would remain the same. The alignment of the proposed diversion sewer is shown on Figure 9.7, Figure 9.8 and Figure 9.9.

Figure 9.7 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Committed Condition" with the 180 Street Diversion Sewer taking flows in Cloverdale from tributary sanitary catchments along 67 Avenue and 68 Avenue east of 180 Street. By diverting the sanitary flows from the NCLS, the design flow at the NCLS is reduced to 77 L/s for this scenario.

Figure 9.8 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Interim Condition" with the 180 Street Diversion Sewer – which represents peak flows from current service parcels plus the addition of the West Clayton NCP. As shown on this figure, there is 1.6 km of sewer segments that do not meet the City's design criteria for peak flows / depths.

The NCLS will also need to be upgraded to convey a design flow of 305 L/s for this scenario.

Figure 9.9 illustrates the estimated PWWF's in the downstream sewer system for the "Long Range Condition" with the 180 Street Diversion Sewer – which represents peak flows from OCP build-out plus the addition of the West Clayton and future "North Clayton" NCP's. As shown on this figure, there is 2.1 km of sewer segments that do not meet the City's design criteria for peak flows / depths – all of which are on the Cloverdale Trunk Sewer downstream of the lift station forcemain discharge. The NCLS will need to be upgraded to convey the ultimate design flow of 585 L/s.




Figure 9.7 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Committed Condition) 180 St Diversion SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER




Figure 9.8 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Interim Condition) 180 St Diversion

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

236




Figure 9.9 Downstream Sewer Analysis (Long Range Condition 180 St Diversion

The sanitary flows from the East Clayton Transit Oriented Area are included in this analysis; the peaking factor for the contributing flow from this area is calculated at 2.88. The peak wet weather flow from this area expected at the upstream end of the 180 Street Diversion at 68 Avenue is 13.9 L/s.

The reduction in flows (PWWF flows for the 5-year, 24-hour event) conveyed to the NCLS when the diversion sewer is in place, is summarized in Table 9.8.

Land-Use Condition / Scenario	Ex. Capacity* (L/s)	PWWF (L/s) 5yr : 24hr Event
Existing Actual (2010) ¹	170	110
Full Zoning Actual ¹	170	185
#1 – Committed Condition (Zoning Regulatory)	170	77
#2 – Interim Condition (with West Clayton NCP)	170	305
#3 – Long Range Condition (OCP + West + North Clayton NCP)	170	585
¹ Before 180 Street Diversion Scenario		

Table 0.9 North		Station Dook	Inflows with	100 Ctroot	Diversion Sewer
Table 5.6 - NULLI	i Cioveruale Lift	Station Peak	innows with	TOD PUBEL	Diversion Sewer

The alignment for the diversion sewer mostly follows the existing roads and rights-of-way except for where it crosses the Cloverdale Greenway; a right-of-way will need to be acquired through the greenway. The sewer will also need to cross St. Gelais Brook, similar to a nearby right-of-way for an existing 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer. A connection from the existing sanitary sewer would need to be constructed at 17926 – 67 Avenue to convey the flow from the local 67 Avenue sewer to the 180 Street Diversion and to decommission the local sewer immediate downstream of the tie-in.

Downstream of the greenway, at 17926 – 67 Avenue, the diversion sewer will continue south along an existing pathway just west of 17855 65A Avenue and connect to the sanitary sewer along 65A Avenue and west on 66 Avenue to 176A Street, south on 176A Street to 65A Street and west on 65A Avenue to 176 Street to connect to the existing 600 mm diameter sewer. Additional right-of-ways will be required along the walkway as the existing 3 meter width is not sufficient. Table 9.9 summarizes the proposed size for the diversion sewer to convey flows from Scenario #3: Long Range Condition.

Location	Upstream MH	Downstream MH	Length (m)	Proposed Diameter (mm)	Design Flow (Scenario #3) (L/s)
180 Street between 68 and 67 Avenue	1000104130	1000104049	92	525	152
180 Street between 68 and 67 Avenue	1000104049	1000807851	115	525	152
67 Avenue at 180 Street	1000104048	1000807851	2	200	12.5
67 Avenue between 180 Street and Cloverdale Greenway	1000807851	1000808043	69	525	152
67 Avenue between 180 Street and Cloverdale Greenway	1000808043	1000104126	84	525	152
Cloverdale Greenway ROW (existing)	1000104126	1000104125	104	525	156
Cloverdale Greenway ROW (proposed)	1000104125	SMH_01	124	525	156
Pathway ROW north of 66 Avenue	SMH_01	1000104120	106	525	156
66 Avenue Cul-de-Sac to 176A Street	1000104120	1000104121	122	525	156
66 Avenue Cul-de-Sac to 176A Street	1000104121	1000104122	127	525	156
66 Avenue Cul-de-Sac to 176A Street	1000104122	1000104123	137	600	157
176A Street between 66 and 65A Avenue	1000104123	1000104124	135	600	163
65A Avenue between 176A Street and 176 Street	1000104124	1000113331	83	600	198
65A Avenue at 176 Street	1000113331	1000113330	11	600	198

Table 9.9 – Proposed 180 Street Diversion Sewer

<u>Deep Gravity Sewer Tunnel Alternative to</u> Upgrading NCLS

A deep gravity sewer tunnel, as an alternative to upgrading the NCLS, was explored in the 2005 *Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Conveyance Study* by Dayton & Knight. The concept was to construct a deep (10m to 13m) gravity sewer from 68 Avenue to 60th Avenue, primarily along the 176A Street alignment through the Cloverdale Fair Grounds. The design flows used for the conceptual design was estimated as 718 L/s at the NCLS. Based on the analysis of flows completed for the NCP, the ultimate design flow is currently estimated higher at 734 L/s under the long range scenario.

The proposed alignment is constrained by the invert elevation of the existing 750 mm diameter gravity trunk sewer on 60 Avenue; the estimated grade for the deep trunk sewer is 0.06%. The proposed size of 1200 mm diameter has a conveyance capacity of 800 L/s at 70% depth of flow and is sufficient to convey the design flow of 734 L/s. If the 180 Street Diversion Sewer is constructed, the design flow for the deep gravity sewer tunnel would be lower at 585 L/s. The reduction in flow does not affect the pipe size, as a smaller 1050 mm diameter pipe is only able to convey a flow of 560 L/s at 70% depth.

The D&K report highlights some of the challenges of the construction of a deep gravity sewer tunnel. Installation options summarized in the report include pipe jacking, directional drilling, micro-tunnelling and conventional tunnelling. Pipe jacking and directional drilling is typically suitable for relatively short installations such as road, creek or rail crossings and in competent glaciated soils. The length of

the proposed sewer is over 1,500m and the soils are a mixture of silt (clayey) and till. Microtunnelling and conventional tunnelling is used for longer installations and uses a tunnel boring machine (TBM). For micro-tunnelling, the pipe is simultaneously jacked in segments into the tunnel and the annulus is filled with grout. A disadvantage to micro-tunnelling is its vulnerability to encountering obstructions; a rescue shaft is required if an obstruction is encountered. This is an unknown risk and can add considerable expense and construction delay. Based on the inferred till-silt/clay interface from a geotechnical report completed (Figure 4-3, Cloverdale Trunk Sewer Conveyance

Study) there is a high likelihood of encountering cobbles and boulders that will hamper microtunnelling efforts. Based on the available information at this stage, it is recommended that conventional tunnelling be used to construct the deep gravity sewer. Instead of a 1.5m diameter tunnel, a 2.0m diameter or larger is recommended as small diameter TBMs for conventional tunnelling to allow for easier installation of the 1,200 mm diameter sewer.

The construction cost in the 2005 report was estimated at \$11 million, a detailed breakdown is summarized in Table 9.10.

Table 9.10 – Deep Gravity	Sewer Tunnel Estin	nated Costs (2005,	, Dayton & Knight)	
Item	Quantity Unit Cost		Cost	
1.5 m diameter tunnelling (1,200 mm pipe)	1,550 m	\$4,500/m	\$6,975,000	
1,200 mm PVC open cut	300 m	\$2,000/m	\$600,000	
Jacking Shaft	1 ea \$60,000		\$60,000	
Receiving Shaft	1 ea	\$40,000	\$40,000	
911 Shaft	2 ea \$150,000		\$300,000	
	\$7,975,000			
30% Contingency			\$2,392,500	
Subtotal			\$10,367,500	
		Add 7% GST	\$725,725	
		Total	\$11,093,225	

The preferred proposed alignment by D&K assumes that an entry shaft can be located on an existing private, single-family residential property, 6838 176A Street. The property would need to be acquired by the City; 2015 assessed value is \$918,000. Other construction management issues would need to be considered as there are other private properties around the 176A Street cul-de-sac. The other proposed option would require deflecting around the property and aligning the deep sewer to be directly beneath an existing Class A creek. The tunnel at this end would be less than 3m deep and would be constructed using the cut-and-cover method, creating significant construction challenges in the creek riparian area. Environmental approvals and habitat compensation would be required as considerable damage may be caused to the Class A creek during construction. Additional, geotechnical and hydrogeological issues may make this option unfeasible.

A similar study and preliminary design for a deep gravity sewer tunnel for Robson Creek in 2011, unit costs for tunneling (1.5 to 2.0 m diameter tunnel boring) were estimated at \$9,000 per lineal metre. 2011 costs were adjusted for inflation at 2% per annum to \$10,000 per lineal metre. Due to the length of the tunnel, a number of entry and exit tunnel shafts may be required at intervals along the route or where there is significant change in direction. The costs allowed for one jacking and one receiving shaft appear to be on the low end in the D&K report and are adjusted to reflect a more reasonable expected cost.

The construction costs are estimated at *\$25.3 million* (rounded cost); a detailed breakdown is summarized in Table 9.11. Based on the construction challenges and costs, it is not recommended to construct a deep gravity sewer to replace the NCLS.

Item	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost	
2.0 m diameter tunnelling (1,200 mm pipe)	1,550 m	\$10,000/m	\$15,500,000	
1,200 mm PVC open cut	300 m	\$2,500/m	\$600,000	
Jacking Shaft	1 ea	\$275,000	\$275,000	
Receiving Shaft	1 ea	\$275,000	\$275,000	
911 Shaft	2 ea	\$275,000	\$550,000	
Property Purchase	1 ea	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
	Subtotal	\$18,200,000		
		30% Contingency	\$5,460,000	
Subtotal			\$23,660,000	
		Add 7% GST	\$1,656,200	
		Total	\$25,316,200	

Table 9.11 – L	Jpdated Deep (Gravity Sewer	Tunnel Esti	mated Costs

9.3 PROPOSED SYSTEM

9.3.1 Recommended Option

The long-term sanitary sewer servicing strategy for the West Clayton NCP is to construct the NCTS, from the intersection of 72 Avenue and 177A Street, and extend along the contour line to the intersection of 80 Avenue and 188 Street. This trunk sewer would service the NCP as well as provide a long-term servicing plan for the future "North Clayton" NCP.

At this time the City's North Cloverdale Lift Station and forcemain has been identified as requiring upgrades as part of the overall strategy, as well as portions of the City's downstream Cloverdale Trunk Sewer to Metro Vancouver. One alternative to upgrading these infrastructure components would be a deep gravity sewer tunnel from the lift station, downstream towards Metro Vancouver. The recommendation from the Dayton and Knight report took into account the capital costs and operations and maintenance costs for both the pump station upgrades and the construction of a deep trunk gravity sewer. The findings indicated that the upgrading of the pump station was more cost effective, AECOM's review confirms these conclusions and therefore, it is the recommended option.

9.3.2 Interim Phasing

Currently the downstream portion of the NCTS has been constructed up to 72 Avenue and 177A Street. This sewer would require extension across Fraser Highway and property dedication before it reaches an existing road right-of-way at 181 Street and 73 Avenue – at which point it could continue east to service the NCP. Development within the NCP cannot occur until the NCTS has been extended across Fraser Highway and until the North Cloverdale Lift Station is upgraded or the 180 Street Diversion Sewer is constructed. The 184 Street Pocket area within the NCP area by Fraser Highway can be conveyed through the existing sanitary sewer on 184 Street to the NCLS until the inflow to the station reaches 170 L/s. The flows from this area will bypass the NCLS when the 180 Street Diversion Sewer is constructed.

The construction of the 180 Street Diversion Sewer will alleviate capacity concerns at the NCLS and delay the construction of the required third forcemain. However, the pump station wet well will still need to be upgraded to house the three 100 hp pumps as peak flows at the station reach 170 L/s. To service the West Clayton NCP, the North Cloverdale Lift Station will need to be upgraded to a capacity of 305 L/s, which would require: (i) the replacement of all 3 x 50 hp pumps with 3 x 100 hp pumps (ii) modifications to the wet well (iii) emergency storage tank and (iv) generator and electrical modifications. The ultimate lift station capacity should be 585 L/s in order to service the future North Clayton NCP and OCP conditions, at which time a fourth 100 hp pump and a 3rd forcemain of diameter 600mm will be required. In this scenario, a new pump station will be required.

As the lift station is upgraded, the flows in the downstream trunk sewer will increase respectively. Because of the increase in flows, the offsite trunk downstream will need to be upgraded accordingly.

The following list summarizes the required sequence of upgrades as West Clayton develops:

- Construct 180 Street diversion sewer (lowers NCLS flow from 210 L/s to 77 L/s);
- 1a) Construct two (2) downstream sections of the Cloverdale Trunk sewer upgrades, between 59 and 60 Avenue west of 176 Street, when flows to the NCLS reach 98 L/s;
- 2) Construct NCTS;
- 2b) Construct one (1) downstream section of the Cloverdale Trunk sewer upgrades, 35m immediately south of the Cloverdale Canal, when flows to the NCLS reach 115 L/s;
- Replace three (3) 50 hp pumps with two
 (2) 100 hp pumps when West Clayton develops and flows to the NCLS reach 170 L/s;
- 3a) Construct emergency overflow storage tank (Stage 1), when flows to the NCLS reach 170 L/s;
- 3b) Construct three (3) downstream sections of the Cloverdale Trunk sewer upgrades, 334m immediately north of Highway 10, when flows to the NCLS reach 170 L/s;
- Construct new NCLS control building, upgrade power service acoustic and emergency genset;
- 5) Add third 100 hp pump when flows to the NCLS reach 230 L/s; and,
- Construct the remaining downstream sections of the Cloverdale Trunk sewer upgrades as shown in Figure 9.8 when flows to the NCLS reach 230 L/s.

The remaining upgrades can be deferred until North Clayton develops:

- Construct 600 mm forcemain when flows reach 370 L/s with new discharge chamber at 65A Avenue;
- Upgrade discharge piping and forcemain discharge chamber;
- Construct larger wet well and odour control bed;
- 9a) Construct the remaining downstream sections of the Cloverdale Trunk sewer upgrades as shown in Figure 9.9;
- 10) Add fourth 100 hp pump when flows reach 490 L/s; and,
- 11) Construct emergency overflow storage tank (Stage 2), when the lift station is upgraded with an ultimate capacity of 585 L/s.

It is assumed that the ultimate pump station will be a quadplex pump setting arrangement. The upgrade triggers will change if the number of pumps setting arrangement is changed during the detailed design stage.

SECTION 9: SANITARY SEWER

243

9.4 COSTS AND FINANCING

For local sewers within the NCP that are required to be 250mm diameter or larger, the DCC eligible cost is only the upsize cost portion in excess of the base cost for a 200mm sewer if flows are less than or equal to 40 L/s. However for trunk sewers conveying flows in excess of 40 L/s from the upstream catchment, the DCC eligible costs are for the entire sewer costs.

The capital costs for sanitary infrastructure improvements required to service West Clayton NCP is estimated at *\$20.8 million* as shown in Table 9.12.

The capital costs to upgrade the NCLS to provide sufficient level of service for North Clayton are estimated to be *\$7.4 million.* As described in the analysis, the construction of the third forcemain and fourth 100 hp pump can be deferred till North Clayton develops, provided that the 180 Street Diversion Sewer is constructed.

The total sanitary infrastructure improvements required to service the West and North Clayton NCP areas is *\$28.2 million*.

Table 9.12 Eligible Sewer Infrastructure Servicing Cost Estimates

Ref No.	Description	Unit Unit Price ¹		Estimate Eligible DCC	DCC Split Inside vs Outside NCP		
			Unit Price'	rice ¹ Quantity	Cost	Eligible DCC Expenditures Inside the NCP Area	Eligible DCC Expenditures Outside the NCP Area
1	Sewer Mains Within NCP (Upsize Cost Only)2						10 CONTRACTOR
1.1	250mm sewer (currently vacant areas)	lin.m.	\$75	613	\$45,975	\$45,975	
1.2	250mm sewer (currently local road)	lin.m.	\$90	1,085	\$97,650	\$97,650	-
1.3	250mm sewer (currently collector road)	lin.m.	\$100	220	\$22,000	\$22,000	
1.4	250mm sewer (currently arterial road)	lin.m.	\$125	869	\$108,625	\$108,625	
1.5	300mm sewer (currently vacant areas)	lin.m.	\$143	215	\$30,745	\$30,745	
1.6	300mm sewer (currently collector road)	lin.m.	\$190	590	\$112,100	\$112,100	
	245			Sub-Total =	\$417,095	\$417,095	
2	North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer ^{3,4,5}		C	1	-		
2.1	750mm sewer (currently vacant areas)	lin.m.	\$1,887	1,130	\$2,132,310	\$2,132,310	
2.2	750mm sewer (currently collector road)	lin.m.	\$2,120	15	\$31,800	\$31,800	
2.3	750mm sewer (currently arterial road)	lin.m.	\$2,650	20	\$53,000	\$53,000	
2.4	900mm sewer (currently vacant areas)	lin.m.	\$2,105	1,102	\$2,319,710	\$2,319,710	
2.5	900mm sewer (currently arterial road)	lin.m.	\$3,013	20	\$60,260	\$60,260	
2.6	900mm sewer (currently local road)	lin.m.	\$2,169	115	\$249,435	\$249,435	
2.7	1050mm sewer (currently vacant area)	lin.m.	\$2,330	142	\$330,860	\$330,860	
2.8	1050mm sewer (currently local road)	lin.m.	\$2,439	46	\$112,194	\$112,194	
2.9	1050mm sewer (currently arterial road)	lin.m.	\$3,388	40	\$135,520	\$135,520	
2.10	1350mm Casing Pipe Across Fraser Highway	each	\$265,000	1	\$265,000	\$265,000	
2.11	1050mm GVWD watermain & utility conflicts on Fraser Highway	each	\$210,000	1	\$210,000	\$210,000	-
2.12	Gravity Sewer Crossings of Existing Creeks / Watercourses	each	\$160,000	6	\$960,000	\$960,000	
				Sub-Total =	\$6,860,089	\$6,860,089	
3	180 Street Diversion Sewer	_					
3.1	200mm sewer (local road)	lin.m.	\$909	5	\$4,545	1	\$4,5
3.2	525mm sewer (local road)	lin.m.	\$1,476	624	\$921,024		\$921,0
3.3	525mm sewer (park land/side walk)	lin.m.	\$1,230	315	\$387,450	1	\$387,4
3.4	600mm sewer (local road)	lin.m.	\$1,611	366	\$589,626		\$589,6
3.5	Boulevard/Sidewalk/Landscape Restoration	allow	\$90,000	1	\$90,000		\$90,0
3.6	ROW Land Acquisition (6 m width)	acre	\$200,000	0.31	\$62,400	-	\$62,4
				Sub-Total =	\$2,055,045	\$0	\$2,055,0
4	North Cloverdale Lift Station/Forcemain Upgra		#0.000.000	1 .	00.000.000		00 000 0
4.1	Pump Station Upgrade (305 L/s Capacity)	LS	\$2,300,000	1	\$2,300,000		\$2,300,0
4.2	Emergency Overflow Storage Tank Stage 1	LS	\$1,300,000	1 Sub-Total =	\$1,300,000	\$0	\$1,300,0
5	Churchele Transferrer 1894			Sup-Total =	\$3,600,000	\$0	\$3,600,0
5.1	Cloverdale Trunk Sewer (56 Ave. to 68 Ave.) ^o 900mm sewer (arterial road)	lin.m.	\$3,013	1,064	\$3,205,832		\$3,205,8
5.2	1350mm sewer (arterial road)	lin.m.	\$4,413	1,064	\$3,205,832	-	\$3,200,8
0.2	recommiserer (anenarioad)	. m i. (ti.	ψ η , 4 10	Sub-Total =	\$7,865,960	\$0	\$7,865,9

1. Unit Prices were provided by the City of Surrey Engineering Department and represent their 2016 10-year Capital Planning Unit Costs and include 12% engineering and 15% contingency allowance

2. Costs for sewer mains inside the NCP are for upsize amount only, which is the amount above the minimum required 200mm diameter cost and flows < 40 L/s

3. Base sewer costs for North Cloverdale Trunk Sewer and upgrades to Cloverdale Trunk Sewer are charged to West Clayton, and upsize contribution is allocated to future North Clayton NCP

4. North Cloverdale Trunk sewer unit rates through vacant areas include right-of-way costs at \$1,000,000 / acre x 20% factor and based on a 6m ROW width

5. Nominal sizes for HDPE, actual internal diameters used for analysis.

SECTION 10 Water

8.1 Existing Water Servicing8.2 Design Criteria and Analysis8.3 Proposed System8.4 Costs and Financing

SECTION 10: Water

10.1 EXISTING WATER SERVICING

10.1.1 Study Area

The majority of the West Clayton area is located within the 115m Clayton Pressure Zone with some agricultural/rural properties along the northwest boundary falling within the Cloverdale 90m HGL zone. The NCP area is served from a number of sources including the Clayton Reservoir and Pump Station, the PRV at the old Clayton Pump Station, as well as a PRV from the Metro Vancouver supply main on 72 Avenue and 184 Street. There is a Metro Vancouver PRV located at Fraser Highway and Harvie Road, however it does not have capacity to service the NCP. There are several key issues that will need to be reviewed and analyzed in order to properly service the NCP:

- Determine if the City's 184 Street / 72 Avenue
 PRV and existing connection to Metro
 Vancouver can supply the 90m pressure zone or
 does the NCP require servicing off Metro's
 Clayton Reservoir (90m HGL).
- Maximize the limits of the 90m HGL pressure zone to reduce the pumping requirements for the City's Clayton Booster Station and maximize its usage for the 115m zone.
- Adjust the existing pressure zone boundary between the 115m and the 90m zones.
- Tie-in the City's major grid network south of
 Fraser Highway (90m zone) to the West Clayton
 90m zone, which will provide system
 redundancy.
- Consider a future interconnection between the
 Cloverdale 90m zone and the Anniedale –
 Tynehead 90m zone.
- Address poor fire flow results both in the West
 Clayton area and to the east, especially north of
 72 Avenue.

10.1.2 Existing Water Distribution System

Within the West Clayton NCP boundary there is currently very limited water infrastructure servicing the existing large, rural lots and agricultural land reserve (ALR). In general, the area is either serviced by small 150mm and 200mm watermains that dead-end at the ALR, or by private wells. The NCP area falls within the 115m HGL pressure zone, while the properties along the southwest boundary are situated along the 90-115m pressure zone boundary. **Figure 10.1** shows the existing water distribution system and pressure zone boundaries for the West Clayton NCP area.

Water supply to the area is provided by the Metro Vancouver 900mm Whalley/Clayton and 900mm Jericho/Clayton feeder mains that supply the Clayton Reservoir (90m HGL) at 72 Avenue and 190 Street. In winter months the HGL in Metro's main can exceed 115m, but during peak demand in summer months the feeder main's HGL can drop below 90m. This fluctuation in supply HGL impacts how the City operates their distribution system and how the NCP area can be serviced. For instance, when the feeder main operates above 90m HGL the water level in the Clayton Reservoir can drop to 85m due to volumes required to provide balancing storage.

The City operates a booster station (Clayton Booster Station) that pumps water from the 90m reservoir to a 115m HGL, and distributes this HGL to the City's system. The City's PRV at the old Clayton Pump Station services the 115m pressure zone regularly in the winter. The City also has a 150mm PRV at 72 Avenue and 184 Street that operates directly off Metro Vancouver's feeder main. With a PRV setting of 112.7m HGL, coupled with the significant seasonal fluctuations in Metro's HGL, this 72 Avenue PRV rarely operates.

10.1.3 Previous Studies

Several background reports and studies utilizing WaterCAD modelling software have been reviewed to further understand the existing water distribution system and identify opportunities and constraints. Background reports include:

- Earth Tech (2005) Clayton and Cloverdale Water Supply Planning.
- CH2M Hill (2006) Clayton Water Pumping Station Pre-Design Report.
- AECOM (2010) Clayton Cloverdale Water Model Upgrade Report.

The previous extensive modelling work for the City using the WaterCAD model and development of the 2010 Clayton Cloverdale Water Model Upgrade will be used as the basis of understanding the current conditions for the study area as well as determining how the NCP area will be serviced.

PART B - ENGINEERING SERVICING




Figure 10.1 Existing Water Distribution System

SECTION 10: WATER

10.1.4 Clayton Booster Station Capacity

The 2010 Clayton Cloverdale Water Model Upgrade Report by AECOM completed a review of existing flows and capacity of the Clayton Pump Station. This station is currently designed to have a capacity of 67 ML/d (or 775 L/s) and CH2M Hill's 2006 report envisions an ultimate capacity of 120 ML/d (1,389 L/s) will be required for peak hour periods. The station has been designed to accommodate installation of two new additional pumps to increase the ultimate capacity to 1,389 L/s without the need for significant improvements to the building, discharge piping or additional property. Bulk meter data for Clayton and Cloverdale were reviewed in the 2010 AECOM report which is summarized in **Table 10.1**. Bulk data for the Clayton zone was based on connections from the Clayton Reservoir, Clayton Pump Station, and the 72 Avenue & 184 Street PRV, while bulk data for the Cloverdale zone was based on connections at 68A Avenue & 176 Street, 54 Avenue & 192 Street, and the PRV at 194 Street & Fraser Highway. The highest demand recorded in recent years occurred in the summer of 2006, followed by the summer of 2009.

Table 10.1 Bulk Meter Flow Data

	Year	MDD (L/s)	PHD (L/s)
Clayton - 115m Zone	2006	261	417
	2009	190	360
Cloverdale 90m Zone	2006	275	439
	2009	186	383

10.1.5 Future Servicing

For providing water servicing to the NCP area, it is important to assess both the NCP requirements as well as the long-term servicing plan for the surrounding area as development continues; plus any future development or NCP's such as the "Future North Clayton NCP". The overall servicing strategy prepared for the NCP takes into consideration the entire Clayton area.

West Clayton NCP Area

The West Clayton NCP land use plan forms the basis of the engineering servicing analysis.

In general, it is proposed that the northern and western portions of the NCP be serviced by the 90m pressure zone, which is either from the Clayton Reservoir or the PRV directly connected to Metro's feeder mains. The anticipated top servicing elevation for the 90m pressure zone is based on the residual pressure requirements outlined in the City's Engineering Design Criteria. Accounting for some headloss in the watermains and considering the head in the Clayton Reservoir can drop as low as 85m in the summer, the 55m contour elevation was identified as the approximate pressure zone boundary.

For system redundancy, the 90m pressure zone in West Clayton should be interconnected to the existing 90m zone south of Fraser Highway. Provisions should be made for a future interconnection to the Anniedale – Tynehead 90m zone via a future watermain north on 184 Street or 188 Street. The southern and eastern portions of the NCP should be serviced by the existing 115m pressure zone, supplied by the Clayton Booster Station.

Future "North Clayton" NCP

The future North Clayton NCP is the 261 hectare area located east of 188 Street and north of 74 Avenue. The topography of the future NCP area ranges from 28m to 62m, meaning it would also span the 90m and 115m pressure zones. The portion of the future North Clayton NCP below the 55m contour can therefore be serviced by the 90m pressure zone.

The pressure zone boundary will add a total area of 115 hectares to the 90m HGL zone, while the remaining 146 hectares will be serviced by the 115m HGL zone. These estimates will be used to generate population and water demand projections for the future North Clayton NCP area (refer to Section 10.2 for more details).

<u>Surrounding Clayton – Cloverdale Area</u>

Presently the Clayton-Cloverdale area (including East Clayton) adjacent to the West Clayton NCP is located within the 115m pressure zone, which is serviced by the Clayton Booster Station. As this area continues to develop there will be increasing water demands and pumping requirements for the Clayton Booster Station. As such, the capacity assessment of the Clayton Booster Station needs to consider the ultimate demand conditions from the West Clayton NCP + future "North Clayton" NCP + build-out of surrounding area. Water demand projections for the surrounding Clayton – Cloverdale area are discussed in Section 10.2.

10.2 DESIGN CRITERIA AND ANALYSIS

10.2.1 Design Criteria

The City of Surrey Design Criteria Manual has been used for servicing criteria for this NCP, and provisions for future development. This includes water demand rates, minimum pressure, and fire flow requirements. Parameters to be used for the assessment of the water distribution system for this NCP:

- Average Day Water Demand per Person
 (ADD): A demand factor of 500 L/d/capita.
- Maximum Day Water Demand per Person (MDD): A demand factor of 1,000 L/d/capita.
- Peak Day Water Demand per Person (PDD):
 A demand factor of 2,000 L/d/capita.
- Maximum Day Demand plus Fire Flow (MDD+FF): A minimum pressure of 14m (20psi) is required.
- Peak Hour Demand condition (PHD): A minimum pressure of 28m (40 psi) is required.
- Design Populations by Zoning / Land-Use
 Designation: Values as per *"Table 3.2 (b) of* the City's Engineering Design Criteria Manual".
- Fire Flow Design Requirements: Values as per "Table 3.2 (b) of the City's Engineering Design Criteria Manual".
- Hazen-Williams coefficient is equal to 125 for all new watermains 250mm dia. or larger.
- Hazen-Williams coefficient is equal to 100 for all new watermains 200mm dia. or smaller.
- Headloss in the watermains shall not exceed 5 m/km, and is preferred to be less than 2.5 m/km.

- Flow velocity within pipes shall not exceed 2 m/s for ultimate design flows, and where interim fire flow is permitted, the velocity of flow shall not exceed 3.25 m/s.
- □ All watermains shall be looped except for short length (<100m) for cul-de-sacs.
- Clayton Reservoir has a Top Water Level of 85.0m during Max Day + Fire and Peak Hour Scenarios.

10.2.2 Water Demand Projections

Peak demands for the NCP land-use were generated using parcel size and zoning densities, with equivalent populations for commercial and institutional demands. There are currently no industrial areas proposed in the NCP. In addition to using zoning densities as outlined in *"Table 2.6 (b) of the City's Engineering Design Criteria Manual"*, allowances for secondary suites were included in the population projections.

The following list summarizes the population densities used to estimate the populations within the West Clayton NCP:

- Single Family with Secondary Suite Density of 5.33 people per lot (3.56 people in main dwelling + 1.77 people in Suite)
- Single Family Household Size of 3.56 people per dwelling
- Townhouse Household Size of 2.69 people per unit
- Apartment Household Size of 1.43 people per unit
- Commercial: 60 people / ha;
- Mixed use Commercial / Residential: 196 people / ha; and,
- Institutional (school and child care facilities):
 50 people / ha.
- Recreational: 50 people / ha

For land uses with a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) greater than 1, the FAR factor was applied to the estimated population over the gross lot area. FARs less than 1 were not applied in order to maintain conservative estimates; this relates to the commercial area at 184 Street and 74 Avenue, and the commercial area north of 72 Avenue at 188 Street.

The total estimated population NCP is 21,792, which includes secondary suites and institutional/commercial equivalent population. Allocating the population distribution using the proposed land use plan, the population of the 90m zone is estimated to be 12,403 and the population of the 115m zone is estimated to be 9,389.

Future "North Clayton" NCP

It is anticipated that the land-use within the future "North Clayton" NCP will be very similar to that proposed for West Clayton NCP, and likewise similar to the East Clayton NCP area. To estimate the potential future population, and water demands, for the future "North Clayton" NCP the average population density of 100 people equivalents per gross land hectare was used (derived from West Clayton NCP with a 15% growth factor).

This approach is reasonable if the future "North Clayton" NCP has a similar percentage of landarea designated for parks, creeks, riparian areas and road right-of-ways. This approach also ensures that the future "North Clayton" NCP population allowance incorporates secondary suites at all single-family lots, with the assumption that the ratio of single family to multi-family population remains relatively similar to the West Clayton NCP.

Referring to "Table 3.2 (b) of the City's Engineering Design Criteria Manual" for design fire flow requirements, the highest required fire flow is 120 L/s for institutional and community commercial zoning. Table 10.2 summarizes the population and flow demands for the West Clayton NCP and future "North Clayton NCP".

Table 10.2 Population and Water Demands (West Clayton & "North Clayton" NCP)

Area	Pressure Zone	Residential Population	IC Eq. Population	Total Population	ADD (L/s)	MDD (L/s)	MDD+FF (L/s)	PHD (L/s)
West Clayton	90m	12,019	384	12,403	72	144	144 + 120 = 264	287
NCP	115m	8,360	1,029	9,389	54	109	109 + 120 = 229	217
Total		20,379	1,413	21,792	126	252	252 + 120 = 372	504
Future North	90m	10,744	745 ¹	11,489 ²	66	134	134 + 120 = 254	266
Clayton NCP	115m	13,670	948 ¹	14,618 ²	85	169	169 + 120 = 289	338
Total	90m zone	24,414	1,693	26,107	151	303	303 + 120 = 423	604

1. IC Population estimate is based on the ratio from West Clayton NCP

2. Population based on equivalent population estimate of 100 people per hectare.

The City of Surrey estimates the current residential population of the Clayton – Cloverdale area is 69,980 residents. With the addition of the West Clayton and North Clayton NCP's, the residential population would increase to approximately 115,000. City Planning Staff envision the area will develop to 129,770 residents by 2046 – meaning approximately 15,000 residents are expected in the form of infill / densification in Cloverdale. This amount represents a 21% increase over the current residential population, which can be considered a reasonable estimate for infill in relation to other areas that have densified in the City.

To proceed with the analysis, three conditions were identified that would logically take into account the areas that the proposed water distribution strategy would service:

- 1. Current Condition: Existing Clayton -Cloverdale
- 2. Interim Condition: Existing Area + West Clayton NCP.
- 3. Long-Range Condition: Existing Area + Infill + West Clayton NCP + North Clayton NCP

For all three land-use conditions identified above, flow demands for each area were calculated. Flows for both the West Clayton NCP and future "North Clayton NCP" were summarized above in Table 10.2.

Table 10.3 below summarizes the estimated flows for each of the three land-use conditions. These flows are summarized by pressure zone to assist in determining overall supply requirements to the zones, which assists in confirming the required supply for the 90m zone as well as the booster station capacity for 115m zone. Infill estimates were spread evenly over both pressure zones. The existing 90m zone demands are supplied by current PRV's and connections therefore the feeder main from Clayton Reservoir and/or the PRV at 184 St and 72 Avenue only needs to convey 90m zone demands for West and North Clayton and not necessarily the "long range" flows for the entire 90m zone for Clayton-Cloverdale.

Pressure	1. Current Condition (Existing)				2. Interim Condition (Existing + West Clayton)			3. Long-Range Condition (Existing + Infill + West & North NCP)		
Zone	ADD (L/s)	MDD+FF (L/s)	PHD (L/s)	ADD (L/s)	MDD+FF (L/s)	PHD (L/s)	ADD (L/s)	MDD+FF (L/s)	PHD (L/s)	
90m Zone (All Clayton- Cloverdale)	100	275+120=395	439	172	419+120=539	726	282	693+120=759	1166	
115m Zone (Clayton PS)	130 +/-	261+120=381	417	184	370+120=490	634	312	626+120=746	1146	

Table 10.3 90m and 115m Pressure Zone Water Demands

10.2.3 Servicing Strategy and Options

A key objective for the water servicing strategy for the NCP is to effectively maximize the use of the existing Metro Vancouver HGL to service the area by residual pressure in the mains, therefore minimizing pumping requirements from the Clayton Pump Station. In other words, the goal is to have as much of the NCP area within the 90m pressure zone as possible, where distribution can be supplied by gravity mains.

The study area ranges in elevation from 0m to 75m (+/-). Properties located below the 55m contour can be serviced by the 90m HGL Zone, with a supply HGL minimum of 85m, while maintaining minimum residual pressures. Minimizing the area supplied by the 115m HGL zone helps to reduce energy costs and reliance on water supplied through the Clayton Booster Station. Servicing the portions of the West Clayton NCP that are within the 115m pressure zone will be via the Clayton Booster Station. The portions of the NCP within the 90m zone can be serviced through the following two options:

Option 1: Clayton Reservoir (90m HGL)

This option would require a new dedicated (90m zone) feeder main along 72 Avenue, from the Clayton Reservoir to 184 Street, where it would service the proposed 90m zone in West Clayton and subsequently the future North Clayton NCP. A disadvantage of this option is that the Clayton Reservoir can operate at levels as low as 85m during summer months meaning under Max Day demands. While the reservoir is being upgraded to include additional storage volume, it would still operate as low as 85m resulting in pressure limitations.

Option 2: PRV at 72 Avenue and 184Street

Currently the City has a PRV and connection to Metro Vancouver's feeder main at 72 Avenue and 184 Street. The PRV is currently set at a HGL of 112.7m, but with the seasonally fluctuating HGL's in Metro's main this PRV rarely operates. This PRV could be replaced with a larger PRV and the setting changed to a 90m HGL, such that it would be the primary supply for the West Clayton NCP's 90m pressure zone. Under max day demands, Metro's Feeder main (and therefore this PRV) operates above 90m HGL therefore this option would ensure the City's 90m zone remains a 90m zone year-round.

Based on initial discussions with Metro Vancouver, all new direct connections to Metro Vancouver watermains are discouraged because of the impact on hydraulics, balancing demands and turn-over in reservoirs. This also applies to significantly increasing demands through existing direct connections.

Since it is unclear if Metro Vancouver's feeder main has adequate capacity to meet the peak demands of the NCP while also meeting existing demands, Option 1 is recommended to form the primary water supply for the NCP. When the future "North Clayton NCP" develops, it is anticipated that its 90m pressure zone will be interconnected to West Clayton; therefore the supply to North Clayton will also be via Option 1, depending on the timing of development.

Figure 10.2 shows the proposed pressure zone boundary for the West Clayton NCP (which is consistent for both servicing options) and the two supply options for the 90m HGL pressure zone.

PART B - ENGINEERING SERVICING




Figure 10.2 Proposed Pressure Zone Boundary

10.2.4 Water Supply Source Analysis by Pressure Zone

<u>115m Pressure Zone</u>

The south and eastern portions of the NCP are located in the 115m pressure zone and will be serviced by the existing Clayton Booster Station. The station has a current design capacity of 775 L/s (as identified in the 2006 CH2M Hill report) which includes capacity reserved for development in East Clayton and other portions of Clayton – Cloverdale. Thus, the capacity of 775 L/s cannot be relied upon to solely service existing properties plus the West Clayton NCP.

From Table 10.3, under the interim land-use condition (Existing demands + West Clayton NCP) the governing demand condition for the 115m zone is Peak Hour Demand of which the PHD is predicted to be 634 L/s. This PHD rate of 634 L/s is based on the current PHD of 417 L/s + 217 L/s for West Clayton PHD (as per Table 10.2).

In the long-range, it is estimated that the capacity of the Clayton Booster Station should be 1,146 L/s, which would include servicing portions of the West Clayton NCP and future "North Clayton NCP". The station has a capacity of 775 L/s and overall the building and discharge piping is designed for a capacity of 1,389 L/s with the intention that two (2) additional pumps would be required (each of capacity 300 L/s +/-). Therefore in order to service West Clayton NCP at least one (1) – 300 L/s pump would be required for the booster station. When the "North Clayton NCP" develops, it will likely require the additional pump and a 450mm feeder main from the Clayton Booster Station.

90m Pressure Zone

The existing Clayton-Cloverdale zone has a Peak Hour Demand of 439 L/s, which is supplied by existing PRV connections to Metro Vancouver. With the addition of the West Clayton NCP, the Peak Hour Demand for the 90m zone (existing + West Clayton) is predicted to increase to 726

SECTION 10: WATER

L/s as noted previously in Table 10.3. This 287 L/s increase is solely for the West Clayton NCP.

Referring again to **Table 10.3**, if the future "North Clayton NCP" develops as well, the combined West + North Clayton 90m zone peak demand is 553 L/s and the entire Clayton-Cloverdale 90m pressure zone is estimated to be 1,166 L/s. Servicing the West + North Clayton 90m zone will require a large feeder main to the Clayton Reservoir, as well as interconnections to the existing 90m zone south of Fraser Highway to balance pressure and provide redundancy (as described in Option 1). In summary, the servicing strategy for the 90m zone is as follows:

- Utilize existing PRV connections to Metro and the Clayton Reservoir to supply existing 90m zone demands;
- The Clayton Reservoir's inlet/outlet piping should be upgraded to meet the servicing requirements of the West + North Clayton 90m Zone;
- A proposed feeder main on 72 Avenue, from the Clayton Reservoir to 188
 Street, should be sized to convey the long-range Peak Hour Demand for the West + North Clayton 90m zone.

10.2.5 Hydraulic Analysis

The hydraulic analysis for the study area was conducted using WaterCAD software. This takes advantage of the water model AECOM previously created for the Clayton Cloverdale Water Model Upgrade Report (2010). WaterCAD models hydraulics, operations, and fire flows of pipe networks. The water distribution system is represented as links (water mains) and nodes (junctions, hydrants, and valves). Pipe hydraulics are calculated using parameters of pipe diameter, roughness, elevation and length. Demands, including fire flow requirements, are applied at nodes.

To analyze the servicing strategy both Maximum Day Demand + Fire Flow, and Peak Hour Demand scenarios were created with the proposed pipe network.

Results from the WaterCAD model for residual pressure (psi) in each node with velocities, and headloss gradient (m/km) for each pipe are shown in the following figures:

Figure 10.3: Proposed Water System MDD + FF;

- Figure 10.4: Proposed Water System
 PHD (Pressures and Velocities); and,
- Figure 10.5: Proposed Water System PHD (Headloss Gradient).

Figure 10.3 indicates that the flows for each node under MDD+FF are well above the fire flow requirement of 120 L/s. As well, all distribution node pressures are above 40 psi. Figure 10.4 shows that all proposed watermain velocities are less than 2.0 m/s under peak hour conditions, which is the governing flow condition for the area. Figure 10.5 shows that within the proposed water distribution system, there are no watermains that experience a headloss greater than 5 m/km.

SECTION 10: WATER

258




Figure 10.3 West Clayton NCP Water MDD+FF Results




Figure 10.4 West Clayton NCP Water Peak Hour Results (Pressure and Velocity)

PART B - ENGINEERING SERVICING




Figure 10.5 West Clayton NCP Water Peak Hour Results (Headloss Gradient)

10.3 PROPOSED SYSTEM

10.3.1 Recommended Option

The long-term servicing strategy for the West Clayton NCP is to:

- (i) service the 115m pressure zone via the existing Clayton Booster Station, which will require an upgrade to service the build-out of the NCP; and,
- (ii) service the 90m pressure zone by constructing a 750mm feeder main on 72 Avenue from the Clayton Reservoir to 188 Street, and a 600mm feeder main from 188 Street to 184 Street.

For the future "North Clayton NCP" the longterm strategy would be to extend a large diameter main north on 188 Street to 76 Avenue. Depending on the timing of development in North Clayton versus Anniedale – Tynehead, a subsequent supply option could be to interconnect the Anniedale – Tynehead 90m zone to the North Clayton NCP. A minimum 300mm watermain will be installed on 184 Street to 80 Avenue to allow for a future 90m zone connection to the Anniedale-Tynehead.

The recommended long-term strategy and capital upgrades required to service the West Clayton NCP are summarized on Figure 10.6. This includes the recommended supply sources and watermain diameters. It is important to note that the watermain diameters recommended are based on the interim network. Because development does not all occur simultaneously, the water network will be constructed in segments which may result in temporary dead-ends. Under these interim conditions a larger diameter watermain (i.e. 300mm vs 200mm) may be required to satisfy the fire protection or peak hour requirements, where a fully looped network of smaller mains may be sufficient. For this reason mains on arterial and major collector roads have been sized at a minimum 300mm diameter. In addition to the proposed water network through the NCP area, there are a few significant "off-site" capital upgrades that are required by the NCP and these are summarized below for each pressure zone:

<u>90m Zone</u>

- Construct a 750mm watermain on 72
 Avenue, from Clayton Reservoir to 188
 Street. A 600mm watermain would be
 required for the West Clayton NCP but it is
 recommended to be upsized to a 750mm
 watermain to also service the future "North
 Clayton" NCP;
- Construct a 600mm watermain on 72
 Avenue, from 184 St to 188 St, for West
 Clayton's 90m zone. This will provide the
 primary water supply to the zone as its
 source will be from supplied by the Clayton
 Reservoir.
- Interconnect to existing 300mm watermain on 180 Street and Fraser Highway (proposed 90m zone interconnection).
- Interconnect to existing 300mm watermain on north side of Fraser Highway at 182
 Street (proposed 90m zone interconnection).
- A dedicated 600mm watermain will be required on 188 Street, from 72 Avenue to 78 Avenue, to service the future "North Clayton NCP".

<u>115m Zone</u>

- Add one (1) additional pump to the Clayton
 Booster Station, with a capacity of
 approximately 300 L/s.
- Upgrade watermains on 72 Avenue, from 184 Street to 188 Street to 450mm diameter to meet PHD criteria in the 115m zone.

- Utilize the existing 400mm watermains on 188 Street, south of 72 Avenue, to supply the 115m zone.
- Utilize existing 300mm watermains on 184
 Street, from 72 Avenue to Fraser Highway to supply 115m zone.
- A dedicated 450mm watermain will be required from the Clayton Booster Station to service the future "North Clayton NCP" 115m zone.

PART B - ENGINEERING SERVICING




Figure 10.6 Recommended Water Servicing Strategy

10.3.2 Interim Phasing

<u>90m Zone</u>

At this time there is no 90m Zone servicing within the NCP area. For development to proceed, a 90m Zone water supply needs to be constructed. The primary supply for the NCP will be the proposed feeder main from the Clayton Reservoir to be constructed from 190 Street to 184 Street.

The City currently has a 150mm PRV at the intersection of 184 Street and 72 Avenue. The 150mm PRV has an existing capacity of 106 L/s, based on the manufacture's recommendation of a maximum velocity of 6 m/s. The PRV could be reconfigured to serve as the interim supply for the proposed 90m Zone. The existing population in the area is approximately 500 residents, which equates to a Max Day demand of 5.8 L/s (500 people x 1000 L/capita/day). This results in the existing 150mm PRV having a spare capacity of 100 L/s.

This PRV would be required to provide fire protection to the West Clayton 90m zone, and the City's interim fire flow requirements range from 45 L/s for single-family to 90 L/s for multifamily and commercial land-use. The following summarizes the available capacity of the 150mm PRV based on the potential land-uses proposed for development:

- PRV capacity 106 L/s = Fire Flow 45
 L/s (single family) + 6 L/s (current
 MDD) +55 L/s (excess)
- PRV capacity 106 L/s = Fire Flow 90
 L/s (multi-family/commercial) + 6
 L/s (current MDD) + 10 L/s (excess)
- PRV capacity 106 L/s = no spare capacity for design fire flow of 120 L/s (Commercial and Multifamily)

The PRV could be used to service the initial stages of development before construction of the 72 Avenue feeder main is complete. The

proposed land use in the 90m pressure zone between 74 Avenue and Fraser Highway is predominantly townhouses, medium density clusters, and mixed use commercial developments; therefore, the existing PRV station would have 10 L/s of capacity provided interim fire flow criteria are used. To provide redundancy, the mains interconnecting with the existing 90m Zone south of Fraser Highway should be constructed in the early stages of development.

<u>115m Zone</u>

At this time, the existing Clayton Booster Station and watermain network in the 115m zone has spare capacity for development within West Clayton as well as continued development in East Clayton – Cloverdale areas. The booster stations current capacity of 775 L/s is significant higher than the 2006 Peak Hour Demands of 417 L/s. While development has occurred in the 115m zone and water demands have increased since 2006, it is anticipated there is likely on the order of 200 L/s of spare capacity in the existing booster station.

SECTION 10: WATER

265

10.4 COSTS AND FINANCING

To satisfy anticipated fire flow and peak hour demand requirements, the West Clayton NCP will require a looped watermain network supplied by a feeder main from the Clayton Reservoir for the 90m zone, and an upgrade to the existing Clayton Booster Station (115m zone).

The total cost of infrastructure improvements required to service the NCP area is estimated at **\$8.1 million** and the eligible DCC expenditures for the West Clayton NCP area are **\$7.5 million**, and the eligible DCC expenditures for the future "North Clayton NCP" area are **\$0.6 million**, as shown in Table 10.4.

Table 10.4 Water Infrastructure Servicing Cost Estimate

Ref No.	Description	Unit	Unit Price ¹	Quantity	DCC Cost	DCC Split Betwee & North Cla	and the second se
	Color Color					West Clayton NCP	North Clayton NCP
1	Water Distribution System - 115m HGL	Zone					
1.1	250mm Distribution Main ²	lin.m.	\$200	640	\$128,000	\$128,000	
1.2	300mm Distribution Main ²	lin.m.	\$250	2,696	\$674,000	\$674,000	
1.3	450mm Feeder Main	lin.m.	\$1,350	788	\$1,063,800	\$1,063,800	
	-			Sub-Total =	\$1,865,800	\$1,865,800	\$0
2	Water Distribution System - 90m HGL 2	one					
2.1	250mm Distribution Main ²	lin.m.	\$200	713	\$142,600	\$142,600	
2.2	300mm Distribution Main ²	lin.m.	\$250	5,022	\$1,255,500	\$1,255,500	
2.3	350mm Feeder Main ²	lin.m.	\$350	784	\$274,400	\$274,400	
2.4	450mm Feeder Main	lin.m.	\$1,350	407	\$549,450	\$549,450	
2.5	500mm Feeder Main	lin.m.	\$1,450	407	\$590,150	\$590,150	
2.6	600mm Feeder Main	lin.m.	\$1,600	795	\$1,272,000	\$1,272,000	
2.7	750mm Feeder Main	lin.m.	\$2,100	567	\$1,190,700	\$619,164	\$571,536
				Sub-Total =	\$5,274,800	\$4,703,264	\$571,536
3	PRV Upgrade						
3.1	1 - 150mm PRV	each	\$220,000	1	\$220,000	\$220,000	-
				Sub-Total =	\$220,000	\$220,000	\$0
4	Clayton Booster Station Upgrade						
4.1	1 - 300 L/s Additional Pump	each	\$750,000	1	\$750,000	\$750,000	
				Sub-Total =	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$(
			TOTA	L (excl. GST)	\$8,110,600	\$7,539,064	\$571,536

1. Unit Prices were provided by the City of Surrey Engineering Department and represent their 2016 unit rates and include 12% engineering and 15% contingency allowances.

2. Costs are for upsize amount only, which is the amount above the minimum required 200mm diameter cost



PART C

Appendices and Acknowledgements



- A-1 Corporate Reports (Stage I and Stage 2)
- A-2 Background Studies
 - i. Environmental Study
 - ii. Energy Efficiency Study
 - iii. Transportation Study
 - iv. Commercial Viability Study
 - v. Engineering Servicing Studies
- A-3 Summary of Public Consultation Feedback and Results
- A-4 Sustainability Charter Objective Tables

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The City of Surrey acknowledges the contributions and participation of the following individuals and organizations in the preparation of the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) MEMBERS

Donna Marilyn Broerken	Alan Mitchell
Forest Day	Henry Schut
Brock Dorwood	Rob Thompson
Gurjet Gill	John Turner
David Jacobsen	Roni Van Vliet
Margaret Kaye	Adam Whitworth
Gordon Banta	Balwinder Thind
Dave Pollon	Gordon R. Wood

COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION AND AGRICULTURAL REPRESENTATIVE

Rex Eaton	East Clayton Residents Association
Doug Wiebe	Port Kells Community Association
Ken Krasnikoff	Cloverdale Community Association
Mike Bose	Agriculture and Food Security Advisory Committee
Citizens-at-Large	
Citizens-at-Large Deb jack	Surrey Environmental Partners
•	Surrey Environmental Partners Fleetwood Community Association

CITY OF SURREY STAFF:

Planning & Development

Jean Lamontagne	General Manager, Planning & Development
Don Luymes	Community Planning Manager
Bhargav Parghi	Senior Planner / Project Manager - NCP
Markus Kischnick	Community Planner / Project Manager - NCP
Fay Wong	Planning Technician II
Joe Waskito	GIS Specialist
Stuart Jones	Senior Planner / GIS

Engineering

Fraser Smith	General Manager, Engineering
Jeff Arason	Utilities Manager
Rémi Dubé	Development Services Manager
Philip Bellefontaine	Transportation Planning Manager
Jason Owen	District Energy Manager
Jane Umpleby	Project Engineer / Project Manager – NCP
Samantha Ward	Project Engineer / Project Manager – NCP
Laura Hardiman	Project Engineer
Kristen Tiede	Transportation Engineer
David Hislop	Project Engineer
Waleed Giratalla	Project Engineer
Rory Tooke	Community Energy Planner
Stephen Godwin	Environmental Manager
Sarb Atwal	Project Supervisor

Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services

Ted Uhrich	Manager, Planning, Research and Design
Patrick Klassen	Parks Planner


West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan


Planning and Development & Engineering Departments

City of Surrey 13450 104 Avenue

Approved by Council July 2015







Appendix V

Summary of Input from Stage 2 Public Information Meeting

WEST CLAYTON NCPs PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE – JUNE 18, 2015 COMMENT SHEET SUMMARY

Approximately 227 people attended the West Clayton NCP Public Open House held on June 18, 2015 at Hazelgrove Elementary School. To date, the Planning & Development Department has received 23 completed feedback forms, representing comments from 21 properties in the NCP area or within approximately 1 km of the NCP area (including comments from the Surrey Little Theatre). Below is a summary of the comments received from the 21 properties. *Note: Numbers in brackets represent the survey number, NOT the frequency of the response.*

1. What features do you like about the Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan?

Greenspace (Parks, Walking Trails, Linkages between Them) – 10 responses

- The Parks + trails (1)
- The Greenspace. Although it looks like the Greenway Connector close to Surrey Little Theatre that was in the plan 18 months ago has been deleted... would be nice to have green areas in this commercial core "village". (4/6)
- Love the intra-connectedness with green space and pathways/parks. (7)
- Parklands + walkability of the neighbourhood. Parks. Walkability. Learned from East Clayton. (8/9)
- Walking trails, pathways, park space (help promote fitness & need to stay green for our kids). (10)
- Park areas. (11)
- Excited about the parks. (12)
- Green space walkways greenbelts. (16)
- The creation of linear parks and biodiversity corridors unfortunately the 21 acres designated is only 30% of what is required. (21)
- Emphasis on greenspace (23)

New Schools – 5 responses

- New secondary/elementary school. (10)
- New Schools. (11)
- Excited about the schools. (12)
- School. (13)
- Building and expansion of schools. (17)

Good Plan – 4 responses

- Everything looks good. Excited about the schools. Excited about the community center. Excited about the parks. (12)
- Proper development. (13)

- OK (14)
- The plan looked to be well thought out and I agree with it with the exception of the below comment on moving forward. (19)

Roads/Transportation – 3 responses

- Better road. (13)
- Transportation. (16)
- Improved Transportation System. (17)

Community Centre – 3 responses

- Excited about the community center. (12)
- Inclusion of library and community centre which should have been part of the East Clayton Development. (20)
- Some recognition of the need for community & recreational centre. (23)

Larger Clayton Park – 2 responses

- The enlargement of Clayton Park. (2)
- Expansion of Clayton Park. (23)

Better Parking Control – 2 responses

- Better parking control. (2)
- Need for more Parking. (17)

Transit – 1 response

• Transit (including light rail) - hope schedule is decent. (10)

Highest Density Near Fraser Hwy Transportation Corridor – 1 response

• Highest density near the Fraser Highway transportation corridor. (22)

Connection Sanitary Sewer to the South – 1 response

• It is good we can tie into the sewer to the South. Thank you. (4/6)

No Coach Houses – 1 response

• No coach houses. (2)

Single Family – 1 response

• Still will have some single family dwellings. (2)

New Development for Young Families – 1 response

• New development for young families. (11)

Local Commercial (Within Walking Distance) – 1 response

• Walking distance community market/retail space (depending what goes there... no liquor store or pawn shop or 2nd hand shop or food store with strong smells). (10)

Parkland as Buffer Between ALR and Urban Development – 1 response

• Parkland to separate ALR and urban development. (20)

Sense of Community – 1 response

• Community feeling. (11)

None – 1 response

- None (3)
- 2. Are there any aspects of the Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan that are of concern to you? Please explain.

Developer Having to Front-End the Servicing Costs – 6 responses

- Why not charge per unit to the developer. 1) Why does the first developer take the hit? 2) Why does Surrey charge 600 K when ALR land is only 80 K. 3) Why doesn't Surrey pay the upfront cost + then collect from the developer? (2)
- Yes City asking developer to front all costs as in notes below... Why does city not share Costs with developer? Why does first developer have to put up 2 million and hope to recover his money? Why is pond land valued at 600 K when 80 K per acre is the Norm. To do Sewer has to go through private property. (11)
- I wish the City would put some money in to start development. (12)
- The plan will need multiple developers. The first developer having to cover costs of sanitary will not work. It will be a waiting game to see who will go in first. This will delay the whole plan for a very long time. This is a major flaw in how the area will develop. Surrey there is another way to arrange this (initial) financial burden to the first developer. (19)
- Lack of leadership by the City in provision of infrastructure and phasing of development which will lead to poorly planned and coordinated development by developers as per East Clayton. Concern that Developers have too much influence resulting in low quality, cheap development as per East Clayton. (20)
- The servicing strategy is a shortsighted "bandaid solution" which will result in a small flurry of disjointed growth and then another floundering, financially non viable, NCP approved area. (21)

Parking – 3 responses

- You should learn from what you did with East Clayton, no parking and too high density. (3)
- Parking. (8/9)
- I'm worried about public parking... the roads in my neighbourhood are narrow... parking is an issue with all the townhomes & homes with smaller or no yard... too many residents in an increasingly small space... where will we park. (10)

Impact on Surrey Little Theatre – 3 responses

- What will happen to Surrey Little Theatre? (1)
- Parking for Surrey Little Theatre. I work with Surrey Little Theatre (heritage building). Our patrons have to use the street for parking. When the street is widened it will take away all our parking and place the building too close to the road. All the roadside parking that Surrey Little Theatre uses for their patrons will be gone. We rely on the street parking for the theatre society. We will need off road parking if we cannot park on the street + our lot is not big enough to accommodate 35+ vehicles. (4/6)
- Theatre: move building to Proposed site 72nd. (17)

Slow Traffic Down at 72 Ave and 184 St – 2 responses

- 72 + 184 needs a roundabout to slow traffic also 188 + 72 ave. (3)
- Adequate crosswalks, speed control on 184th + 72nd. (8/9)

DCCs – 2 responses

- Wondering if the extra DCC costs will deter developers. (7)
- Surpluses in the Water. Transportation and Parkland DCC contributions are not applied against the Sanitary and Drainage DCC shortfalls -- the city keeps surpluses but requires developers (which ultimately means the eventual homeowners) fund shortfalls per DCC category instead of funding the total DCC contributions that are needed. (22)

None – 2 responses

- None (13)
- No (14)

Slow Build Out – 1 response

• Construction over time... Slow piece meal approach after zoning. Drag on for years. My quality of life suffers during build out... (16)

Development Not Matching What is Shown in the NCP – 1 response

• Develop the land as the NCP shows not the way the developer wants to. (2)

Village Core at 72 Ave and 188 St – 1 response

• It's vital that at the end of the day that the Clayton area have a community identity. To assist, more emphasis is needed on a village-style commercial core at 72nd and 188th. This needs to be coupled with a robust recreational, cultural and community centre which serves the needs of the people in the area. (23)

Arts Component of the Proposed Recreation Centre – 1 response

• Need more information on the arts component of the new proposed Rec Centre. What will happen to the park north of 72A at 190th? (1)

Extension of 72 Ave to Fraser Hwy – 1 response

• The extension of 72nd Ave to Fraser Hwy. (1)

Traffic – 1 response

• Traffic (16)

Need Designated Footpaths and Safe Cycle Routes - 1 response

• In general Surrey lacks a network of designated footpaths and safe cycle routes. The painted cycle lanes on 192nd are dangerous with respect to the risk of being "doored"; and 80th Av between 184th and 176th as a posted cycle route verges on negligence. There is a shoulder and a 2m deep water filled ditch is the only option for cyclists avoidance of distracted drivers. (20)

SkyTrain Rather than LRT – 1 response

• I feel that a raised LRT would be better than street level from Fleetwood to Langley. (5)

Increase Density – 1 response

• My AREA (7345 182 St) only get zone 2 UPA - why can we not get the up side potential of Higher Density. No one must sell - but eventually they will. Keep it fair - right now it is not. (16)

Density – 1 response

• Density (16)

Crime – 1 response

• Crime (16)

Senior's Centres – 1 response

• Senior's centres? (8/9)

Not Enough Greenspace – 1 response

• Not enough greenspace. For high dense areas. (16)

ALR – 1 response

Why are they planning on using land from ALR? What are the chances that the province will release the land? When would you know? Nothing can be done if it is not known when anything can actually start. Who will purchase the right of ways for sewer, trunk lines, etc? Will the land just be taken? What about my land values? 1) When will it actually be approved? 2) When will the city know when the land will be available for the infrastructure? Who pays for the easements? (8/9)

Other – 1 response

• OHIO Cres. Renamed Graham Cres. The old Silos are made of wood not concrete Block. The Silo was built in the 70's. (17)

3. In general, do you support the Stage 2 Land Use Concept Plan? Please check (✓) one:

I generally support the Land Use Plan.	12 responses	(57%)
I support the Land Use Plan but have concerns.	6 responses	(29%)
I do not support the Land Use Plan.	2 responses	(10%)
Blank	1 response	(5%)

4. Other Comments:

Focus on Achieving a Community Core – 1 response

• Continued effort to achieve a community core can help make the plan a success. (23)

Timing and Taxes – 1 response

• How long will it take to gather everything for the infrastructure? When would a developer actually get to develop the properties? People who don't sell will still enjoy the amenities by development. Shouldn't their taxes reflect this? (8/9)

Ditch on North Side of 7679 184 St – 1 response

• We do not agree that the man made ditch on the North Side of our Property should be considered to be fish habitat (Creek buffer). (17)

Parking on 72 Ave, Between 188 St and 191 St – 1 response

• I would like to know about parking on 72nd Ave - 188 - 191 - We own commercial work/live + need the parking out front for customers - north side of 72nd Ave. (1)

Development Near Gas Line – 1 response

 To allow for the gas line to be used for green space/walkway is the city open to creative/innovative townhouse devel. to encourage developer to buy + develop properties w/ gas line? (7)

Schools and Community Services Should Be in Place Before Development Occurs – 1 response

 I am curious to know what are the concept plans for East Clayton and North Clayton and how do those interplay with West Clayton Concept Plan? My major concerns are with the schools and infrastructure to support all this development. I would like to encourage that part of planning and implementation is to not allow further development until the infrastructure/support is in place. Please do not give any more permits or develop anymore subdivisions in Clayton until the government puts the funding in place for these schools. The strain it puts on our community the school district and our children is not fare or good planning (something they teach us in public school). Another strategy I might suggest if you are not wanting to wait...is to get more funds for new schools from the developers through you "surcharge" fee and "Amenity contribution" by adding school capital projects to the fees. I may have missed it, Another thing I have not noticed discussion in the West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan of discussion with MCFD or Ministry of Health or other community partners (RCMP etc.). Where do these fit into the Clayton concept plan. With more people shouldn't these services be incorporated into the community, buildings developed in area to house these services? I noticed the objective is to be Green and Well Designed and Connected. Yet I don't see a well developed transit service within the area. The parks, cycling, and walking areas is amazing...but the biggest problem in Clayton is vehicles and if the community isn't well designed to allow connection through the use of green transit, and connection by having community services (RCPM, Public Health, MCFD, and Rec Centre) in the area then the need and use of cars will perpetuate! I think that these things are very important to consider in this planning phase for not only West Clayton, but all of Clayton. (18)


Strongly Opposed to the Land Use Plan – 1 response

• As the largest property owner (25 acres) (7481 184 St) in the West Clayton area we are strongly opposed to the Land Use Plan. (21)

Updates – 1 response

• I would like updates on this NCP. (12)

Appendix VI



Summary of Modifications to Land Use Concept from Stage 1 to Stage 2

Elementary School Site Changes - Area "A"

The School District has been working on some minor changes to school site locations. The elementary school north of 72 Ave has been selected as the preferred site location. The School District has already purchased a property for secondary school adjacent to this site, east of 184 St, between 72 Ave and 74 Ave. The School District is planning on building the secondary school and elementary school back to back so they will be able to share fields and facilities. This is the most efficient option for them, but if land negotiations for purchase of the preferred site are unsuccessful, an alternative site option for an elementary school has also been identified north of 74 Avenue between 185 street and 186 B Street. The School District is in active land negotiations with landowners regarding acquisitions of these properties, but the ultimate location of the elementary school has not yet been determined and may require a future NCP amendment once a site has been acquired.

Wildlife Corridor Alignment and Park Changes - Area "B"

There are parkland area changes and road realignment/design modifications to conform to the recently adopted Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS). There are also Cluster Designation changes for Green Space Transfer areas including a High Density Cluster area added north of the Gas Right-of –way. Large sections of the BCS corridor area have been identified to be purchased by the City as natural are park. The City is evaluating a city wide process to fund buying these green area/wildlife corridors, but the funding mechanism has not yet been determined.

Other areas of BCS corridor have been designated as density bonus/transfer areas that will be conveyed to the city, by open space allocation through rezoning process in Cluster Housing areas. These green corridors will connect with Clayton Park and North Creek and the natural areas to the north and to the west of the NCP. In addition, changes have been made to the design of road network surrounding the BCS Corridors, and have included some special street cross sections. A City Park has also been identified for encumbered BCS property between 76A Avenue and 77 B avenue east of 185 Street as part of the "BCS Corridor" designation. Much of the western portion of the Green Density Transfer area southeast of 78A Ave and 184 ST is now BCS Corridor. In addition, between 185 St and 186 St, there have been related parkland and road network

In addition, between 185 St and 186 St, there have been related parkland and road network adjustments. A minimum 60 m width for the BCS Corridor will be maintained by removing a road crossing for 77 Ave to 187 St.

Road Network Changes - Area "C"

Several minor road network modifications have been identified since Stage 1 including:

- Additional east-west local flex roads added between 184 St, 186 St, 76 Ave, and 78 Ave to ensure road network connectivity.
- Minor 72 Ave road alignment modifications identified for the ultimate connection to Fraser Hwy to occur along 180 St in the short term. In the long term (beyond the 10-year plan), 72 Ave is planned to snake north to connect directly to Fraser Hwy, and in the long term planned to connect across the ALR to 72 Ave in Newton. This area are surrounding the future 72 Avenue was initially shown as "Proposed Park" but it is now shown as "Landscape Buffer" and forms part of the Future Road Right-of-Way.

- 76A Ave, which is a local road toward the Agricultural Land Reserve ("ALR"), has been removed. A proposed panhandle lot is proposed to ensure future farm access into the ALR.
- There is an additional north-south local road and a north-south Habitat Corridor alignment change in keeping with BCS.
- The 77 Ave road connection to 187 St has been removed and a minimum 60 m width for the BCS Corridor will be maintained without a road crossing at this location.
- Between 185B St, 75 Ave, 186B St, and 74 Ave, the road network has changed and the land use designation has changed to Medium Density Cluster Residential to provide for a Green Density Transfer Area related to the BCS corridor.
- Adjacent and to the east of the proposed local road, 189B St, the landscape corridor will be 6 m wide and there will be a multi-use path as part of the road dedication for connection to the future BCS Corridor and multi-use path to the north
- 78 Ave has been replaced with new proposed local roads, 78A Ave and 77B Ave.
- North of 78 Ave, between 184 St and 188 St, the north-south flex local road has been removed and an east-west flex local road has been added.

Clayton Village Road and Land Use Modifications - Area "D"

McLean Drive has been renamed to 73 Avenue and has shifted a bit to the south, no longer running parallel to the Greenway, but now parallel to 72 Ave. The lots on which McLean Drive (now 73 Aven) were on before are now a Green Density Transfer area that is part of a continuation of the High Density Cluster Residential from the north. The Greenway (gas line right-of-way) will be a trail that runs along the northern edge of this Green Density Transfer area. Green Density Transfer also runs along the east side of the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Corridor, between 74 Ave and 72 Ave.

Proposed Public Recreation Facility Location added - Area "E"

A Proposed Public Recreation Centre location added to Clayton Park Area west of the mixed use commercial village area along 72 Ave. There has been a lot of discussion among the community for a future recreation centre, so the northeast portion of the Proposed Park that appeared as an extension of Clayton Park in Stage 1 is now designated "Proposed Public Recreation Facility". The proposed recreation centre will likely be similar in size and design of the Fraser Heights Recreation Centre and possibly have a library and be designed to include a strong village street presence.

Landscape Buffer Changes - Area "F"

The residential units southeast of 70 Ave and 185 St now face a landscape buffer adjacent to Clayton Heights Secondary School and there has been a slight reduction of the riparian area setback. The ALR landscape buffer "green density transfer area" has been reduced from 80 m to 60 m west of 184 streets. In addition a proposed park location has been removed north of 74 Avenue adjacent to the Fortis gas line.

The width of the Landscaped Buffer along the Greenway along Fraser Hwy has been reduced from 15 m to 5 m to ensure a more efficient land use edge along the frequent transit network area.

Live-Work Option Changes - Area "G"

Live-work option as main land use concept. There will be a live-work option on the ground floor of street-fronting units along 183 St (between 72 Ave and Fraser Hwy), 71A Ave (between 183 St and 184 St), 70B Ave (between 183 St and 184 St), 183A St, and 70 Ave (between 184 St and the landscape buffer east of 185B St).

Proposed Storm water Detention Pond Location Change - Area "H"

A stormwater detention pond, which was previously located near the southwest corner of the plan near Fraser Highway and 72 Avenue, has moved, and is now located in a triangular piece of land, owned by the City of Surrey as parkland, within the ALR. The contours of the original site (were such that the pond sat on the side of a hill) would create difficulties for detention pond facilities. The new location of the stormwater pond now identified in Stage II, is better suited to topography to ensure realistic development and drainage. The triangle of land the detention pond is proposed in is 2.4 hectares, and is intended to provide stormwater storage and in addition will be designed to provide a recreational amenity feature for the park and trail network.

Feedback from the Agriculture and Food Security Advisory Committee (AFSAC) was received by staff for this proposed Non-Farm (Utility & Recreation) use, on May 14th, 2015, as this used would need future Agricultural Land Commission ("ALC") approval. The AFSAC was generally supportive of the proposed detention pond and recreational uses so long as the design keeps any recreational use of property away from farmland, possibly with fencing. A future application to the ALC will be required from the City, before the detention pond facility and any trail uses can be constructed in the ALR.

Land Use Designation name changes

Stage 1 - Land Use Designation Name	•	Stage 2 - Land Use Designation Name
Residential Transition*		Urban Transition (6 UPA)
Medium Density Residential 10-12 upa		Urban Residential (10 + 4 UPA Bonus)
Medium to High Density Residential 15-25 upa		Townhouse Residential (22+ 5 UPA Bonus)
SF/TH Flex Density 10-25 upa		Urban / Townhouse Flex Residential* TYPE 1 –Urban (10+4 UPA Bonus) TYPE 2 –Townhouse (22+5 UPA Bonus)
Low Density Cluster Residential 6-8 upa (Gross)		Low Density Cluster Residential* TYPE 1 –5% Open Space (6+2 UPA Bonus) TYPE 2 -7.5% Open Space (8+2 UPA Bonus)
Medium Density Cluster Residential 10-12 upa (Gross)		Medium Density Cluster Residential* TYPE 1 – 7.5% Open Space (10+2 UPA Bonus) TYPE 2 – 10% Open Space (12+2 UPA Bonus)
Medium to High Density Cluster Residential (Gross 15-25 upa)		High Density Cluster Residential* TYPE 1 –10% Open Space (22+5 UPA Bonus) TYPE 2 -15% Open Space (25+5 UPA Bonus)
TH/APT Flex Density 25-45 upa		Townhouse/Apartment Flex* TYPE 1 – Townhouse (22+5 UPA Bonus) TYPE 2 – Apartment (1.3 +0.3 FAR Bonus)
High Density Residential 25-45 upa and maximum 1.3 FAR		Stacked Townhouse / Apartment* TYPE 1 –Stacked Townhouse (30-45 UPA) TYPE 2 –Apartment (1.3+0.3 FAR Bonus)
Mixed Use Commercial/Residential* *Maximum 1.3 FAR – At the 188 St & 72 Ave Node *Maximum 1.5 FAR – At the 184 St & Fraser Hwy Node		Mixed Use Commercial / Residential* TYPE 1 –Village Node (1.3+0.3 FAR Bonus) TYPE 2 –Transit Node (1.5+0.3 FAR Bonus)
Commercial		Neighbourhood Commercial (0.5 FAR)

Stage 2 West Clayton Land Use Statistics and Population/Unit Estimates

West Clayton Buildout Scenario (Stage II)										
Land Use	Acres	Area %	Total Residential Buildable Floor Space (Sq.ft)	Total Commercial Buildable Floor Space (Sq.ft)	Low Units	High Units	Low Population	High Population	Low Employment	High Employment
Existing Park	31.5	4.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proposed Park	44.5	6.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proposed Public Recreational Facility	4.2	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15
Wildlife Corridor	8.4	1.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landscape Buffer	9.2	1.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Day-Lighted Creek Buffer	1.1	0.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek Buffer Class A and Class AO	25.0	3.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Future School/Park	3.0	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	30
Existing Elementary School	3.0	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	30
Proposed Elementary School	23.7	3.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	30
Existing and Proposed Roads	187.3	26.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School District Property	8.6	1.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neighbourhood Commercial	5.2	0.7%	0	247,895	0	0	0	0	310	310
Mixed Use Commercial/Residential	17.2	2.4%	1,012,538	337,513	1,013	1,266	1,418	1,772	482	844
Suburban Residential (2 UPA)	22.1	3.1%	0	0	44	44	150	150	0	0
Low Density Cluster	66.3	9.3%	0	0	398	663	1,352	2,254	0	0
Urban Transition (6 UPA)	2.7	0.4%	0	0	16	16	56	56	0	0
Urban Residential (10+4 UPA Bonus)	54.1	7.6%	0	0	541	758	1,461	2,046	0	0
Medium Density Cluster	83.8	11.7%	0	0	838	1,173	2,262	3,166	0	0
Urban/Townhouse Flex	12.3	1.7%	0	0	123	333	333	898	0	0
Townhouse Residential (22+5 UPA Bonus)	56.6	7.9%	0	0	1,246	1,529	3,364	4,128	0	0
Townhouse Residential (22+5 UPA Bonus) Transition	2.5	0.4%	0	0	56	68	150	185	0	0
Townhouse/Apartment Flex	24.3	3.4%	0	0	607	655	1,638	1,769	0	0
Stacked Townhouse/Apartment	7.1	1.0%	0	0	213	319	575	862	0	0
High Density Cluster	9.8	1.4%	0	0	245	294	343	412	0	0
Total	713.6	100.0%	1,012,538	585,408	5,340	7,119	13,103	17,699	877	1,279


Summary of Stage 2 Land Use Plan Designation Descriptions


* Use of Comprehensive Development (CD) Zone is based on recommended base zones as prescribed in West Clayton NCP policies. **In addition to base density, an energy bonus may be available subject to meeting the West Clayton energy efficiency bonus policy.


		WEST CLAYTON NCP – LAND USE DESIGNATIONS										
ZONES	Suburban	Urban Transition	Low Density Cluster	Medium Density Cluster	High Density Cluster	Urban Residential	Townhouse Residential	Urban / Townhouse Flex	Stacked Townhouse / Apartment	Townhouse / Apartment Flex	Mixed Use Commercial / Residential	Neighbourhood Commercial
Single Family											1 1	
RA	0											
RH	0											
RC			0	0	0							
RF		0	0									
RF-G		0	0	0								
RF-12			•	0		0		0				
RF-10			-					0				
Two Family Residential			1	•							1	
RF-SD					0		0	0				
Multiple Family			1	•							1	
RM-10				0		0						
RM-15				•	0		0	0				
RM-23				Ŏ	0		0					
RM-30					•		•	•	0	0	1	
RM-45							Ť		0	0	0	
RM-70												
Commercial and Mixed Use								1				
C-4												0
C-5												0
C-15											0	
Comprehensive Development											•	
*CD		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
FORM / DENSITY GUIDELINES	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	. ·	<u> </u>	ļi	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		T	-	T	T	r	-	r	T	T		
Base UPA			6-8	10	22	10	22	10-22	25-45	30-45	45-60	
**(+Bonus) =			(+4)	(+4)	(+5)	(+4)	(+5)	(+2)	<u> </u>			
ΜΑΧ UPA	2 (Net)	6 (Net)	10 (Gross)	14 (Gross)	27 (Gross)	14 (Net)	27 (Net)	24 (Net)	45 (Net)	45 (Net)	60 (Net)	
Base FAR									1.5	1.3	1.5	0.5
**(+ Bonus) =					ļ				(+0.3)	(+0.2)	(+0.3)	
MAX FAR		0.52	0.6	0.5 -0.7	0.5 - 0.9	0.6	0.9	0.7	1.8	1.5	1.8	0.5
***Minimum Open Space %		0.52	5-7.5%	5-10%	10-15%	0.0	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.5	1.0	0.5
Max Height	(30 ft.)	(30 ft.)	(31 ft.)	(36 ft.)	(43 ft.)	36 ft.)	(43 ft.)	(36 ft.)	(60 ft.)	(50 ft.)	(70 ft.)	(30 ft.)
	2 1/2	2 ½	2 ½	3 Storeys	3 Storeys	3 Storeys	3 Storeys	2 1/2 - 3	4-6	4-Storeys	4-6	2 1/2
	Storeys	Storeys	Storeys					storeys	Storeys		Storeys	Storeys

*** Minimum Open Space component may be subject the West Clayton Green Space Transfer Policy and based on natural features

- Base Density Only
- With Energy Efficiency or Open Space Bonus










Lot sizes, Legal descriptions and encumbrances must be confirmed at the Land Title Office.

G:\MAPPING\GIS\Maps\CorporateReps\Utility\CW_WClaytonDCC_APIII.mxd

Appendix XII








Proposed Amendments to Surrey Official Community Plan

Proposed Amendments to Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended

The following amendments are proposed to Schedule F and G of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended:

1. Schedule F – Map of Neighbourhood Concept Plan and Infill Areas is amended by inserting Map 28 - Area XXIX for West Clayton, as follows:



2. Schedule G - Amenity Requirements in Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) and Infill Areas is amended by inserting a new Item 29 after Item 28, as follows:

NCP and Infill Areas		Amenity	Contributions Per <i>Dwelling</i> <i>Unit</i>	Contributions For All Other Land Uses		
29.	Area XXIX on Schedule F of this By-law	Parks and Pathways Development Library materials Fire Protection Police Protection	\$1,544.08 \$144.84 \$278.12 \$64.39	N/A N/A \$1,112.48 per acre \$257.56 per acre		
		Total Amenity Contributions	\$2,031.43	\$1,370.04 per acre		

Proposed Amendments to

Surrey Land Use and Development Application Fees Imposition By-law, 1993, No. 11631, as amended

The following amendments are proposed to Surrey Land Use and Development Application Fees Imposition By-law, 1993, No. 11631, as amended:

- 1. Amend Schedule Eight (8) Application Surcharge Fees Within Neighbourhood Concept Plan Areas and Infill Areas, as follows:
 - (a) Insert the following in the table, after item number 13:

(14) West Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan as shown on Map 14	\$74.11 per lot or dwelling unit (or equivalent) calculated on the maximum number of lots or dwelling
	units allowable on the site after the zoning amendment is granted.

(b) Insert the following figure as Map No. 14, following Map No. 13 and insert "Map No. 14 West Clatyon Neighbourhood Concept Plan Lands Subject to Surcharge" as the title at the bottom of the left-hand corner of Map No. 14.

