R170 : Development Cost Charge Exemption - Religious Establishments



REGULAR			
то:	Mayor & Council	DATE:	July 18, 2002
FROM:	City Solicitor	FILE:	3150-01/#5
SUBJECT:	Development Cost Charge Exemption - Religious Establishments		

#### RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

#### BACKGROUND

Council at its meeting of July 15, 2002 adopted the following resolution:

That staff provide a report to Council on whether a proposed church hall should automatically be exempted from DCCs if it is to be located on a lot on which a church exists that has previously been exempted from paying taxes.

Council at its meeting of April 29, 2002 adopted the policy recommendation contained in Appendix "A" to Report No. R086 from the General Manager, Planning & Development. A copy of this report is attached as Schedule "A". Legal Services concurred in its recommendations and provided extensive legal input.

### DISCUSSION

There are two principal reasons why church halls or schools ancillary to a place of worship cannot be automatically exempted from development cost charges ("DCCs") if they are located on a lot on which a church exists that has previously been exempted from taxes. To provide a more complete discussion on the issues raised in Council's resolution I have included schools ancillary to places of worship.

### School Developments on Land Surrounding Places of Worship

Section 339(1) of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 323 (the "Act") states:

Unless otherwise provided in this Act, the following property is exempt from taxation to the extent indicated: ...

(k) a building owned by an incorporated institution of learning that is regularly giving children instruction accepted as

equivalent to that given in a public school, in actual occupation by the institution and wholly in use for the purpose of giving the instruction, together with

(i) the land on which the building stands, and

(*ii*) any area of land surrounding the exempted building that the council may, by bylaw, exempt as being reasonably necessary in connection with that building;

The exemption provided by Section 339 of the Act is a general tax exemption and does not relate to DCCs.

Section 933 of the *Act* authorizes the City to impose DCCs. The City has adopted such a by-law and therefore DCCs are applicable to all construction and subdivision that occurs within the City if applicable under the terms of Section 933 of the *Act*.

Section 933 of the *Act* does include a number of exemptions to the payment of DCCs. However, the only exemption that is applicable to a property or development that is otherwise exempt under Section 339(1) of the *Act* is the exemption set out in Section 933(4)(a) of the *Act* which provides that no DCCs are payable with regard to construction, alteration or extension of a building or part of a building that is, or will be, after the construction, alteration or extension exempt from taxation under Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act*. Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act* refers only to single buildings or structures which are set apart for public worship and church halls **considered by Council** to be necessary in connection with the exempt building.

The City's Development Cost Charge By-law No. 11951 (the "DCC By-law") was amended in 1998 to provide that DCCs are not payable with regards to buildings which are exempt from taxation under Section 339(1) of the *Act*.

Section 933(11) of the Act deals specifically with this question as it states:

(11) As a limitation on section 176(1)(c) [corporate powers - assistance] and section 183 [assistance under partnering agreements], a local government must not provide assistance by waiving or reducing a charge under this section.

In light of the fact that Section 933(4) of the *Act* refers only to one subsection of the tax exemption subsections located in Section 339 (1) of the *Act* and that Section 933(11) of the *Act* specifically limits the City's authority to provide assistance by way of waiving or reducing DCCs, it is my opinion that school developments, whether private or otherwise, are subject to DCCs.

## Church Halls on Lands Surrounding Places of Worship

Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act* states:

(1) Unless otherwise provided in this Act, the following property is exempt from taxation to the extent indicated:

(g) a building set apart for public worship, and the land on which the building stands, together with

(*i*) any church hall **considered by the council** to be necessary to the exempted building, and the land on which the church hall stands, and

(ii) any area of land surrounding the exempted building, an exempted hall, or both, that the council may, by bylaw, exempt;

The statutory framework set out in Sections 933 and 339(1)(g) noted above, which exempts buildings falling within Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act* from DCCs requires the City to exempt a structure from DCCs if a building is, or will be when completed, exempt from taxation pursuant to Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act*.

There are two elements to the exemption provided in Section 339(1)(g) of the Act. Firstly, there is a non-discretionary statutory

#### R170 : Development Cost Charge Exemption - Religious Establishments

exemption, which is provided for those buildings "*set apart*" for public worship. Council has no discretion with regard to the exemption and the statutory exemption arises by operation of law where a building is set apart for public worship. Secondly, Council is given the discretion to provide for an exemption from DCCs for a church hall which is "*considered by the council*" to be "*necessary*" to the building set apart for public worship. This discretion must be exercised on a case-by-case basis and therefore Council cannot enact a bylaw that permits an automatic exemption from DCCs.

Council's decision becomes applicable to the building through the adoption of a by-law setting out Council's pronouncement that it considers the hall to be necessary to the building set apart for public worship. Council's decision and adoption of the appropriate by-law must occur prior to the issuance of the building permit that is the triggering event for the collection of DCCs pursuant to Section 993 of the *Act*.

# CONCLUSION

With respect to church halls there is no authority provided in the *Act* for the City to apply any exemption automatically or retroactively, nor are there any provisions for a rebate of collected DCCs, or a grant in lieu of DCCs based on the granting of the exemption provided by Section 339(1)(g) of the *Act*.

### CRAIG MacFARLANE

City Solicitor

CM:mlg

Encl.

c.c. General Manager, Planning & Development

U:\LEGALSRV\LEGAL\CORP\_REP\07180140.mlg MLG-7/25/02 10:31 AM