

# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.1 - 7921-0272-00**

Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon

ITEM	BYLAW	COMMENT
Opposition		
B.1	21208/21209	S. Bains expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic.
B.1	21208/21209	T. Rana expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic congestion, privacy, safety hazards for pedestrians and cyclists and security in the neighbourhood.
B.1	21208/21209	J. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, traffic, safety risks for pedestrians and noise.
B.1	21208/21209	M. Dhir expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, traffic, parking, noise, dust, quality of life, neighbourhood and pedestrian safety.
B.1	21208/21209	S. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, noise, quality of life, traffic, parking and safety.
B.1	21208/21209	T. Atkinson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, width of the new roadway and traffic.
B.1	21208/21209	S. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, current infrastructure, community safety and quality of life.
B.1	21208/21209	N. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, views, traffic and parking.
B.1	21208/21209	B. Rana expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, privacy, pedestrian safety and tranquil environment.
B.1	21208/21209	G. Jatt expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	K. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing safety and security, increased theft and crime in the area and high foot traffic.
B.1	21208/21209	M. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	K. Purba expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	H. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	P. Lidder expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	S. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal citing overcrowding, lower quality of life, density, infrastructure, transportation, parking, public services, congestion and character of the community.
B.1	21208/21209	S. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	R. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal.
B.1	21208/21209	S. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal citing adjustment of entrance location, traffic and safety issues.
B.1	21208/21209	M. Dhir expressing opposition for the proposal.
Concern		
B.1	21208/21209	P. Lidder expressing concern for the proposal citing traffic and safety of cyclists and pedestrians.
B.1	21208/21209	K. Sambhi expressing concern for the proposal citing this should not go through.

**From:** S <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> BAINS  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Planning Report--Application No. 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 15, 2024 12:21:04 PM

---

Hello, my name is S <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Bains and I'm a home owner who lives on **Surrey Resident** and I received the Notice of Public Hearing card in the mail for Planning Report--Application No. 7921-0272-00. I personally am against the proposed project of a 72 unit 5-storey mixed-use building being built on the corner of Highway 10 and 188 street because of the traffic congestion that will be created.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

**From:** [REDACTED] Rana  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Comments/Concerns for Council for Project No: 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 15, 2024 3:41:02 PM

---

Dear Council Members,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to express my deep concerns regarding the proposed 5-story project in our community (Project Number 7921-0272-00) . I was aware of the commercial portion that was proposed and agree that it will bring benefits to our community. However, I must admit that I am surprised and concerned to learn about the plans for a 5-story, 74 unit residential building in our community. I believe that this particular project raises significant issues related to congestion and privacy that cannot be ignored.

Firstly, the increased traffic congestion resulting from this project is a major concern. Our neighborhood already experiences heavy traffic flow during peak hours, and adding a substantial development without adequate infrastructure upgrades will only exacerbate the problem. This could lead to safety hazards for pedestrians and cyclists, as well as longer commute times for residents.

Moreover, the proposed project threatens the privacy of surrounding properties. As a resident living in close proximity to the proposed site, I am deeply troubled by the potential loss of privacy due to the height and design of the building. Increased foot traffic and overlooking windows could compromise the peaceful atmosphere and sense of security in our neighborhood.

I have reached out to the Project Planner - John Koch Schulte with my concerns on this matter. However, despite my repeated attempts to communicate and seek resolution, I have yet to receive any acknowledgment or action on the matters at hand. This lack of communication is not only disrespectful but also undermines the trust and accountability that should exist between communities and municipalities involved in addressing concerns.

I urge the council to carefully consider these concerns before making any decisions regarding the approval of this project. It is essential that the development aligns with the best interests of the community and takes into account the long-term implications on our quality of life. I am opposed to this five story residential development based on the reasons mentioned earlier.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will give careful consideration to the concerns raised by myself and other residents.

Sincerely, T [REDACTED] Rana

--  
**TS. 22(1) Rana**

**From:** J<sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Pelia  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Project No: 7921-0272-00 (188st and 56Ave)  
**Date:** April 15, 2024 4:08:19 PM

---

Dear Council,

I'm reaching out to share concerns regarding the proposed Project Number 7921-0272-00.

My concerns for this development are due to significant issues regarding privacy and increased traffic. The anticipated increase in traffic congestion, result in safety risks for pedestrians and could lead to longer commute times for residents.

The prospect of a large building being erected raises significant privacy concerns for me and my family. As our backyard is meant to be a sanctuary for relaxation and family time, the thought of having our privacy compromised by towering structures overlooking our property is deeply unsettling. It's not just about the physical proximity; it's also about the potential loss of intimacy that comes with feeling exposed to the constant presence of others.

I worry about the impact on our ability to enjoy our outdoor space freely, as well as the potential for increased noise and disruption from activities within the new building. Preserving our privacy is essential for our sense of security and well-being, and I hope that any development plans will take these concerns into careful consideration.

I understand that the developer has installed trees along the south perimeter in the buffer region however they will not be sufficient against the height of a 5 story building. One solution that I propose is the addition of tall (15-20ft) hedges along the south perimeter of the property. Strategically placed hedges can serve as an effective barrier, providing both visual screening and acoustic insulation for residents while enhancing the aesthetic appeal of the development.

However, I understand that the responsibility for installing and maintaining such hedges **should not burden** the property owner. Therefore, I kindly request that the developer consider including the cost of installing and maintaining the hedges as part of the project budget and part of their own property. This approach ensures that the privacy needs of surrounding residents are addressed without placing an unfair financial burden on individual homeowners.

Please thoroughly consider these concerns before reaching any decisions regarding project approval. I am absolutely opposed to the residential portion of this development.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will give thoughtful consideration to the concerns raised by myself and other residents.

Warm regards,

J<sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Pelia

J <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Pelia, BScN, RN

**s. 22(1)**

**From:** M <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> DHIR  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Concerns for 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 15, 2024 5:14:30 PM

---

Hello!

I am writing to express my concerns about the development project currently underway near 188st and highway 10 (56ave). I believe the project will have potential impacts on the neighborhood and would like to request more information and clarity on the following issues:

1. **Impact on Privacy:** The proposed development appears to be close to existing residential properties. I am concerned about the potential loss of privacy due to the proximity of new structures. How will this development mitigate privacy issues as it is higher in elevation compared to the entire neighbourhood and that it is 5 stories tall (50-60 feet tall)?
2. **Traffic and Parking:** The increased traffic and parking demands from the new development could negatively impact our neighborhood's safety and convenience. How will the developers ensure that the congestion from the residents and the commercial customers will not clog the intersection?
3. **Noise and Dust:** Construction activities could generate excessive noise and dust, affecting the quality of life for residents in the area. Additionally the excessive noise from commercial stores that could persist after the completion of construction (more cars/supply trucks). With more vehicles it's additional risk for young kids who walk to school, church and other places in that area.

There was also a lack of community consultation and I was having trouble getting more information. The informative billboard was on the ground for months and covered in leaves. I would appreciate more information on the community consultation process for this development and how residents' concerns are being addressed.

would like my concerns to be addressed and considered by the city. I am against this new development as it would negatively impact my quality of life.

Thanks,  
M <sup>s. 22(1)</sup>

**From:** S. 22(1) Pelia  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Concerns for the development project - 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 16, 2024 10:48:29 AM

---

Hello,

I am writing to bring to your attention some concerns regarding the Project on the corner of 188st and 56Ave (7921-0272-00).

As a resident I am deeply invested in the well-being of our community. I have reviewed some of the aspects of the development and I have significant concerns among other residents. I am against this development.

My concerns are the following:

**Privacy:** The increased height of the building could result in overlooking windows or balconies, compromising the privacy of your backyard or living spaces.

**Noise and Disturbance:** Construction activities associated with the development, such as excavation, building, and landscaping, could generate noise and disturbance that disrupt your daily life.

**Traffic and Parking:** The influx of residents from the new development could lead to increased traffic congestion and competition for parking spaces in the neighborhood.

**Safety:** Depending on the design and layout of the new building, there may be safety concerns related to fire hazards, emergency access, or structural stability.

It is crucial that these concerns are addressed and that community members have the opportunity to voice their opinions and participate in discussions surrounding the development.

Thanks,  
S. 22(1)



**From:** [Ps. 22\(1\) idder](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Concerns for Project No: 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 16, 2024 12:41:35 PM

---

Dear City Officials,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to express my concerns regarding a recent development in the city that will significantly increased vehicular traffic in the area. As a frequent biker, I have a concern regarding the rise in the number of vehicles on the roads, which will created several safety issues for cyclists like myself.

The increased traffic will lead to narrower lanes, making it challenging for bikers to navigate safely. Additionally, with more traffic, drivers will likely be more impatient, and will often disregard traffic rules and show less regard for cyclists sharing the road. As a biker, I notice that several children walking to and from school will also be impacted.

I understand the importance of development for the growth of our city, but it should not come at the cost of compromising the safety of its residents. I urge the city to consider and address these safety issues as it would result in a huge increase in congestion.

I would appreciate it if the city could look into this matter promptly and consider this concern for this development. I personally do not want to see this residential development go through as a compromise the safety. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

**Ps. 22(1)**

**From:** [T<sup>s. 22\(1\)</sup> atkinson](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Project #7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 16, 2024 4:23:17 PM


---

I would like to let you know that I am AGAINST project 7921-0273-00  
I don't feel that project fits the area  
We have lived here since 2002 & have seen many changes & have been mostly accepting (except for the width of the new roadways)  
But this project does not fit the area & is very concerning for my wife & I  
Traffic being one of the concerns

Regards

T<sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Atkinson  
Surrey Resident



**From:**  Pelia  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Concerns for the development project- 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 16, 2024 10:13:11 PM

---

Dear City of Surrey,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the Project No: 7921-0272-00 project due to the anticipated increase in traffic it will bring to our neighborhood. The current infrastructure is already struggling to support existing traffic levels, and the additional congestion from this development would severely impact our community's safety and quality of life.

I urge you to reconsider this project and explore alternative solutions that prioritize the well-being and convenience of the residents. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
S  Pelia

**From:** N s. 22(1) Rana  
**To:** C Department Webmail  
**Subject:** Development Number 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 10:54:50 AM

---

Dear City Planning Department,

I am in disagreement with regards to the proposed development at the corner of 188th Street and 56th Avenue (development number 7921-0272-00). I have reviewed the development proposal in great details but as a resident of the neighborhood, I believe that this development will have significant negative impact on our community.

One significant concern is the proposed height of the building, which is reportedly five stories high. Given the proximity of this development to existing houses in the neighborhood, I am concerned about the impact it will have on privacy for residents in the surrounding area. A five-story building towering over nearby homes could lead to a loss of privacy, as well as overshadowing and potentially obstructing views from neighboring properties. I understand there is a proposed number of trees on the site, however they are not large to provide privacy. Additionally, they provide burden of work as the leaves/shrubs will shed and fall into nearby homes. This burden of cleaning leaves in our yards should not fall on the homeowners.

Furthermore, the proposed location and design of the development are likely to worsen traffic congestion in the area, especially during peak times. This increased congestion not only affects the convenience of residents but also raises safety concerns for pedestrians and other road users. I understand that you have proposed underground parking and commercial parking, but this will not be sufficient. This area is not a walkable area as most individuals will be driving to work and school thus, there would be more cars than you have planned.

I urge the city to reconsider the approval of this development proposal. It is essential that any developments in our neighborhood are thoughtfully planned and take into account the well-being and needs of the residents who call this area home.

Cheers,  
N s. 22(1) Singh

**From:** [B.S. 22\(1\) Rana](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Development Number 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 11:35:35 AM

---

To the Department of City Planning,

I am writing to voice my objection to the development (7921-0272-00) that is being considered at the intersection of 188th Street and 56th Avenue. I am a senior living in the neighbourhood, and I am very worried about the possible harm that this development might do to our neighbourhood, especially in terms of increased traffic and invasions of privacy.

My main worry is that this development will likely lead to worse traffic congestion than it already is. I am a senior who places a high priority on safety, therefore the idea of more traffic in our neighbourhood worries me. I'm concerned for our community's general safety as well as the dangers that pedestrians like me face.

In addition, the development's height and close closeness to other homes, as well as other aspects of its planned design, seriously violate my privacy and the privacy of other elderly residents in the neighbourhood. Not only does the possibility of a towering structure peering down from above our residences jeopardize our privacy, but it also takes away from the tranquil environment that many of us value as we age.

I have reviewed the development proposal in great details but they don't address these concerns. Please vote against approving this development request in light of these worries. I appreciate your consideration of my viewpoint on this issue.

Thanks,  
B.S. 22(1)

**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, G Jatt, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 11:48:15 AM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **G**  
Last Name: **Jatt**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



**From:** Ks. 22(1) Pelia  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Concerns for Project 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 11:51:55 AM

---

Dear Council,

I am against the proposed 7921-0272-00 project and its potential impact on the safety and security of our neighborhood. The inclusion of commercial units in the development raises significant concerns about increased theft and crime in the area.

The introduction of commercial establishments, especially those that attract high foot traffic, can create opportunities for theft and other criminal activities. This could have a detrimental effect on the safety and well-being of residents in our neighborhood.

Please carefully consider the potential risks associated with the proposed development and take necessary measures to mitigate these concerns. Our community's safety should be a top priority in any development plans.

Sincerely,  
Ks. 22(1)

**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, M Singh, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 12:34:25 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **M**  
Last Name: **Singh**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:





**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, K Purba, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 1:08:38 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **K**  
Last Name: **Purba**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, K Sambhi, expressing Concerned to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 1:11:42 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **K**  
Last Name: **Sambhi**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Concerned**  
Comments - Optional: **This shouldn't go through.**



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, H Pelia, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 1:57:57 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **H**  
Last Name: **Pelia**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Fleetwood**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, P Lidder, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 2:40:35 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **P**  
Last Name: **Lidder**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Newton**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



**From:** [§. 22\(1\) Nagra](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Development 7921 0272 00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 3:18:50 PM

---

Dear City of Surrey,

Our area is not suitable for such a development (7921 0272 00) as it would result in overcrowding and lower quality of life.

The introduction of a high-rise building would significantly increase population density in an area that is already struggling to accommodate its current residents. This would put a strain on our infrastructure, including transportation, parking, and public services, leading to increased congestion, longer commute times, and decreased quality of life for residents.

There was a not enough neighbourhood consultation as many neighbours in the community were shocked when they heard about this development. The lack of consultation in the community is deeply troubling and raises serious questions about transparency.

Neighbourhood consultation plays a crucial role in ensuring that proposed projects align with the needs, concerns, and character of the community they are intended to serve. The absence of neighbourhood consultation undermines the principles of democracy and civic engagement upon which our city is built.

Please reconsider this project proposal as it would make a lot of members in the community unhappy.

Regards,  
§. 22(1) Nagra

**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, S Nagra, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 5:02:36 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **S**  
Last Name: **Nagra**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, R Nagra, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 5:09:50 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **R**  
Last Name: **Nagra**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



[www.surrey.ca](http://www.surrey.ca)

**From:** [S.S](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** Complaint on Entrance/exit for Development Project 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 5:52:47 PM

---

To whom it may concern,

I am requesting an adjustment to the entrance location for the proposed development 7921-0272-00 . Currently, the entrance is planned to be on the neighborhood street (188st) which raises concerns about increased traffic and safety issues for residents.

I am respectfully requesting that the entrance be relocated to the main road [highway 10/56ave] instead. This adjustment would help alleviate traffic congestion within the neighborhood and improve safety for residents, especially during peak traffic hours.

Ultimately I believe that this development will not be beneficial for the neighbourhood and I am in full disagreement with it.

Warm regards,

S<sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Kaur

Sent from [Outlook](#)



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 17/04/2024, M Dhir, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0272-00  
**Date:** April 17, 2024 7:51:30 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **M**  
Last Name: **Dhir**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Guilford**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.01 7921-0272-00 | 18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional:



# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.2 - 7921-0170-00**

Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon

ITEM	BYLAW	COMMENT
Opposition		
B.2	21206/21207	D. Thomson expressing opposition for the proposal citing over capacity of traffic, schools, hospital and other area infrastructures and parking.
Concern		
B.2	21206/21207	D. Gourlie expressing concerns for the proposal.

**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 11/04/2024, D Gourlie, expressing Concerned to the 7921-0170-00  
**Date:** April 11, 2024 10:11:44 AM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **D**  
Last Name: **Gourlie**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Cloverdale**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.02 7921-0170-00 | 10162 and 10188 - 172 Street**  
Select your support level:: **Concerned**  
Comments - Optional: **what is the plan and why**



**From:** [MySurrey Portal](#)  
**To:** [Clerks Department Webmail](#)  
**Subject:** 15/04/2024, D Thomson, expressing Opposed to the 7921-0170-00  
**Date:** April 15, 2024 7:16:03 PM

---



---

## New submission received.

---

### Contact Information

First Initial: **D**  
Last Name: **Thomson**  
Are you a resident of Surrey or do you own property in Surrey? **Yes**  
Select Your Neighbourhood: **Guilford**

### Submission Details

Select a Category: **1.Public Hearing Bylaws**  
Select an Item: **B.02 7921-0170-00 | 10162 and 10188 - 172 Street**  
Select your support level:: **Opposed**  
Comments - Optional: **I see no benefit to anyone but the developers by reducing the lot width in our area. Our traffic, school and hospital and all other area infrastructure are already past capacity. These new lots/ homes have virtually no yard space and 2 or 3 suites each with no parking provided. Enough greedy densification already!**



# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.3 - 7923-0134-00**

No Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon

# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.4 - 7920-0040-00**

No Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon

# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.5 - 7924-0020-00**

No Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon



# **SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

**April 22, 2024  
B.6 - CR 2024- R058**

No Public Hearing Correspondence received  
before Thursday noon