

PROCUREMENT SERVICES

CITY OF SURREY, SURREY CITY HALL 13450 – 104 Avenue, Surrey, B.C., V3T 1V8 Tel: 604-590-7274

E-mail: purchasing@surrey.ca

ADDENDUM No. 3

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL No.: 1220-030-2021-012

TITLE: PARKING MOBILE PAYMENT APP

ADDENDUM ISSUE DATE: MARCH 18, 2021

CLOSING DATE AND TIME: ON OR BEFORE THE FOLLOWING DATE AND

TIME (THE "CLOSING TIME"):

TIME: 3:00 P.M. (LOCAL TIME)

DATE: MARCH 18, 2021

INFORMATION FOR PROPONENTS

Proponents are advised that Addendum No. 3 to 1220-030-2021-012 is hereby issued by the City. This addendum shall form part of the contract documents and is to be read, interpreted and coordinated with all other parts. The following information is provided to answer questions raised by Proponents for the above-named project, to the extent referenced and shall become a part thereof. No consideration will be allowed for extras due to the Proponents or any sub-contractor not being familiar with this addendum. This Addendum No. 3 contains two (2) pages.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

- Q1. For integration with apps like bylaw enforcement systems from GTechna and Genetec, do they expose API or services? This is an important factor in determining overall effort.
- A1. Typical integration is via API.
- Q2. What are the payment options (end user) that needs to be supported in the app to be developed (apart from Transit Authority Payment System)?
- A2. Visa and Mastercard.
- Q3. Marking a parking location in the app to be developed: Is it accomplished only by geo locater or by scanning a park spot identifier code as well?
- A3. Proponent should describe their options for identifying parking location.

- Q4. "Management software/dashboard & reports online" is there an expectation to develop a web-based administration portal as well? If so, how many types of users/access-levels needed?
- A4. Proponent should describe their options for management dashboard and access controls.
- Q5. Does the payment module in app need to be designed to adopt both scenarios discussed in the document?
- A5. Yes.
- Q6. PreludeSys assumes the capacity to accommodate city branding is about having a place holder in initial and/or every interface of app for city's branding?
- A6. Proponent should describe their branding options.
- Q7. We assume Integration with mapping apps refers to usage of existing maps apps in ios/android/blackberry devices for direction/routing purposes?
- A7. Proponent should describe their mapping options.
- Q8. Its assumed recognizing geo location during parking and its marking is developed using a custom map library?
- A8. See A7.
- Q9. Its assumed user registration will include car details like model, license plate etc. and an option for multiple cars?
- A9. Proponent should describe their vehicle identification options.
- Q10. It is assumed there is no involvement of any device (from user's car) integration that can enroll all user/car details automatically.
- A10. See A9.
- Q11. To clarify, is the City looking for a Proponent to build the mobile parking app or does the City want the Proponent to run the app as a business as well? Does the City require any registration or additional information from prospective Proponents prior to submission?
- A11. Proponent should describe their offering relative to mobile payment apps for parking payment. No registration is requested nor does the RFP request or contemplate any information prior to submission.

All Addenda will become part of the Contract Documents.

- END OF ADDENDUM -