

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

P. Samra, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing infrastructure, road safety, traffic, access and egress to the site, road safety, congestion, parking, and school.

B. Khaira, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing noise, character of neighborhood, road safety, traffic, parking, privacy, and building height.

J. Basra, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing community consultation, affordable housing, commercial plaza, traffic, economic development, and neighbourhood vitality.

T. Rana, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing building height, privacy, congestion, access and egress to the site, road safety, parking, and lack of consultation.

P. Samra, South Surrey/Surrey Resident: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing congestion, traffic, and road safety.

D. Sharma, Fleetwood: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing building height, lack of community plan, public consultation, and neighbourhood character.

J. Sidhu, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing building design, commercial plaza, traffic, economic growth, additional housing, proximity to transit, and parking.

H. Sahi, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing commercial plaza, walkability, and proximity to transit and the future hospital.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to trees, green roof, biodiversity, and habitat.

J. Dhaliwal, Surrey Resident: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing mixed-use development in Cloverdale, additional housing, economic development, and proximity to Campbell Heights Business Center, future hospital and future Cloverdale Sports and Ice Complex.

H. Johal, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing neighborhood enhancement, amenities, vibrant and livable environment, parking, commercial plaza, economic growth, spaces for social interaction, diversity, proximity to transit and future hospital, traffic, environment, and urban design and landscape.

E. Kearns, Architect: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing additional housing, adaptable housing, accessibility features, commercial retail units, buffer for highway noise, oversized trees to protect privacy, amenity space, trees, parking, proximity to transit, and sustainability.

M. Dee, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing congestion, building height, and community consultation.

S. Pelia, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing building height, traffic congestion, road safety, privacy, and requested that a hedge be planted on the property.

N. Singh, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing traffic congestion, privacy, building height, and consultation.

N. Gill, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing traffic and congestion.

M. Garcha, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing road safety, traffic, privacy, and consultation.

A. Manji, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing consultation, traffic, access and egress to the site, and suggested an alternate exit on 187 Street.

J. Dar, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing privacy, congestion, road safety, and requested additional consultation.

J. Sandhu, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed opposition to the proposal citing consultation, building height, traffic, parking, road safety, and property value.

T. B, Cloverdale: The delegation expressed concern for the proposal citing lack of information and public consultation.

The following expressed opposition for the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- R. Gill, Cloverdale

Written submissions were received as follows:

- S. Bains expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic.
- T. Rana expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic congestion, privacy, safety hazards for pedestrians and cyclists and security in the neighbourhood.
- J. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, traffic, safety risks for pedestrians and noise.
- M. Dhir expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, traffic, parking, noise, dust, quality of life, neighbourhood and pedestrian safety.
- S. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, noise, quality of life, traffic, parking and safety.
- T. Atkinson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, width of the new roadway and traffic.
- S. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, current infrastructure, community safety and quality of life.

- N. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, views, traffic and parking.
- B. Rana expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, privacy, pedestrian safety and tranquil environment.
- G. Jatt expressing opposition for the proposal.
- K. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal citing safety and security, increased theft and crime in the area and high foot traffic.
- M. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal.
- K. Purba expressing opposition for the proposal.
- H. Pelia expressing opposition for the proposal.
- P. Lidder expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal citing overcrowding, lower quality of life, density, infrastructure, transportation, parking, public services, congestion and character of the community.
- S. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal citing adjustment of entrance location, traffic and safety issues.
- M. Dhir expressing opposition for the proposal.
- P. Lidder expressing concern for the proposal citing traffic and safety of cyclists and pedestrians.
- K. Sambhi expressing concern for the proposal citing this should not go through.
- A. Huang expressing support for the proposal.
- K. Sk expressing opposition for the proposal.
- A. Manji expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic infrastructure, safety concerns for pedestrians and vehicles and street parking.
- R. Kurian expressing opposition for the proposal citing safety risk for pedestrians and vehicles, privacy, and parking.
- G. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal.
- D. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal.
- L. Robichaud expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion.
- P. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Rai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Rai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Grewal expressing opposition for the proposal.
- P. Sawal expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, safety risks of pedestrians, parking and privacy.
- M. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal.
- G. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, privacy and infrastructure.
- G. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, privacy and infrastructure.
- S. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and traffic.
- S. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy and congestion.
- S. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing infrastructure and character of neighbourhood.

- J. Mudhar expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, traffic and privacy.
- N. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy and traffic.
- H. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- H. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- H. Kalirai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- D. Lidhar expressing opposition for the proposal citing no neighbourhood consultation, privacy and congestion.
- B. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- I. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Lidhar expressing opposition for the proposal citing space, congestion and traffic.
- S. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal.
- T. Kalirai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- T. Kalirai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- T. Kalirai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- A. Sandhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, traffic, risk of pedestrian safety, privacy, schools and more portables and congestion.
- K. Hundal expressing opposition for the proposal citing children’s safety, increased traffic and congestion.
- H. Parhar expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood and traffic.
- S. Parhar expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood and traffic.
- S. Mangat expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, safety risks for pedestrians and traffic.
- C. Harper expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, safety risks of residents and pedestrians, increase in number of accidents, parking and privacy.
- C. Keen expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- J. Sangha expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Sangha expressing opposition for the proposal.
- C. Chung expressing opposition for the proposal.
- C. Sangha expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- N. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- A. Sekhon expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, congestion, and traffic.
- P. Hans expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, congestions, privacy and buffer zone trees is not sufficient.
- P. Hans expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, privacy and congestion.
- A. Ramakrishnan expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, safety risk for pedestrians, parking and privacy.
- A. Bhagtana expressing opposition for the proposal.
- D. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal.

- J. Bhagtana expressing opposition for the proposal.
- M. Sanghera expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Kingra expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and crowding with street parking.
- D. Lavoie expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestions, parking, traffic and pedestrian safety.
- B. Kingra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- G. Kingra expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and parking.
- S. Kingra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- V. Kingra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Whitbread and G. Whitbread expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, safety issues, parking and plenty of existing commercial services.
- P. Dhaliwal expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- M. Sanghera expressing opposition for the proposal.
- S. Sandal expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy and safety concerns.
- P. Samra expressing opposition for the proposal citing aesthetic of community, infrastructure, safety, traffic, congestion, transit, parking, school, hospital, and transparency.
- A. Azrawat expressing opposition for the proposal citing lack of discussion, noise, traffic, and safety.
- M. Sanghera expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, privacy, infrastructure, safety, traffic, noise, and disruption.
- A. Azrawat expressing opposition for the proposal citing lack of discussion, noise, traffic, and safety.
- P. Sanghera expressing opposition for the proposal.
- J. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal citing safety, school, and infrastructure.
- K. Thomasson expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, parking, school, and green space.
- S. Samra expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and safety.
- P. Samra expressing opposition for the proposal citing safety.
- B. Khaira, K. Khaira, I. Khaira and M. Khaira expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, parking, forest, views, and privacy.
- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal.
- T. Rana expressing concerns for the proposal citing congestion, privacy, and character of neighbourhood.
- S. Pelia expressing concerns for the proposal citing privacy and tranquility.
- 165 petition signatures received on April 22, 2024 in support of the proposal.
- S.K expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, parking, residential area, not for business, road safety, children’s safety, density, environment, aesthetic of neighbourhood and character of neighbourhood.
- D. Sharma expressing opposition for the proposal.

2. **Planning Report - Application No. 7921-0170-00**
"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21206"
"Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21207"

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10162 and 10188 - 172 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: 1334718 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: M. Natt)
 Agent: CitiWest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) Figure 3: General Land Use Designations for the subject site from Suburban to Urban. The proposal also includes rezoning the same site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Zone in order to subdivide into 13 residential lots.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum lot width from 15 metres to 14.5 metres for proposed Lots 2-4 and 10-12.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to trees, valuation of mature trees, and biodiversity.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- D. Thomson expressing opposition for the proposal citing over capacity of traffic, schools, hospital and other area infrastructures and parking.
- D. Gourlie expressing concerns for the proposal.
- J. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal citing number of lots proposed, traffic, parking, wider streets, sidewalks and more schools.
- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.

3. **Planning Report - Application No. 7923-0134-00**
"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21203"
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 198 (CD 198), Bylaw, 2024, No. 21204"

CIVIC ADDRESS: 16453 - 18 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owner: 1441172 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: H. Dhillon,
 D. Dhillon, I. Nagra, G. Sandhu, A. Villing)
 Agent: Flat Architecture Inc. (Rajinder Warraich)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) Figure 3: General Land Use Designations from Mixed Employment to Multiple Residential for a portion of the subject site and amend OCP Figure 42: Major Employment Areas by removing the Mixed Employment designation for the same portion of the site and to amend OCP Table 7A: Land Use Designation Exceptions to allow a FAR of 2.5 on the subject site within the Multiple Residential land use designation. The proposal also includes rezoning the entire site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to develop a 6 storey mixed-use building.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to trees, valuation of mature trees, wildlife, proximity to a natural area, and filling of a Class B ditch.

R. Warraich, Architect: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing rental units and daycare.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.

**4. Planning Report - Application No. 7920-0040-00
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 197 (CD 197), Bylaw, 2024, No. 21200"**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 19585 - 32 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owner: 1242852 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: N. Singh, M. Wahla)
Agent: Architecture Panel Inc. (Ruchir Dhall)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from General Agriculture Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to develop a two-storey 3,092 square-metre commercial building on the subject site.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation expressed opposition for the proposal citing sustainable development criteria, trees, valuation of mature trees, green roof, and habitat.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- N. Singh expressing support for the proposal.

**5. Planning Report - Application No. 7924-0020-00
Liquor Notice for Royal Canadian Legion Branch #240**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2643 - 128 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: Crescent (Pacific No. 240) Branch of the Royal Canadian Legion
Agent: Crescent (Pacific No. 240) Branch of the Royal Canadian Legion (C. Schoch)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to allow for a conversion of an existing licensed establishment from liquor primary club to a liquor primary license in order to provide liquor service to a wider range of patrons, including the general public.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

M. Messcu, South Surrey, Member of (Pacific No. 240) Branch of the Royal Canadian Legion: The delegation expressed support for the proposal citing reduced number of social members due to aging veteran population, increase profits from serving non member patrons, and attracting new volunteer members.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- K. Sollied expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal.
- A. Chen expressing concerns for the proposal citing noise.
- J. Butler Smythe and L. Boni expressing concerns for the proposal citing noise, speeding, parking and quiet neighbourhood.

**6. Darts Hill Neighbourhood Concept Plan Amendments – Corporate Report
No. 2024-R058
"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024,
No. 21215"**

PURPOSE: To align Official Community Plan (OCP) with amended land use designations of the Darts Hills Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation expressed support for the proposal.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees and future negative environmental impacts.
- R. Winston expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees and future negative environmental impacts.

3. **Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission – April 15, 2024 Recommendations**

Council was requested to consider the following recommendations of the April 15, 2024, Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission meeting in advance of receiving the minutes:

- (a) Rothwell House (2598 O’Hara Lane) – Application for Financial Assistance for Painting

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council:

- 1. Receive the report dated March 26, 2024, regarding a financial assistance application that has been received for the Rothwell House located at 2598 O’Hara Lane as information (Appendix I);
- 2. Approve financial assistance in the amount of \$4,600.00 which represents 50% of the value of the works as per the quote provided by Peter Pieniazek DBA Preferred Renovations, and
- 3. Direct staff to advise the applicant that payment of financial assistance shall only be made following inspection by appropriate City staff to ensure that the works have been undertaken in accordance with the original terms of the application.

RES.R24-742

Carried

- (b) Rothwell House (2598 O’Hara Lane) – Application for Financial Assistance for Roof Repair

It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That Council:

- 1. Receive the report dated March 28, 2024, regarding a financial assistance application for roof repair that has been received for the Rothwell House located at 2598 O’Hara Lane as information (Appendix II);
- 2. Approve financial assistance in the amount of \$3,655.00 which represents 50% of the value of the works as per the quote provided by South-West Restoration, and
- 3. Direct staff to advise the applicant that payment of financial assistance shall only be made following inspection by appropriate City staff to ensure that the works have been undertaken in accordance with the original terms of the application.

RES.R24-743

Carried

E. MAYOR'S REPORT**2. Community Updates**

Mayor Locke provided the following updates:

- The Mayor attended the Surrey Vaisakhi Parade, recognizing its status as the largest outside of India, with over half a million attendees. The event highlighted unity, diversity, and values like equality and community service. Gratitude was expressed to first responders for their efficient handling of an incident, ensuring safety and demonstrating professionalism. The message encouraged reflecting on Vaisakhi's values and their application in daily life.
- The Mayor acknowledged Earth Day and invited everyone to join the 13th annual Party for the Planet, the largest free Earth Day event in Western Canada, held at City Hall on April 27 from 11 a.m. to 7 p.m.. Reflecting on environmental impact and considering ways to make a difference for future generations, the event offers a great opportunity for everyone to participate and learn.
- Last Friday, the City launched the 2024 "Our City" campaign with students at L.A. Matheson Secondary School, highlighting their passion and involvement in neighborhood improvement projects. "Our City" empowers residents to participate in beautification initiatives for a cleaner, more vibrant Surrey, offering resources such as free clean-up kits, grants up to \$3,000, and opportunities to plan block parties. Details are available at surrey.ca/OurCity.
- The City is unveiling the Focus Newton Action Plan tonight, led by the Focus Newton Task Force, aimed at enhancing livability in the community. Through collaborative efforts, innovative recommendations have been developed to transform Newton into a dynamic focal point, focusing on green space enhancement and major facility improvements for a thriving and vibrant community to be proud of.
- The Mayor thanked Surrey Football Club for their efforts in organizing the Surrey Mayor's Cup, a premier tournament with a rich history spanning over 12 years. This year's event, held at top-notch athletic parks across the city, saw a resounding success with 389 teams from 51 different cities and towns participating.
- The Mayor sends best wishes to the Surrey Eagles for tonight's game in the BCHL Playoffs Round 2, tied at 1-1. Encouraging the team to showcase their talent, play with heart, and play smart, the City stands behind them, cheering every step of the way.

1. Proclamations

File: 0630-02

Mayor Locke read the following proclamations:

- (a) Annual Day of Mourning for Workers Killed and Injured on the Job – April 28, 2024
- (b) Emergency Preparedness Week – May 5 – 11, 2024
- (c) International Celiac Awareness Month – May 2024

F. COUNCILLORS' REPORTS

Councillors provided the following verbal updates:

- Councillor Elford attended the grand opening of Whalley Ball Park and the Surrey Vaisakhi Parade, suggesting a future bike valet service for the parade event. He also commended the team from Princess Margaret Secondary School, for winning the Best Community Engagement in STEM award at the NASA Human Exploration Rover Challenge.
- Councillor Bose attended the Surrey Vaisakhi Parade and noted that the Cloverdale Rodeo is approaching soon. Preparations are underway for the expanded Cloverdale Rodeo and Country Fair. Activities include a BBQ Chicken Dance on May 4, Cloverdale Bed Races on May 16, and Cloverdale Tricycle Races on May 17. The rodeo begins May 17 with headline performances on May 19 such as 54.40 and Chris Buck Band.

G. CORPORATE REPORTS

The Corporate Reports, under date of April 22, 2024, were considered and dealt with as follows:

**Item No. Ro70 E-Comm 9-1-1 – Nomination to the Board of Directors and Representative for the Annual General Meeting - 2024 to 2025 Term
File: 0540-20**

The General Manager, Corporate Services submitted a report to request Council's approval to designate a City of Surrey representative for election to the Board, endorse the individual chosen by the Township of Langley as a designate for election to the Board and designate a City of Surrey representative to the 2024 Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Shareholders of E-Comm, and to represent the City generally for any required business prior to the 2025 AGM.

It was
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council:

1. Approve Terry Waterhouse, General Manager, Social Infrastructure and Community Investments, as a designate for election to the E-Comm Board of Directors;
2. Endorse the individual chosen by the Township of Langley as a designate for election to the Board;
3. Designate Terry Waterhouse, General Manager, Social Infrastructure and Community Investments, as the City of Surrey's representative to the 2024 Annual General Meeting (AGM) and generally for any required business prior to the 2025 AGM of the Shareholders of E-Comm; and
4. Authorize the City Clerk to forward a copy of the resolution to E-Comm, the City of Langley, City of White Rock and the Township of Langley.

RES.R24-744

Carried

**Item No. R071 Award of Contract No.1220-020-2023-003
Guildford Recreation Centre Flat Roofs Replacement (Phase 2)
File: 0710-60**

The General Manager, Corporate Services submitted a report to obtain Council's approval to award additional work under the contract related to replacement of Guildford Recreation Centre Flat Roofs Phase 2 to Flynn Canada Ltd.

It was
Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council:

1. Award additional work (Phase 2) under Contract No. 1220-020-2023-003 (Phase 1 Corporate Report No. R109; 2023, attached as Appendix "I" to the report) to Flynn Canada Ltd for \$835,075.50 (including all applicable taxes);
2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Phase 2 of Contract No. 1220-020-2023-003 to \$1,002,090.00 (including applicable taxes and contingency); and
3. Authorize the General Manager, Corporate Services to execute Phase 2 of Contract No. 1220-020-2023-003.

RES.R24-745

Carried

Item No. R072 Updated Governance and Funding Model for Tourism in Surrey
File: 6750-01

The General Manager, Social Infrastructure & Community Investments, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, and General Manager, Finance submitted a report to provide Council with information on the Municipal and Regional District Tax (MRDT) and to seek Council endorsement of the renewal conditions and the amended MRDT distribution model which includes a 0.4% allocation to the City.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R072 for information;
2. Endorse the conditions for renewal for the Municipal and Regional District Tax, as described in the report; and
3. Endorse the new revenue distribution model, as described in the report.

RES.R24-746

Carried

Item No. R073 Focus Newton Action Plan
File: 0350-01

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, General Manager, Engineering, General Manager, Social Infrastructure & Community Investments, General Manager, Corporate Services, and Chief Librarian, Surrey Libraries submitted a report to provide Council with information related to the Focus Newton Task Force and seek Council endorsement of the resulting Focus Newton Action Plan.

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R073 for information; and
2. Endorse the Focus Newton Action Plan, attached as Appendix "I" to the report.

RES.R24-747

Carried

Item No. R074 Update on the Bear Creek Park Improvements
File: 1721-011/11

The General Manager, Engineering, and General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture submitted a report to seek Council's endorsement for next steps of improvements to Bear Creek Park.

It was
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R074 for information; and
 2. Endorse the next steps for improvements to Bear Creek Park as described in the report.
- RES.R24-748 Carried

**Item No. R075 Crescent Beach Parking and Walking Enhancements Update
File: 5460-90 (Gen)**

The General Manager, Engineering, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, General Manager, Corporate Services submitted a report to provide Council with updates on unauthorized signage and encroachments in Crescent Beach and feedback received through public consultation on proposed parking and walking enhancements, as well as to seek Council’s approval of next steps.

It was
Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R075 for information; and
 2. Authorize staff to proceed with limited parking and walking enhancements in focused locations in Crescent Beach, as described in the report.
- RES.R24-749 Carried
With Councillors Annis, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The Mayor recessed the meeting from 9:30 p.m. for 5 minutes.

The meeting reconvened at 9:36 p.m. with all members of Council in attendance.

H. BYLAWS AND PERMITS

BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING

1. Planning Report - Application No. 7921-0272-00
18756 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway (18778 No. 10 (56 Avenue) Highway)

Owner: 653699 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: H. Johal, M. Johal)
Agent: Ankenman Associates Architects Inc. (Emily Kearns)

"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21208"
To amend OCP Figure 3: General Land Use Designations for the subject site from Suburban to Multiple Residential.

RES.R24-750
It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21208" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Bains, Hepner, Stutt, and Mayor Locke opposed.

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 202 (CD 202), Bylaw, 2024, No. 21209"
C-5 to CD – to develop a 5-storey mixed-use building, with a total 74 dwelling units and 1,458 sq. m. of commercial/retail space.

RES.R24-751
It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 202 (CD 202), Bylaw, 2024, No. 21209" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillor Bains and Mayor Locke opposed.

2. Planning Report - Application No. 7921-0170-00
10162 and 10188 - 172 Street

Owner: 1334718 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: M. Natt)
Agent: CitiWest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)

"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21206"
To amend OCP Figure 3: General Land Use Designations for the subject site from Suburban to Urban.

RES.R24-752
It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21206" pass its third reading.
Carried

It was
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council:

"After taking into account the following criteria outlined in the attached Planning Report dated April 8, 2024:

- (a) The impact of noise on the community if the application is approved;
- (b) The location of the establishment;
- (c) The person capacity and hours of liquor service of the establishment;
- (d) The proximity of the establishment to other social or recreational facilities and public buildings;
- (e) The number and market focus or clientele of liquor-primary license establishments within a reasonable distance of the proposed location; and

After holding a Public Hearing on April 22, 2024, in accordance with City policy, to gather views of area resident and business with respect to the proposed Liquor Primary License at the Royal Canadian Legion Branch #240; and

After hearing any individuals who made a presentation to Council at the Public Hearing and after reviewing any correspondence submitted in response to the Public Hearing notice,

Surrey City Council recommends the issuance of the license subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant has entered into a Good Neighbour Agreement with the City; and
- 2. The hours of operation for the Liquor Primary License Endorsement are from 11:00 am to 1:00 am from Monday to Saturday and 11:00 am to midnight on Sunday."

RES.R24-758

Carried

- 6. Darts Hill Neighbourhood Concept Plan Amendments - Corporate Report No. 2024-R058

Council direction received April 8, 2024

- * See memorandum dated April 5, 2024.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

It was
 Zone 201 (CD 201), Bylaw, 2024, No. 21211" pass its third reading.
 Moved by Councillor Bains
 Seconded by Councillor Kooner
 That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
Carried

RES.R24-772

PERMITS

- 10. Planning Report – Application No. 7923-0295-00
 4311 King George Boulevard

Owner: Mud Bay Nurseries Ltd. (Director Information: J. Vanderzalm, L. Vanderzalm)
 Agent: Freedom Mobile C/O Cpyress Land Services Inc. (Tawny Verigin)

Two pieces of correspondence expressing opposition were received for this proposal.

Development Variance Permit No. 7923-0295-00
 To increase the maximum height of a free-standing telecommunications antenna system from 12 metres to 41 metres in order to provide increased service to the surrounding area.

It was
 Development Variance Permit No. 7923-0295-00.
 Moved by Councillor Kooner
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That Council authorize the issuance of
Carried

RES.R24-773

- 11. Planning Report – Application No. 7923-0022-00
 12129 - 100 Avenue

Owners: J. Mann, L. Kaur, A. Mann
 Agent: Mainland Engineering Design Corp. (Avnash Banwait)

One piece of correspondence expressing concerns and two pieces of correspondence expressing opposition were received for this proposal.

Development Variance Permit No. 7923-0022-00
 To reduce the minimum lot depth from 28 metres to 25 metres for proposed Lot 2 and to reduce the minimum front yard setback from 7.5 metres to 4.5 metres to the principal building face for Lots 1 and 2 in order to subdivide into two single family lots.

Development Variance Permit No. 7924-0042-00

To increase the maximum number of temporary on-site real estate development/construction signs from 2 to 8; to increase the maximum allowable sign area for hoarding signs from 14 square metres to a maximum of 103 square metres; to increase the maximum allowable sign area for a fascia signs from 14 square metres to a maximum of 39 square metres and to increase the maximum allowable sign area from 14 square metres to a maximum of 23 square metres and the maximum sign height from 4.5m to 5.6m for free standing signs.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council authorize the issuance of

Development Variance Permit No. 7924-0042-00.

RES.R24-777

Carried

- 14. Planning Report – Application No. 7924-0057-00
7855 King George Boulevard

Owner: S. Panesar
Agent: H. Sandhu

One piece of correspondence expressing support and two pieces of correspondence expressing opposition were received for this proposal.

Temporary Use Permit No. 7924-0057-00

To permit the operation of an auto services business on a historic auto services garage site.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council support Temporary Use Permit

No. 7924-0057-00 and consider issuance of the Permit once all outstanding conditions have been met.

RES.R24-778

Carried

With Councillor Elford opposed.

FINAL ADOPTIONS

- 15. Housekeeping Amendments to Fee-Setting and Municipal Ticket Information Utilization Bylaws

"Surrey Fee-Setting By-law, 2001, No. 14577, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21212"
3900-20-21212

To amend Schedule D to incorporate new erosion and sediment control application and authorization fees.

Council direction received April 8, 2024
Corporate Report Item No. 2024-R062

"Surrey 2024 – 2028 Roads & Traffic Safety Operating Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21218"
3900-20-21218
To provide for the adoption of the Surrey 2024 – 2028 Roads & Traffic Safety Operating Financial Plan.

RES.R24-791 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Roads & Traffic Safety Operating Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21218" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-792 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Roads & Traffic Safety Operating Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21218" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-793 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Roads & Traffic Safety Operating Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21218" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

"Surrey 2024 – 2028 Capital Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21219"
3900-20-21219
To provide for the adoption of the Surrey 2024 – 2028 Capital Financial Plan.

RES.R24-794 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Capital Financial Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21219" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-795 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Capital Financial
Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21219" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-796 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey 2024 – 2028 Capital Financial
Plan Bylaw, 2024, No. 21219" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21220"
3900-20-21220
To amend Schedule A to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-797 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850,
Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21220" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-798 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850,
Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21220" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Animal Responsibility Bylaw, 2017, No. 19105, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21222"
3900-20-21222
To amend Schedule B and C to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the
2024 - 2028 Financial Plan.

RES.R24-803
It was
No. 19105, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21222" pass its first reading.
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Animal Responsibility Bylaw, 2017,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-804
It was
No. 19105, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21222" pass its second reading.
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Animal Responsibility Bylaw, 2017,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-805
It was
No. 19105, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21222" pass its third reading.
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Animal Responsibility Bylaw, 2017,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Electrical Safety By-law, 2004, No. 15596, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21223"
3900-20-21223
To amend Schedule B to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-806
It was
No. 15596, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21223" pass its first reading.
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Electrical Safety By-law, 2004,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-811
It was
No. 14577, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21224" pass its third reading.
Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fee-Setting By-law, 2001,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Fire Service By-law, 1990, No. 10771, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21225"
3900-20-21225
To amend Sections 30, 34, 36 to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the
2024 - 2028 Financial Plan.

RES.R24-812
It was
No. 10771, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21225" pass its first reading.
Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fire Service By-law, 1990,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-813
It was
No. 10771, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21225" pass its second reading.
Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Fire Service By-law, 1990,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-814
It was
No. 10771, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21225" pass its third reading.
Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fire Service By-law, 1990,
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997, No. 13007, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21226"
3900-20-21226
To amend Schedule B to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-815 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997,
No. 13007, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21226" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-816 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997,
No. 13007, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21226" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-817 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Highway and Traffic By-law, 1997,
No. 13007, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21226" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Development Application Fees Bylaw, 2016, No. 18641, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21227"
3900-20-21227
To amend Schedule B to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-818 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Development Application Fees Bylaw, 2016,
No. 18641, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21227" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21230"
3900-20-21230
To amend Section 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 71 to incorporate a fee increase as approved
in the 2024 - 2028 Financial Plan.

RES.R24-827 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006,
No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21230" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-828 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006,
No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21230" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-829 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006,
No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21230" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Fireworks Regulation By-law, 1974, No. 4200, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21231"
3900-20-21231
To amend Section 6 to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-830 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fireworks Regulation By-law,
1974, No. 4200, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21231" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-831 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fireworks Regulation By-law,
1974, No. 4200, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21231" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-832 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Fireworks Regulation By-law,
1974, No. 4200, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21231" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Secondary Suite Service Fee By-law, 2011, No. 17356, Amendment Bylaw, 2024,
No. 21232"
3900-20-21232
To amend Section 3 to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-833 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Secondary Suite Service Fee
By-law, 2011, No. 17356, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21232" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-834 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Secondary Suite Service Fee
By-law, 2011, No. 17356, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21232" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-835
It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Secondary Suite Service Fee
By-law, 2011, No. 17356, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21232" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Vehicles for Hire By-law, 1999, No. 13610 Amendment By-law, 2024, No. 21233"
3900-20-21233
To amend Schedule G to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028
Financial Plan.

RES.R24-836
It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Vehicles for Hire By-law, 1999,
No. 13610 Amendment By-law, 2024, No. 21233" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-837
It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Vehicles for Hire By-law, 1999,
No. 13610 Amendment By-law, 2024, No. 21233" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-838
It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Vehicles for Hire By-law, 1999,
No. 13610 Amendment By-law, 2024, No. 21233" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Mobile Homes and Trailer Regulation and Control By-law, 1980, No. 6142, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21234"
3900-20-21234
To amend Schedule G to incorporate a fee increase as approved in the 2024 - 2028 Financial Plan.

RES.R24-839 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Mobile Homes and Trailer Regulation and Control By-law, 1980, No. 6142, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21234" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

RES.R24-840 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "Surrey Mobile Homes and Trailer Regulation and Control By-law, 1980, No. 6142, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21234" pass its second reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

RES.R24-841 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Mobile Homes and Trailer Regulation and Control By-law, 1980, No. 6142, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 21234" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

"2024 Revenue Anticipation Bylaw, 2024, No. 21235"
3900-20-21235
Pursuant to Section 177 of the *Community Charter*, this annual revenue anticipation bylaw permits the City to incur an operating overdraft as may be necessary to optimize the City's overall return on its investment portfolio.

RES.R24-842 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That "2024 Revenue Anticipation Bylaw, 2024, No. 21235" pass its first reading.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and Nagra opposed.

The said Bylaw was then read for the third time.

It was

Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "MVRD Tax Requisition Bylaw, 2024,

No. 21240" pass its third reading.

RES.R24-859

Carried

With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford, and
Nagra opposed.

I. CLERK'S REPORT

This section had no items to consider.

J. NOTICE OF MOTION

1. Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw

File: 6300-01

Councillor Kooner put forward the following notice of motion to be considered at the next Regular Council – Public Hearing Meeting:

"That Council direct staff to bring forward a corporate report regarding potential amendments to Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw with the following:

- a. Improvement for permit processing timeline, tree protection guidelines, increased clarity, and improved equity; and
- b. Bring forward a pathway to increasing penalties for removing or damaging any city trees within one month if approved by Council."

K. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Priority Access for Surrey Residents to City of Surrey Recreation Programs

File: 8000-30

At the April 8, 2024 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting, Councillor Bains put forward the following notice of motion:

"That Council direct staff to:

- a. Create a plan which will give the residents of Surrey priority access to all lessons and recreation programs in the City of Surrey facilities, which shall include swimming and skating lessons, with such priority access being in place for a minimum of two weeks before the lessons and programs are offered to residents of other cities; and
- b. Report back to Council at the earliest possible opportunity with details of the plan and implementation timeline, with an aim to implement it as soon as reasonably possible."

It was
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That Council direct staff to:

- a. Create a plan which will give the residents of Surrey priority access to all lessons and recreation programs in the City of Surrey facilities, which shall include swimming and skating lessons, with such priority access being in place for a minimum of two weeks before the lessons and programs are offered to residents of other cities; and
- b. Report back to Council at the earliest possible opportunity with details of the plan and implementation timeline, with an aim to implement it as soon as reasonably possible."

RES.R24-860

Carried

Mayor Locke requested that the City Manager undertake a value for money audit on a department by department basis to ensure that the City is spending the tax dollars in the most responsible way possible; and report back to Council with a plan by the end of the second quarter of 2024 if possible.

L. ADJOURNMENT

It was
Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That the April 22, 2024 Regular Council -
Public Hearing meeting be adjourned.

RES.R24-861

Carried

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 10:35 p.m.

Certified correct:

Jennifer Ficocelli
City Clerk and
Director of Legislative Services

Mayor Brenda Locke