

Present:

Councillor Bose, Chair
D. Bondar
H. Dhillon
B. Favaro
P. Harrison
S. Keulen
W. Kim
M. Schutzbank
R. Sihota
R. Vanderende

Absent:

Councillor Bains, Vice Chair
R. Brar
S. Rai
R. Tamis

Staff Present:

M. Kischnick, Senior Planner
J. Kew, Planner
R. Ordelheide, Planner
D. Quesada, Planner
S. Ward, Drainage Manager
P. Zevit, Biodiversity Conservation Planner
S. Meng, Administrative Assistant

A. ADOPTIONS

1. Adoption of the Agenda

It was

Moved by P. Harrison
Seconded by M. Schutzbank
That the agenda of the Agricultural and Food
Policy Committee meeting of June 6, 2023, be adopted.
Carried

2. Adoption of the Minutes – May 2, 2023

It was

Moved by M. Schutzbank
Seconded by R. Vanderende
That the minutes of the Agricultural and Food
Policy Committee meeting held May 2, 2023, be adopted.
Carried

B. DELEGATIONS**1. Kazlyn Bonnor, Senior Analyst
Upland Agricultural Consulting**

The Senior Analyst from Upland Agricultural Consultants provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding information about farmland stewardship initiatives in the region. The following information was highlighted:

- Fraser Delta Farmland Protection and Stewardship Working group formally began meetings in 2019. This Working Group consists of representatives from all levels of government, national and local non-governmental organizations, and academic institutions, who collaborate on opportunities related to agriculture and associated habitat stewardship in the Lower Fraser Valley.
- Through the Working Group, Birds Canada provided funding to conduct a poll to gauge the public views on stewardship of healthy ecosystems on farmland in Metro Vancouver. Angus Reid polling company conducted the online poll and total number of respondents was 1026.
- Alignment with Surrey and Metro Vancouver policies and initiatives such as Surrey Parks Agricultural Initiative, Biodiversity Conservation Strategy, Climate Change Action Strategy, Metro 2050 Regional Growth Strategy, and Climate 2050 Agricultural Road Map.
- Examples of payment for ecosystem service programs are occurring within the region is Farmland Advantage, Delta Farmland & Wildlife Trust, and conservation funds in various regions.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Senior Analyst from Upland Agricultural Consultants provided the following information:

- Polling generally indicated people were willing to support different conservation projects. There was more support compared to opposed to provide financially supporting for farmers.
- Connecting streams and channels for fish was an example of a statement in the poll which did not pertain just to farmland. Working Group hold two objectives in hand: farmland liability and farmland stewardship.
- Birds Canada own and manage the data and plan to do more robust communication of this tool. They are taking comments and consolidate them into a document.
- Have not been approached from politicians regarding funding. The farm areas tax was an example from other regional districts who have done this in the past. This is mostly a communication piece and results were from North Shore, Vancouver, and Burnaby where they were quite supportive regarding farmland stewardship. Working Group communicates the benefits of protecting farmland in Surrey to help producers with potential farmland liability and production and help with clean water reproduction.
- One statement providing local food to the region from farmland.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- It is a good idea for next polling to include food security aspect and keeping farms in production.
- 95% want to support and establish funding and percentage decreased to 60% when it came to how much individuals would be willing to fund actions to support agriculture.
- Polling questions seem to villainize farmers. A lot of farmlands in Surrey qualify for wetlands and needs environmental protection. The connecting creeks and channels imply adding more ditches to create connections, which may provide additional required setbacks, and buffers for farmers.
- Blueberry farms are promoting Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) and preserving bird habitat. However, blueberry farmers use bird cannons to actively scare birds which sends a mixed signal. Farmers need better representation on agricultural practices.
- Agricultural land has no channelization for connecting streams and fisheries. There are total of 3 rivers within the city, and all are ground water fed where they do not run off from the mountains.
- Serpentine River in 1890 did not come north of Highway 10, it was man made from there on and farmers created fish habitat and channels.
- Polling represents opinions from the urban community and want farmers to action items that negatively impact farm operations to benefit climate change.
- Great to see broader range of polling questions related to agriculture next time.

R. Sihota joined the meeting at 6:11 p.m.

**2. Brett Favaro, Dean, Faculty of Science and Horticulture
Kwantlen Polytechnic University**

The Dean of Faculty of Science and Horticulture at Kwantlen Polytechnic University (KPU) provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding an overview of KPU and offerings in the Agriculture and Horticulture space. The following information was highlighted:

- KPU has 5 campuses: Richmond, Surrey, Civic Plaza (Surrey Central), Langley, and Tech (Cloverdale). Richmond campus offer programs in farm and sustainable agriculture and food systems. Langley campus is home to the School of Horticulture and comprises of research and teaching of greenhouses. The campus also has turf fields where students create and sell plants. The Surrey campus is home to the KPU Applied Genomics Centre where they have industry partners who contribute to the agriculture space.
- KPU is focused on vocational training where citations, certificates, diplomas, associate, and bachelor degrees are offered.
- Faculty of instructors want to change the faculty name 'Faculty of Science and Horticulture' to 'Faculty of Science' as people get confused with the current faculty name.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Dean of Faculty of Science and Horticulture at KPU provided the following information:

- Associate degree courses are a mix of third- and fourth-year courses in a bachelor degree program.
- Horticulture program consists about 120 students.
- Statistics by program indicate how many students graduate and become employed in the horticulture field.
- Marketing did analysis on how people search for KPU and School of Horticulture comes up as a landing page instead of Faculty of Science and Horticulture. This is a search engine optimization issue.
- Applied science implies an aspect of engineering.
- No specific courses on vertical farming.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- Keep the Faculty of Science and Horticulture name the same to keep both field components. If name is changed, it may lose agricultural awareness of the program for future students who may have otherwise made it a priority to take classes there.
- It will lose some awareness from the students and appear as a subset if the name is changed to Faculty of Science.
- Suggest change name to Faculty of Applied Science and Horticulture.
- SFU offers courses in vertical farming at their Agriculture Technology Innovation Centre.

C. STAFF PRESENTATIONS

1. Pamela Zevit, Biodiversity Conservation Planner City of Surrey

The Biodiversity Conservation Planner provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding actions arising from the completion of the plan "Parkland in Surrey's ALR – A Comprehensive Plan for Agriculture". The following information was highlighted:

- The City's Biodiversity Conservation Strategy was endorsed by Council in 2014 and has a component on agricultural stewardship.
- Parks Division was successful in obtaining funding through Investment Agriculture Foundation (IAF) to develop "Parkland in Surrey's ALR - A Comprehensive Plan for Agriculture". The plan was completed in 2022 and the focus now is on implementing recommendations on agricultural land within the parks system.
- Parks Division is working with the Realty Division to update the leasing language for agreements on parkland being leased for agricultural use. Recommended objectives from the parkland in Surrey's ALR plan are being incorporated into the revised lease agreement. The pilot for this is with Greenway Farms, the tenant leasing Mound Farm Park. It is an opportunity to support implementation of the plan's objectives. There is a responsibility

for the City to work with tenants regarding the changes to help ease any transition challenges, especially the inclusion of new environmental components such as Water Sustainability Act, agricultural stewardship, fisheries, and species at risk.

- Late last year was successful in obtaining funds through Clean BC's Local Government Climate Adaptation Program (LGCAP). Parks Division submitted a proposal to implement key recommendations within the plan, using Mound Farm Park. Objectives were focused on regenerative farming approaches that support climate change adaptation and mitigation.
- Parks Division will be working with Engineering to implement actions to improve carbon sequestration, storage, and enhance biodiversity values.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Biodiversity Conservation Planner provided the following information:

- One implementation strategy is to initiate a winter cover crop program at Mound Farm Park.
- Per agricultural leases limiting production to food crops (versus forage), the City has a focus on food security. However, Colebrook Park is being farmed for hay, and it is not an issue, mainly because it does not have a formal lease agreement and production is small.
- Mound Farm Park is the gem regarding active farming potential in the City's parks.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- Land tenure agreements between Mound Farm Park and Sullivan Park limits pieces of properties to say where you are not permitted any pasture or forage crops. The current agreement limits crops to be grown for food production. Problem with biodiversity is there is not winter forage in the area for ducks and geese and thus, will come onto private farmland looking for forage.
- When you use agricultural land for non-farm use in parkland, it removes farmland from production. Nicomeckl Riverfront Park is an example of farmland being taken out of production. It is going to be used as spillway for the river.
- Mud Bay Park has 20 to 30 acres removed from agriculture and been put into compensation program for Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund (DMAF) which removed close to 50 acres of agricultural land that was in the parkland.
- It was indicated that the Agricultural committee recommended the City to create Mud Bay Park to deal with trespass issue and have 30 acres used again for agriculture. There was no money for maintenance for Mud Bay Park and now it is going to be used for breaking the dyke and compensation for something else.
- One of the committee members mention that they are the tenants at Sullivan Park. It is wet because ditches are not deep enough to put drains in. It would be beneficial to see the lease agreements include rotations for different food crops over time. That will help tenants address pest infestations and reduce need to treat for pests by being able to change crop cycles while not losing production capacity. This will be important in maintaining soil health, drainage, nutrient retention, and water quality.

2. Development Application 7918-0138-00

Robert Ordelheide, Planner

Address: 18175 - 74 Avenue

The Planner summarized the report dated May 23, 2023, regarding Development Application No. 7918-0138-00 which proposes a Development Permit for farm protection in order to build 75 townhouse units on a property abutting the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR). The following information was highlighted:

- 75-unit conventional townhouse development in West Clayton, all with double garages. The site is currently designated "Low Density Cluster" which requires a 30-metre ALR buffer.
- The applicant is proposing to redesignate the property to the "Medium Density Cluster" designation in the West Clayton NCP; to rezone the site to CD (based on RM-30); and to obtain Development Permits for Form and Character, Farm Protection, and Sensitive Ecosystem (Green Infrastructure Network), Hazard Lands (Steep Slopes).
- The applicant will be required to amend a provision in the proposed designation to reduce the ALR buffer requirement from 50 to 30 metres. Staff accept this amendment as it aligns with the setback, landscape buffer, and trail requirements established under DP-3 and the DP-4.
- NCP identifies the escarpment and ridgeline ("Clayton Hill") as a heritage resource under the Heritage Strategy (Section 5.7 of the NCP). Staff are working with the developer in order to achieve a townhouse form and massing that is sensitive to this heritage resource.
- Proposal meets and exceeds building setback and vegetative buffer which is proposed to be 34.5 metres from ALR boundary and vegetative buffer of 30 metres. Parks division is planning to put trail through the ALR landscape buffer.
- Building design guidelines ask for the bedrooms and outdoor areas oriented away from the ALR lands, with mechanical ventilation and acoustical attenuation. This provision seeks to preserve residential amenity where a dwelling unit is located next to working agriculture lands.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Planner, Senior Planner, and Drainage Manager provided the following information:

- The density transfer provision makes the ALR setbacks and buffering requirements more achievable. In this case the applicant has proposed a 34.5-metre building setback, which includes a 30-metre landscape buffer, and 4.5 metres building setback from vegetated buffer. These requirements exceed those established in the OCP DP-4 guidelines.
- A 1.5-metre internal walkway is proposed on townhouse side of 30-metre landscape buffer. Staff note that Park division are planning for a gravel trailway to go through the landscape buffer space which will be publicly accessible.
- The application is silent on mechanical ventilation of interior spaces to avoid dust, noise, and open windows.
- West Clayton Plan would have onsite drainage control targets. The performance target would be based on the type and intensity of the use, and the area of the project.

- There is a drainage pond currently under construction at the bottom of 74 Ave which will provide stormwater servicing for this catchment of West Clayton.
- The developer will be responsible for designing landscaping buffer in accordance with the requirements set out in DP-3 and Dp-4.
- When this development is complete, greenway is going to be conveyed over to Parks Division. Trail to be determined through application process to have 50 metre landscape buffers between ALR and trail.
- This application went to this committee in 2019 and the proposed alignment of the trail at that time was setback from the ALR edge. DP4 guidelines state trail should not be on agricultural edge as to not create conflicts with farming.
- As part of the Development Permit requirements, a restrictive covenant (RC) will be placed on title, to identify nearby farming practices for owners and will apply to every unit.
- Site is 0.26 hectares (0.6 acres).
- Staff will further consider the civil plans to ensure nuisance drainage onto farmland is avoided. Given the proposal's status as a multi-family development, the project will need to construct and connect to city stormwater infrastructure.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- The proposed buildings are designed like a wall and no breaks in between for air to move through. Prevailing wind is from west and may carry farm sprays or dust that may smash into townhouses. If any sort of dust or spray it is like a dyke wall.
- Topography is within the northwest direction. Any density added on the hill will lead the water to the farmland unless the water is captured through a mechanism in place to have pre and post development fold the same.
- Roads count as buffer.
- Retention of water will ultimately go back to Serpentine River, which is key.
- Concern is stormwater run off and how that is managed.
- If dust is coming there, suggest going with darker colours on building design, as there could be complaints against agriculture.
- At the top, the development has glazing, suggest a railing to be not glazing at the ditch, could be continuing façade and blend over it. Glazing gets dirty and leads to more complaints.

It was

Moved by H. Dhillon

Seconded by R. Sihota

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommend that the General Manager of Planning and Development support Development Application 7918-0138-00.

Carried

3. Development Application 7922-0231-00

Robert Ordelheide, Planner

Address: 17077 & 17121 – 92 Ave

The Planner summarized the report dated May 23, 2023, regarding Development Application No. 7922-0231-00 which proposes a Development Permit for farm protection in order to build twenty-eight (28) single family lots, and one (1) park lot adjacent to the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR). The following information was highlighted:

- The property is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan, "Low Density Cluster", "Green Density Transfer", and "Trail" in the Anniedale-Tynehead NCP, and is currently zone RA.
- The applicant is proposing to develop the property in accordance with the "Low Density Cluster" designation in the Anniedale-Tynehead NCP, to rezone the site to RF-13, and to obtain Farm Protection, Sensitive Ecosystem (Green Infrastructure Network & Streamside Setbacks), and Hazard Lands (Steep Slopes & Flood Prone) Development Permits, and a Development Variance Permit to vary lot widths.
- Hazard lands DP-2 also applies.
- To meet the DP-4 Farm Protection Development Permit Area requirements, the applicants are proposing an overall 49-metre setback to the southern property line of a buildable RF-13 lots with a minimum vegetated buffer width of approximately 25-metres.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Planner provided the following information:

- Continuation of the Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) Corridor likely follow along 92 Avenue which works in conjunction of farm protection setbacks. This will continue the other side of 176 street and connect to other GIN corridors.

The Committee provided the following comment:

- The property has sufficient landscape buffers and has lower density single family uses.

It was

Moved by S. Keulen

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommend that the General Manager of Planning and Development support Development Application 7922-0231-00.

Carried

4. Development Application 7922-0331-00

Donna Quesada, Planner

Address: 8350 – 184 Street

The Planner summarized the report dated May 26, 2023, regarding Development Application No. 7922-0331-00 which proposes to construct a single-family dwelling that exceeds 500 square metres in floor area, the maximum allowed under section 20.1 (1)(b) of the Agricultural Land Commission Act. The following information was highlighted:

- The applicants are proposing to construct a 650-square metre principal residence and a 150-square metre farm building next to the principal residence for agricultural production and equipment storage.
- Currently, the existing house that was built in 1965 is being rented out to a family.
- Proposal is to eventually demolish the house and build a multi-generational house. Parents, two children and their families including grandchildren will be residing in the house.
- Property has never been farmed and does not currently have farm status. However, the owner has indicated that there are plans for the family to farm the property in the future.
- The property has an existing violation regarding fill placement in the riparian areas and agricultural lands as well as a tree violation. The applicant has hired an environmental consultant and is working with City staff to remediate and restore the site. The extent of the illegal fill had leached into the watercourse and agriculture setback.

In response to questions from the Committee, the Planner provided the following information:

- The applicants have applied to the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) for non-adhering residential use.
- After AFPC deliberation, the application will be taken to Council.
- No farming has been done on the property.
- Size of property is 1.5 hectares (3.8 acres).
- Part of application is a farm building which is 150 square metres in size.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- Environmental violations have been committed with no farming happening. If we approved this, it would send a wrong message.
- Property has been owned for around 18 years and have never been farmed before.
- No justification to have the property increase more than 500 square metres.
- Applicant should consider fire separation in placement of farm building, by implementing a firewall and if it is not being farmed it will create larger footprint.

It was

Moved by S. Keulen

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommends that the General Manager of Planning and Development not support Development Application 7922-0331-00 and recommend that the City does not move the non-adhering residential use application forward to the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC).

Carried

5. Development Application 7923-0149-00

Jonathan Kew, Planner

Address: 15087 – 48 Avenue

The Planner summarized the report dated May 30, 2023, regarding Development Application No. 7923-0149-00 which proposes for a referral to the ALC to authorize historic fill placed on the site prior to 1987 and to rezone to a “Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)”. Authorization of this historic fill is required to permit the construction of three heated greenhouses and to consider rezoning to permit a food processing building involving cooking of tomatoes grown by the greenhouse operation. The following information was highlighted:

- Applicant is requesting for a referral to the ALC to authorize historic fill placed on the site prior to 1987 and to rezone to a “Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)”. Authorization of this historic fill is required to permit the construction of three heated greenhouses and to consider rezoning to permit a food processing building involving cooking of tomatoes grown by the greenhouse operation.
- In 1984, the City submitted a notice to the property owners noting that fill material was being imported to the subject property without a valid permit. This fill placement area is approximately 2 hectares. The fill has an approximate depth of 1 metre.
- A soil survey and soil analysis conducted in 2019 indicates that the majority of the property has been impacted by this imported fill, consisting of inferior agricultural mineral soils with stones and low fertility. The area is also heavily compacted. The original soil type was not encountered on the site.
- The applicant seeks new building permits for three heated greenhouses and a two-storey processing facility to be located in the north portion of the property. The approximate floor areas include 1,658 square metres for the greenhouses and 591 square metres for the processing facility.
- The project's qualified environmental professional (QEP) has prepared an Agrologist Report and a Riparian Area Assessment remediation report. The QEP indicates that based on the costs and impracticality of fill removal, the proposed heated greenhouses would be a productive agricultural use.
- The heated greenhouses are proposed for tomato production. The processing facility is proposed for the processing and canning of the tomatoes grown in the greenhouses. Under the ALR regulations, at least 50% of the product being processed on an agricultural lot must be produced by the same farm operation or be feed required for the farm operation.
- The applicants note that the historic fill was imported onto the property by the previous owner and done approximately four decades ago. Also, they

note that they intend to pursue a reclamation plan to expand the garlic cultivation on-site in-addition to adding the greenhouse use.

In response to questions from the Committee, Planner and Senior Planner provided the following information:

- Based on 2019 Agrologist Report, applicant went 1.2 to 1.8 metres in depth and never found native soils.
- Should look at agricultural land reserve regulations and A-1 Zone and check to see if a CD zone is required with legal department in order to allow cooking of tomatoes as part of food processing in the ALR.
- Size of property is 2.6 hectares (over 5 acres).

The Committee provided the following comments:

- Ensure to define the property as a farm building on site plan. Per zoning bylaw, an accessory building has a different definition than farm building. Accessory building means accessory to the residential use, such as a detached theatre.
- Property seems to have small greenhouses to grow tomatoes.
- Applicant owns a catering company and purchased this property with the intention of making sauce on property with locally grown garlic and tomatoes. They found the property was filled and destroyed.
- 50% of the products being produced must be from the same farm operation or property to go through food processing.
- Love the farm to table aspect.
- Owner is very consistent of their purpose regards to cooking.

It was

Moved by R. Sihota

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommend that the General Manager of Planning and Development support reclamation of fill, construction of greenhouses, and farm building for processing tomato sauce subject to the processing building being called a farm building for Development Application 7923-0149-00.

Carried

D. REPORTS

1. **Infrastructure Canada – Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund (DMAF) Application** Samantha Ward, Drainage Manager, City of Surrey

City is submitting an application for next DMAF intake due July 19, 2023. Staff are requesting a Letter of Support ("LoS") from AFPC for the City's application.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- DMAF application consists of eleven projects.
- First 2 projects involve the installation of a pump at the sea dams on the Serpentine and Nicomekl rivers. Some committee members expressed concerns with the proposed sea dam location on the Serpentine River as the new location will negatively impact Mud Bay Dyking District. It was noted that the sea dam relocation is part of the ongoing DMAF projects and this LoS request is for a new DMAF application.
- Farmers not in favour of the location of pumps along Serpentine and Nicomekl River and afraid farmland become spillway.
- Mud Bay Dyking District is first line of defence with the sea. DMAF program does not include a project to assist the dyking district. Concerns that water in the river will overflow to the district due to the sea dam relocation.
- Four pumping stations are proposed to be replaced in Fleetwood, Coast Meridian, Bear Creek, and Nicomekl River. No spillways have been proposed in this application.
- Modelling has been done to support the Serpentine sea dam relocation on the elevations of the dykes from King George Boulevard to Highway 99 where new dam will be.
- If water reaches to the dykes and Serpentine vent, there will be overflow and there was no indication mentioned of enhancement in this report.
- This year had a 30 cm increase of water during high tide period.
- Some committee members noted the sea dam was built to delineate between the dyking districts.
- Pumps at the pump stations have sensors and shuts down automatically when water reaches certain level.
- Members expressed need to revisit and update the Lowland Strategy.
- If the City's DMAF application is successful, funding will be allocated to all eleven projects indicated in the report to be completed by end of 2032.

It was

Moved by M. Schutzbank

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee receive the report regarding Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund (DMAF) Application for information.

Carried

It was

Moved by M. Schutzbank

Seconded by H. Dhillon

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee provide a letter of support for the City of Surrey's application for Infrastructure Canada's 2023 Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund program intake.

Carried

With S. Keulen opposed.

It was

Moved by M. Schutzbank

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommend General Manager of Engineering to explore opportunity to add a twelfth project to enhance dykes along the Serpentine River between existing and new sea dam locations.

Carried

E. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Cannabis Production Ministry of Agriculture Bylaw Standards

Markus Kischnick, Senior Planner

Senior Planner provided a brief overview of the Provincial Government Discussion Paper, on current Ministry Bylaw Standards (MBS) for Cannabis Facilities in the ALR, and the proposed refinements to MBS for Cannabis Production Facilities in the ALR.

The bylaw standards, known as the MBS, for local governments in B.C. are intended to regulate non-medical, federally licensed cannabis production facilities in the ALR.

The Ministry of Agriculture and Food (MoAF) requests comments from City on MBS by June 14, 2023 and staff request comments from AFPC on three proposed Guidelines:

1. 150 metres maximum Setback from Parks and Schools for Cannabis Production Facilities
2. 30 metres maximum setback from non-ALR property with a buffer or 60 metres maximum setback from non-ALR property with out buffer employed.
3. Requirement for Local Government Business License for Cannabis Facilities.

The Committee provided the following comments:

- It was indicated that local governments (such as the city) cannot prohibit cannabis production in the ALR if it is produced: outdoors in a field or inside a structure that has a base consisting entirely of soil.
- Local governments may regulate and/or prohibit all other forms of cannabis production such as within a new structure that has a concrete base.
- It was noted by several Committee members that the Setbacks from parks and schools should have no maximum and be a minimum of 150 metres from a Cannabis Production Facility.

It was

Moved by H. Dhillon

Seconded by P. Harrison

That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee recommend that the Ministry of Agriculture and Food (MoAF) replace the word 'maximum' with 'minimum' for all Cannabis Production facility bylaw standard setbacks from parks, schools, and non-ALR residential uses; and further recommend that any reference to maximum setbacks be removed from the future Cannabis Production Facilities in the ALR Ministry Bylaw Standards.

Carried

F. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Agriculture and Food Policy Committee is scheduled for Tuesday, September 5, 2023 with proposed location in Meeting Room 125 A and B at Surrey Operations Centre.

G. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by M. Schutzbank
Seconded by P. Harrison
That the Agricultural and Food Policy

Committee meeting be adjourned.

Carried

The Agricultural and Food Policy Committee meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

Jennifer Ficocelli, City Clerk

Councillor Bose, Chairperson