



City of Surrey

Council-in-Committee

Minutes

Multi-Purpose Rooms 1 & 2
Surrey Sport & Leisure Complex
16555 Fraser Highway
Surrey, B.C.
MONDAY, JUNE 20, 2005
Time: 5:00 p.m.

Present:

Acting Mayor: Councillor Steele
Mayor McCallum
Councillor Tymoschuk
Councillor Priddy
Councillor Bose
Councillor Watts
Councillor Hunt
Councillor Higginbotham

Absent:

Councillor Villeneuve

Staff Present:

City Manager
City Clerk
General Manager, Planning & Development
City Solicitor
General Manager, Engineering
General Manager, Finance, Technology & HR

A. DELEGATIONS

1. S. Mander Mander Bros. Development File: 4020-20; 0550-20-10

S. Mander, Mander Bros. Development was in attendance regarding an issue with waste management and the City of Surrey.

S. Mander provided the following comments:

- She advised that Mander Bros. Development would like to dispute the bill sent by the City of Surrey for property clean up.
- The property owners were already in the process of cleaning up the property.
- They had contacted the Tenancy board who advised the property owners they were not allowed to go on the property and pick up the tenant's materials.
- They were in the process of moving them out, when the Notices were received from the City.
- By-law Enforcement attended the site in February and was advised that the property owners were trying to evict the tenants.
- The current tenant has occupied the premises for two years.
- They had advised the tenant that he had to clean up the property and installed a disposal bin.
- The By-law Enforcement officer spoke to the tenant advising him that the property had to be cleaned up within 30 days and then asked him what items were his personal property.
- The By-law Enforcement officer advised the property owners to leave the items as they were the tenant's personal things.
- The tenant piled his things up against the wall initially, but then spread them out throughout the property.
- The owners started the clean up process and gave the tenants 30 days notice to move out.
- They had contacted the police and were advised that the matter was not within their jurisdiction and that they should not touch the tenant's belongings.

- They did not have any written documentation of conversations with the Tenancy office.
- The owners had other unsightly properties that have now been resolved.
- They wish the bill to be eliminated.

The City Solicitor provided further background information and a chronology of events relative to the matter.

It was

remedy the situation.

Moved by Councillor Tymoschuk
Seconded by Mayor McCallum
That Council uphold the actions taken to

Carried with Councillor Bose against.

It was

delegation be received.

Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Hunt
That the information as submitted by the

Carried

B. ITEMS REFERRED BY COUNCIL

C. CORPORATE REPORTS

1. The Corporate Reports, under date of May 14, 2001, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. C011 Neighbourhood Concept Plan - East Clayton Extension
North of 72 Avenue (East Clayton North Extension) -
Stage 2 Report
File: 6520-20 (East Clayton North)

The General Manager, Planning & Development submitted a report to provide information to Council about the final and complete NCP for the extension of East Clayton to the North of 72 Avenue; and to seek Council approval of the NCP and authorization to bring forward the necessary by-laws that will act to recognize this NCP within the OCP and to implement amenity contribution requirements and NCP preparation charges.

The General Manager, Planning & Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was Moved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Higginbotham
That Council:

1. Receive this report as information;
2. Approve the final and complete East Clayton North Extension Neighbourhood Concept Plan ("NCP"), contained in Appendix "A" of this report, as a means to manage development and to provide services, amenities and facilities for this neighbourhood;
3. Authorize the City Clerk to bring forward for the required readings and, where necessary, to set a date for the Public Hearing for the following by-laws:
 - (a) Amendment to the Surrey Official Community Plan By-law 1996, No. 12900 (the "OCP By-law"), as documented in Appendix "B" of this report, to add the East Clayton North Extension area to Figure 27 entitled "Map Showing Recently Approved Secondary Plans";
 - (b) Amendment to Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, (the "Zoning By-law"), as documented in Appendix "C", to require amenity contributions for the East Clayton North Extension, based upon the density bonus concept; and
 - (c) Amendment to the Surrey Land Use and Development Application Fees Imposition By-law, 1993, No. 11631 (the "Fees Imposition By-law"), as documented in Appendix "D" of this report, to require the payment of additional application fees to recover a portion of the costs of preparing the NCP for the East Clayton North Extension; and
4. Instruct staff to bring forward, on a site-by-site basis, concurrently with the related site-specific rezoning application, any necessary OCP land use designation amendments in the area covered by the East Clayton North Extension NCP.

Carried

Item No. C011A East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP)
Extension North of 72 Avenue - Engineering
Servicing Plan
File: 6520-20 (EC); 4804-704

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to provide Council with an overview of the engineering servicing and financial strategy for the East Clayton NCP East Extension.

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was
Moved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Higginbotham
That Council:

1. Adopt the engineering servicing and financial strategies as outlined in this report and as specified in the East Clayton NCP North Extension – Stage II NCP Report.
2. Continue to endorse the sustainability objectives as outlined in the original East Clayton NCP and associated reports to Council.

Carried

Note: This item is in Regular Council Corporate Reports, R156. It should be considered along with Item C011 in CIC.

Item No. C012 Proposed General Land Use Plan for Grandview Heights
File: 6520-20 (Grandview Heights)

The General Manager, Planning & Development submitted a report to advise Council of the results of the final public open house at which the preferred General Land Use Plan for Grandview Heights was presented; to provide information on minor changes to the land use concept resulting from the final public open house; and to seek Council's approval of the Grandview Heights General Land Use Plan.

The General Manager, Planning & Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was
Moved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Higginbotham
That Council:

1. Receive this report as information; and
2. Approve the Grandview Heights General Land Use Plan (land use concept and policies), attached as Appendix I to this report, as the basis for preparing Neighbourhood Concept Plans ("NCPs").

Before the question was called:

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Priddy
That the motion be amended by inserting the
words "in principle" after the word "approve", and the following item be inserted
after Item 2:

3. A public hearing be scheduled prior to adoption of the General Land Use Plan for Grandview Heights.

Defeated with Mayor McCallum, Councillor Steele, Hunt, Higginbotham, Tymoschuk against.

The question was called on the main motion, and it was:-

Carried with Councillor Bose against.

D. DELEGATION REQUESTS

E. COUNCILLORS' REPORTS

F. OTHER COMPETENT BUSINESS

G. ADJOURNMENT

It was Moved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Tymoschuk
That the Council-in-Committee meeting do
now adjourn.

Carried

The Council-in-Committee adjourned at 6:16 p.m.

Margaret Jones, City Clerk

Chairperson