

Regular Council -**Public Hearing Minutes**

Council Chamber City Hall 14245 - 56 Avenue Surrey, B.C. MONDAY, JULY 13, 2009 Time: 7:00 p.m.

			Time: 7.00 p.m.
Present:		Absent:	Staff Present:
Chairperson - Councillor Vil Councillor Ste Councillor Gil Councillor Ma Councillor Ra Councillor Bo	leneuve eele l urtin sode	<u>Councillors Enterin</u> <u>Meeting as Indicate</u>	
Councillor Hu Councillor He	int		General Manager, Human Resources Deputy City Manager Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division
		×	Manager, Land Development, Engineering City Solicitor
 A. ADO	PTION OF MINUT	TEC	
A. ADO	FIION OF MINUT	LD	
1.	Special (Regular	r) Council - June 29	, 2009
	It was		Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Martin
RES.R09-125:		held on June 29, 200	That the minutes of the Special (Regular) 9, be adopted. <u>Carried</u>
2.	Council-in-Com	mittee - June 29, 20	009
	It was		Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Martin That the minutes of the
RES.R09-125		nittee meeting held o	on June 29, 2009, be received. <u>Carried</u>
3.	Regular Counci	l - Land Use - June :	29, 2009
	It was		Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Martin That the minutes of the Regular Council –
	т 1 тт	1 1 1 T	Name Instance Instance Instance I

Land Use meeting held on June 29, 2009, be adopted. RES.Rog-1254

Carried

4. Regular Council - Public Hearing - June 29, 2009

It was

Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Martin That the minutes of the Regular Council -

Public Hearing meeting held on June 29, 2009, be adopted.

RES.Ro9-1255

Carried

B. DELEGATIONS - PRESENTATIONS

 Aleksandra Kuligowski Heritage Services Youth Volunteer Canada Youth Award File: 0290-20; 0550-20-10

> Aleksandra Kuligowski, Heritage Services Youth Volunteer was in attendance before Council to receive the Canada Youth Award in recognition for outstanding achievements and contributions to the community.

The Mayor and Council announced the achievements and contributions of Ms. Kuligowski. The Canada Youth Award was presented to Ms. Kuligowski.

B. DELEGATIONS – PUBLIC HEARING

The agenda was varied to deal item B.2 prior to item B.1.

2. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2007, No. 16247, Amendment By-law, 2009, 16966

Application: 7909-0068-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	2950 King George Highway (also shown as 2940 King George Highway)
APPLICANT:	KGH BT Holdings Limited c/o Michael Mortensen #2000, 1040 - West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V6E 4H1
PROPOSAL:	To amend "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2007, No. 16247" in Section D. Density, Section E. Lot Coverage, Section F. Yards and Setbacks, and Section K. Subdivision.
	These amendments will allow subdivision into an airspace parcel of the mixed commercial/residential building which is near completion and a remainder lot.

1.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16967

Application: 7909-0022-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	Portion of 13922 - 101 Avenue
APPLICANT:	City of Surrey c/o Coast Mental Health 293 E. 11th Avenue Vancouver, BC V5T 2C4

PROPOSAL: To rezone a portion of the site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)"

DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

To vary "Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830", as amended, Part V, Section 24(a), as follows:

 (a) To defer the requirement to provide vehicular highway systems, pedestrian highway systems, water supply, sanitary sewer, drainage works, underground wiring and street lighting systems along 100 Avenue.

The purpose of the rezoning and development variance permit is to permit the development of a 52-unit, 3-storey apartment building to house the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

Councillor Gill advised of his conflict of interest as he has an employment relation with Coast Mental Health and left the meeting at 7:16 p.m.

John Gauld, City Spaces Consulting, 688 West Hastings, Vancouver, representing Coast Mental Health was in attendance and presented further details of the project for those in attendance, following are comments made during the presentation:

• The context of Timbergrove is that it is responding to a need as Surrey has the second largest homeless population in Metro Vancouver. Between 2003 and 2005, there was an increase in homelessness of 132%. 11% of Metro Vancouver homeless call Surrey 'home'. 2001 Census identified 4,085 renter household as being at risk of homelessness.

- The project has its origins at the Provincial Homelessness Initiative and agreement. There was a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between BC Housing and the City of Surrey done in March 2008. The MOU was a proactive response to the homelessness, a commitment to secure construction starts for supportive housing, and was priority for the homeless and those at risk of homelessness.
- Among many initiatives Surrey is responding to homelessness through set out policies and put finances in place to make a difference. Surrey's Plan for Social Well Being of Surrey Residents (February 2006) identifies the need for supportive housing. Surrey's Crime Reduction Strategy (February 2007)also identifies supportive housing as a requirement. Affordable Housing Reserve Fund was used to establish the Surrey Homelessness and Housing Fund (September 2007).
- Timbergrove is a partnership flowing from the 2010 Olympics and it is an Olympic Legacy Project. In partnership with the Vancouver Organizing Committee for the 2010 Olympics and Paralympic Winter Games as they will be providing the modules for this housing. BC Housing will be managing and funding the project. The City of Surrey (if this project is approved) will provide land and funds for development fees. Coast Mental Health will manage and operate the housing units.
- The project is a 3 storey, 52 unit apartment building, in our opinion it is well scaled and suitable to the character of the neighbourhood and feel that the building will fit in well in the neighbourhood.
- This will be innovative re-use of Olympic modular units, the modular construction provides only for the internal structure, and the building will be provided with new cladding, new roof, and amenity spaces that will be built on site, making this building more distinguishable from other partnership buildings that are built in place.
- All single occupancy (studio) units will be complete with its own kitchen and bathroom. The first floor layout includes the amenity space, shared kitchen space, dining room, laundry area and lounge. There is a full reception (monitoring) area that has full visibility of the building entrance.
- There will be tree preservation and intensive landscaping restoration to the east and west sides of the building. The facility will have an outdoor patio, gardening plots, and parking for five cars. All outdoor activities are located on the east side of the building and screened from the buildings to the west, by both the building and the intensive landscaping.
- Timbergrove is proposed to be long term housing that provides the second step or the next step in breaking the cycle of homelessness. Most tenants will stay two years and there will be a range of supportive services to help residents to be successful in their lives. Coast Mental Health will assist resident to make and maintain connections to support services in the community.
- Residents will get to know one another and support one another, and as residents settle into healthy routines the building will become integrated into the neighbourhood.
- Supportive housing is not: a shelter or place to house transient individuals, a drop in centre, a treatment facility, a high-risk/low-barrier housing facility, or a chaotic or noisy environment.

- The support that Coast Mental Health will offer at this facility include: meal program, peer support program, employment preparation and placement, tenant engagement in a recovery plan, clean start program, case management, medication management assistance, and tenant participation in building and grounds maintenance.
- Coast Mental Health has been chosen by BC Housing to manage and operate Timbergrove. Coast Mental Health is a non-profit society established in 1972; BC's largest non-project provider of housing; regularly inspected and accredited with Accreditation Canada; provides supportive housing to more than 700 people in 40 facilities across Metro Vancouver; and provide daily services to 1,000 plus people with mental illness.
- Timbergrove's future residents will be evaluated on an individual basis and selected by Coast Mental Health based on suitability from a registration list with BC Housing. Coast Mental Health will be looking for stable tenants that can live independently with support; all tenants will sign a 'good neighbour agreement' and appropriate behaviour is required to maintain tenancy in the building.
- This facility will be managed by Coast Mental Health and there will be staff on site 24/7; property management staff will take care of the building maintenance; cameras will be utilized to monitor activities in common areas both inside and outside of building; access to building will be electronically controlled to ensure residents and their visitors are allowed into the building.
- Our community engagement consisted of: public open house held on February 25, 2009; neighbourhood concerns were recorded as – site suitability; resident selection, operations, crime and safety; April 2 and 28, 2009 meeting with the Central City Residents' Association (CCRA); June 9, 2009 meeting with the Surrey Downtown BIA and Surrey RCMP; and arborist, raptor and watercourse assessments.
- In response to our community engagement we did: relocated driveway, surface parking and service area relocated to the east side of the building; moved building as far east as possible; restoration of natural buffer along west boundary; reduced lot size; willingness to re-engage with neighbour on detailed landscape design; and committed to a neighbourhood advisory committee.
- The site is suitable for supportive housing because it is: a quiet residential area; well serviced by pedestrian, cycle and transit facilities; residential amenities within walking distance; adjacent to natural areas and open spaces; and, room for gardening and outside activity on the east side of the building.
- Supportive housing is a proven, safe, secure and compatible residential use, this is an appropriate location with many attributes, the site and building design responses to the neighbourhood context and we have undertaken an environmental protection and landscape restoration to the satisfaction of City Staff.
- This development complies with the Official Community Plan; the Surrey Centre Plan; the Social Well-Being Policy; and has Advisory Design Approval.

- Surrey residents will be given prioritized placement in the facility.
- Very low turnover of tenants; in a tour of a facility last week there were only 2 residents that were evicted in a two year time period.

John (Jack) McMillan, #305 – 13910– 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Concern for the loss of parkland. In response to this concern staff advised that the land is not parkland.
- King George Place on 104 Avenue would be ideal for a project like this, other locations would be 106 and 108 Avenues where the Front Room and other social facilities are already located.
- If this proposal complies with the plan for the Social Well Being of Surrey Residents, then the plan will have to be reworked, to ensure residents have more say in such matters. In response to this concern he was advised that the Social Well Being Plan was done by the community.

Carolyn Grieve, #210 - 10130 - 139 Street, provided the following comments:

- Surveyed the area before purchasing, the area was chosen because it is close to where she works, close to church, and was the ideal location for retiring as it is a quiet area.
- Now there is concern, nervousness and anger as to what this proposal will bring to the area.
- Opposed to the application. This is not the appropriate area for this type of facility.
- Concerned that the removal of the trees will displace much wildlife.
- There are two daycare centres that are abutting the facility and children will be exposed to paraphernalia refuse in the area, such as needles and condoms.
- This proposal will cause depreciation of property.
- It is unconceivable that two individual staff members would be able to maintain the tenants of the building.

Irene Lattunzio, 13915 – 100 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Her house is located adjacent to the green space and she operates a daycare from her home, and has concern for the safety of children that walk to the Lena Shaw Elementary School.
- The tenants of the building will be able to come and go freely from their residence; prescription drugs will be within the building; and, there is concern for the drugs that will be outside the building.
- This facility will have a huge impact on the neighbourhood and imposes a threat on the neighbourhood.
- Concerned for the impacts on the environment.
- City of Surrey should reconsider this facility's location.

Lorne Siefred, #107 - 13929 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- He resides at the Skyline Apartments. The area was selected as it is quiet and there is a familiar feeling of home in the neighbourhood.
- There are rare occasions of vandalism in the neighbourhood.
- Many building residents have advised that when the facility is placed in the neighbourhood they will be providing notice to move from the building.

Regular Council - Public Hearing Minutes

- Where he works there is staff ratio of 9 psychiatric patients to 1 staff member, the proposed facility will have a ratio of 52 individuals to 2 staff members.
- The facility will be located next to a senior's facility and daycares for children; there is concern for the safety and security of the children and seniors that walk in the area.
- He requested that the Mayor and Council vote no to this proposal.

Murray Allinger, #214-13831 – 100 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- The Central City Resident's Association ('CCRA') have met many times to discuss their issues with this proposal, and have gathered as much information as possible.
- The CCRA executive has had meetings with all the entities involved in this proposal. The CCRA was told that 75% of the tenants will be coming from the lower mainland, and that priority may be given to the residents of Surrey.
- Concerned that the proposed residents will be primarily men in active recovery and will have a variety of issues, many with different forms of mental illness, and recovering from drug addiction or alcoholism.
- Concerned that only 2 staff members on site for 52 residents. The residents will police themselves, and at times there will be no staff in place.
- Concerned that the City has recently put on their website that they have approved a 60 year lease for this proposal.
- Concerned for the increased traffic in the quiet neighbourhood cul-de-sac.
- Asking that Council reconsider putting 52 individuals with history into a high density residential area and vote no on this proposal.

Linda Nobes, #106 - 13929 - 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Concern for the amount of care, thought and planning that has been given to this proposal.
- She noted that the closure of temporary shelters in the False Creek area were due to the community complaints of drug use, prostitution, and increased crime. In response she was advised that the proposal is not for a temporary shelter such as the facility in False Creek.
- Concerned for the safety of children and seniors in the area.
- Two staff members, one of which that will be a maintenance person, will not be able to control the 52 residents of the building.
- Concerned for the residents and businesses in the area of Surrey Central due to drug and alcohol use, prostitution and theft.
- Once again noted her concern for poor planning and no environmental impact studies.
- She is opposed to the proposal and hopes Council votes no to this proposal.

Debbie Allinger, #214 – 13871 – 100 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Three concerns: One, is the destruction of the forested area that is enjoyed by so many, this forest area is very therapeutic for those residing in the area.
- Two, the absence of the non-bias observer, noting that someone needs to be accountable to the community.

- And thirdly, the threat of risk to the neighbourhood, there is a different feeling in the neighbourhood now.
- Much wildlife will be displaced; there are eagles, raccoons, possums and many other creatures residing in the green space.
- Many residents have the forested area as their front room view and they would not want to be looking out into a back alley.
- The facility is unlicensed and no one has responsibility for the facility, we do not have a non-biased party to talk to, we can only approach Coast Mental Health, and it is given that there will be relapses in a recovery centre.
- No reassurance was given that the residents will not have a criminal record, assault record and we as parents we have a right to know who will be in our neighbourhood.
- Concerned that her voice as well as the community will not be heard as there are no unbiased parties involved in this development the City, BC Housing and Coast Mental Health.
- Wants to feels safe in her neighbourhood and wants to continue enjoying her neighbourhood.

<u>Mable Yip, #205 - 13910 – 101 Avenue</u>, provided the following comments:

- Moved into the area as we were told that our window view was a dedicated green space.
- If this facility is approved we will feel like we are in a prison. We enjoy having a walkway that is shared with neighbours youngsters and seniors.
- We intend to spend the rest of life in relative safety and that is not what we will get with the individuals that chose a different way of life.
- This development is being forced upon the community and there is concern for property depreciation.

<u>Ann Norma Tait, #306 – 13910 – 101 Avenue</u>, provided the following comments:

- Had moved to this location as we were told the greenbelt would remain, the trees and wildlife give us a sense a peace and serenity.
- If this facility is located in neighbourhood there will be a sense of no control, as she will be afraid to go out and open windows in the summer.
- Concern for the safety of children and elderly that will be walking by this facility daily.
- In this neighbourhood there are 15 buildings which comprise 1150 family homes. This is an inappropriate neighbourhood for this facility.
- Concern that property value will decrease, as purchasers will not want to live with the concerns that are being expressed this evening.

<u>Donna Shingera, #213 – 13871 – 100 Avenue</u>, speaking on behalf of the Central City Resident's Association ('CCRA'), following are comments made during her presentation:

- CCRA was formed in early March of this year. The CCRA purpose is to provide a unified community voice in the development of their neighbourhood.
- Since the CCRA formation it has been very busy meeting with various representatives of all levels of Government, other neighbourhood associations, the RCMP, the developer, and the proposed operator.

- With regards to the Vanoc Legacy Housing, she noted that other communities are placing their relatively small number of housing units in locations of mixed residential, business, and commercial area and in either already cleared or previously developed land. By contrast Surrey is receiving 52 units whose proposed tenants will be primarily single men 19 years to 70 years of age, who are homeless or at risk of homelessness who have mental health challenges, may have substance abuse and/or drug addiction issues, and, may have criminal backgrounds.
- The site that is currently being proposed for this facility is a forested piece of land at the end of a cul-de-sac in a purely residential family neighbourhood, many blocks away from commercial and retail services and transportation.
- With regards to location criteria, during various meetings and conversations with Council and City Staff it became apparent that the decision to choose this location was simply that it met the following three criteria:
 - City owned site of adequate size to accommodate the project;
 - Site located in a multiple unit residential area to allow the building form to be consistent with the existing neighbourhood; and,
 - That the site be accessible to transit, community and social services.
- More consideration should have been given to the potential impact on the area residents. Despite the fact that siting this project on City owned land costs the City virtually nothing, would it be the community that may ultimately pay the price.
- The CCRA posed over 80 questions to the proponents on behalf of the concerned residents and to date not all the questions have been responded to.
- CCRA does not believe that the tenant population will be conducive for the existing neighbourhood that is primarily comprised of families, many with children and seniors.
- Increased police presence, in response to the proposed development, is not what the CCRA envisions as a positive contribution to the neighbourhood.
- A petition of 900 signatures was presented to Council.

	It was	Moved by Councillor Rasode
		Seconded by Councillor Steele
		That the petition of opposition with 900
	signatures be received.	
RES.R09-1256(a)	<u>Carried</u>

Chris Shingera, #213 – 13871 – 100 Avenue, following are comments provided:

- Concern for the removal of green space that has been enjoyed by resident of the neighbourhood for decades.
- This is one of the last wonderful opportunities to preserve the green space and designate it to remains a green space in keeping with Metro Vancouver's 'Liveable Region Strategic Plan', and as an example supporting the environmental pillar within Surrey's Sustainability Charter.

 The City can provide a more suitable location for this development, especially one that does not remove green space or impact a quiet family neighbourhood.

Patsy Scraje, #114 – 13855 – 100 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- She supports and approves the changes that the Mayor and Council are making to Whalley, however does not approve of this development in the residential family area.
- Request Council to vote no to this proposal.

<u>Marlowe Hanson, #209 – 13910 – 101 Avenue</u>, provided the following comments:

- Concerns with regards to licensing and regulations.
- Concern that only two staff working 24/7, one for maintenance and the other to manage the 52 residents with mental health issues.
- Questioned as to whom he should report his concerns or problems that may arise from the residents, and stated that he would not be satisfied with just receiving a file number.
- It seems absurd that the 52 residents residing in this facility and not have the care and protection under the Community Care and Assisted Living Act.
- Recommended that the proposal be denied and that the property be rezoned to urban park, otherwise Council provide approval subject to full compliance with the Community Care and Assisted Living Act, specifically the Adult Care Regulations.

<u>Brendan Lowman, 12635 – 97A Avenue</u>, stated that he objects to the location that is being proposed for this facility, strongly objects to the proposed rezoning.

Jerry Gamble, #208 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Concern that only 2 staff members are to care for 52 residents when the ratio should be 1 staff member per 8 residents with mental health issues.
- Concern that there is no one taking the responsibility for the actions of the residents when not within the facility.
- Concern for the safety of the children and seniors that walk along the paths in the neighbourhood.
- Concern also for the loss of green space in the area.

<u>Glenda Louise Watson Hyatt, #316 – 13910 – 101 Avenue</u>, provided the following comments:

- Both her and her husband have physical disability and use only their electric wheelchairs for transportation. She is concerned that they will become vulnerable targets to the facility residents that use illegal drugs and are in need of cash for their next fix.
- She fears that they will be at risk of being robbed or physically injured. There are at least 10 other wheelchair users in the area, there are also seniors that use walkers and other mobility aids.
- They avoid areas that are unsafe so that they do not become vulnerable.
- They are against the proposal.

Debbie Pepper, #114 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- She has the same concerns of the others that have spoken before her.
- Main concern is that her back balcony looks over the green space and she would like that to continue.
- Her property value has decreased and she would not like it to go any lower.
- The neighbourhood is already saturated with social housing and is in need upscale development and new businesses.
- She urges Council to vote no and find an alternate site.

Robert House, #208 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- There currently are homeless people living in the trees within the adjacent green space. One of these homeless people had stolen a propane tank and caused the trees to catch on fire.
- This facility will make our backyards less ours.
- A majority of people in this neighbourhood are against this proposal.

Diane Kyryluk, #212 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- She purchased her property with the basis that the trees within the green space would always remain. Concerned that the trees will be demolished; and that there will be the loss of a pristine park that is used by many people every day.
- This location is not ideal as it is a 15 minute walk to shopping or transit.
- Whalley already houses a majority of the social housing facilities.
- Concern for the loss of the trees in the area and the displaced wildlife.
- Asked that Council allow the parkland to remain as a legacy to be enjoyed.

Randy Kyryluk, #212 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- Concern for the pre-assessment of land that was done in February as there was large machinery that disturbed the wildlife in the area.
- Opposed to the development, and concerned with the inadequateness in placing this facility in a residential neighbourhood.
- Concerned with the fast pace that the development is being done.
- Requested that Council re-designate the land to urban land conservation area to protect the land from any future development.

<u>Robert Gautschi, #405 – 9979 – 140 Street</u>, noted that he has received 111 signatures on a petition against this proposal. He commended Council on the progress that has been done already in Whalley.

It was

Moved by Councillor Steele Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That the petition of opposition with 111

signatures be received.

RES.Rog-1256(b)

Carried

Jonquil Halgate, #204 – 13784 – 101 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- She volunteers a lot of time to those that are homeless and living in poverty in the community.
- Concerned for the people that are homeless and in the area as they should

have the chance to move into the social housing, they are somone's family member. They are people that have heartfelt stories and they have the right to have what others have.

- People that have disabilities are entitled, and when the neighbours realize that they are contributing members of the community they will become accepting.
- It is our community and we owe it to people in the community to be a part of it.

<u>Geraldine Schlichten, #2 – 10139 – 137A Avenue</u>, stated that there are vulnerable groups in the neighbourhood and concerned that they are at risk with 52 residents with mental health issues moving into the neighbourhood. She encouraged Council to vote no.

Raj Mathew, #306 – 9979 – 140 Street, provided the following comments:

- He has a signed petition of 89 families that are against what is being proposed here.
- These are entire groups of communities that do not want their families to deal with having this facility in this area.
- The area is heavily populated and contains green space, trees and wildlife.
- Local residents are in fear due to security issues, many have children and many are elderly.
- The proposed location is a block and an half away from an elementary school, what kind of future do we want to live here.
- Concerned for the relapse of the residents and only having 2 staff people available. The residents will be on the road to recovery, we cannot expect them to get better just by putting them into a better house.
- Encouraged Council to vote no to this proposal.

It was

Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That the petition of opposition with 89

signatures be received.

Before the motion was put to question:

It was determined that the petition was the same petition that was mentioned earlier in the meeting.

<u>Rachel Gardner, #302 - 13876 - 102 Avenue</u>, stated that she is visiting her Grandmother and that she will lose privileges within this area if the facility is allowed to be developed, as she will not be able to visit with local friends and would not be able to walk to the local corner store.

<u>Debra Thompson, #302 – 13876 – 102 Avenue</u>, Grandmother of Rachel Gardner, stated that she moved from other cities as she did not want to street smart her children and grandchildren at such young ages. Freedoms of the residents will be taken away if the proposal is allowed and the facility is placed in this community.

<u>Denise of 14345 – 100 Avenue</u>, stated that there is current concern for criminal activities on the street where she resides. As a mother of five children she cannot let her children outside to play. She hopes that Council will say no to this proposal.

Robert Kolpin, 13806 – 103 Avenue, provided the following comments:

- He lives outside the 150m notification area and therefore did not receive any notification, he is responding to the green development sign.
- He is a member of the Central City Citizens Advisory Group, and was concerned that the group was not advised of this development.
- There is a concern that the pathway adjacent to his building will be used as a direct route to the facility. The shortest distance from the welfare office to this development is through this pathway. Most individuals walking through do not cause a problem, but concerned that the development may bring issues to the area.
- In the planning report, one of the points for rationale is that it complies with the City Centre Plan. In response staff advised that this rationale refers to the Land Use and Density Concept.
- He mentioned another rationale was that it complies with the Surrey's Social Well Being Plan and further noted that within this plan it states that Council can develop location guidelines for shelters and transitional housing projects. He was advised that supportive housing is not considered transitional housing or shelters.
- He noted the irony of calling the facility Timbergrove but clear cutting trees to achieve the development.

<u>Mel Steffin, #1702 – 13880 – 101 Avenue</u>, stated that this area is not conducive to this facility. He also stated that there are many reasons as to why so many residents are opposed to this proposal.

<u>Ken Wick, #304 - 13910 - 101 Avenue</u>, stated that he is concerned that there is not enough security in place and also concerned with the placement of the facility in this residential area.

Jack Garoff, #302 – 13910 – 101 Avenue, President of the Strata for the Breezeway, and a member of the Executive of the CCRA, concerned that the development will go ahead regardless of the concerns expressed before Council this evening, as he had been advised that the project will be going ahead regardless of concerns. He hopes Council considers all the comments made during this evening's meeting.

Steve Burke, 9410 – 124A Street, provided the following comments:

- He is present to deal with the broader issue of unlicensed and unregulated social housing within Surrey. He has been involved with the legislative background as to how all of this has come about, in particular how the Provincial government has offloaded this type of responsibility to the City.
- He stated that he is not in favour of any unlicensed facility located in Surrey regardless of location and size.
- The Provincial government and the Ministry of Health Services should be responsible for this project; none of these projects should be approved regardless of location without the responsibility being clearly allocated.

Any approval should be contingent on that linkage to Ministry of Health Services.

Michael Wilson, 13888 – 70 Avenue, Executive Director of Phoenix Drug and Alcohol Recovery and Education Society, provided the following comments:

- There are three levels of care: the first is a community care licensed facility which stabilize people into communities, staffing levels are appropriate with that kind of care; the second level of care is that people move into the stage of health and recovery and are placed in transitional housing; and, the last level of care is provided to allow people to further stabilize their social supports, academic education, and training opportunities to allow them to contribute and become productive members of the community. The proposal today is for a level of care that is a step beyond that; it is a housing opportunity for those that need affordable housing.
- Coast Mental Health has a track record for having sensitivity and awareness to the surrounding community.
- A portion of Phoenix House is a stabilization phase under the Community Care and Assisted Living Act. Phoenix House does not house a transitional phase.
- There may be occasion where an individual has been two years at the facility and very well stabilized, functioning well, attending school or participating in community service work but in need some minimal support; we would look at making a referral to a facility such as the one being proposed. Referral only of those that are sufficiently stable and have made significant progress in their recovery to do well in a less structured housing situation so there would be minimal supports required. Someone to talk to if they did need community accesses or other kinds of support.

<u>Cho Harly, #103 – 13910 – 101 Avenue</u>, stated that the subject property is now a green belt and stated a concern for the personal health of individuals that may live near the hydro power transmission lines. He is concerned that the individuals of the proposed development will have a very different social life. He referred to 2 reports entitled 'Electricity around you may be hazardous to your health' and 'Current of death', he further noted that people need to be aware of the hazards of hydro transmission and hand held cell phones usage.

It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner	
	Seconded by Councillor Steele	
	That the report regarding health hazards of	
electrical current from Mr	. Harly, be received.	
-1256(c)	Carried	

RES.Ro9-1256(c)

Donna Bergan, #112 - 10130 – 139 Street, provided the following comments:

• Phoenix House is housing for the homeless, the proposed facility will be housing for individuals at risk. The facility will have 52 units with only 2 personnel on staff, this is unsatisfactory.

- Worker Compensation Board has statistical reports that would show how many personnel have been injured and how many are suffering from post traumatic stress when dealing with individuals with mental illness.
- Strongly asking that Council reconsider this proposal.

<u>Darrell Burnham, Executive Director, Coast Mental Health ('CMH')</u>, was in attendance and responded to questions from Council:

- Tenant selection will be through the BC Housing registry and there will be a prioritization for Surrey residents.
- Residents could be any age as it is an adult facility, therefore the residents will be older than 19 years of age, they will be both men and women, there will not be families as the units are single studio units and only 350 square feet.
- The individuals will be those that are homeless or at risk of being homeless. There are many issues that may put a person at risk, it could be a mental illness or it could be the loss of a job. The purpose of this building is to provide stable housing for people that can live independently.
- During the review of applications CMH would evaluate the capability of the person to live independently and the compatibility of the individual with the community. CMH would not put a person in the facility that was a pedophile; the general expectations will be that the person does not pose a risk to the community and that will be one of the criteria that CMH will review when interviewing tenants; and CMH has the ability to say no.
- Criteria would also include, does the person have personal care skills, the ability to cook and take care of themselves, does the person have relatively stable mental health and does not pose a risk profile, does the person have the right personality, a person with a anti-social type of personality would not be selected as they would not work in a group setting. Looking for people that we believe will come together in a community because the home will become part of the community, people will provide mutual support, and tenants will support one another as well as be managed within a lightly staffed facility, although the staffing at this facility is much higher than the other facilities that CMH manages.
- The facility is not a recovery house, CMH expects tenants to be in a phase of recovery from mental health issues, addiction or other issues. The term recovery is being used as a broad concept. Tenants will have a stable health profile and staff will help them manage to move forward in their life.
- Supportive housing is not licensed in the province, there is a criterion under the Community Care Licensing Act, for people with higher needs of care (ie. 24/7 care), there are 4 criteria for assisted living regulations, and in supportive housing tenants live on their own in independent suites.
- In CMH's operating 45 unit facility there is 1 day time staff member on schedule 5 days a week and a resident manager/caretaker that lives on site. Other facilities have a day shift worker and on call management support. This proposed facility will have 24/7 staff and CMH does not anticipate periods of times without staff, however staffing cannot be guaranteed as CMH is working with BC Housing budgets.

- The common kitchen facility is part of the program to engage and help support the recovery of people, so the kitchen and the food preparation program is a way of building community in the building.
- A riparian study was done in late May and June (nesting period) and there were no active nests, and there was no evidence of raptors nor were any sighted.
- A commitment has been made to plant larger trees in the restoration area between the proposed building and the breezeway to the west.
- Staff will not be treatment staff but rather service brokers to help facilitate access to whatever needs the tenants may have, and develop with them a recovery plan that they can move forward with their life, such as moving out of supportive housing into more independent living.
- In the operating 45 unit building CMH has not found it to be an issue with the staffing ratio. Staff have asked two tenants to leave as they were not working out in their first year of tenancy.
- The model being proposed is not different from the 45 unit operating facility; however there would be a broader intake of tenants, as the tenants may be homeless for several reasons.
- Vancouver Coastal Health is involved in many supportive housing projects in Vancouver and there are some that they are not and BC Housing is the prime funder.
- There is an expectation that people will have health issues in this building and Fraser Health will have an obligation to provide support for either primary (physical health) issues or mental health concerns.
- There will be service brokerage and staff will work with services available within Surrey, these services could be: non-social support; supported through the library; and, other types of employment services.
- Case management support will be provided and will include meeting with each client and reviewing goals.
- There will be annual inspections of suites for all tenants and with new tenants inspections would be done more frequently.
- Tenants of the Doug Storey building sign a 5 month lease and the tenants are reviewed for continued renewal of a lease, in some cases the 5 month leases are not renewed and staff would then work with BC Housing to find alternative residency. If there are no issues with tenants they will remain, until they wish to move on (ie. Independent living).
- The residential advisory council for the area will hold regular meeting and the minutes will be publicly posted. There will be a 24/7 phone number available with access to facility staff, there will be a direct staff liaison with the building, and any concerns could be directly sent BC Housing.
- It is not CMH's experience that there are issues with tenants outside the building, there is very little evidence that there are problems in the community. CMH expects that in a year or two the fears that the community has will be gone.
- The reason for the previous tenant eviction was due to the tenant's inability to police their guest; guests that were unwelcomed kept visiting the tenant in the building.

- The Director of supportive housing, staff of supportive housing, BC Housing, Fraser Health and a housing community member will be part of the process of determining who would be the first tenants of the building and as vacancy arises create a waiting list for the building.
- Francis Court, Maclean Apartments, China Creek Apartments and Clark Apartments are in apartment zoned areas; areas of a mixed residential community mostly apartments.
- The perimeter of the building is landscaped and fenced; there will be low hedging along the cul-de-sac as this is the front entrance to the building. Along the west boundary there is a hedge and a fence, the east boundary will have fencing, and there will be cameras on the corners of the buildings.
- CMH does not find an attraction of drug dealers to supportive housing. Tenants are required to sign a crime free addendum. If there is a relapse of a tenant it is very obvious and staff would intervene at that time and look at relocation.
- Most people with mental illness that have issues close themselves into their suites to stabilize the environment around them; you don't find them outside the building.
- There is not a wildlife management plan however the biologist has reviewed the watercourse and provided an analysis on raptors for the area and CMH has committed to follow the recommendations of the biologist.

The Mayor noted that the following individuals had expressed an opinion in writing and did not wish to speak:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Barb Christensen	Х		
Nancy Peirce	Х		/
Althea Schonfeld	Х		
Tracy Sherfeld	X		
Nellie Kudryk	X		
Shirley Wood		Х	
Armin Brass		Х	
Janice Pratt		Х	
Irene Wood		Х	
Ruth Asher		Х	
Catherine Vaughan		Х	
Lucette Island		Х	
Donald McGonigal		X	
John Horenck		X	
Pat Dixon		X	
Muriel Donovan		X	
Cynthia Ho		X	
Larry Scot Baldwin		X	
Colin Durham		Х	
Jean Durham		X	
Marilyn McGonigal		X	
Jerry Mike Schaffin		Х	
Heather Corbett		X	

1

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Maureen Lamaise	TOK	X	UNDECIDED
Rose Garoff		X	
Robert Grieve		X	
Herman Lorenz		X X	
		X X	
Anthony Rudolph		X X	
John Lee			
Jack Garoff		X	
Nicole Maise		X	
Kevin Goodman		X	
Choul Hong Park		X	
Margaret McMillan		X	
P.I. Tull		X	
Katherine Atkinson		X	
Soom Wol Park		X	
Shannon Beaver		X	
Sau Ying Yuen		Х	
Chong-Wha Lee		X	
Soon Rye Jang		X	
Yuey W. Yip	9	Х	
Maxwell Martin		Х	
Ruby Joyce Martin		X	
Ava Yip		Х	
William Timothy Lubb		X	
Aaron Wozlowski		X	
Graeme Yip		X	
Hyun-Sou Park		· X	
Shaheen Alam Bhuiyan		X	
Zarlasht Sarwari		X	
Karleen James		Х	
Lee Tait		X	
Laura Hanson		X	
Stephen Burke		Х	
Steven James		X	
Charles Morton		Х	
Carol Hehn		X	
Carl Zimmerman		X	
Dorothy Clogg		X	
Gloria Jean Robillard		X	
Marian Novak		X	
Thila (Lydia) Ngo		X	
Kenneth Quest		X	
Inez Barr		X	
Lawrence Barr		X	
B. Reay		X	
Eleanor Gillis		X	
Lina Amora		X	
		X	
C. Bradley		<u> </u>	
John Johnson		<u> </u>	

E

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Jida Senibuld		X	
Karen Zaitchik		Х	
John Hannelore		Х	
Laura Vance		X	
Ji Evn Kang		X	5
Richard Somolkneko		X	
Robert Phillips		Х	
Doreen Dass		Х	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Patricia Ann Fraser		Х	
Patricia Johnson		Х	
Elaine Reay		Х	
Satwant Sanghera		Х	
Jasmin Sanghera		Х	
Justin Sanghera		Х	e :
Bruce Thompson		Х	
Julia Caroline Allen		Х	
Feroz Nigel Dean		Х	
Ken Blanthorne		Х	
Clifford Hamstra		Х	
Naim Koca		X	
David Sanchez		X	
Kenan Geci		X	
Abdurrahman Geci		X	
J. Friesen		X	
Debbie Pepper		X	
Rafal Justynski		X	
Hyun Park		X	
Name not legible		X	
Iu King		X	
Chris Ryan		X	
Huichan Xiao		Х	
Norman McKenzie		X	
Balieet Kaur Chana		Х	
Jennifer Tran		Х	
Sonny Gordial		Х	
Jonothan Maralli		Х	
Marlyn Maralli		Х	
Fanny Quenneville		X	
Xue Liu		X	
Jinghai Sun		X	
Meera Puri		Х	
Joanne McKenzie		X	
Jonothan Ng		X	
Name not legible		X	
David Dundas		X	
Karen Dundas		X	
Lorraine Blawthorne		X	
Thomas James Buckley		X	-

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Donna Bergan		Х	
Ta-Wei Huang		Х	
Suchen Huang		Х	
Li-Kuan Lai		Х	
Ching Cheng Lai		X	
Dorothy Goring		Х	
Damian Bartnik		X	×
Mac Bartnik		X	
Kabindra Thapa		X	
Trish Kilgour		X	
Gauri		X	
Gisele Klein		X	
Owen Klein		X	
Puspa Lal Shrosthe		X	
Roger Hope		X	
Les Engel		X	
Cindy Lee Church		X	
Jason Balmer		X	
Minu Shrestha		X	
Rajinder Mann		X	
Sabina Wolniewicz		X	
Chris Stauble		X	
		X	
Zbigniew Wolniewicz Geraldine Anderson		X	
Laxman Shrestha			
Somitra Shrestha		X	
		X	
Diane Bindery		X	
Sharon Leary		X	
Samuel Gonzales		X	
Danilo Dator		X	
Puru Shrestha		X	
Peter Kingsland		X	
Lii-Ru Wang		X	
James Lei-Yueh Lee		X	
Pearl Williamson		X	
Christine Lutley		X	
Tammy Sutter-Badari		X	
Jordan Badari		Х	
Peter Flay		Х	
Renata Introped		Х	
Loula Maras		Х	
George Maras		Х	
Andrew Bartnik		Х	
Sherry Johnson		Х	
Xia Su Oino		Х	
Jiandai Chen		Х	
Claire Yu		Х	
Ting Jie Kang		Х	

Ħ

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Ming Pong		Х	
Carlie Johnson		Х	
Rajwinder Thind		X	
Hong Yu Chen		X	
Sun Cheas		X	
Leonard Cassman		X	ч.
Erica Mason		X	
Bartnik Magdalena		X	
Shu-Yueh Chen		X	
Audy Howden		X	
Darrell Hyatt		X	
Fran Munro		X	
Sharon Schifferns		X	
Diane Depenau		X	
Prims Dependu		X	
Gary Mackinnon		X	
Bennie Juaushan		X	
Pat McMillan	λ.	X	
Gail McNeill		X	
Edith Taylor		X	
Monica Bonner		X	
Fernando Scudeler		X	
Phylis Patricia Scudeler		X	
Cesar Amora		X	
Karl Becanger		X	
Lori Susan Robins		X	
Marilyn Howden		X	
George Robin Hooper		X	
Laura McMillan		X	
Anil Mishra		X	
Sharleen Mishra		X	
Tom Scrase		X	
Luellen Helmer		X	
Kathleen Strange		X	
John Strange		X	
Vera Hamstra		X	2
Guan Joo Teo		X	
Louise Manger		X	
Gysbertha Strating		X	
Margaret Kingsland		X	
Lynne Denenfeld		X	
Lesia Soldatenko		X	
Cindy Quarto		X X	
Tetyana Slobozham		X X	
		X X	
Volodymyr Popsuiko		X	
Alex Popsuiko Patti Dunn		X	
Kewal Parmar		X	

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Bozenna Kortus		X	
Wojciech Kortus		X	
Chen Gang		Х	
Jim Boudreaux		Х	
Mike Mebel		Х	
Natalia Pshenichnikova		Х	
Wei Heng		Х	
Yang On		Х	
Gaila Foort		Х	
Arthur Foort		Х	
Sylvia Cox		X	
Cynthia Murray		X	
Ken Murray		X	
Bill Lesage		X	
Yan Lang		X	
Si Yu Chen		X	
Chantelle Lansdell		X	
Ken Wick		X	
Chris Krecsy		X	
June Hansen		X	
Neetza Adams		X	
Tom Itter		X	
Cindy Semeniuk		X	
Carol Semeniuk		X	
Jim Holme		X	
Tanvir Sanghera		X	
Bernard Mason		X	
Vlasta Mozina		X	
W.F. Wilde		X X	
Harold Wiloe		X X	
I. Wilde			
		X	
Name not legible Nick Riedl		Λ	V
Valerie Wilson			X
	V		Λ
Michael Ball	Х	v	
Stephen Hackett		X	
Maureen Corbin		X	
Irene Lattanzio		X	
Robert House		X	
Valerie Schaeffer		X	
Luke Johnson		X	
Robert Galbraith		X	
Helen Galbraith		X	
Tom Lawrence	×	Х	
D.W. Arland		X	
Marlow Hanson		X	
Bill Gates		X	
Ruth Gates		X	

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
Richard Shorrock		X	
Mary Shorrock		. X	
Glenda Hyatt		X	
Saverio Lattanzio		X	
Sonny Gerodial		X	

C. COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Public Art Advisory Committee - June 11, 2009

RES.R09-1257	It was Committee meeting held on June 11,		ne 11, 2	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Rasode That the minutes of the Public Art Advisory .009, be received. <u>Carried</u>
2	Enviro	onmental Advisory Cor	nmitte	ee - June 17, 2009
	It was			Moved by Councillor Bose Seconded by Councillor Hunt That the minutes of the Environmental
RES.R09-1258	Adviso	risory Committee meeting held on June 17, 2009, be received. <u>Carried</u>		
3.	Finan	inance Committee - June 29, 2009		
RES.R09-1259	(a)	It was meeting held on June 2	9, 2009	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the Finance Committee 9, be received. <u>Carried</u>
	(b)	The recommendations follows:	of thes	se minutes were considered and dealt with as
				orship of Red FM Charity Run at reek Park

It was

Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele

That Council support the Third Annual Red FM Bear Creek Park Run organized by the Surrey Asian Broadcasting Corp (Red FM) by contributing \$2,500 from the Council Initiatives Fund towards a gold level sponsorship

RES.R09-1260

Carried

File: 1850-20

		Item No. Fo10		Bank's Global City Indicators Program 250-03
. •		It was		Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That Council outboring staff to proceed with
RES.R09-1261		making application fo World Bank Global C		That Council authorize staff to proceed with pership on behalf of the City of Surrey in the cators Program. <u>Carried</u>
4.	Interg	governmental Affairs	Comm	ittee - June 29, 2009
	(a)	It was		Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele
RES.R09-1262		Affairs Committee me	eeting h	That the minutes of the Intergovernmental eld on June 29, 2009, be received. <u>Carried</u>
		noted that the word 'ir he word 'intergovernme		onal' within the minutes should be replaced
. *	(b)	The recommendation follows:	s of the	se minutes were considered and dealt with as
			tential 7 e: 6750	Twinning with Gangnam-gu, South Korea -01
		It was		Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That:
			conomie	ff to proceed with all appropriate actions to c-focused friendship relationship with Korea; and
RES.R09-1263		related to the	letter th	esponding to the Mayor of Gangnam-gunat he forwarded to the City of Surrey a relationship with the City of Surrey. <u>Carried</u>

5.	Trans	portation Committee - June	30, 2009
RES.R09-1264	(a)	It was Committee meeting held on j	Moved by Councillor Bose Seconded by Councillor Hunt That the minutes of the Transportation June 30, 2009, be received. <u>Carried</u>
	(b)	The recommendations of the follows:	se minutes were considered and dealt with as
		It was	Moved by Councillor Rasode Seconded by Councillor Hunt That the Transportation Committee
RES.R09-1265		20 Avenue Alignment Option	e 30, 2009, regarding Croyden Drive / is, and Highway Ramps at 16 Avenue and 24 y 21 Transportation Committee agenda. <u>Carried</u>
D. BOAR	D/CON	MISSION REPORTS	
1.	Surre	y Heritage Advisory Commis	sion - June 17, 2009
RES.R09-1266	(a)	It was Advisory Commission meetin	Moved by Councillor Steele Seconded by Councillor Hunt That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage ig held on June 17, 1009, be received. <u>Carried</u>
	(b)	The recommendations of the follows:	se minutes were considered and dealt with as
		It was Surrey Heritage Advisory Cou	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Martin That recommendations of the June 17, 2009 nmission be considered individually.
RES.R09-1267			<u>Carried</u>
			Moved by Counciller Henner
		It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Hunt That Council refer the consideration of
RES.R09-1268			Seconded by Councillor Hunt

	Kensington Prairie Elemo	Kensington Prairie Elementary School – Heritage Designation By-law		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council receive and support the		
	Heritage Designation By-lav	w for the Kensington Prairie Elementary School.		
	Before the motion was put	to question:		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Hunt That the main motion be referred back to		
		a so that it does not include the classroom		
RES.R09-1269	annex or the gymnasium.	Carried		
	Amendments to the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Mission Statement, Roles and Values			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele		
	That Council endorse the proposed changes to the Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission Mission Statement, Roles and Values.			
	Before the motion was put	to question:		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Hepner That Council refer the proposed changes to		
		ry Commission Mission Statement, Roles, and		
	Values to staff for an evaluation of and report on alternative models for the management of heritage in Surrey which is to include, among other things,			
	a review and summary of th	ne approaches being taken by other cities in		
RES.R09-1270	Canada	<u>Carried</u>		
	George Kennedy House – 9948 – 123A Street			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Hepner That Council councider basis a fundament		
		That Council consider having funds put oment of a heritage precinct in Surrey that can commodate heritage buildings that are moved		
RES.R09-1271	r	<u>Carried</u>		

It wasMoved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council endorse the removal of the
Beorge Kennedy House from the Heritage Register provided the building is
properly documented.RES.R09-1272CarriedHAC Participation in Community EventsMoved by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Hunt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council authorize the expenditure of
up to \$250 from the HAC budget for the development of outreach and
dimensional dimensional of the former in the provided the former in the provided the tube of the development of outreach and
timensional dimensional of the former in the provided the provided

display materials for each of the three events that HAC will be attending this year

RES.R09-1273

Carried

E. MAYOR'S REPORT

F. METRO VANCOUVER REPORTS/FCM REPORTS

G. CORPORATE REPORTS

1. The Corporate Reports, under date of July 13, 2009, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. R116Contract Award, RFQ #1220-40-56-09 Sanitary Sewer
Rehabilitation & 1/1 Reduction Program
File: 1220-40-56-09

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of Contract 1220-40-56-09. Tenders were received as follows:

Contractor	Quotation Amount	Corrected
	with GST	Amount
PW Trenchless Construction Inc.	\$722,123.33	No Change
Chet Construction Ltd.	\$831,257.70	No Change
Mar-Tech Underground Service L	td. \$1,276,535.48	No Change

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

ar Council - Pu	ıblic Hearing Minutes	July 13, 2	
	It was	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Hepner That Council:	
		o-4o-56-o9 to PW Trenchless Construction Inc. in the 33, including GST; and	
RES.R09-1274	2. Set the expenditure \$794,000.00, includ	authorization limit for Contract 1220-40-56-09 at ing GST. <u>Carried</u>	
	Requ	ll Improvement Sanitary Sewer By-law on 124A Street - uest for Recalculation of Charges 7907-0206-00	
	The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning local improvement sanitary sewer by-law on 124A Street.		
	The General Manager, Eng recommendations outlined	ineering was recommending approval of the in his report.	
. *	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Rasode That Council:	
	1. receive Corporate R	eport R117 as information; and	
RES.R09-1275	2. authorize staff to fo resolution to Marie	rward a copy of this report and the related Council Cooper. Carried	
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele	
	124A Street and 57 Avenue, local improvement rate as a	That staff report back to Council with anitary sewer Local Improvement area, in the vicinity of regarding the potential to charge the equivalent of a an amenity contribution against any new lots that are hich could then be used by the City for local sewer	

upgrades in the general area.

RES.R09-1276

<u>Carried</u>

Item No. R118Contract Award M.S. 4706-452-11: Bridgeview Sanitary
Vacuum Sewer Replacement (West Cell - Phase 1)
File: 4706-452

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of contract MS 4706-452-11. Tenders were received as follows:

		nder Amount with GST	Corrected Tender Amount with GST
1.	Pedre Contractors Ltd.	\$1,048,493.25	No Change
2.	PW Trenchless Construction Ltd.	\$1,372,341.70	\$1,373,286.79
3.	Double M Excavating Ltd.	\$1,693,788.94	No Change
4.	Tyam Construction Ltd.	\$2,303,126.67	\$2,303,127.14
5.	Sandpiper Contracting Ltd.	\$2,348,809.05	No Change

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

1. Award Contract M.S. 4706-452-11 to Pedre Contractors Ltd. in the amount of \$1,048,493.25, including GST; and

2. Set expenditure authorization for Contract M.S. 4706-452-11 at \$1,154,000.00, including GST.

RES.Ro9-1277

Carried

Item No. R119Local Area Service Initiative for the West Bridgeview Low
Pressure Sewer Area
File: 4709-904

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to obtain Council's approval to initiate a LAS for the West Bridgeview LPS Area, which will put in place a more reliable sanitary sewer system for area property owners and will allow the City to recover its contribution to the project from the benefiting property owners.

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Hunt That Council:

 Approve a Local Area Service (LAS) initiative as a means to finance the replacement of the existing Vacuum Sewer System (VSS) in the West Bridgeview Low Pressure Sewer Area as illustrated in Appendix I at an estimated recovery of \$750 per frontage meter, which will allow the City to recover its contribution to the project;

- 2. Authorize staff to initiate the LAS as a Council initiative subject to the Petioning process provided in the Community Charter;
- 3. Approve the compensation formula outlined in this report for each owner of a lot that converts the service connection for his/her lot from the VSS system to the Low Pressure Sewer (LPS) system, which will require the installation of a private pump; and
- 4. Approve the mandatory reconnection of the private sewer connections for every lot within the benefiting area from the VSS to the new replacement sewer system at the end of a five-year grace period from the completion of the replacement system.

RES.Rog-1278

<u>Carried</u>

Councillor Hunt requested that staff provide an information memo regarding the servicing of the four lots on 123 Street (left side), that had not been included in the LAS.

Item No. R120	Local Area Service Initiative for Bridgeview West Cell Steep
	Grade Gravity Sewer System
	File: 4709-903

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to obtain Council approval to initiate a LAS for the West Bridgeview SGS Area, which will put in place a more reliable sanitary sewer system for area property owners and will allow the City to recover its contribution to the project from the benefiting properties.

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was

2.

Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Rasode That Council:

- 1. Approve a Local Area Service (LAS) initiative as a means to finance the replacement of the existing Vacuum Sewer System (VSS) in the West Bridgeview West Cell with a Steep Grade Gravity Sewer (SGS) System as illustrated in Appendix I at an estimated charge of \$49,000 per acre, which will allow the City to recover its contribution to the project from the benefiting properties; and
 - Authorize staff to initiate the LAS as a Council initiative subject to the Petioning process provided in the Community Charter.

Carried

RES.Ro9-1279

Item No. R121

10-Year Servicing Plan and Development Cost Charge (DCC) By-law File: 3150-01

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to advise Council about actions staff has taken in relation to the new 10-Year Servicing Plan and the associated Development Cost Charge (DCC) By-laws.

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending that the report be received for information.

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That Corporate Report R121 be received for

information.

RES.R09-1280

<u>Carried</u>

Item No. R122

City Property at 2284 - 165 Street File: 0851-01

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture submitted a report concerning City property at 2284 – 165 Street.

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture were recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in their report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve the transfer of the City

property located at 2284 – 165 Street from the City's surplus land inventory to the City's park inventory and authorize a transfer of funds equal to the value of the subject lot from the Parks Acquisition Fund to the Municipal Land Reserve Fund. Carried

RES.Rog-1281

Item No. R123

Status Report - Memorandum of Understanding Between the City of Surrey and Simon Fraser University (Surrey Campus) File: 0510-20

The Deputy City Manager and the Executive Director, SFU Surrey submitted a status report concerning the Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Surrey and Simon Fraser University (Surrey Campus).

The Deputy City Manager and the Executive Director, SFU Surrey were recommending that the report be received for information.

ur Councii - Fi			5	July 13, 20
	It was		-	Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That Corporate Report R123 be received for
RES.R09-1282	information.			<u>Carried</u>
	Item No. R124 2009 Surrey Re File: 0560-01			egional Economic Summit
		ity Manager sub mic Summit.	omitted a repor	t concerning the 2009 Surrey Regional
×	The Ci in his i		s recommendin	g approval of the recommendations outlined
	It was			Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Martin
				That Council approve the expenditure of nd for the Mayor and Council to be registered nic Summit to be held on Tuesday, October 6,
RES.R09-1283				Carried
	Item N	No. R125	Possible Adjus File: 7800-01	stments to the Public Art Policy
	Counc suppor progra includ of best	il with an upda rt for the City's m. The report ing developer c	te on the actior public art prog also seeks supp ontributions. H	tion and Culture submitted a report to provide as underway to increase public awareness and ram and increased planning related to the fort to explore additional sources for public art following consultation with DAC and review nities, a revised Policy will be presented to
		eneral Manager recommendatio		tion and Culture was recommending approval her report.
	It was			Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
	1.	receive Corpo	rate Report R12	5 as information;
	2.	Advisory Com	mittee (DAC) v	f make a presentation to the Development vith a view to discussing options for developer of public art in Surrey;
	3.	•	taff explore oth lic art in Surrey	er opportunities to expand the amount and r; and

RES.R09-1284	4.	exploration the	at staff forward	e above-referenced presentation and a further report to Council regarding possible t Policy for Council's consideration. <u>Carried</u>		
	Item N	Io. R126	Pesticide Cont File: 5280-23	rol and Use in the City of Surrey		
	Counci staff re	The General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture submitted a report to provide Council with a summary of work carried out by three Committees of Council and staff related to the use and control of pesticides in Surrey and to seek Council direction with respect to next steps.				
		meral Manager, recommendatio		ion and Culture was recommending approval her report.		
	It was			Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:		
	1.	Receive Corpo	rate Report R12	6 as information;		
	2.	on options for further report	pesticide contr to Council on t ndations as to	blic consultation process to receive feedback rol in the City of Surrey and to provide a he feedback received from the public along the appropriate next steps in relation to		
	3.	use and alterna provide a repo	atives to achiev rt complete wit	ficant public education program on pesticide re similar results to the use of pesticides and th recommendations on this matter including council consideration; and		
RES.R09-1285	4.	program for m boulevards and report to Cour	anaging landsc d medians with ncil on the resul	mplement, on an 8 month trial basis, a aping along sample sections of City street out the use of herbicides and to provide a lts of this trial along with recommendations street landscape management practices. <u>Carried</u>		
	Item N	Io. R127	-	endments to the Parks, Recreation and ies Regulation By-law F		
	Counci			ion and Culture submitted a report to seek s, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation		
Note: See By-law No. 16987 under Item H.9.			tem H.9.			

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in her report.

It	was
IL	was

Moved by Councillor Rasode Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R127 as information; and
- 2. Approve amendments to the *Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law, 1998, No. 13480,* as documented in Appendix "A" to this report, and authorize the City Clerk to bring forward the appropriate amendment by-law for the required readings.

Carried

RES.R09-1286

Item No. R128 Proposed Amendments to the Surrey Noise Control By-law -Use of Audible Bird Scare Devices File: 3900-20-7944; 05420-20 (AAC)

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report to advise Council of revised Provincial guidelines related to the use of audible bird scare devices in protecting berry crops from damage by birds and to recommend amendments to Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 7044 (the "Noise Control By-law") to reflect the revised Provincial guidelines.

Note: See By-law No. 16986 under Item H.8.

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Rasode Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Approve amendments to Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 7044, as documented in Appendix I, related to the use of audible bird scare devices in protecting agricultural crops from damage by birds; and
- 2. Instruct the City Clerk to introduce the necessary amendment by-law for the required readings.

RES.Rog-1287

Carried

Councillor Hunt and Councillor Villeneuve requested that the Manager of Bylaw Enforcement and Licensing provide an information memo to Council regarding the number of tickets issued in response to noise complaints from audible bird scare devices, and also report on the outcome of the meetings with the concerned residents, held in the past three to four months. Item No. R129

Appointment of a Replacement Landscape Architect to the Advisory Design Panel File: 0370-20 (ADP)

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report concerning The appointment of a replacement landscape architect to the Advisory Design Panel.

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Bose Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R129 as information; and
- 2. Approve the appointment of Mr. Derek Lee, BCSLA, LEED A.P., to the Advisory Design Panel for a term from July 15, 2009 to June 30, 2011. Carried

RES.R09-1288

Item No. R130 Beautification of Decommissioned Service Station Sites File: 0340-01

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report to respond to Council's direction to provide an update on the status of each vacant lot in the City that formerly accommodated a service station and on actions being taken to address the aesthetics of these lots.

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in his report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Rasode Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive this report as information;
- 2. Endorse the actions that are recommended in this report with regard to the beautification of each of the sites discussed in this report; and
- 3. Request that the Mayor forward a letter on behalf of Council, as recommended in the body of this report, to the owners of those sites where beautification efforts are needed, as identified in this report. Carried

RES.Rog-1289

Item No. R131 Surrey School District Eligible School Sites Proposal 2009 - 2018 File: 0510-01

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report to provide information to Council on the Surrey School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal 2009 - 2018.

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending that the report be received for information.

It was Moved by Councillor Rasode Seconded by Councillor Steele That Corporate Report R131 be received for

information.

RES.R09-1290

<u>Carried</u> with Councillor Hunt against.

H. **BY-LAWS**

1. "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16967" 7909-0022-00 – City of Surrey, c/o Coast Mental Health

RF to CD (BL 12000) - Portion of 13922 - 101 Avenue - to permit the development of a 52-unit, 3-storey apartment building to house the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless.

Approved by Council: June 29, 2009

Note: See Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0022-00 under Clerk's Report, Item I.1(a).

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Martin That "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16967" be deferred to the July 27, 2009 Council meeting for discussion and to allow for Council to reflect on the amount of information and debate that was provided at the July 13, 2009 Public Hearing Session.

RES.R09-1291

<u>Carried</u>

Mayor Watts noted that Council can receive no further information regarding this item now that the Public Hearing session has concluded.

Councillor Hunt requested that staff request a response from the RCMP Office in Charge as to how the community policing will address the neighbourhood issues of fear and provide an information memo to Council.

"Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2007, No. 16247, 2. Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16966" 7909-0068-00 – KGH BT Holdings Limited, c/o Michael Mortensen

To amend By-law 16247 in Section D. Density, E. Lot Coverage, F. Yards and Setbacks, and K. Subdivision. These amendments will allow subdivision into an airspace parcel and a remainder lot on property located at 2950 King George Highway.

Approved by Council: June 29, 2009

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2007, No. 16247, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16966" pass its third reading.

RES.R09-1292

Carried

FINAL ADOPTIONS

- 3.
- "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of 145A Street at 72 Avenue By-law, 2009, No. 16963" 3900-20-16963 - Council Initiative

A by-law to authorize the closure and removal of dedication of highway of 194.9 square metres of 145A Street at 72 Avenue. This closure is intended to facilitate the consolidation of the road with the adjacent lot at 14547 - 72 Avenue to construct a residential building lot. In accordance with the Community Charter, SBC 2003, c.26, disposition of the road will be considered by City Council at a later date.

Approved by Council: May 4, 2009 Corporate Report Item: Ro64

The Mayor called for any persons wishing to make representations on this by-law.

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of 145A Street at 72 Avenue By-law, 2009, No. 16963" be finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal.

RES.Rog-1293

Carried

 4. "Surrey and Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Sewer Transfer Agreement By-law, 2009, No. 16965"
3900-20-16965 - Council Initiative

A by-law to authorize the City of Surrey to enter into an Agreement for the disposition and acquisition of sewer systems.

Approved by Council: May 25, 2009 Corporate Report Item: R077

The Mayor called for any persons wishing to make representations on this by-law.

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey and Greater Vancouver

Sewerage and Drainage District Sewer Transfer Agreement By-law, 2009, No. 16965" be finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal.

RES.Rog-1294

<u>Carried</u>

INTRODUCTIONS

5. "Surrey Park Closure By-law, 2009, No. 16964" 3900-20-16964 – Council Initiative

A by-law to close a portion of dedicated parkland at 9097 – 141A Street containing 58.2 square metres. The disposal of parkland is intended to facilitate the proposed subdivision of the adjacent lands at 14038, 14058, 14072, 14090 and 14130 - 92 Avenue under Development Application 7906-0458-00. The developers of the adjacent lands will, in return, dedicate as parkland the entire riparian protective area within the development site.

Approved by Council: June 29, 2009 Corporate Report Item: R110

It was Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Park Closure By-law, 2009, No. 16964"pass its first reading. RES.R09-1295 The said By-law was then read for the second time. It was Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Park Closure By-law, 2009, No. 16964" pass its second reading.

RES.Rog-1296

<u>Carried</u>

The said By-law was then read for the third time.

	The said by-law was then read for the till tille.			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Park Closure By-law, 2009,		
RES.R09-1297	No. 16964" pass its third reading.	Carried		
6.	"Corrigan Park Dedication By-law, 20 3900-20-16969 – Council Initiative	009, No. 16969"		
	A by-law to authorize the dedication	of certain lands as Park.		
		ant to Section 30 (1) of the <i>Community</i> aly be adopted by an affirmative vote of at buncil.		
	Approved by Council: June 29, 2009 Corporate Report Item: CC040	· · ·		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law,		
RES.R09-1298	2009, No. 16969" pass its first reading			
	The said By-law was then read for the second time.			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law,		
RES.R09-1299	2009, No. 16969" pass its second reading.			
	The said By-law was then read for the third time.			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law,		
RES.R09-1300	2009, No. 16969" pass its third readin			
7.	"Corrigan Park Dedication By-law, 2009, No. 16970" 3900-20-16970 – Council Initiative			
	A by-law to authorize the dedication	of certain lands as Park.		
	-	ant to Section 30 (1) of the <i>Community</i> Ily be adopted by an affirmative vote of at ouncil.		

	Approved by Council: June 29, 2009 Corporate Report Item: CC040		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele	
RES.R09-1301	2009, No. 16970" pass its first reading	That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law, g. <u>Carried</u>	
	The said By-law was then read for the second time.		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele	
RES.R09-1302	2009, No. 16970" pass its second read	That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law, ling. <u>Carried</u>	
	The said By-law was then read for the third time.		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Corrigan Park Dedication By-law,	
	2009, No. 16970" pass its third readin	g.	
RES.R09-1303		Carried	
8.	"Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 7044, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16986" 3900-20-16986 – Regulatory By-law Text Amendment		
	"Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 7044" as amended, is further ar Section B, Sub-section 6 (b) and (j) to reflect Provincial guidelines relat use of audible bird scare devices in protecting agricultural crops from d birds.		
	Approved by Council: To be approved Corporate Report Item: R128		
	Note: This By-law will be in order for consideration of Three Readings, should Council approve the recommendations of Corporate Report Item No. R		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele	
RES.R09-1304	No. 7044, Amendment By-law, 2009,	That "Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 16986" pass its first reading. <u>Carried</u>	

	The said By-law was then read for the second time.			
RES.R09-1305	It was No. 7044, Amendment By-law, 2009,	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 16986" pass its second reading. <u>Carried</u>		
	The said By-law was then read for the third time.			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele		
RES.R09-1306	No. 7044, Amendment By-law, 2009,	That "Surrey Noise Control By-law, 1982, No. 16986" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>		
9.	"Surrey Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law, 1998, No. 13480, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16987" 3900-20-16987 – Regulatory By-law Text Amendment			
	"Surrey Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law, 1998, No. 13480" as amended, is further amended within the Intent of By-law section, Contents, Part 2 Grant of Powers, Part 6 Bathing Beaches and Swimming Pools, and Part 7 Animals as outlined in Corporate Report R127. These amendments are intended to assist in making the administration of the by-law more efficient and effective.			
	Approved by Council: To be approved Corporate Report Item: R127			
	Note: This By-law will be in order for consideration of Three Readings, should Council approve the recommendations of Corporate Report Item No. R127.			
	It was Facilities Regulation By-law, 1008, No	Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Hunt That "Surrey Parks, Recreation and Cultural p. 13480, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16987"		
DECD	pass its first reading.			
RES.R09-1307		<u>Carried</u>		
	The said By-law was then read for the second time.			
	It was	Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Hunt That "Surrey Parks, Recreation and Cultural		
	Facilities Regulation By-law, 1998, No. 13480, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16987" pass its second reading.			
RES.R09-1308		Carried		

The said By-law was then read for the third time.

It was

Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Hunt That "Surrey Parks, Recreation and Cultural Facilities Regulation By-law, 1998, No. 13480, Amendment By-law, 2009, No. 16987"

pass its third reading.

RES.Rog-1309

Carried

I. **CLERK'S REPORT**

Formal Approval of Development Variance Permits 1.

It is in order for Council to now pass resolutions authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign the following permits:

(a) Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0022-00 **City of Surrey** c/o Coast Mental Health Portion of 13922 - 101 Avenue

> To defer the requirement to provide vehicular highway systems, pedestrian highway systems, water supply, sanitary sewer, drainage works, underground wiring and street lighting systems along 100 Avenue to permit the development of a 52-unit, 3-storey apartment building to house the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless.

Note: See By-law No. 16967 under Item H.1.\

Note: This item is not in order, due to the deferral of By-law No. 16967 earlier in the meeting.

(b) Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0089-00 Amrik S. and Dalbir K. Gill c/o Randeep Kang 13155 - 116 Avenue

> To reduce the minimum west yard setback requirement from 7.5 metres (25 ft.) or 0 to 1.5 metres (5 ft.); and to reduce the minimum east side yard setback requirement from 7.5 metres (25 ft.) or 0 to 4.5 metres (15 ft.), to permit an addition to an existing workshop.

No concerns had been expressed by abutting property owners prior to printing of the Agenda.

RES.R09-1310		It was Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0089-00 be approved; that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized sign the Development Variance Permit; and that Council authorize the transfer of the Permit to the heirs, administrators, executors, successors, and assigns of the title of the land within the terms of the Permit. <u>Carried</u>		
	(c) Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0009-00 Domani Homes (Fleetwood) Inc. 16355 - 82 Avenue			
		To relax requirements as follows:		ollows:
		(a)		imum south side yard setback from 7.5 metres res (16 ft.) to the building face and to 4.17 metres 1;
		(b)		imum east front yard setback from 7.5 metres res to the building face; and to 3.5 metres (11.5 ft.)
		(c)		imum west rear yard setback from 7.5 metres es (18 ft.) to the balcony face;
		(d)		imum north side yard setback from 7.5 metres res (20 ft.) to the building face;
		(e)		e of the tandem parking spaces for 18 units to be hed to each dwelling unit; and
		(f)		imum parking space length outside a garage from to 5.79 metres (19 ft.).
		To permit an increase in one unit and exterior modifications to a townhouse project under construction.		
		No concerns had been expressed by abutting property owners prior to printing of the Agenda.		
		It was	3	Moved by Councillor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Steele
RES.R09-1311		That Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0009-00 be approved; that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the Development Variance Permit; and that Council authorize the transfer of the Permit to the heirs, administrators, executors, successors, and assigns of the title of the land within the terms of the Permit. <u>Carried</u>		

	(d)	Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0033-00 South Coast British Columbia Transportation Authority c/o Diamond Land Consultants Inc. (Phil McKenzie) 18520 Canadian National Railway, 9771, 9799 and 9801 - 186 Street		
		To defer the requirement to provide vehicular highway systems, pedestrian highway systems, water supply, sanitary sewer, drainage works, underground wiring, and street lighting systems until building permit stage; and to defer the requirement for processing fees until building permit stage, to facilitate a 2-lot subdivision with Golden Ears Way over Lot 1.		
		No concerns had been expressed by abutting property owners prior to printing of the Agenda.		
RES.R09-1312		It was Moved by Councillor Martin Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0033-00 be approved; that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the Development Variance Permit; and that Council authorize the transfer of the Permit to the heirs, administrators, executors, successors, and assigns of the title of the land within the terms of the Permit. <u>Carried</u>		
2.	Delega	ation Requests		
	(a)	Alysen Cameron File: 3760-15; 0550-20-10		
		Requesting to appear before Council regarding illegal suites in Surrey.		
		It was	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That Alysen Cameron be heard as a	
RES.R09-1313		delegation at Council-in-Com	<u>Carried</u>	
	(1)	17 17 1 m · · · ·		

Marg Mahan, Executive Director (b) BEST

File: 8310-01; 0550-20-10

Requesting to appear before Council to introduce their Mobility Matters program, a unique transportation support system.

RES.R09-1314		It was be heard as a delegation at th Advisory Committee.	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That Marg Mahan, Executive Director, BEST e next meeting of the Transportation <u>Carried</u>
	(c)	Ron Meadley, President Roy Strang, Past President Sunnyside Acres Heritage Society Garvey Allisen, President, SORCE File: 0550-20-10	
			Council to thanks Mayor Watts and Members n passing Amendment By-law, 2009,
		It was Past President both of Sunny	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That Ron Meadley, President, Roy Strang, side Acres Heritage Society and Garvey
RES.R09-1315		Allisen, President, SORCE be heard as a delegation at Regular Council. <u>Carried</u>	
	(d)	Ken Shymanski, President E-Comm 9-1-1 File: 7150-20; 0550-20-10	& CEO
		Council to provide a brief update on their past year (and decade) and the challenges cations into the future, specifically: in Metro Vancouver; tions; Vide-Area Radio System, and egic direction.	
RES.R09-1316		It was E-Comm 9-1-1 be heard as a d	Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That Ken Shymanski, President & CEO, elegation at Council-in-Committee. <u>Carried</u>
	(e)	Jim McMurtry File: 0220-07; 0550-20-10	

Requesting to appear before Council to present their many conerns with respect to propane cannons, specifically all the by-law infractions committed by blueberry farmers with complete impunity.

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Steele That Jim McMurtry be heard as a delegation at the Agricultural Advisory Committee. <u>Carried</u>

J. CORRESPONDENCE

RES.Rog-1317

INFORMATION ITEMS

 Letter dated June 28, 2009 from Ed Holden, Managing Director, Potters Farm and Nursery Inc. regarding the City's Cease and Desist Order of their nursery on property located at 19158 - 48 Avenue by June 30, 2009. File: 4320-20

Note: Council is requested to pass a resolution to refer this letter to the next Agricultural Advisory Committee.

It was

Seconded by Councillor Steele That the Letter dated June 28, 2009 from Ed Holden, Managing Director, Potters Farm and Nursery Inc. be referred to the Agricultural Advisory Committee.

Moved by Councillor Hunt

RES.Rog-1318

Carried

K. NOTICE OF MOTION

L. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

1. Regional Transportation

Councillor Villeneuve noted that she will circulate information to Council regarding regional transportation for discussion at the next Council meeting.

M. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Councillor Hunt Seconded by Councillor Steele That the Regular Council - Public Hearing

meeting do now adjourn. RES.Ro9-1319

Carried

Regular Council - Public Hearing Minutes

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

Certified correct: Twi MI

Jane Sowik, City Clerk

Rubeth

Mayor Dianne Watts