

# Regular Council -Public Hearing Minutes

Council Chambers City Hall 13450 - 104 Avenue Surrey, B.C. MONDAY, MAY 11, 2015 Time: 7:00 p.m.

#### Present:

Chairperson - Mayor Hepner Councillor Gill Councillor Hayne Councillor LeFranc Councillor Starchuk Councillor Steele Councillor Villeneuve Councillor Woods Absent: Councillor Martin

#### Staff Present: City Clerk City Manager City Solicitor General Manager, Engineering General Manager, Finance and Technology General Manager, Finance and Technology General Manager, Human Resources General Manager, Human Resources General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture General Manager, Planning & Development Acting Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division Acting Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division Manager, Land Development, Engineering

#### A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1.	Special (Regular) Council - April 27, 2015		
RES.R15-827	It was Council meeting held on April 27, 20	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the Special (Regular) 15, be adopted. <u>Carried</u>	
2.	Council-in-Committee – April 27, 2	2015	
RES.R15-828	It was Council-in-Committee meeting held	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the on April 27, 2015, be received. <u>Carried</u>	
3.	Regular Council - Land Use - Apri	l 27, 2015	
RES.R15-829	It was Land Use meeting held on April 27, 2	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the Regular Council – 2015, be adopted. <u>Carried</u>	

4.	Finan	ice Committee - April	27, 2015
	(a)	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the Finance Committee
RES.R15-830		meeting held on Apri	l 27, 2015, be received. <u>Carried</u>
	(b)	The recommendation follows:	s of these minutes were considered and dealt with as
		Item No. Fo21	100 Year Journey – Open Dialogue with South Asian Pioneers File: 1850-20
		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele
RES.R15-831			That Council approve the following grant Aehfil Magazine, in the amount of \$1,500 to off-set the the Open Dialogue with South Asian Pioneers event, May 8th, 2015. <u>Carried</u>
KL5.K15-031			Carried
		Item No. Fo22	Surrey 2016 Women's World Softball Championship - Funding Request File: 1850-01
		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Gran illor and for the steele
		XV (15th) Women's V	That Council approve \$100,000 in financial y 2016 World Baseball Softball Confederation (WBSC) Vorld Softball Championship as generally described in
RES.R15-832		Corporate Report Fo2	<u>Carried</u>
		Item No. Fo23	Community Enhancement Partnership (CEP) Program Grant Applications – DIVERSECity Community Resources Society Street Patio File: 1850-01
		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve a CEB Program grant
		of up to \$3,000 to DIV Street Patio Project.	That Council approve a CEP Program grant /ERSECity Community Resources Society for the
RES.R15-833			<u>Carried</u>

	Item No. Fo24	Community Enhancement Partnership (CEP) Program Grant Applications – Crescent Park Community Garden Pathway and Bench Project File: 1850-01
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve a CEP Program grant
	of up to \$3,000 for tl Bench Project.	he Crescent Park Community Garden Pathway and
RES.R15-834	benen i roject.	<u>Carried</u>
	Item No. Fo25	Community Enhancement Partnership (CEP) Program Grant Applications – W.E. Kinvig Elementary School Travel Smart Fence Art File: 1850-01
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve a CEP Program grant
		he W.E. Kinvig Elementary School Travel Smart Fence
RES.R15-835	Art project.	Carried
	Item No. Fo26	Service Above Self Surrey Youth (SASSY) Awards 2015 File: 1850-01; 0290-20/Y
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele
	Service Above Self S	That Council approve funding in the amount Council Initiatives Fund to support the upcoming 2015 Furrey Youth (SASSY) Awards as generally described in
RES.R15-836	Corporate Report Fo	<u>Carried</u>
5.	Regular Council - Public I	Hearing - April 27, 2015
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele
RES.R15-837	Public Hearing meeting hel	That the minutes of the Regular Council - d on April 27, 2015, be adopted. <u>Carried</u>

#### **B. DELEGATIONS - PUBLIC HEARING**

1. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18450 Application: 7913-0296-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	14922 Fraser Highway
APPLICANT:	Sana Khawaja c/o Gerry Blonski Architect (Gerry Blonski)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the property from Local Commercial to Multiple Residential 30. The applicant is proposing to develop 4 townhouse units.
	In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to reduce setbacks and allow 1 visitor parking space to be

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

located within the east side yard setback.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

2. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18451 Application: 7914-0357-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	7644, 7666 and 7690 – 155 Street
APPLICANT:	Ravinder & Mandeep Kumar, Patrick & Wendy McCarthy, Ajit Birak and Manjinder Bains
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential to Single Family Residential. The applicant is proposing to subdivide into 12 single family lots, with 1 remnant lot for future consolidation.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

<u>D. Jack, President, Surrey Environmental Partners</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) there should be a wildlife corridor on 77th Avenue, 2) there are eight trees slated for conservation, however they are located on the remnant piece of property.

Staff in response noted, that the eight trees identified in the Planning Report are located to the north of the site and they would be re-evaluated at the time the property of the north goes for a development application, at which time, they would be reassessed.

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
T. Carlisle		Х	

#### 3. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18446 Application: 7913-0137-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	13746 – 63A Avenue
APPLICANT:	Gurprit Hans
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the property from Half-Acre Residential Gross Density to Comprehensive Development. The applicant is proposing to expand an existing and licensed child care centre within a single family dwelling to a maximum capacity of 16 children.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

<u>K. Zundal, 139 Street & 58 Avenue</u> - The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the childcare facility is a valued resource in the community, 2) the area has had a significant amount of growth and daycare spots for before and after care are needed, 3) there are not enough daycare spots to meet the needs of the community.

<u>W. Wagstaff, 137 Street & 63 A Avenue</u> - The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) creative explorers is a daycare operation that has 45 children, the owners purchased the happy daycare business which came with a licence for only eight childcare spots, 2) the owners operate their 45 person bus within the residential cul-de-sac, 3) there is insufficient parking for a commercial daycare operation, 4) parent pickup and drop off is disruptive to local residents, 5) the owners do not reside in the facility and are treating it like a commercial business, 6) the neighbourhood does not support a commercial daycare facility operation, 7) there are no sidewalks and the area is not safe for young children to play unattended.

<u>D. Spear, 138 Street & 78 Avenue</u> - The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the daycare provides a need; 2) the owners are personable and good with children, 3) the daycare offers on-site assistance for children in French Immersion programs, 4) many of the children have been a part of the daycare program for several years, 5) safe pickup and drop off protocols have been employed to address resident concerns, 6) the owners are considerate of the neighbours and have advised daycare parents to not park in the driveway, 7) there is a need for responsible daycare spots within the City of Surrey.

J. Edgar, 137 Street & 63A Avenue - The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) most of the residents on the street do not have an issue with a small-scale daycare operation; the concerns are related to the proposed expansion, 2) the children who reside in the area cannot safely play in the cul-de-sac due to traffic associated with the daycare operation, 3) the new owner was introduced as a new employee and not the operator, 4) the proposed increase in the number of children is inappropriate for a residential neighbourhood context.

<u>G. Kavanaugh, 137 Street & 63 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the proposed change of use is not supported by the neighbourhood, 2) there is increased traffic associated with the daycare operation; and 3) the neighbourhood context is drastically changed.

<u>L. Wheway, 137 Street & 63A Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the proposed expansion of the daycare; 2) increased vehicular traffic, 3) the proposed location is not in keeping with a commercial operation as there are no other commercial businesses within the neighbourhood, 4) there is not sufficient room for parking for pickup and drop off of children, and 5) there is not a safe place for children to play.

<u>C. Beadon, 137 Street & 63A Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the requested use is not in keeping with the neighbourhood context, 2) the parking is inadequate for pick-up and drop off; 3) the applicant has been reserving on-street residential parking for the express use of their daycare operation using orange cones to block resident spots, 4) there are other more appropriate commercial locations where the daycare would be better suited, and 5) the previous owner went door-to-door with a petition asking to increase the number of children by only one child, later it was found that she had misrepresented her intentions and had sold the business to another operator.

<u>M. Meyers, 130 Street & 63 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) sourcing before and after school care has been a challenge, 2) the owners/operators work hard to provide a safe and happy environment for the children, and 3) the traffic for the daycare operations is staggered, not all parents will arrive at the same time.

<u>C. Donohue, Surrey Resident</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns:
1) her children have been attending the daycare due to the excellent referrals,
2) the operator provides a safe environment and stimulates learning and development, 3) the delegation appreciates the ability to have children looked after in a home environment instead of an institutional location, 4) parents have always been respectful when driving in and out of the cul-de-sac, and 5) the daycare was compassionate to parents during the teachers strike.

<u>G. Friesen, 130 Street & 62 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the neighbourhood is expanding and there is a lot of change and development in the area, 2) daycare spots are needed, 3) many parents drive their children to school, the benefit is that a volume of children can be taken in a van to help alleviate congestion in the local school parking lot, and 4) the owners/operators are professional and caring.

<u>D. Yee, 137 Street & 63A Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the children have minimal supervision, 2) there are more than eight children being looked after and they are understaffed, 3) the owner/operators and patrons of the daycare block other residential driveways within the cul-de-sac, 4) there is a concern with the landscaping and potential use of chemicals to remove weeds, 5) the proposed use does not fit within the neighbourhood context.

S. Wagstaff, 137 Street & 63A Avenue: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the residents are concerned about the use of the daycare and what it will do to the quality of their lives, 2) misrepresentation of the petition circulated in 2012, the understanding was to increase the capacity by only two children, the petition has morphed to supporting 25 children, 3) the residents withdrew their support of the daycare because they understood the petition was for only two children and do not support such a substantial expansion, 4) the former owner only had a licence for 8 - 9 children, 5) there is no trust between the neighbours and the applicants, 6) the applicants have another commercial daycare with 45 children, and are sophisticated business people who purchased a home to run a family daycare within a residential neighbourhood setting, 7) the owners only moved into the house when the application was brought forward for rezoning, 8) the pressure on traffic and quality of life of residents is not being taken into consideration, 9) there is a potential for a decrease in property values with a commercial daycare operation within the neighbourhood, 10) there are no sidewalks in the cul-de-sac, 11) currently children are permitted to play in the cul-de-sac, 12) the applicants have frequently used a large school bus to transport the children, which blocks driveways, 13) there are 83 other daycares in the vicinity, 14) another 8 spaces for children can be achieved by another family daycare opening in the neighborhood, and 15) the staff report notes that the proposal is not supported in the current location.

It was

information.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill

That correspondence be received as

RES.R15-838

<u>R. Semrau, 137 Street & 63A Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the west end of the cul-de-sac has a paved gated walk through that empties out directly into the cul-de-sac and is not a safe place for additional traffic, 2) excessive speeding in the area presents a danger to pedestrian safety, 3) there is no pedestrian side-walk on either side of the street, 4) properties adjacent to the daycare had steep driveways which could cause accidents if children are outside playing unattended.

<u>G. Hans (Applicant)</u>: The delegation addressed the concerns raised as follows: 1) traffic will not be increased as the number of trips made to and from school will remain the same, 2) the daycare licence was decreased from the original application, 3) the daycare has operated in the same location for 26 years, RES.R15-839

4) neighbours purchased knowing there was a daycare located on the street, 5) the Applicant went door-to-door, with the previous owner, and was introduced to all the neighbours, 6) neighbours only withdrew their support when the new owners took over operating the facility, 7) the request is to change the licence by increasing the number to only eight more children, 8) there have been no parking or noise citations, and they meet building codes, 9) after each inspection the daycare was classified as a low-risk by Fraser Health, 10) the daycare has received no illegal parking violations, 11) daycare staff wait at the door to receive the children as soon as they arrive, 12) there is no overnight parking of the shuttle bus on site, 13) complaints by neighbours regarding parking were addressed by implementing the placement of cones and advising parents to not cross the cones or breach the property line of the neighbours, and 14) there is a need in the community for quality childcare.

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve
		That the correspondence provided by the
	delegation be received as information	n.
)		<u>Carried</u>

Council requested clarification in terms of the concerns raised regarding speed and traffic issues. The Applicant noted there have been no violations. The delegation confirmed that the children play outside the daycare and use chalk to draw in the driveway. After school the children play at the school due to backyard renovations occurring at the daycare.

In response to a question regarding available on-site parking, the delegation confirmed that there are four parking spaces in the driveway and another two for the garage. Also, directly in front of the home there is another parking spot and another on the right side.

Children drop off occurs any time between 6:00 a.m. and 8:30 a.m.; the drop off times are staggered, parents do not arrive at the same time to address traffic concerns raised by the neighbours.

The Applicant clarified that the rear yard is not currently in use because it is being renovated; the backyard play area is being remodeled to be more suitable for school aged children.

The Applicant noted that her family does reside in the home but clarified that for the first year of occupancy, the home was being renovated, once renovations were complete the family moved in.

In the morning there are less children, the primary need for the daycare is with after school care.

Councillor Villeneuve requested clarification on the neighbourhood petition that was signed. The delegation noted that the petition stated that it would be an increase of 25 children and that the delegation was introduced to all the neighbours as the new owner.

The Applicant has attempted to meet with the neighbours and talk to them; however, she was unsuccessful as the neighbours are angry and would not speak with her.

G. Farstad, Arlington Group Planning & Architecture Inc. (on behalf of the <u>Applicant</u>): The delegation made the following comments: 1) the proposal represents a continuation of a childcare use that has been in the neighbourhood since 1989, that use has not changed 2) the applicant was not residing at the property due to extensive renovations, 3) the pick-up drop off procedure involves parents signing a log book, typical drop-off/pick-up time is 2-3 minutes, the parents are required to leave the car and escort their children to and from the house, 4) the log-books contain a variation between when another parent shows up and drops off as the times are staggered, 5) the initial proposal of 25 was problematic, therefore, the application was reduced to 16 children, 6) there is no daycare activity between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. 7) the principle use will remain as a secondary dwelling, and there is no commercial signage proposed, 8) bylaw requirements have been met, 9) there is a 21 passenger bus that is rarely used, 10) the concern raised about aesthetics is a legitimate concern, there are some engineering requirements that have yet to be addressed by CitiWest Consulting Ltd, there are underground connections and services to be proposed, with an approved landscaping plan, 11) the play equipment in the backyard will be upgraded, 12) a good neighbour policy has been implemented with parents who are using the facility to prevent blocking driveways of adjacent properties and to reduce speed limits, 13) the former owner expressed surprise about the neighbourhood objection to the proposed rezoning, 14) the new owners would like to work with the residents to rectify the misunderstanding, and 15) single family will be the principle use and the property will be in character with the other properties in the location.

	It was	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve
		Seconded by Councillor LeFranc
		That correspondence presented by the
	delegation be received as information	n.
RES.R15-840	-	<u>Carried</u>

Councillor Villeneuve asked for clarification regarding the before and after school care and what happens in the summer months when daycare is not in session. The delegation noted that it would only be in operation during school days and not in the summer time.

<u>J. Wheway, 137 Street & 63A Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) impact on residential parking, 2) blocked driveways, 3) unattended children playing in the streets and 4) the cul-de-sac does not have the infrastructure to support an expanded daycare operation.

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: The delegation noted that the location of the daycare is in close proximity to his home, he would like his children to attend as they are currently on the waiting list.

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
A. Lee & B. Rumler	Х	a.	
D. Bradley		Х	
G. Stuart		Х	
R. Semrau		X	
D. Yee		Х	
Petition 15 Signatures		Х	
J. & L. Wheway		Х	
B. Kirkland		X	
A. Lee	Х		
J. Edgar		X	
F. Beadon		X	
G. Beadon	21	X	
C. Beadon		X	
D. Yee	Х		
R. & J. Balabanov		X	

There was correspondence on table from:

The Mayor noted that the following persons had expressed an opinion in writing and not wishing to speak:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
B. Kirkland		X	
J. Wheway		X	
D. Bradley		X	
M. Dundorf	Х		
W. Yee		X	
M. Bilg	Х		

4. Surrey Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw No. 18447 Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18448 Application: 7915-0077-00

> CIVIC ADDRESS: 18954 – 54 Avenue APPLICANT: City of Surrey c/o City of Surrey, Realty Services Div. (Avril Wright)

PURPOSE:

The applicant is seeking to redesignate the property from Urban to Industrial and rezone from Single Family Residential to Light Impact Industrial. The applicant is proposing to facilitate a lot consolidation with the property to the east (18998 – 54 Avenue) which is proposed for industrial uses.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
M. Bola			Х

#### 5. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18449 Application: 7914-0276-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	16780 – 64 Avenue (6363, 6377 and 6385 – 168 Street, 16788 and 16796 – 64 Avenue)
APPLICANT:	581947 B.C. Ltd. c/o Rising Tide Consultants Ltd. (Rebecca Hardin)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is proposing to amend Comprehensive Development By-law No. 17674 in order to permit a licensee retail store (private liquor store) in an existing commercial building.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
S. Sheikh		Х	
C. Fugard		Х	

#### 6. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18375 Application: 7914-0037-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2421 – 164 Street and 16349 – 24 Avenue APPLICANT: IK Homes Inc. c/o WG Architecture Inc. (Wojciech Grzybowicz) PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential to Multiple Residential 30. The applicant is proposing to develop a 40-unit townhouse complex.

In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to reduce setbacks.

Note: A public hearing was conducted for this project on January 12, 2015. After further consultation with the neighbouring stratas and the applicant, a revised proposal (Appendix II) has been developed to address the site access concerns. In addition, the proposed number of units has changed from 36 to 40 and minor changes to the setback.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

<u>E. Wilmar (Azure East Strata Council)</u>: The delegation made the following comments: 1) the Azure Strata Council supports the revised development proposal that is before Council, 2) the parking spots have been reallocated to be located within the new development, 3) retention of mature trees along the greenway is still hoped for, and 4) the proposed restricted covenant concerning the removal of the bollards must be approved by Azure East.

<u>S. Hunter (Abbey Road Townhouse Development)</u>: The delegation noted that members of the Abbey Road Townhouse Development are supportive of the revised development proposal; however, the restrictive covenant concerning the bollard removal must be approved by all parties concerned.

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
A. Ramakrishnan			Х
C. Sturkenboom		X	

#### 7. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18443 Application: 7914-0316-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	3084 – 188 Street (3052 – 188 Street)
APPLICANT:	464676 B.C. Ltd. and Never Idle Holdings Ltd. c/o Pacific Land Group (Oleg Verbenkov)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the property from Intensive Agriculture to Business Park 1. The applicant is proposing to consolidate 2 properties (3084 and 3188 – 188 Street) in order to permit an expansion to the existing cold storage warehouse facility at 3188 – 188 Street.

In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to reduce the number of required off-street parking spaces from 400 to 148 spaces.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

#### 8. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18444 Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18445 Application: 7914-0253-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	15687, 15715 and 15735 Mountain View Drive
APPLICANT:	Shi & Jin Kim, Joginder, Kukhpal & Gurjit Kahlon and David Downing c/o Dawson & Sawyer Properties (Ted Dawson)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential to Comprehensive Development and Single Family Residential (12). The applicant is proposing to develop a 34-unit townhouse project and 9 single family lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

#### 9. Temporary Use Permit Application No. 7914-0169-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	2128 – 152 Street
APPLICANT:	Georgian Properties Ltd. c/o Pacific Land Resource Group (Oleg Verbenkov)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to allow a temporary surface parking lot for 76 under-weight vehicles for a period not to exceed three years.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

<u>S. Carter, 150 Street & 27A Avenue</u>: The delegation noted that the proposed development guarantees openness and brightness for the residents living in close proximity to the TUP, and that the neighbourhood already has extensive mixed-use development.

<u>B. Bunton, 158 Street & 21 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the proposed laneway use, 2) the long term plans for the property and the implication of the TUP; and 3) the area is zoned residential and not commercial.

Mayor Hepner noted that if a rezoning was requested by the Applicant after the terms of the TUP expired that it would require public notification.

<u>A. Pondsford, 152A Street & 21 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the land associated with the TUP will be transferred into a car lot as part of the existing dealership, 2) the overall size of the car dealership is not in keeping with a residential neighbourhood context; 3) the use is not temporary, the Applicant will apply for extensions, 4) a number of residents have signed a petition in opposition, and 5) the dealership is not a long-standing welcome neighbour in the community.

Councillor Villeneuve requested clarification regarding the petition the delegation referred to during his presentation. In response, the delegation noted that there is significant opposition to the Temporary Use Permit, the names were gathering on the petition in January 2015.

<u>S. Brown, Ocean Park Road</u>: The delegation made the following comments: 1) the current vacant lot does not look appealing; the proposed changes would be considered an upgrade, 2) the company is exceptional and involved in the community, and 3) the City of Surrey will benefit from the proposed upgrades.

<u>B. Trotman (Applicant)</u>: The proposed TUP will improve the property, as it has been vacant since 2008. The Applicant has gone to multiple efforts to elicit support of neighbours. Throughout the creation of the TUP proposal, the plan has been adjusted to reflect concerns raised by neighbours.

Councillor Villeneuve noted that the request is for a TUP for three years and requested clarification regarding the intent of the Applicant. In response the Applicant noted that he needs time to assess and search for other properties and that the Surrey Auto Mall is not a viable venue, time is needed to assess the dealership, they may be back in three years and they may not be. There is currently no available land that would suffice as storage.

Councillor Hayne requested for clarification regarding the business model and the temporary nature of the application and the viability of multi-family development in the area. The delegation noted that the economics do not make sense to undertake what was originally proposed in 2008, the former owner could not make financial sense of it. The delegation noted that he did not meet any opposition when he requested feedback from the neighbours.

<u>M. Trotman (Applicant)</u>: The delegation has worked diligently to address resident concerns regarding the proposed TUP by addressing lane traffic and implementing a plan to fence the entire property. The proposed TUP will employ a LEED Gold standard lighting scheme to improve security, there will be a landscaping plan for

buffering, and slated chain-link fencing will be installed. The plan is a thoughtful and a logical expansion, and is sympathetic to the needs of the community.

It was Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor LeFranc That correspondence received from the applicant be received as information.

RES.R15-841

Carried

In response to a question from Council the delegation clarified that there are 40 staff employed at the current dealership.

The short and long term plans for the site are for three years and property improvements would be conducted on the site. Within the three years, there will be alleviated traffic congestion and the Applicant will have gained a good understanding of the capacity, size and infrastructure required for their business model. The previously proposed strata unit would have had extensive traffic and the proposal was not economically viable. The long-term thinking is that by having the existing property, it provides an area for a development that would be economically viable in keeping with the zoning.

The Applicant noted that he could not speak to whether or not a three year extension on the TUP would be needed. If another viable site can be found, they would explore their options; there are variables they would need to consider.

<u>M. Cramp, Delta</u>: The delegation noted that the TUP application is a suitable bridge use of the property as the site has sat vacant for some time.

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: The delegation expressed the following concerns: 1) the back lane access should not be permitted; 2) the owner did not contact all the residents when they conducted public consultation, 3) potential for increased traffic and increased light pollution associated with the proposed TUP, and 5) only multiuse family residential development should be considered

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: The delegation noted that currently, the vacant lot is a hangout for local kids and that a three year TUP should be granted.

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: The delegation was supportive of the proposed TUP and noted that the Applicant has gone above and beyond the expectations for site improvements and public consultation.

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: Expressed support for the proposal and noted it will serve to better the neighbourhood.

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
G. Dixon			Х
G. Nosaty		X	

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
M. Cramp	Х		
C. R. Cmolik			Х
M. Gould	Х		
L. Buckshon	Х		
G. Edwards	Х		
K. Mitchell	Х		
D. Johnson	Х		
J. Newton	Х		
J. Paterson	Х		
B. Qualey	Х		
T. Reid	Х		
K. Schleich	Х		
A. Dereus			
D. Zaiser		X	
S. Holloway		X	
C. Douglas		X	
M. Segall	Х		
A. Lidder	Х		
D. Jensen	Х		
A. Smith	Х		
3 Form Letters	Х		

The Mayor noted that the following persons had expressed an opinion in writing and not wishing to speak:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
2 Individuals		X	
7 Individuals	Х		

#### C. COMMITTEE REPORTS

## 1. Diversity Advisory Committee - January 20, 2015

	It was	Moved by Councillor Starchuk
		Seconded by Councillor Steele
		That the minutes of the Diversity Advisory
	Committee meeting held on January	20, 2015, be received.
842		Carried

RES.R15-842

### 2. Seniors Advisory and Accessibility Committee - April 7, 2015

	It was	Moved by Councillor Steele
		Seconded by Councillor Starchuk
		That the minutes of the Seniors Advisory and
	Accessibility Committee meeting he	ld on April 7, 2015, be received.
RES.R15-843	2	Carried

3.	Parks	s, Recre	, Recreation and Sport Tourism Committee - April 15, 2015		
Seconded I That the m		Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Woods That the minutes of the Parks, Recreation urism Committee meeting held on April 15, 2015, be received. <u>Carried</u>			
	(b)	The re follow		endations of these minutes were considered and dealt with as	
			<b>ng of F</b> 0. 6140-	our (4) Parks in the Newton area of Surrey -oo	
		It was		Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Steele That	
		1.	Desig	cil receive the report from the Parks, Planning, Research and In Manager, dated March 27, 2015, entitled " <i>Naming of Four (4)</i> Is in the Newton area of Surrey", as information; and	
2		2.	That (	Council adopt the following park names:	
			<u>Newt</u>	<u>con</u>	
			1)	Approve the name " <i>Hyland Creek Park</i> " for the park lots currently labelled 62C, 62J & 62L Greenbelts.	
			2)	Approve the name " <i>Kettle Crescent Park</i> " for the park lots currently labelled 74C, 74E, 74F, 74G, 74H, 74I, 74J & 74K Greenbelts and Walkways.	
			3)	Approve the name " <u>McLeod Park</u> " for the park lots currently labelled 72B Neighbourhood Park and 72I Greenbelt.	
RES.R15-845			4)	Approve the name " <i>Newton Athletic Park</i> " for the park lots currently labelled 50H Utility ROW (BMX track) and 50H Utility ROW. <u>Carried</u>	
NEO.NI5-045				Carried	
D. BOARD/COMMISSION REPORTS					

#### E. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Hepner read the following proclamations:

# CHRONIC FATIGUE SYNDROME AND FIBROMYALGIA INTERNATIONAL AWARENESS DAY

May 12, 2015

- WHEREAS Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (ME/CFS), is a neurological and autoimmune disease characterized by overwhelming fatigue, pain, post-exertional malaise, headaches, cardiac symptoms, immune disorders, dizziness and balance problems; and
- WHEREAS Fibromyalgia (FM) is characterized by severe musculoskeletal pain and tenderness in many areas of the body, along with fatigue and sleep dysfunction, generalized or regional stiffness and in some cases neurological and cognitive symptoms; and
- WHEREAS Over 20 million individuals of all backgrounds worldwide are afflicted by these chronic illnesses with no known cause; and
- WHEREAS Once diagnosed, one is often ill for years and as many as 70 percent are disabled for life;
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Linda Hepner, do hereby declare May 12, 2015 as "Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Fibromyalgia International Awareness Day" in the City of Surrey.

Linda Hepner Mayor

#### CYCLING4DIVERSITY WEEK May 17 – 23, 2015

- WHEREAS the Cycling4Diversity initiative began in 2011 to celebrate "World Day for Cultural Diversity for Dialogue and Development" on May 21st - a day proclaimed by the United Nations; and
- WHEREAS the Cycling4Diversity mission is to make a number of stops in different cities to celebrate diversity and build bridges, encourage dialogue regarding racism and discrimination, and shed light on underlying issues associated with living among different cultures and races; and
- WHEREAS by travelling through the different cities and meeting with students in several schools as well as community organizations, neighbourhoods and political leaders, Cycling4Diversity has the opportunity to encourage discussions that help create awareness regarding some of the significant issues; and

WHEREAS this year, the 5th annual Cycling4Diversity ride will take place from May 17th to May 23rd, visiting 12 cities and making numerous stops along the way, including the City of Surrey;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Linda Hepner, do hereby declare May 17 - 23, 2015 as "Cycling4Diversity Week" in the City of Surrey.

> Linda Hepner Mayor

PUBLIC WORKS WEEK May 17 – 23, 2015

WHEREAS public works infrastructure, facilities and services are vital to the health, safety and well-being of the residents of the City of Surrey; and

- WHEREAS such facilities and services could not be provided without the dedicated efforts of public works professionals, engineers and administrators who are responsible for building, operating and maintaining the public works systems that serve our citizens; and
- WHEREAS the Public Works Association instituted Public Works Week as a public education campaign "to inform communities and their leaders on the importance of our nation's public infrastructure and public works services"; and
- WHEREAS it is in the public interest of citizens and civic leaders to gain knowledge of the public works needs and programs of their respective communities; and
- WHEREAS Public Works Week also recognizes the contributions of public works professionals;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Linda Hepner, do hereby declare May 17 - 23, 2015 as "Public Works Week" in the City of Surrey.

> Linda Hepner Mayor

#### DAY OF THE HONEY BEE May 29, 2015

- WHEREAS a third of all the food mankind consumes exists because of the tireless work of the honey bees, and seventy percent of our food crops are pollinated and partially, if not completely, dependent upon this keystone species; and
- WHEREAS honey bees are disappearing at alarming and unsustainable rates all over the world for reasons not fully explained by science; but with the most likely cause being pesticides; and

WHEREAS concern for the honey bee transcends all human barriers of nationality, language, skin, income, identity, gender, religion or politics; and
 WHEREAS survival of the honey bee is surely linked with our own;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that I, Linda Hepner, do hereby declare May 29, 2015 as "Day of the Honey Bee" in the City of Surrey, and in issuing this proclamation, ask all citizens to recognize this day.

> Linda Hepner Mayor

#### F. GOVERNMENTAL REPORTS

#### G. CORPORATE REPORTS

The Corporate Reports, under date of May 11, 2015, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. Ro63 Designates for Election to the E-Comm Board and Representative for the City related to the E-Comm Annual General Meeting File: 7150-20 (E-Comm)

The City Manager was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. approve Fire Chief, Len Garis as a designate for election to the E-Comm Board;
- 2. approve Mayor Jack Froese as chosen by the City of White Rock Council and the Township of Langley Council as a designate for election to the E-Comm Board;
- 3. designate Fire Chief, Len Garis as the City of Surrey's representative to the 2015 Annual General Meeting of the Shareholders of E-Comm; and
- 4. authorize the City Clerk to forward a copy of Council's resolution to the Corporate Secretary of E-Comm and to forward a copy of Corporate Report Ro63 and the related Council resolution to the Township of Langley and the City of White Rock as information.

RES.R15-846

**Carried** 

Item No. Ro64 Award of Contract M.S. 1715-014-11: Street Lighting and Pathway Improvements File: 1715-014/11

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of contract M.S. 1715-014-11. Tenders were received as follows:

Contractor	Tendered Amount with GST	Corrected Amount
1. Crown Contracting Limited	\$749,933.63	\$748,883.63
2. Targa Contracting (2013) Ltd.	\$807,213.75	No Changes
3. Winvan Paving Ltd.	\$899,270.87	\$899,270.91
4. Fraser City Installations (1989) Ltd	. \$653,961.00	\$21,394,721.25

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- Award Contract M.S. 1715-014-11 to Crown Contracting Limited. in the amount of \$748,883.63, including GST, for construction of Street lighting at various locations and a pathway; and
- 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract M.S. 1715-014-11 at \$825,000.00, including contingency and GST.

RES.R15-847

**Carried** 

Item No. Ro65 Award of Contract M.S. 1715-003-11: 2015 Minor Roads Pavement Rehabilitation File: 1715-003/11

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of contract M.S. 1715-003-11. Tenders were received as follows:

Contractor	Tendered Amount with GST	Corrected Amount
<ol> <li>Martens Asphalt Ltd.</li> <li>Winvan Paving Ltd.</li> <li>Jack Cewe Ltd.</li> <li>Lafarge Canada Inc. c.o.b. as Columbia Bitulithic</li> </ol>	\$2,119,588.08 \$2,189,130.24 \$2,352,105.00 \$2,391,418.05	No Change No Change No Change No Change
5. Grandview Blacktop Ltd.	\$2,364,334.47	\$3,461,685.69

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:	
1.	Award Contract M.S. 1715-003-11 to Martens Asphalt Ltd. in the amount of \$2,119,588.08, including GST, for minor roads pavement rehabilitation at various locations throughout the City; and	
2. RES.R15-848	Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract M.S. 1715-003-11 at \$2,332,000.00, including GST and contingency. <u>Carried</u>	
Item No. Ro66 Award of Contract M.S. 4813-414-11: 168 Street Access, Dyke Sullivan Station Drainage Improvements		

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of contract M.S. 4813-414-11. Tenders were received as follows:

File: 4813-414/11

Contractor	Tendered Amount with GST	Corrected Amount
1. Delta Aggregates Ltd.	\$740,840.09	No change
2. Double M Excavating Ltd.	\$865,223.05	No change
3. King Hoe Excavating Ltd.	\$904,901.00	No change
4. Tybo Contracting Ltd.	\$937,965.00	\$938,854.35
5. A.C. Paving Company Ltd.	\$1,521,124.50	No change

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It	was
----	-----

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- Award Contract M.S. 4813-414-11 to Delta Aggregates Ltd. in the amount of \$740,840.09, including GST, for 168 Street Access, Dyke and Sullivan Station Drainage Improvements; and
- 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract M.S. 4813-414-11 at \$815,000.00, including GST and contingency.

RES.R15-849

Carried

Item No. Ro67 Award of Contract M.S. 1715-001-11: 2015 MRN and Arterial Paving Tender #1 File: 1715-001/11

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the award of contract M.S. 1715-001-11. Tenders were received as follows:

Contractor	Tendered Amount with GST	Corrected Amount
1. Lafarge Canada Inc. c.o.b. as		
Columbia Bitulithic	\$4,792.908.75	No Change
2. Imperial Paving Ltd.	\$5,138,133.50	No Change
3. Winvan Paving Ltd.	\$5,452,392.88	\$5,452,341.95
4. Jack Cewe Ltd.	\$7,056,588.00	No Change

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Award Contract M.S. 1715-001-11 to Lafarge Canada Inc. c.o.b. as Columbia Bitulithic in the amount of \$4,792,908.75, including GST, for the 2015 MRN and Arterial Paving Tender #1 at various locations throughout the City; and
- 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract M.S. 1715-001-11 at \$5,272,000, including GST and contingency.

RES.R15-850

Carried

Item No. Ro68 Cancellation of Section 107 Dedication at 16510 - 84 Avenue for Future Park Development File: 0910-20/508A

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture submitted a report to seek Council's endorsement to reverse a previous section 107 Land Title Act process in order to create titled parkland in preparation of developing recreational facilities for the future Fleetwood Athletic Park, and a natural area preservation in Bonnie Schrenk Park, adjacent to the Surrey Sport and Leisure Complex. The general concept of future recreational facilities and natural area preservation is illustrated in the attached Appendix II to Corporate Report Ro68.

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture were recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

]	It was N	Aoved by Councillor Gill
	S	Seconded by Councillor Steele
	Т	That Council authorize the City Clerk to
ł	bring forward a park closure bylaw to close as	dedicated park the parkland located at
1	16510 – 84 Avenue as illustrated in Appendix I a	attached to Corporate Report Ro68, subject
t	to compliance with the appropriate provisions	of the Community Charter, S.B.C., 2003.
RES.R15	5-851 <u>C</u>	Carried

Item No. Ro69 Extension Lease of City-Owned Property Located at 10605 City Parkway and Portions of 10601 City Parkway and 13458 – 107A Avenue (BC Lions Football Club) File: 0930-30/093

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture submitted a report to seek Council's endorsement to provide the Lions with a further 5 year lease extension, from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2021, for the continued occupation and use of City-owned Premises within the Surrey City Centre.

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture were recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve the execution by the

appropriate City officials of a modification of lease agreement for the City premises located at 10605 City Parkway and portions of 10601 City Parkway and 13458 – 107A Avenue (the "Premises") as generally illustrated in Appendix I attached to Corporate Report Ro69, to the BC Lions Football Club (the "Lions") to provide a further five-year extension of the lease from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2021.

RES.R15-852

<u>Carried</u>

Mayor Hepner thanked Councillor Hayne and the Parks Department for their continued efforts in fostering a partnership with the BC Lions.

Item No. Ro70Proposed Amendment by Fraser Surrey Docks to the Existing<br/>Project Permit that Authorizes a Direct Transfer Coal Facility at the<br/>Fraser Surrey Docks<br/>File: 8710-01; 5650-20 (FRPA)

The General Manager, Engineering; General Manager, Planning & Development and the City Solicitor submitted a report to advise Council the Fraser Surrey Docks is considering amending their Project Permit in order to support transferring coal to ocean going vessels.

The General Manager, Engineering; General Manager, Planning & Development and the City Solicitor were recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

ular Council	- Public Hearing	y Minutes		May 11, 2
It	was		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:	
1.	Receive Corj	porate Report Ro70 as ir	oformation; and	
2. RES.R15-8	related Cour Vancouver a Feedback Fo	ncil resolution to each o	a copy of Corporate Report Ro70 and t f Fraser Surrey Docks and Port Metro mments on the Discussion Guide and raser Surrey Docks. <u>Carried</u>	he
be dis the rec ba co Cc	en in the public's scussion. Unfortu ere was extensive quested a full pub cked by an enviro me back asking fo ouncillor Villeneur	eye for the last 2 - 3 yea mately, there was a deci public opposition. As p lic hearing be held by Fr onmental assessment. Si or an amendment for the we thanked staff for their	Report Ro70 and noted that the project rs and there has been extensive public sion for the project to go forward altho part of the Project Permit process, Coun raser Surrey Docks and Port Metro Van ince the initial hearing the Applicant has a coal to be loaded at Surrey Fraser Doc r diligence in compiling the informatio oted that the City of Surrey has applied	ugh Icil Icouver as now cks. n
Ite	em No. Ro71	By-law Enforcement File: 4000-01	Activities Report for 1st Quarter of 2015	5
Th	e City Solicitor w	as recommending that t	he report be received for information.	
It	was		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Corporate Report Ro71 be receiv	ved for
ini RES.R15-8	Formation. 54		Carried	
Ite	em No. Ro72	Increase of Taxi Licer File: 4320-60; 3900-2		
No	ote: See Bylaw N	o. 18453 under Section H	4.	
for	Hire By-law that		roduce amendments to City of Surrey V ate the approval process of taxicab licer ransportation Board.	

The City Solicitor was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

h:\clerks\council\regular council public hearing\minutes\2015\min rcph 2015 05 11.docx

It wa	S		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
1.	No. 13610 whi	ch would authorize the	y of Surrey Vehicle for Hire By-law, 1999, one time increase of 14 taxicab licenses from he licenses by the Passenger Transportation
2. RES.R15-855			ward the related bylaw amendment as ate Report Ro72 for the required readings. <u>Carried</u>
Item	No. R073	Public Special Occasi Event: <i>"An Evening o</i> File: 6140-20/D	on License Request – Darts Hill Gardens f the Arts at Darts"
		r, Parks, Recreation & C nmendations outlined i	Culture and the City Clerk were recommending in the report.
It wa	S	х х	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That Council:
1.	Receive Corpo	orate Report Ro73 as in	formation; and
2. RES.R15-856	Arts at Darts	-	icense for an event named " <i>An Evening of the</i> ne 20, 2015 at Darts Hill Gardens Park. <u>Carried</u>
Item	n No. R074	Cloverdale Fairgroun File: 0800-20 (Clover	ds Master Plan Update Visioning Process dale Fairgrounds)
	0	r, Planning and Develo tlined in the report.	pment was recommending approval of the
It wa	S		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
1.	Receive Corpo	orate Report Ro74 as in	formation; and
2.	Fairground si		ioning exercise process for the Cloverdale
RES.R15-857			<u>Carried</u>

h:\clerks\council\regular council public hearing\minutes\2015\min rcph 2015 05 11.docx

Councillor Hayne noted that he is pleased the City is undertaking the re-visioning process to determine the highest and best use of the Cloverdale Fairgrounds property. It is important to fully understand the best use for the site for the next 30 - 40 years and ensure that it also matches the interests of the City.

#### H. **BY-LAWS AND PERMITS**

#### **BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING**

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18450" 1. 7913-0296-00 – Sana Khawaja c/o Gerry Blonski Architect (Gerry Blonski) C-4 to RM-30 – 14922 Fraser Highway - to develop 4 townhouse units.

Approved by Council: April 27, 2015

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18450" pass its third reading.

Carried

#### RES.R15-858

### Development Variance Permit No. 7913-0296-00

14922 Fraser Highway

To reduce setbacks and allow 1 visitor parking space to be located within the east side yard setback.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit

No. 7913-0296-00 be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Development Variance Permit forward for issuances and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final adoption of the related rezoning by-law. Carried

RES.R15-859

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18451" 2. 7914-0357-00 – Ravinder & Mandeep Kumar, Patrick & Wendy McCarthy, Ajit Birak and Manjinder Bains c/o Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter) RA to RF - 7644, 7666 and 7690 - 155 Street - to subdivide into 12 single family lots, with 1 remnant lot for future consolidation.

Approved by Council: April 27, 2015

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Steele
		That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
	Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18451"	pass its third reading.
RES.R15-860		Carried

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18446" 3. 7913-0137-00 - Gurprit Hans RH-G to CD – 13746 – 63A Avenue - to expand an existing and licensed child care centre within a single family dwelling to a maximum capacity of 16 children.

Approved by Council: April 27, 2015

Moved by Councillor Gill It was Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18446" pass its third reading. Defeated with Councillors Hayne, Woods, Starchuk and Mayor Hepner opposed

Third reading of "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18446" was defeated.

Mayor Hepner requested that "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18446" be brought back for reconsideration with the provision that a good neighbour agreement be provided by the Applicant prior to final adoption.

"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, 4. No. 18447"

7915-0077-00 - City of Surrey c/o City of Surrey, Realty Services Div. (Avril Wright) To authorize the redesignation of 18954 – 54 Avenue from Urban (URB) to Industrial (IND).

Approved by Council: April 27, 2015

Note: Planning and Development advises that all subject conditions have been met. Council may consider the Bylaws for Third Reading and Final Adoption.

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Steele
		That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18447" pass its third reading.		
		Carried

RES.R15-861

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18448" 7915-0077-00 - RF to IL – 18954 – 54 Avenue - to facilitate a lot consolidation with the property to the east (18998 – 54 Avenue) which is proposed for industrial uses.

Approved by Council: April 27, 2015

RES.R15-862	It was Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18448''	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
5.	Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18449" 7914-0276-00 – 581947 B.C. Ltd. c/o	Rising Tide Consultants Ltd. (Rebecca Hardin) Sy-law No. 17674 in order to permit a licensee
	Approved by Council: April 27, 2015	
	0	advises that all subject conditions have been met. 'law for Third Reading and Final Adoption.
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
	Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 17674, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18449" pass i third reading. RES.R15-863 <u>Carried</u>	
RES.R15-863		
	Mayor Hepner requested staff to wo councils to clarify the terms of the b	rk with the developer and surrounding strata ollard removal agreement.
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
RES.R15-864		Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18449" be finally Elerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal. <u>Carried</u>
6.		
	Approved by Council: April 27, 2015	

RES.R15-865	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne	
	Amendment Bylaw, 2014, No. 18375"	That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	
	<b>Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0037-00</b> 2421 – 164 Street and 16349 – 24 Avenue		
	To reduce setbacks.		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne	
RES.R15-866	That Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0037-00 be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Permit forward for issuances and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final adoption of the related rezoning by-law. <u>Carried</u>		
7.	"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18443" 7914-0316-00 – 464676 B.C. Ltd. and Never Idle Holdings Ltd. c/o Pacific Land Group (Oleg Verbenkov) A-2 to IB-1 – 3084 - 188 Street - to consolidate 2 properties (3084 and 3188 – 188 Street) in order to permit an expansion to the existing cold storage warehouse facility at 3188 – 188 Street.		
	Approved by Council: April 27, 2015		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Woods	
RES.R15-867	Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18443"	That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	
	<b>Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0316-00</b> 3084 – 188 Street		
	To reduce the number of required off-street parking spaces from 400 to 148 spaces.		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Woods That Development Variance Permit	
RES.R15-868	That Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0316-00 be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Development Variance Permit forward for issuances and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final adoption of the related rezoning by-law. <u>Carried</u>		

8.	"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18444" 7914-0253-00 – Shi & Jin Kim, Joginder, Kukhpal & Gurjit Kahlon and David Downing c/o Dawson & Sawyer Properties (Ted Dawson) RA to CD – 15687, 15715 and 15735 Mountain View Drive - to develop a 34-unit townhouse project.		
RES.R15-869	Approved by Council: April 27, 2015		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Woods That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,	
	Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18444"	Carried	
	"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18445" 7914-0253-00 - RA to RF-12 – 15687, 15715 and 15735 Mountain View Drive - to develop 9 single family lots.		
	Approved by Council: April 27, 2015		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Woods That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,	
RES.R15-870	Amendment Bylaw, 2015, No. 18445"		
9.	Temporary Use Permit Application No. 7914-0169-00 Georgian Properties Ltd. c/o Pacific Land Resource Group (Oleg Verbenkov) 2128 – 152 Street - to allow a temporary surface parking lot for 76 under-weight vehicles for a period not to exceed three years.		
RES.R15-871	It was	Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Woods That Temporary Use Permit	
	No. 7914-0169-00 be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Permit forward for issuances and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk once the outstanding conditions have been met. <u>Carried</u> with Councillors Villeneuve and Gill opposed		
	Councillor Villeneuve expressed concern regarding the TUP and what it will do to the vacant property and noted that it is not a good land use for 152 Street nor is it a good entry way into the South Surrey area, the hope is the area will revitalize in the next couple of years.		
	Mayor Hepner noted that over the course of the time of the TUP, it will not barea where a rezoning application will come forward; it will serve as a catalys make the area economically feasible.		

#### I. CLERK'S REPORT

#### J. NOTICE OF MOTION

#### K. OTHER BUSINESS

1. **Youth Representative - Pilot Program** File: 0540-20V

Youth Representative Pilot Program has been in place for one year and has been a success. Council requested the City Clerk to continue the program and to seek other nominees for the various committees where there might be vacancies.

It was Moved by Councillor Steele Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That the City Clerk continue with the Youth Representative program and advertise for candidates to fill vacant positions on various Select Committees.

RES.R15-872

**Carried** 

#### L. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Steele That the May 11, 2015 Regular Council -

Public Hearing meeting be adjourned. RES.R15-873

**Carried** 

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 10:21 p.m.

Certified correct:

Iane Sullivan, City Clerk

Mayor Linda Hepn