

Regular Council -Public Hearing Minutes

Council Chambers City Hall 13450 - 104 Avenue Surrey, B.C. MONDAY, JUNE 27, 2016 Time: 7:02 P.M.

Present:

Chairperson - Mayor Hepner Councillor Gill Councillor Hayne Councillor Starchuk Councillor Steele Councillor Villeneuve Councillor Woods <u>Absent:</u> Councillor Martin Councillor LeFranc

Staff Present:

City Clerk City Manager City Solicitor General Manager, Engineering General Manager, Finance and Technology General Manager, Human Resources General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture General Manager, Planning & Development Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division Manager, Land Development, Engineering

A. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1.	Special (Regular) Council - June 13, 2016	
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne
RES.R16-1312	That the minutes of the Special (Regular Council meeting held on June 13, 2016, be adopted. <u>Carried</u> Council-in-Committee – June 13, 2016	
2.		

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve
		That the minutes of the
	Council-in-Committee meeting held	on June 13, 2016, be received.
RES.R16-1313	2	Carried

3. Regular Council - Land Use - June 13, 2016

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Woods
		That the minutes of the Regular Council -
	Land Use meeting held on June 13, 20	p16, be adopted.
RES.R16-1314		<u>Carried</u>

Regular Council - Public Hearing - June 13, 2016 4.

	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill
		Seconded by Councillor Woods
		That the minutes of the Regular Council -
	Public Hearing meeting held on June	e 13, 2016, be adopted.
RES.R16-1315		Carried

B. **DELEGATIONS - PRESENTATIONS**

Whalley Little League's 60th Year Anniversary 1.

Council recognized the Whalley Little League for 60 years of operation and the pivotal role they play within the City of Surrey.

The following comments were made:

- Mayor Hepner noted that the Whalley Little League has a long history of building great baseball teams and great players.
- Council thanked the organization for their many contributions to the City of Surrey and presented club representatives with a token of appreciation on behalf of the City.

Dangerous Dog Bylaw & Dog Responsibility Bylaw - Review File: 3900-01

It was

Moved by Mayor Hepner Seconded by Councillor Woods That Council requests staff to engage canine behaviour experts and review Dangerous Dog Bylaw, Dog Responsibility Bylaw and current procedures with respect to animal control and report back to Council. RES.R16-1316 Carried

2015 Statement of Financial Information 2. File: 1880-20

The Mayor to call for anyone wishing to comment on or ask questions concerning the 2015 Statement of Financial Information.

Note: See Corporate Report 2016-R148 under Section G.

There were no persons present to speak to Corporate Report R148.

Councillor Woods noted that the City is collecting for the Province of BC school tax and over 5 years the City of Surrey has collected over \$1 Billion in support of Schools.

B. DELEGATIONS - PUBLIC HEARING

1. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18769 Application: 7916-0066-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	7728 – 155 Street
APPLICANT:	Nai Chang c/o Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the property from One-Acre Residential to Single Family Residential. The applicant is proposing to subdivide the site into 4 single family lots with the consolidation of a portion of the property to the north (7748 - 155 Street).

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

2. Surrey Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw No. 18683 Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18684 Application: 7914-0286-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	5750 Panorama Drive
APPLICANT:	Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. (Jess Dhillon)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to redesignate a portion of the site from Commercial to Multiple Residential and rezone the site from Comprehensive Development (By-law No. 12282) to One-Acre Residential, Community Commercial, Multiple Residential (30) and Multiple Residential (70). The applicant is proposing to develop 181 townhouse units, 106 apartment units and a commercial plaza on the site.
	In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to reduce setbacks for the townhouses, apartment and commercial buildings, as well as increase lot coverage and reduce parking requirements for the apartment site in order to achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

Before the delegation portion of the meeting, Mayor Hepner called for a representative from the School District #36 to speak to Application No. 7914-0286-00.

<u>E. Watson, Manager, Demographics and Facility Planning School District #36</u>: The Analyst noted that the School District has three additions approved for funding approval at Sullivan Heights Elementary, École Woodward Hill and Panorama Park Elementary. The School Board is currently prioritizing within the funding envelope, likely opening dates for the additions is September 2018.

The Analyst noted that the next Capital Plan has been expedited and will be submitted by September 2016. The Plan will include 15 top Capital Priorities with a new school to be located within the South Newton Area; specific approval dates and implementation timelines are unknown at this time.

In terms of projected additional spaces, the Analyst noted that projections of 200 students for each school have been discussed however, those numbers cannot be confirmed at this time.

In response to a question from Council the delegation noted that Panorama Park Elementary currently has two portables and École Woodward Hill has 10 portables on site.

<u>M. Belling (Project Developer)</u>: The Developer spoke in favour of the Application and noted that affordable housing options and higher densities are essential to meet the demand for housing within Panorama Ridge. The existing businesses near the site require additional residents to successfully sustain their businesses.

<u>G. Gray, 149 Street & 58 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed concern regarding setbacks associated with the development in terms of parking and the residential area.

<u>R. Fawcett, President, Kelsen Group Property Management (Apartment</u> <u>Management Firm</u>). The delegation spoke in favour of the proposal and made the following comments: 1) the proposed apartment units are considered "condo quality", 2) parking for the apartment complex will be underground, 3) there will be a large amenity space, 4) the complex is a rental non-strata building, 5) there will be a professional "live-in" management system in place for the complex; and, 6) school age children are not part of the apartment clientele projected for the site.

In response to a question from Council, the delegation noted that the apartment building will be completed within 2-years of approval.

Council expressed concern regarding the requested parking reduction associated with the apartment complex. The delegation clarified that there are 1.3 parking spots allocated for each suite and that parking is allocated for tenants. Staff spoke to the relaxation requested and clarified that the building is located near transit and there is significant on-street parking available.

In response to a question from Council, the delegation clarified that the apartment rental suites are 1 and 2 bedroom and they range from 650 to 1,100 square feet.

R. King (Developer / Project Partner): The delegation spoke in favour of the development and made the following comments: 1) providing a diversity of housing options is important, the proposal contains purpose built rental and townhouse units, 2) there is an opportunity for additional commercial spaces to further complement the adjacent businesses, 3) parking was identified as an issue early on, and Panorama Ridge Street will be widened, 4) the YMCA was identified as one of the main reasons why residents feel that parking is at capacity; however, it is not, 5) the majority of the townhouse units will have two car garages, 6) the community expressed concerns about past rental projects and that is why Kelsen Group Property Management was selected as the Building Management Firm, 7) the Applicant has spent time with the School Board and conducted demographic studies to ensure that the project is phased in over a number of years to have less impact and to ensure local schools have necessary funding and capacity, 8) the new supply of mixed use homes offers affordability and availability, 9) the Public Consultation process has been extensive and has resulted in changes which at times have been difficult to incorporate but the end result is that the project has received community support.

Council requested clarification regarding the "phase in" plan for building out the site. The delegation noted that the "phase in" is only for the townhouse portion and that Phase I will be 33 units. The earliest they would be completed would be fall of 2017, after which time, there would be roughly 50 additional townhouse units built per year. The rental building is in the first phase.

S. Marcinkausla (Panorama Ridge Neighbourhood Community Association): The delegation spoke in opposition of the project and made the following comments: 1) the development will have a potential negative impact on local schools, 2) increased traffic congestion and lack of on-street parking,
3) the school catchment boundaries associated with the project are unknown,
4) the public consultation process conducted by the applicant did not adequately capture neighbourhood concerns, 5) an on-line petition was circulated and 290 signatures were received in opposition to the project, 6) the developer has not worked with the residents to hold meaningful public consultation, resident concerns have not been taken into consideration in plan revisions, 7) the School Board is not in favour of the development, 8) the neighbourhood cannot support the increased density; and, 9) the proposal should be referred back for rework to address the concerns of the neighbourhoods.

Council requested clarification regarding the delegation's comments related to density. The delegation noted that the neighbourhood has reached its maximum capacity for townhouses, and single family homes would make more sense.

<u>S. Henderson (Vice President, Panorama Ridge Neighbourhood Community</u> <u>Association</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition of the proposal and made the following comments: 1) Development in South Newton needs to be done responsibly with due consideration to the neighbourhood, 2) the Association would like to see a development targeted to seniors or single-family homes, 3) the Association is not in favour of a development that would further stress neighbourhood schools; and, 4) the infrastructure in terms of schools, parking need to be in place before development can occur.

<u>B. Sangara (Local Business Operator)</u>: The delegation spoke in favour of the development and made the following comments: 1) the project will help boost revenue for local businesses, 2) will provide increased jobs; and 3) the project will serve to complete the neighbourhood.

<u>Concerned Citizen</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the development and expressed concerns regarding general lack of parking in the area.

Council requested clarification regarding the parking issues. The delegation noted that the main parking problem does not have a simple answer. The YMCA has sold more memberships than they should have; their parking lot holds an estimated 100 spots. There should be a cap on the amount of memberships allowed to be sold.

<u>Concerned Citizen</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition of the development and expressed the following concerns: 1) portables are too hot, too cold, and sometimes muggy, 2) there are not enough room for specialists (if specialists are required); 3) the delegation requested clarification as to how they are working with the Ministry, 4) overcrowded high schools result in students not being able to participate in activities.

<u>Concerned Citizen, 56 Avenue & 149 A Street</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition of the proposal and expressed the following concerns: 1) there are hundreds of townhomes under construction, 2) the catchment for local schools is fully allocated, 3) the density is too high, 4) necessary infrastructure is not in place in the City; and 5) there is lack of parking in the retail developments in the immediate area.

<u>J. Doerksen, 61 Avenue & 181 A Street</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal and expressed the following concerns: 1) the school catchments are challenging for French immersion, 2) there is lack of available transit options, 3) children walking home from school, in some neighbourhoods, is not a viable option due to the lack of street lighting and sidewalks, 4) planning is being done in such a way that children cannot go to their neighbourhood schools; and, 5) additions to schools are not considerable new spaces, they are taking the place of portables.

Council requested clarification regarding the existing pressures and the future pressures with schools. The delegation noted that she has gone to the legislature and pushed and challenged and is continuing to challenge the Province; the delegation is attending the school trustees meeting and trying to be creative.

The delegation noted that this proposal puts an incredible stress on the schools. Any projects that are approved in the corridor do not have a high school. The delegation noted that the reality of it is that there are more kids in the school that the school can handle. There is so much more that the City could do in order to change the Capital Funding process for a growing community.

Staff clarified that every year the NCPs are reviewed, and the South Newton NCP has not yet reached the projections.

Mayor Hepner noted that many of the items that were brought up tonight are being worked on. The City of Surrey is working directly with the School Board to challenge the policy; local government has not previously been in the arena, as education has exclusively been under the realm of the Provincial Government.

<u>G. Holden, 150 Street & 58 Avenue</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition of the proposal and expressed the following concerns: 1) the land was originally designated as a hospital or a senior centre, 2) the parking is insufficient at the YMCA yet they are trying to figure out how to build up the membership, 3) lack of parking in the neighbourhood is a factor, 4) added stress on local schools, 5) increased density not in keeping with the neighbourhood context.

<u>Concerned Resident</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition of the proposed development and expressed the following concerns: 1) lack of green space, 2) wildlife that would be impacted; and, 3) the school is over capacity and portables will take up green field space.

<u>Project Consultant</u>: The Consultant noted that a traffic impact assessment and parking survey was conducted. The survey noted that the parking is 80% full and it is typical on the weekday demand. The new site plan, with the street on 58th Avenue with the road widening there will be approximately 115 on-street parking stalls and 65 will be utilized by residents occupying the units at the peak time. Peak would be Monday - Friday 6 PM onwards. Around 4 PM the spots are half full and it picks up as people return home from work.

Council asked for clarification as to whether there would be sufficient parking if the development was fully built out. The delegation noted that yes there would be sufficient parking.

<u>Concerned Citizen, 153 Street & 58 Avenue</u>: The delegation expressed concern regarding area traffic. During rush-hour traffic the left hand turning lane onto Highway 10 has a lot of congestion during peak traffic times. Visitors and residents associated with the site will greatly densify the area.

<u>Concerned Citizen</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the development and expressed the following concerns: 1) the neighbourhood has too much density, 2) negative impact on local schools, 3) overuse of school portables, and, 4) on street parking will be challenging for local residents.

<u>C. Reed, 56 Avenue & 144A Street</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the application and expressed the following concerns: 1) traffic congestion, 2) parking issues; and, 3) the area already has high density.

<u>C. Dalglish, 60 Avenue & 150 Street</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal and expressed the following concerns: 1) parking is an issue; 2) the project developer is not willing to work in partnership with residents, 3) resident garages are used for storage and not for parking, 4) the traffic study was conducted over 1 year ago and the numbers are no longer relevant, 5) the local schools are overcrowded and will not accommodate the projected children for this proposed site, 6) the project should be geared only to a senior oriented demographic, 7) the townhouses and rental apartments proposed with the development, do not successfully address the need for affordable housing in the community; and, 8) the necessary infrastructure is not in place to support the development.

Staff, in response to a question from the delegation noted that there is garage parking and no parking pads; there will be visitor parking.

Council requested clarification regarding the notion of "developers buying land" to put schools on. The delegation noted that there were only 25 minutes allocated for the Victoria meeting. Council noted there needs to be capital in place to build a school and then there needs to be year after year development funding.

<u>J. Redekop (Owner / Partner)</u>: The delegation spoke in favour of the project and made the following comments: 1) help in the way of \$100 Million is coming to Surrey Schools, 2) housing affordability is important, 3) light rapid transit is in place to address congestion issues, 4) planned, manageable growth makes for vibrant communities, 5) good growth builds communities, a sense of place and a sense of community; and, 6) the proposed development is a planned community based on a two-year collaborative effort that fits within the neighbourhood context.

Council requested clarification regarding the Applicant's definition of affordable housing and the price point set. The delegation noted that the pricing has not been set yet.

In response to a question regarding public consultation the delegation noted that he has worked with members of the community and worked with staff to achieve the right mix of the area.

<u>Concerned Citizen</u>: The delegation spoke in in favour of the proposed development and made the following comments: 1) the project gives the opportunities for young families to get a start, 2) it is an opportunity for housing on this side of the Fraser; 3) the proposed development is a positive step, 4) if the population is there, the infrastructure in terms of schools will have to be built.

<u>H. Parmar, 56 Avenue & 136 Street</u>: The delegation spoke in favour of the project and made the following comments: 1) the project will provide affordable housing for young families and older families; and, 2) for a senior to downsize and find something suitable the project is a solution.

<u>B. Hoodham, 57 Avenue & 149 Street</u>: The delegation spoke in opposition to the project and expressed the following concerns: 1) there is insufficient infrastructure; and, 2) there is not enough space for more people to live, the neighbourhood is full.

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
K. McBride		Х	
R. Nakagawa		X	
S. Mohsenian		X	
T. McKinnon		Х	
I. Tyler		X	
B. & K. Poller			Х
T. Schaper			Х
C. Sexsmith		X	
T. Zanatta	Х		
L. & B. Sayers		X	
V. Zea		X	
N. Prakash	Х		
A. Skillen		X	
C. Kelland		X	
T.J. Bath	Х		
B. Gresko & M. Jorgensen		Х	
N. Fazal	Х		
D. Hutniak	Х		
S. Ray		Х	
K. Gooden		Х	
A. Behan	Х		
T. Walz	Х		
C. Dalglish		Х	
S. Snidanko		Х	

There was correspondence on table from:

The Mayor noted that the following persons had expressed an opinion in writing and not wishing to speak:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	UNDECIDED
2 Individuals	Х		
12 Individuals		Х	

3. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18768 Application: 7914-0347-00		, ,
	CIVIC ADDRESS:	7825 and 7843 – 128 Street
	APPLICANT:	576624 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Surinder Hare) Alderbrook Ventures Ltd. 525448 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Sandeep Sran) Papa Roni's Pizza & Chicken Ltd. 646451 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Amarjit Saini) c/o WGA Architecture Inc. (Wojciech Grzybowicz)
	PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential to Comprehensive Development. The applicant is seeking to develop 2 multi-tenant industrial buildings on the site.
		In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to permit two free-standing signs along 128 Street in order

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

to assist motorists in locating the entrance.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

4. Surrey Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 18770 Application: 7914-0314-00

CIVIC ADDRESS:	14082 – 60 Avenue
APPLICANT:	Sullivan 6 Developments Ltd. c/o Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter)
PURPOSE:	The applicant is seeking to rezone the property from One-Acre Residential to Single Family Residential (12). The applicant is seeking to subdivide the property into 6 single family small lots.
	In addition, a development variance permit is being sought to reduce the lot width for proposed lot 1 and allow driveway access from 141 Street for proposed lots 3 to 6 in order to maintain consistency in the streetscape.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk. The location of the property was indicated to the Public Hearing.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

There was correspondence on table from:

NAME	FOR	AGAINST	CONCERN
N. & B. Soava			Х

Surrey Zoning Text Amendment Bylaw No. 18753 5.

	PURPOSE:	To amend "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000" in order to regulate the location of the coops used to house hens and the number of hens on residential lots that are less than 1 acre but greater than 7,200 square feet, as described in Corporate Report 2016-R141.
	The Notice of the Pul	ic Hearing was read by the City Clerk.
	There were no persor	present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.
C. COM	MITTEE REPORTS	
1.	Social Policy Adviso	y Committee - March 2, 2016
	It was	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill That the minutes of the Social Policy
RES.R16-1317	Advisory Committee	neeting held on March 2, 2016, be received. <u>Carried</u>
2.	Public Safety Comm	ttee - April 11, 2016
	It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That the minutes of the Public Safety
RES.R16-1318	Committee meeting l	That the minutes of the Public Safety eld on April 11, 2016, be received. <u>Carried</u>
3. Seniors Advisory and Accessibility Com		Accessibility Committee - May 10, 2016
	It was	Moved by Councillor Steele Seconded by Councillor Starchuk That the minutes of the Seniors Advisory and
Accessibility Committee meeting held		ee meeting held on May 10, 2016, be received. <u>Carried</u>

	Culture Development Advisory Committee - May 17, 2016			
RES.R16-1320			Advisory Committee m	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill That the minutes of the Culture neeting held on May 17, 2016, be received. <u>Carried</u>
5.	Socia	al Policy Advisory Committee - May 18, 2016		
	(a)	It was	2	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill
RES.R16-1321		Adviso	ory Committee meeting	That the minutes of the Social Policy held on May 18, 2016, be received. <u>Carried</u>
	(b)			se minutes were considered and dealt with as
			or British Columbia	
		It was		Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill That Council:
		1.	Endorse the following	resolution:
among the highes		among the highest in (y rate in British Columbia continues to be Canada yet BC is the last province in Canada to a poverty reduction plan;	
		AND WHEREAS many impacts of poverty are experienc local level, and local residents pay for poverty in increase care costs, higher crime, higher demand for community, charitable services, lack of school readiness, reduced sch and lower economic productivity;		
			Municipalities urge the other provinces by ado provincial poverty redu	ESOLVED that the Union of British Columbia e Government of BC to follow the lead of all opting a comprehensive and accountable action strategy to reduce the number of people by setting concrete targets and timelines to
	5.	RES.R16-1320 Devel 5. Social (a)	5.Social Policy(a)It wasAdvisoRES.R16-1321(b)The refollowsA PoveFile: oIt was	RES.R16-1320 Development Advisory Committee metering 5. Social Policy Advisory Committee (a) It was RES.R16-1321 Advisory Committee meeting (b) The recommendations of the follows: A Poverty Reduction Plan f File: 0250-07 UBCM It was WHEREAS the poverty among the highest in 0 have a commitment to Care costs, higher crimic charitable services, lad and lower economic puter for the provinces by ado provincial poverty reducting p

RES.R1	2. Authorize staff to forward the "A Poverty Reduction Plan for British Columbia" City of Surrey resolution as part of the 2016 UBCM Resolution process. RES.R16-1322 <u>Carried</u>				City of Surrey resolution as part of the 2016 pocess.	
D.	BOAF	RD/COM	MMISSI	ON RE	PORTS	
	1.	Surre	y Herit	age Ad	visory Commis	ssion - May 18, 2016
		(a)	It was			Moved by Councillor Woods Seconded by Councillor Gill
That the minutes of the Advisory Commission meeting held on May 18, 2016, b RES.R16-1323 <u>Carried</u>						
		(b)		The recommendations of these minutes were considered and dealt with as follows:		
			Heritage Strategic Review Implementation Plan Update File: 6800-01			
			It was			Moved by Councillor Woods Seconded by Councillor Gill That Council:
			1.	1. Receive the Heritage Strategic Review Implementation Plan Upo report as information; and		
			2. Authorize the expenditure of up to \$5,000, including GST and disbursements, from the SHAC's 2016 budget, to retain a qualified heritage consultant to:			
			a) lead a strategic planning session with the SHAC, and staft in the fall; and			
RES.RJ	6-1324			b)	prepare an up Implementati	date to the Heritage Strategic Review on Plan. <u>Carried</u>
Е.	E. MAYOR'S REPORT					

F. GOVERNMENTAL REPORTS

G. CORPORATE REPORTS

The Corporate Reports, under date of Monday, June 27, 2016, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. R142City of Surrey Water System Annual Report for 2015File: 5600-43

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning the 2015 Annual Report of the City of Surrey Water System, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the *British Columbia Drinking Water Protection Act*.

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R142 as information; and
- Authorize staff to forward to the Medical Health Officer in accordance with the requirements of the *Drinking Water Protection Act* a copy of this report and the related report titled "City of Surrey Water System Annual Report for 2015", a summary of which is attached to Corporate Report R142 as Appendix "I".
 6-1325 Carried

RES.R16-1325

Item No. R143	Award of Contract M.S. 4815-053-31: Miscellaneous Utility
	Improvements
	File: 4815-053/31

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report concerning Award of Contract M.S. 4815-053-31: Miscellaneous Utility Improvements. The following tenders were received:

Со	ntractor	Tendered Amount with GST	Corrected Amount
1.	Pedre Contractors Ltd.	\$2,266,950.00	No Change
2.	Richco Contracting Ltd.	\$2,348,101.35	\$2,348,017.35
3.	B. Cusano Contracting (2007) Inc.	\$2,667,000.00	No Change

The General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

	It was		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:		
	1.	Award Contract M.S. 4815-053-31 to Pedre Contractors Ltd. in the amount of \$2,266,950.00, including GST, for the construction of the Miscellaneous Utility Improvements Construction package;			
	2.		diture authorization limit for Contract M.S. 4815-053-31 at , including contingency and GST; and		
RES.R	3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract M.S. 4 to a maximum of \$2,493,645.00, including GST and contingency. RES.R16-1326 <u>Carried</u>				
	Item]	No. R144	Award of Contract M.S. 4816-706 P1: Surrey Coastal Flood Protection Strategy File: 4816-706		
The General Manager, Engineering was recommodiate outlined in the report.			r, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations t.		
	It was		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:		
	1. Award Contract M.S. 4816-706 P1 to Northwest Hydraulic Consultan amount of \$629,884.50, including GST, for professional services to de Surrey Coastal Flood Protection Strategy;				
	2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract M.S. 4816-706 P1 at \$693,000.00, including GST and contingency; and				
 Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract M.S to a maximum of \$693,000.00, including GST and contingency. RES.R16-1327 Carried 			n of \$693,000.00, including GST and contingency.		
	Item]	No. R145	Closure of Road Allowance Adjacent to 10346 – 132 Street and 10347 and 10357 – 133 Street File: 7915-0267-00		
	The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and				

The General Manager, Engineering and the General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture were recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

portion Street at 1034 Appen	n and a 104.8 m south of 104 Av 6 – 132 Street ar dix "I" attached	² (1,128 ft.²) portion of enue. The proposed ro nd 10347 and 10357 – 13	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council authorize the City Clerk to he dedication as highway a 205.6 m ² (2,213 ft. ²) unconstructed road between 132 Street and 133 ad closure areas are adjacent to the properties 3 Street, as generally illustrated in R45, and subject to compliance with the 5, SBC 2003, C. 26. <u>Carried</u>	
Item 1	No. R146	Acquisition of Proper File: 0870-20/525A	ty at 12152 – 75 Avenue	
			General Manager, Parks, Recreation and recommendations outlined in their report.	
		rty located at 12152 - 75 rporate Report R146.	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve the purchase for Avenue (PID No.017-082-200) as illustrated <u>Carried</u>	
Item 1	No. R147	Acquisition of Proper File: 0870-20/355A	ty at 16530 Fraser Highway	
			General Manager, Parks, Recreation and recommendations outlined in their report.	
	lo. 008-906-394		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council approve the purchase of a at 16530 Fraser Highway es, as illustrated on Appendix "I" of Corporate	
RES.R16-1330			<u>Carried</u>	
Item I	No. R148	2015 Statement of Fin File: 1880-20	ancial Information	
Financ	The General Manager, Finance and Technology submitted a report to fulfil the annual Financial Information Act requirement to report publicly, the Statement of Financial Information.			
	0	, Finance and Technol lined in the report.	ogy was recommending approval of the	

It was			Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:		
1.	Receive Corpo	orate Report R148 as in	formation; and		
2. Approve the 2015 Statement of Fin Report R148 as Appendix "I". RES.R16-1331			ncial Information that is attached to Corporate <u>Carried</u>		
Item]	No. R149	Report of Council Re File: 0560-01; 1880-20	muneration and Expense Payments for 2015 o		
	0	r, Finance and Technol tlined in the report.	ogy was recommending approval of the		
It was	armation and it	ts attachments. Appen	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council receive Corporate Report R149 dices "I" to "X", that list the remuneration and		
			ectively, for the year 2015. <u>Carried</u>		
Item]	No. R150	Funding Request – So Foundation File: 1850-20	urrey Hospital & Outpatient Centre		
and C Hospi	The General Manager, Finance and Technology submitted a report to request that Mayor and Council support a funding request from the Council Initiatives fund to Surrey Hospital & Outpatient Centre Foundation towards the construction of the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Short Stay Unit (CAPSU).				
	0	r, Finance and Technol tlined in the report.	ogy was recommending approval of the		
It was			Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:		
1.	Receive Corpo	orate Report R150 as in	formation; and		
2. RES.R16-1333	Surrey Hospit	•	o from the Council Initiatives Fund to the e Foundation for use towards the Child and nit (CAPSU) addition. <u>Carried</u>		

	Item N	Io. R151	Funding Request – We File: 1850-20	est Coast Centre for Learning
	The General Manage recommendations of			gy was recommending approval of the
	It was			Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
	1.	Receive Corpo	rate Report R151 as info	ormation; and
RES.R1	2. 6-1334		0	from the Council Initiatives Fund to the West of their Brain Booster Summer Camp. <u>Carried</u>
	Item N	Io. R152	Sponsorship Request - File: 1850-01	- Darpan Extraordinary Achievement Awards
	The General Manager, Finance and Technology was recommendin recommendations outlined in the report.			ogy was recommending approval of the
	It was			Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
	1.	Receive Corpo	rate Report R152 as info	ormation; and
	2.	support of the		Fund, a sponsorship contribution of \$5,000 in traordinary Achievement Awards, which will
RES.R1	6-1335			<u>Carried</u>
	Item N	Io. R153	Sponsorship Request - File: 1850-01	- Phoenix Society Surrey Live Well Street Fair
		-	Finance and Technolo lined in the report.	ogy was recommending approval of the
	It was			Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:
	1.	Receive Corpo	rate Report R153 as info	ormation; and

2. Approve from the Council Initiatives Fund, a sponsorship contribution of \$2,500 to the Phoenix Society in support of their fourth annual Surrey Live Well Street Fair that is planned for Sunday, July 10, 2016.

RES.R16-1336

Carried

Item No. R154 Sponsorship Request – 10th Annual Red FM Charity Walk File: 1850-20

The General Manager, Finance and Technology was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R154 as information; and
- 2. A sponsorship grant of \$5,000 from the Council Initiatives Fund to the organizers of the 10th Annual Red FM Walk & Run that will be held at Bear Creek Park on Sunday July 17, 2016.

RES.R16-1337

<u>Carried</u>

Item No. R155 Shade Tree Management Plan File: 6300-01

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R155 as information; and
- 2. Approve the Shade Tree Management Plan, which is attached to Corporate Report R155 as Appendix "I".

RES.R16-1338

Carried

Mayor Hepner acknowledged both Councillor Hayne and staff for the work that has been done on Shade Tree Management Plan.

Item	No. R156	Supplemental Funding Request – 2016 Cultural Grants Program International City of Refuge Network (ICORN) File: 1850-01	n –
	Technology were	r, Parks, Recreation and Culture and the General Manager, Finar e recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in t	
It wa	S	Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Woods That Council:	
1.	Receive Corpo	orate Report R156 as information; and	
2. RES.R16-1339	Approve under the Cultural Grants Program a supplemental grant to the "International City of Refuge Network" (ICORN) in the amount of \$3,000 to support the establishment of the City of Surrey as the first Canadian city in the ICORN network, as generally described in this report. <u>Carried</u>		e
Item	No. R157	Proposed Amendments to Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100 File: 3900-20-16100	,

Note: See Bylaw No. 18710 under Section H.

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report to obtain Council approval of amendments to Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100 (the "Bylaw") that will simplify the administration of the Bylaw and reduce red tape.

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R157 as information;
- 2. Approve amendments to Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100 as documented in Appendix "I" of Corporate Report R157; and
- 3. Authorize the City Clerk to bring forward the related amendment bylaw for the required readings by Council.

RES.R16-1340

Carried

Item No. R158

Zoning Bylaw Text Amendments for Single Family Residential Zones File: 3900-30

Note: See Bylaw No. 18771 under Section H.

The General Manager, Planning and Development submitted a report to seek Council approval for changes to the Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000 ("Zoning Bylaw"), as documented in Appendix "I" and "II" of Corporate Report R158. The proposed amendments outlined in this report are intended to adjust various regulations pertaining to single-family residential zones in the Zoning Bylaw to:

- increase the number and the effectiveness of off-street parking spaces in the RF-10 and RF Zones;
- create a new zone (Single Family Residential 13 Zone "RF-13") with regulations that are similar to the current RF-12 Zone, but which increase the number and the effectiveness of off-street parking spaces, and which is intended as an alternative to the RF-12 Zone;
- permit the construction of reasonably-sized backyard decks in the RF-12 Zone and the new RF-13 Zone; and
- adjust lot coverage and floor area calculations in the RF, RF-O, RH, and RH-G Zones to better balance zoning provisions on lots of similar size in these zones.

These amendment proposals are a response to concerns expressed by residents' associations and by a number of property owners. The proposed amendments have been developed and refined in consultation with the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (TIC) and with stakeholders, including a sub-committee of the Development Advisory Committee (DAC).

The General Manager, Planning and Development was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Villeneuve Seconded by Councillor Gill That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R158 as information;
- 2. Approve amendments to the Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, as documented in Appendix "I" of Corporate Report R158;
- 3. Approve the addition of a new zone, the Single Family Residential 13 (RF-13) Zone incorporating floor area, lot size, and lot dimension changes from the existing Single Family Residential 12 (RF-12) zone, as documented in Appendix "II"; and

4. Instruct the City Clerk to bring forward the necessary amendment bylaw for the required readings and to set a date for the related public hearing.

RES.R16-1341

Carried

Item No. R159Guildford Town Centre and 104 Avenue Planning ProgramFile: 6440-01

The General Manager, Planning and Development and the General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to seek Council authorization to develop plans for Guildford Town Centre and for those portions of the 104 Avenue corridor between City Centre and the Trans-Canada Highway that are without a detailed land use plan. It is proposed that a single planning process be undertaken which will result in the simultaneous preparation of three plans:

- 1. A town centre plan for Guildford Town Centre;
- 2. A Frequent Transit Development Area (FTDA) plan for the segment of 104 Avenue corridor between City Centre and Guildford Town Centre; and
- 3. An infill area plan for the segment of 104 Avenue corridor east of Guildford Town Centre to the Trans-Canada Highway.

Planning for all three areas will include a program of public and stakeholder engagement.

The General Manager, Planning and Development and the General Manager, Engineering was recommending approval of the recommendations outlined in the report.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Council:

- 1. Receive Corporate Report R159 as information;
- 2. Authorize staff to initiate a planning process for Guildford Town Centre and the 104 Avenue corridor, including a public and stakeholder engagement process, as outlined in this report; and
- 3. Instruct staff to develop Terms of Reference for the land use planning process, including consultant assistance as needed for Council consideration and approval. RES.R16-1342 <u>Carried</u>

H. **BY-LAWS AND PERMITS**

BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING

1.	"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18769" 7916-0066-00 – Nai Chang c/o Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter) RA to RF - 7728 – 155 Street - to subdivide into 4 single family lots.			
	Approved by Council: June 13, 2016			
	It was Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne			
	That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, N Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18769" pass its third reading.			
RES.R16-1343	Carried			

2. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18683" 7914-0286-00 - Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. c/o Redekop (Panorama) Homes Ltd. (Jess Dhillon) To redesignate a portion of the property at 5750 Panorama Drive from Commercial to Multiple Residential.

Approved by Council: June 13, 2016

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Hayne That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18683" be referred back to staff to work with the Applicant, and the Surrey School Board, to determine how the project can be phased and structured to coincide with new school construction in South Newton.

RES.R16-1344

Carried

Council noted the mixed use/commercial residential with a rental stock component is valuable to the City and it needs to be addressed very seriously.

In terms of addressing the overcapacity in schools, there needs to be continued discussions and commitment, Council and residents need to fight for Surrey's fair share of funding.

Council requested a report from staff regarding the number of projects planned for both the Grandview Heights and Newton areas.

Council noted that the funding formula we find ourselves in Surrey in terms of school catchment and building new schools does not work and it has not worked for a long time. Surrey needs to work to ensure there is a predictable, fair and equitable source of funding made available for schools in Surrey by the Provincial Government.

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18768" 7914-0347-00 - 576624 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Surinder Hare) Alderbrook Ventures Ltd. 525448 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Sandeep Sran) Papa Roni's Pizza & Chicken Ltd. 646451 BC Ltd. (Director Information: Amarjit Saini) c/o WGA Architecture Inc. (Wojciech Grzybowicz) RA to CD - 7825 and 7843 - 128 Street - to develop 2 multi-tenant industrial buildings.

Approved by Council: June 13, 2016

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18768" pass its third reading. Carried

RES.R16-1345

3.

Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0347-00

7825 and 7843 - 128 Street

To permit two free-standing signs along 128 Street in order to assist motorists in locating the entrance.

It was Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0347-00 be supported and that staff be authorized to bring the Permit forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final adoption of the related rezoning bylaw.

RES.R16-1346

Carried

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18770" 4. 7914-0314-00 - Sullivan 6 Developments Ltd. c/o Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter) RA to RF-12 - 14082 - 60 Avenue - to develop 6 single family small lots.

Approved by Council: June 13, 2016

.

	Before the motion was put:			
RES.R16-1347	It was Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18770"	Moved by Councillor Woods Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, be referred back to staff. <u>Defeated</u> with Councillors Gill, Starchuk, Hayne and Mayor Hepner opposed.		
RES.R16-1348	It was Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18770"	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u> with Councillor Woods and Villeneuve opposed		
RES.R16-1349		lot 1 and allow driveway access from order to maintain consistency in the Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit hat staff be authorized to bring the Permit y the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction		
5.	"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18753" 3900-20-18753 – Regulatory Text Amendment "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, is further amended to regulate the number of hens and the siting of coops used to house hens on residential lots that are less than 1 acre but greater than 7,200 square feet.			
	Approved by Council: June 13, 2016 Corporate Report Item No. 2016-141			

	It was Moved by Councillor Gill	
	Sec	onded by Councillor Steele
	Tha	t "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
	Text Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18753"	pass its third reading.
350	<u>Car</u>	ried

RES.R16-1350

PERMITS - APPROVALS

6.	Development Variance Permit No. 7916-0167-00		
	7916-0167-00 – Rupinder, Barjinder and Gurcharan Sidhu		
	c/o Woodbine Builders Ltd. (Gopal Chauhan)		
	7327 – 196 Street		

To vary "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, as follows:

 In Section H.6 Off-Street Parking of Part 17A "Single Family Residential (12) Zone", a front access, side-by-side double garage shall be permitted on a Type I corner lot.

No concerns had been expressed by abutting property owners prior to printing of the Agenda.

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Development Variance Permit

No. 7916-0167-00 be approved; that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the Permit; and that Council authorize the transfer of the Permit to the heirs, administrators, executors, successors, and assigns of the title of the land within the terms of the Permit.

Carried

RES.R16-1351

FINAL ADOPTION

7. "Development Works Agreement – North Grandview Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan Bylaw, 2016, No. 18692"
3900-20-18692 - Council Initiative A bylaw to enter into a development works agreement to authorize the construction of a community detention pond and related appurtenances for the North Grandview Heights Neighbourhood Concept Plan and to define the benefiting real property and to establish that the cost of the works shall be borne by the owners of real property within such defined area.

Approved by Council: July 29, 2013 Corporate Report Item No. 2013-R157

r Council - Public Hearing Minutes				
It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Development Works Agreement –			
finally adopted, signed by the Mayor	rhood Concept Plan Bylaw, 2016, No. 18692" be and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate			
	<u>Carried</u>			
RODUCTIONS				
"Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 9662 – 161A Street and 16206 – 96B Avenue Bylaw, 2016, No. 1873 3900-20-18739 - Council Initiative A bylaw to authorize the closure and removal of dedication of highway over 36.6 square metres area of road adjacent to 9662 – 161A Street and 16206 – 9 Avenue. The proposed road closure area is intended to be consolidated with adjacent property. In accordance with the <i>Community Charter</i> , SBC 2003, c amended, approval of the disposition of the road will be considered by City Council at a later date.				
	It was North Grandview Heights Neighbour finally adopted, signed by the Mayor Seal. DUCTIONS "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedic Adjacent to 9662 – 161A Street and 16 3900-20-18739 - Council Initiative A bylaw to authorize the closure and 36.6 square metres area of road adjac Avenue. The proposed road closure adjacent property. In accordance wi amended, approval of the disposition Council at a later date.			

uncii: May 16, 2016 Corporate Report Item No. 2016-R107

Moved by Councillor Gill It was Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 9662 - 161A Street and 16206 – 96B Avenue Bylaw, 2016, No. 18739" pass its first reading. Carried

RES.R16-1353

The said By-law was then read for the second time.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 9662 - 161A Street and 16206 – 96B Avenue Bylaw, 2016, No. 18739" pass its second reading. Carried

RES.R16-1354

The said By-law was then read for the third time.

		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele	
	RES.R16-1355	Dedication of Highway of a Portion of 16206 – 96B Avenue Bylaw, 2016, No.	That "Surrey Close and Remove the of Road Adjacent to 9662 – 161A Street and 18739" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	
9.		"Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 16221 – 96B Avenue, 9678, 9699, 9697, 9702, 9722, 9725, 9734, 9745 and 9763 – 162A Street Bylaw, 2016, No. 18740" 3900-20-18740 - Council Initiative A bylaw to authorize the closure and removal of dedication of highway over 2,606.4 square metres of road allowance adjacent to 16221 – 96B Avenue, 9678, 9699, 9697, 9702, 9722, 9725, 9734, 9745 and 9763 – 162A Street. The proposed road closure areas are intended to be consolidated with the adjacent properties for a proposed future subdivision. In accordance with the <i>Community Charter</i> , SBC 2003, c.26, as amended, approval of the disposition of the road will be considered by City Council at a later date.		
		Approved by Council: May 16, 2016 Corporate Report Item No. 2016-R107		
			107, was based on a preliminary survey. The ase in the total area of road to be closed from	
		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the	
			of Road Adjacent to 16221 – 96B Avenue, 9678, 9745 and 9763 – 162A Street Bylaw, 2016, No.	
	RES.R16-1356	10740 pass its inst reading.	<u>Carried</u>	
		The said By-law was then read for the second time.		
		• •	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the of Road Adjacent to 16221 – 96B Avenue, 9678, 9745 and 9763 – 162A Street Bylaw, 2016, No.	
	RES.R16-1357	20770 pass to second redding.	Carried	

The said By-law was then read for the third time.

RES.R16-1358	0	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That "Surrey Close and Remove the of Road Adjacent to 16221 – 96B Avenue, 9678, 1745 and 9763 – 162A Street Bylaw, 2016, No. <u>Carried</u>	
10.	"Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, No. 18710" 3900-20-18710 – Regulatory Text Amendment "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100", as amended, is further amended to simplify the administration of the Bylaw and reduce red tape.		
	Approved by Council: June 27, 2016 Corporate Report Item No. 2016-R157 Earlier in the meeting, Council approved the recommendations of Corp Report Item No. R157. Bylaw No. 18710 is therefore in order for consider		
RES.R16-1359	It was No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, I	Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Gill That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 18710" pass its first reading. <u>Carried</u>	
	The said By-law was then read for the second time.		
RES.R16-1360	It was No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, I	Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Gill That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 18710" pass its second reading. <u>Carried</u>	
	The said By-law was then read for the third time.		
	It was	Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Gill That "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006,	
RES.R16-1361	No. 16100, Amendment Bylaw, 2016, I	No. 18710" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	

Approved by Council: June 27, 2016 Corporate Report Item No. 2016-R158		
Earlier in the meeting, Council approved the recommendations of Corporate Report Item No. R158. Bylaw No. 18771 is therefore in order for consideration.		
12000,		
The said By-law was then read for the second time.		
12000,		
oning he City		

I. CLERK'S REPORT

1. Delegation Requests

(a) **Stephen Butz and Dr. Graydon Meneilly, YMCA of Greater Vancouver** File: 8000-01; 0550-20-10

Requesting to appear as a delegation to present on the proposed YMCA in City Centre and how it will build a healthier community.

RES.R16-1365		It was Meneilly, YMCA of Greater V Council-in-Committee.	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Stephen Butz and Dr. Graydon ancouver be heard as a delegation before <u>Carried</u>
	(b)	Elizabeth Model, CEO, Dov File: 0250-20; 0550-20-10	wntown Surrey BIA
		Requesting to appear as a del another Homeless Shelter in	egation to discuss the potential location of Downtown Surrey.
		It was	Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Villeneuve That Elizabeth Model, Downtown Surrey BIA
RES.R16-1366		be heard as a delegation befo	
		Council requested staff to pro the Downtown Surrey BIA de	ovide the status on a shelter location further to elegation request.
2.	By-law Enforcement Officer Appointments (Summer Park Patrol Officer) File: 2770-01		
	Council is requested to pass the following resolution:		
	It was		Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Pursuant to the <i>Appointment of By-law</i>
Suniel Khakh and Ash Officer (Summer Park continuing for the du		Khakh and Ashima Sharma ar (Summer Park Patrol Officer)	<i>lo. 12167,</i> as amended, Gurminder Lalari, e hereby appointed as a By-law Enforcement) for the City of Surrey from June 20, 2016 and erson's employment by the City of Surrey as a

Conferences/Conventions/Meetings 3.

2017 CUExpo - Summer Design Jam - August 21 - 24, 2016 File: 0390-20

Council is requested to pass a resolution approving Councillor LeFranc's attendance at the 2017 CUExpo Summer Design Jam, being held at Wasan Island, Ontario, August 21 - 24, 2016, and that expenses be paid in accordance with Council Policy.

It was

Moved by Councillor Gill Seconded by Councillor Steele That Councillor LeFranc's attendance at the 2017 CUExpo Summer Design Jam, being held at Wasan Island, Ontario, August 21 - 24, 2016, be paid in accordance with Council Policy.

RES.R16-1368

Carried

J. NOTICE OF MOTION

K. **OTHER BUSINESS**

Urban Park Land in City Centre 1.

File: 6140-01

Council noted that there was a piece of land originally designated as "Urban Park" as part of the Innovation Boulevard Project, as the land was recently rezoned to "Institutional", which removed the area from reverting back to park space; Council requested staff to source an area suitable for a small urban park space within the City Centre.

2. Sponsorship Request - 2016 Canada Cup Field Hockey File: 1530-30

Council noted that Corporate Report Ro87 - April Late Grant Applications contained a late grant application in support of the West Coast Kings Field Hockey Society to help offset costs associated with hosting the 2016 Canada Cup Field Hockey Tournament, the amount allocated should have been \$3,500 instead of \$2,500.

Moved by Councillor Villeneuve It was Seconded by Councillor Hayne That the West Coast Kings Field Hockey Society be granted \$3,500 (instead of \$2,500) in support of the 2016 Canada Cup Field Hockey Tournament (July 15 - 17, 2016). Carried

RES.R16-1369

3. **Council Initiative Fund Update**

File: 1840-01

Mayor Hepner requested staff to provide a report relative to the status of the Council Initiatives Fund.

L. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Councillor Hayne Seconded by Councillor Gill That the June 27, 2016 Regular Council -

Public Hearing meeting be adjourned. RES.R16-1370

<u>Carried</u>

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 9:38 p.m.

Certified correct:

Jane Sullivan, City Clerk

inda