

Present:

Chairperson - Mayor McCallum
Councillor Annis
Councillor Elford
Councillor Guerra
Councillor Hundial
Councillor Locke
Councillor Nagra
Councillor Patton

Absent:

Councillor Pettigrew

Staff Present:

City Manager
City Clerk
General Manager, Corporate Services
General Manager, Engineering
General Manager, Finance
General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture
General Manager, Planning & Development
City Solicitor
Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division
Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division
Land Development Engineer

A. ADOPTIONS**1. Adoption of the Agenda**

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That the agenda of the June 14, 2021, Regular

Council Public Hearing meeting be adopted.

RES.R21-1106

Carried

2. Adoption of the Minutes**a. Business License Hearing Minutes – May 10, 2021**

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That the minutes of the Business License

Hearing held on May 10, 2021, be adopted.

RES.R21-1107

Carried

b. Special (Regular) Council - May 31, 2021

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That the minutes of the Special (Regular)

Council meeting held on May 31, 2021, be adopted.

RES.R21-1108

Carried

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to parking, lack of a Traffic Impact Assessment, an adjacent man-made lake, easements, lack of a Sustainability Checklist, environmental and stability issue and student enrolment projections.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, local greenspace, indoor and outdoor amenity spaces, and shade trees on the roof.

N. Dhesa, Sullivan: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing type and affordability, walkability and traffic.

R. Moor, Sullivan: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

S. Bhatt, Newton: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

T. Singh, Newton: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability.

R. Khera, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing no concerns related to traffic.

P. Sandhu, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and parking.

M. Purba, Newton: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing type and parking.

M. Smith, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

R. Terpstra, Port Kells: The delegation expressed concerns regarding the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

H. Singh, Sullivan: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing parking.

N. Kandola, Sullivan: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing completion of the neighbourhood, housing affordability and rental units.

J. Bagsanti, Newton: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing rental units.

D. Johnstone, Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing public safety and lack of infrastructure to support development. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

L. Itch, Boundary Park: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

L. Ypenberg, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing lack of infrastructure to support development. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

K. Joshi, Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing traffic, school capacity and environmental impacts.

D. Dawson, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated June 6, 2021, D. Saggi expressing opposition for the proposal citing crowding, traffic and character of neighborhood.
- Email dated June 6, 2021, J. Thind expressing opposition for the proposal citing crowding, traffic and lack of recreational spaces.
- Email dated June 8, 2021, M. Purba expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 8, 2021, P. Shrestha expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 8, 2021, R. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 8, 2021, R. Khera expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, A. Rai expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, G. Khera expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, M. Ahluwalia expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, P. Bisla expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing loss of trees, lack of traffic impact study, and environmental impacts.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, G. Pawar expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, H. Aulakh expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, I. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal citing densification, schools and parking.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, J. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal citing densification, schools and parking.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, K. Aulakh expressing opposition for the proposal citing densification, schools and traffic.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, M. Uneet expressing opposition for the proposal citing densification, schools and parking.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, N. Dhesa expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, P. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, R. Moor expressing support for the proposal.

- Email dated June 10, 2021, S. Aulakh expressing concerns for the proposal citing schools.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, S. Gahunia expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 11, 2021, R. Khrod expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 11, 2021, R. Virk expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 11, 2021, S. Heera expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 11, 2021, S. Sahota expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 11, 2021, S. Sahota expressing support for the proposal.

2. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20362"**
Application: 7919-0245-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10466, 10476, 10486, 10496, 10506, 10516 and 10522 - 140B Street

APPLICANT: Owner: City of Surrey, 1162538 B.C. Ltd.
Director Information: Dr. Nirmal Rhandawa, Dr. Dharamjit Sandhu
Agent: Pacific Land Resource Group Inc. (Oleg Verbenkov)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from Single Family Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone to allow the development of two 5-storey apartment buildings consisting of 131 residential dwelling units.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, development contribution fees, parking, lack of a Traffic Impact Assessment and student enrolment projections.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, proximity to a local park, trees planted on the flat roof and landscaping planting.

C. Pronger, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site traffic, noise safety, school capacity and density. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

V. Chin, Whalley: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

I. Scott, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter

and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

T. Johl, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

D. Johnstone, Surrey: The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

L. Ypenberg, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing lack of infrastructure to support development. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

D. Johnstone, Surrey: The delegation advised that she was disconnected and spoke to public safety. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated June 2, 2021, E. and M. Francisco expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing loss of trees, reduction in parking and lack of traffic impact study.

3. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20360"**
"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20361"
Application: 7918-0190-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2563 and 2575 - 152 Street; 15158 and 15168 - 26 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: Y. Yuan, J. Li, X. Yuan
 Agent: Martin Liew Architecture Inc. (Martin Liew)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting an amendment to the Official Community Plan to redesignate the site from Urban to Multiple Residential. In addition, the proposal includes rezoning the site from One-Acre Residential Zone and Single Family Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone. The proposal also includes the development of a four-storey 50-unit apartment building with a partial fifth storey for amenity space.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, trees on the flat roof and proximity to local parks.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to height, density, removal of trees from the subject site and traffic.

G. Farstad, Agent on behalf of the Applicant: The delegation provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to public engagement, height, parking, privacy and indoor and outdoor amenity space.

D. Johnstone, Surrey: The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

M. Smith, North Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the removal of trees from the subject site. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

B. Sampson, Surrey: The delegation expressed concerns regarding the excavation of the subject site impacting neighbouring properties and suggested a turning lane into the neighbouring property, Bishops Green.

M. Deol, Project Architect: The architect provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to the building height, privacy, view impacts, parking, landscaping and sustainability.

Todd, North Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated June 2, 2021, C. Wirch expressing concerns for the proposal citing character of neighborhood and building height.
- Email dated June 4, 2021, D. Severeid expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated June 6, 2021, A. Parsons expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of the neighborhood, decrease in property value and traffic.
- Email dated June 4, 2021, B. Baynes expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, traffic and loss of privacy.
- Email dated June 4, 2021, M. Doughty expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, traffic, noise and lack of parking.
- Email dated June 7, 2021, M. Enns expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and access into property.
- Letter dated June 7, 2021, A. Dunkley expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, building height and traffic.
- Letter dated September 30, 2020, G. Holmes expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, disruption to sanitary and storm sewer services and traffic.

- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, traffic and loss of trees.
- Email dated June 10, 2021, S. and B. Carter expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, traffic, privacy and noise.
- Email dated June 12, 2021, C. Soregaroli expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, parking, traffic and noise.
- Email dated June 14, 2021, L. Roggeveen expressing opposition for the proposal citing privacy, character of neighborhood and decrease in property value.

4. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20358"**
Application: 7919-0032-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2302 and 2306 King George Boulevard

APPLICANT: Owner: 1134038 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Chia-Hwei Lin)
Agent: Thinkspace Architecture Planning Interior Design
(Henk Kampman)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from Highway Commercial Industrial Zone and Single Family Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone to allow the development of a four-storey mixed-use building with commercial units on the ground floor and 69 rental residential apartments above. A partial fifth storey is provided for amenity space.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to the project application and its alignment with the Official Community Plan, Neighbourhood Concept Plan and Town Centre Plan, the lack of a Traffic Impact Assessment, parking, replacement of boulevard trees and road safety.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, proximity to local parks and trees on the rooftop.

G. Shaddock, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the lack of conformity with the 1995 Neighbourhood Concept Plan, height, encroachment on green space, traffic, lack of a Traffic Impact Assessment and access and egress.

D. Johnstone, Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing public safety. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

D. Vale, Guildford: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated June 2, 2021, J. Myring expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 5, 2021, Y. Lu expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated June 7, 2021, G. Shaddock expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and congestion.
- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing loss of trees, lack of traffic impact survey and lack of parking.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, G. Shaddock expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, A. Harbrink expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, privacy, noise and safety.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, E. Johnston expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, E. Trottier expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, G. Shaddock expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood and traffic.
- Email dated June 14, 2021, J. and G. Creighton expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood and traffic.
- Email dated June 14, 2021, J. and L. Voigt expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, K. Horth expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, K. Sullivan expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.
- Letter received June 14, 2021, M. Rollingson expressing concerns for the proposal.
- Email dated June 13, 2021, R. Switzer expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and privacy.

5. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20366"
Application: 7920-0160-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 6163 and 6173 - 190 Street

APPLICANT: Owners: D. Kobialko, B. Kobialko
 Agent: Coastland Engineering and Surveying Ltd. (Mike Helle)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site at 6163 – 190 Street from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Zone to allow subdivision in conjunction with 6173 – 190 Street into thirteen single family residential lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, traffic and proximity to transit.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, the location of a proposed riparian area and proximity to local parks.

P. O'Connell, Bolivar Park: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing school capacity and removal of trees from the subject site. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

D. Vale, Guildford: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the removal of trees from the subject site and environmental impacts. The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

J. Kobeyoko, Cloverdale: The delegation was disconnected from the meeting.

M. Smith, North Surrey: The delegation provided comments on an issue unrelated to the Public Hearing matter and was requested to remain on topic or the speaking opportunity at this Public Hearing would conclude.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated June 9, 2021, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing environment, loss of trees and traffic.
- Email dated June 14, 2021, J.M. expressing concerns for the proposal citing parking, park space and loss of trees.

C. COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Development Advisory Committee - May 4, 2021

- (a) It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Development
Advisory Committee meeting held on May 4, 2021, be received.
Carried

RES.R21-1112

- (b) The recommendations of these minutes were considered and dealt with as follows:

Proposed Official Community Plan minor amendments

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support the proposed Official
Community Plan minor amendments and recommend that the amending
By-law be brought back for Council consideration at an upcoming Council
meeting.

RES.R21-1113 Carried

2. Agricultural, Environment and Investment Advisory Committee - May 12, 2021

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Agricultural,
Environment and Investment Advisory Committee meeting held on May 12, 2021,
be received.

RES.R21-1114 Carried

D. BOARD/COMMISSION REPORTS

1. Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission - May 12, 2021

(a) It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage
Advisory Commission meeting held on May 12, 2021, be received.

RES.R21-1115 Carried

- (b) The recommendations of these minutes were considered and dealt with as follows:

Cloverdale United Church (17575 58A Street) – Application for Financial Assistance to Paint the Exterior of the Church

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

- 1. Receive the report dated April 29, 2021 regarding an application for financial assistance that has been received for exterior painting for the Cloverdale United Church located at 17575 58A Street as information (Appendix I);

2. Approve financial assistance in the amount of **\$9,686.25** which represents 50% of the value of the works as per the quote provided by **Armstrong Custom Decorating Ltd**, and
3. Direct staff to advise the applicant that payment of financial assistance shall only be made following inspection by appropriate City staff to ensure that the works have been undertaken in accordance with the original terms of the application.

RES.R21-1116

Carried

E. MAYOR'S REPORT

1. Proclamations

File: 0630-02

Councillor Patton read the following proclamation:

(h) Canadian Multiculturalism Day – June 27, 2021

Councillor Guerra read the following proclamation:

(g) Saint Jean Baptiste Day – June 24, 2021

Councillor Annis read the following proclamation:

(f) SOS Children's Village BC Giving Day – June 23, 2021

Councillor Patton read the following proclamation:

(e) Surrey BIA Week – June 21 – 27, 2021

Councillor Guerra read the following proclamation:

(d) National Indigenous Peoples Day – June 21, 2021

Councillor Elford read the following proclamation:

(c) Amateur Radio Week – June 20 – 27, 2021

Councillor Nagra read the following proclamation:

(b) World Refugee Day – June 20, 2021

Councillor Locke read the following proclamation:

(a) World Elder Abuse Awareness Day – June 15, 2021

It was
 Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R114 for information;
2. Endorse the Public Engagement Strategy attached as Appendix "I" and as generally described in the report; and,
3. Endorse the Public Engagement Toolkit attached as Appendix "II" and as generally described in the report.

RES.R21-1119

Carried

**Item No. R115 Parking Update: Rapid Transit Corridors and Rental Housing
 File: 5480-01**

The General Manager, Engineering and General Manager, Planning & Development submitted a report in response to Council's direction from February 8, 2021 as part of Corporate Report No. R027; 2021 (attached as Appendix "III") to proceed with review of off-street multi-family parking requirements on the Fraser Highway Surrey-Langley-SkyTrain corridor to encourage transit-oriented and smart development, and to obtain Council endorsement of the corresponding amendments to the *Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, as amended*.

It was
 Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R115 for information; and
2. Endorse staff recommendations to amend the Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, as illustrated in Appendix "I" to include:
 - a. Defined Rapid Transit Areas ("RTAs") consisting of the existing Expo SkyTrain Line and planned corridors of Surrey-Langley-SkyTrain and Surrey-Newton-Guildford, as illustrated in Appendix "II";
 - b. Reduced multi-family residential parking requirements in areas located in RTAs;
 - c. New market rental housing parking requirements; and
 - d. Expansion of Alternative Parking Provisions to the new RTAs;
3. Authorize staff to bring forward the necessary amendments to the Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, as amended; and
4. Direct staff to bring forward a Corporate Report with an updated Transportation Demand Management Policy.

RES.R21-1120

Carried

It was
 Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 1720-012-11 to Crown Contracting Ltd. in the amount of \$1,324,507.80 (including GST) for traffic signal and intersection improvements at various locations;
 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1720-012-11 at \$1,460,000.00 (including GST and contingency); and
 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 1720-012-11.
- RES.R21-1125 Carried

Item No. R121 Award of Contract No. 6021-001 D1
Design of Crescent Beach Utilities Improvements – Phase 4 (DMAF)
File: 6021-001/01

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to seek Council's approval to award Consultant Design Agreement No. 6021-001 D1 for engineering services for the detailed design of the Crescent Beach Utilities Improvements – Phase 4 project, as illustrated on the map attached to this report as Appendix "I".

It was
 Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Award Consultant Design Agreement No. 6021-001 D1 to ISL Engineering and Land Services Ltd. at an estimated fee limit of \$454,959.75 (including GST) for the design of the Crescent Beach Utilities Improvements – Phase 4 project;
 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Consultant Design Agreement No. 4719-064 D1 at \$501,000.00 (including contingencies and GST);
 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Consultant Design Agreement No. 6021-001 D1;
 4. Authorize the inclusion in the Consultant Design Agreement an option in favour of the City to retain ISL Engineering and Land Services Ltd. to provide engineering services for the optional construction services for first construction season (Year 1) of the Crescent Beach Utilities Improvements – Phase 4 project at an estimated fee limit of \$1,042,000.00 (including contingencies and GST); and
 5. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to award and execute the Consultant Construction Agreement (Year 1) with ISL Engineering and Land Services Ltd. should the optional construction services to retain ISL Engineering and Land Services Ltd. be undertaken.
- RES.R21-1126 Carried

**Item No. R122 License Agreement with Rogers Communications Canada Inc.
for Use of Road Allowances
File: 5450-30 (Rogers)**

The General Manager, Engineering submitted a report to obtain Council's approval to execute a License Agreement with Rogers for the use of the road allowances at 25 locations throughout the City, as illustrated on Appendices "I" through "XXV".

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R122 for information; and
2. Authorize the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute a License Agreement with Rogers Communications Canada Inc. ("Rogers") that will act to grant Rogers a non-exclusive right to install, operate and maintain telecommunication infrastructure for a 10-year period within the road allowances as illustrated on Appendices "I" through "XXV" attached to the report.

RES.R21-1127

Carried**H. BYLAWS AND PERMITS****BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING**

1. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20363"
7919-0284-00
Owner: 1051980 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Sanveer Shoker)
Agent: Barnett Dembek Architects Inc. (Maciej Dembeck)
To redesignate the site located at 14455 - 64 Avenue from Urban to Multiple Residential.

Council direction received May 31, 2021

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Official Community Plan
Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20363" pass its third reading.

RES.R21-1128

Carried

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20364"
C-5 to CD - 14455 - 64 Avenue - to permit the development of a four-storey mixed-use development with 60 rental residential units and ground floor commercial space. A partial fifth floor is provided for amenity space.

Council direction received May 31, 2021

- RES.R21-1129
- It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20364" pass its third reading.
Carried
2. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No.20362"
7919-0245-00
Owner: City of Surrey, 1162538 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Dr. Nirmal Rhandawa,
Dr. Dharamjit Sandhu)
Agent: Pacific Land Resource Group Inc. (Oleg Verbenkov)
RF to CD (based on RM-70) - 10466, 10476, 10486, 10496, 10506, 10516 and
10522 - 140B Street - to permit the development of two 5-storey apartment buildings
consisting of 131 residential dwelling units.
- Council direction received May 31, 2021
- RES.R21-1130
- It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No.20362" pass its third reading.
Carried
3. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2021,
No. 20360"
7918-0190-00
Owners: Y. Yuan, J. Li, X. Yuan
Agent: Martin Liew Architecture Inc. (Martin Liew)
To redesignate the site located at 2563 and 2575 - 152 Street, and 15158 and
15168-26 Avenue from Urban to Multiple Residential.
- Council direction received May 31, 2021
- RES.R21-1131
- It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20360" pass its third reading.
Carried
- "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2021, No. 20361"
RF and RA to CD - 2563 and 2575 - 152 Street; 15158 and 15168 - 26 Avenue - to
permit the development of a four-storey, 50 unit apartment building. A partial
fifth storey is provided for amenity space.
- Council direction received May 31, 2021

PERMITS – SUPPORT

9. Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0322-00
3482 - 146A Street; 3418 and 3474 King George Boulevard
Owner: Zenterra Timberland Ltd.
Agent: Zenterra Developments Ltd. (Rick Johal)
To vary the definition of "Bond" in the Surrey Subdivision and Development Bylaw, 1986, No. 8830, as amended, to include the use of Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7815-0322-00 associated with the proposed multi-family development on the site.

Two pieces of correspondence expressing concerns had been received prior to the printing of this agenda.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Development Variance Permit No. 7915-0322-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R21-1138

Carried

10. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-01
151 - 175A Street
Owner: Douglas Green Developments Ltd.
Agent: Plan Van Development Consultants (Sarah Atkinson)
To vary the maximum building height from 14 metres to 15.6 metres for the proposed self-storage for Building 1 on the south portion of the site. In addition, the proposal includes a request to vary CD Bylaw 20083 for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback, 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor from the northeast corner and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors from the northeast corner, in order to permit a proposed development of two self-storage buildings on the site.

Councillor Nagra declared a conflict of interest and left the meeting at 9:36 p.m.

No concerns had been expressed by abutting property owners prior to the printing of this agenda.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-01 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R21-1139

Carried

Councillor Nagra re-entered the meeting at 9:37 p.m.

- 11. Temporary Use Permit No. 7921-0007-00
8293 King George Boulevard
Owner: George Eighty3 Properties Ltd.
Agent: Sync Properties Ltd. (Rajan Dhanowa)
To permit the development of a temporary real estate sales centre for a duration of 3 years for a proposed multi-family development located in City Centre.

Two pieces of correspondence expressing concerns and one piece of correspondence expressing opposition had been received prior to the printing of this agenda.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Temporary Use Permit No. 7921-0007-00 and consider issuance of the Permit once all outstanding conditions have been met.

RES.R21-1140

Carried

THIRD READINGS

Note: At the May 10, 2021 Regular Council – Land Use meeting Council waived public hearing for the following Comprehensive Development Zone (CD) Conversion Bylaws. These Bylaws permit a secondary suite in single-family CD zones that meet the criteria approved by Council under Corporate Report 2020-R162. Public notification was mailed to the affected owners and occupants, and notice was posted in the Surrey Now-Leader newspaper and on the City website. Planning and Development advise (see memorandum in back-up) that it is now in order for Council to consider third readings of these bylaws.

- 12. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 09 (CD.09), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20332"
3900-20-20332 – Council Initiative
This Bylaw amends and replaces Bylaw No. 12065 in order to support the inclusion of one secondary suite in existing Comprehensive Development Zones that regulate single family dwellings and that have adequate lot size, width and depth to provide at least three off-street parking spaces, as outlined in Corporate Report No. 2020-R162.

Council direction received November 9, 2020
Corporate Report Item No. 2020-R162

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 09 (CD.09), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20332" pass its third reading.

RES.R21-1141

Carried

13. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 10 (CD.10), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20333"
3900-20-20333 – Council Initiative
This Bylaw amends and replaces Bylaw No. 12657 in order to support the inclusion of one secondary suite in existing Comprehensive Development Zones that regulate single family dwellings and that have adequate lot size, width and depth to provide at least three off-street parking spaces, as outlined in Corporate Report No. 2020-R162.

Council direction received November 9, 2020
Corporate Report Item No. 2020-R162

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
Zone 10 (CD.10), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20333" pass its third reading.

RES.R21-1142

Carried

14. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 11 (CD.11), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20334"
3900-20-20334 – Council Initiative
This Bylaw amends and replaces Bylaw No. 13242 in order to support the inclusion of one secondary suite in existing Comprehensive Development Zones that regulate single family dwellings and that have adequate lot size, width and depth to provide at least three off-street parking spaces, as outlined in Corporate Report No. 2020-R162.

Council direction received November 9, 2020
Corporate Report Item No. 2020-R162

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
Zone 11 (CD.11), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20334" pass its third reading.

RES.R21-1143

Carried

15. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 12 (CD.12), Bylaw, 2021, No. 20335"
3900-20-20335 – Council Initiative
This Bylaw amends and replaces Bylaw No. 13388, and a portion of Bylaw 13030, in order to support the inclusion of one secondary suite in existing Comprehensive Development Zones that regulate single family dwellings and that have adequate lot size, width and depth to provide at least three off-street parking spaces, as outlined in Corporate Report No. 2020-R162.

Council direction received November 9, 2020
Corporate Report Item No. 2020-R162

L. ADJOURNMENT

Council to pass a motion to adjourn the Regular Council – Public Hearing meeting.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the June 14, 2021 Regular Council -

Public Hearing meeting be adjourned.

RES.R21-1152

Carried

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 9:40 p.m.

Certified correct:

Jennifer Ficocelli, City Clerk

Mayor Doug McCallum