

Present:

Chairperson - Mayor McCallum
Councillor Annis
Councillor Elford
Councillor Guerra
Councillor Hundial
Councillor Locke
Councillor Nagra
Councillor Patton

Absent:

Councillor Pettigrew

Staff Present:

City Clerk
General Manager, Corporate Services (Acting City Manager)
General Manager, Engineering
General Manager, Finance
General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture
Acting General Manager, Planning & Development
City Solicitor
Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division
Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division
Land Development Engineer

A. ADOPTIONS**1. Adoption of the Agenda**

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That:

1. The agenda of the March 28, 2022, Regular Council Public Hearing meeting be amended by adding:
 - Item No. Ro63: Update on Delivering the City Centre Plan Vision – Central Business District;
 - Item H.18: "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20601";
 - Item H.19: "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20602"; and
2. The agenda be adopted as amended.

RES.R22-526

Carried

2. Adoption of the Minutes**a. Council-in-Committee - March 7, 2022**

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the
Council-in-Committee meeting held on March 7, 2022, be received.

RES.R22-527

Carried

2. **"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 47 (CD 47), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20589"**
Application No. 7919-0258-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10232 Whalley Boulevard

APPLICANT: Owner: Anthem Surrey Centre 2 Developments Ltd.
Agent: Anthem Properties Group Ltd. (Alexander Wright)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from Community Commercial Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit a mixed-use phased development consisting of three high-rise mixed-use towers, two low-rise residential buildings and one single-storey standalone commercial building.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to vary the definition of "Bond" in the "Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830", as amended, to include the use of a Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0258-00.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

J. Jaswal, Whalley: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing population growth, housing prices and supply.

R. Blackwell, Agent: The Agent spoke in support of the proposal.

B. Burnside, Downtown Surrey Business Improvement Association: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing commercial development and the inclusion of a standalone restaurant.

Mayor McCallum left the meeting at 7:18 p.m. Councillor Patton assumed the role of the Chair.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale and spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site, Green City Fund contributions, density, road safety, traffic volumes, parking, proximity to SkyTrain and schools.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, outdoor amenity area, consideration of heat issues, rain water management and green roof and proximity to local parks and natural areas.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 18, 2022, M. Nielsen expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 20, 2022, H. Sahota expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 20, 2022, J. Jaswal expressing support for the proposal.

- Email dated March 20, 2022, J. Villanueva expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 20, 2022, S. Singh expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, B. Heed expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, H. Lin expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, D. Sohi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, K. Walia expressing support for the proposal.
- Form Letters received March 24, 2022, 44 letters expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees, congestion, traffic, parking, and schools.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, P. Rinehart expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, B. Rempel expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 25, 2022, K. Kumari expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 25, 2022, Z. Yu expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, A. Khurana expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, B. Bly expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 27, 2022, D. Li expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 27, 2022, G. Li expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 27, 2022, V. Licerio expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, A. Chiang expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 27, 2022, D. Hoang and B. Choung expressing support for the proposal.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.

3. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20590"**
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 46 (CD 46), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20591"
Application No. 7920-0284-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10744 and 10752 City Parkway;
 10745 and 10757 - 135A Street; 10737 - 135A Street
 (10739 - 135A Street)

APPLICANT: Owner: Berkeley Enterprises Ltd.
 Agent: Chris Dikeakos Architects Inc. (Jalil Azizi)

PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to amend the Official Community Plan Figure 16: Central Business District Densities for the subject site from 3.5 FAR to 7.5 FAR. The proposal also includes rezoning of the properties from Community Commercial Zone and Town Centre Commercial Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit the development of a 48-storey mixed-use building in City Centre, including 6,690 square metres of office and commercial floor space within the 4-storey podium and 593 market residential dwelling units above.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site and replanting schedule and proximity to local parks and natural areas.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale and spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site, traffic volumes, parking, affordability, public engagement and schools.

Mayor McCallum re-entered the meeting at 7:28 p.m. and resumed the role of the Chair.

R. Bernstein, Architect: The Architect on behalf of the project spoke to removal of trees from the subject site and parking.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees, congestion, traffic, parking, affordability, public engagement, and schools.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.

4. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20578" Application No. 7920-0287-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10578 – 127A Street

APPLICANT: Owner: D. Jhutti, K. Jhutti
Agent: Citiwest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone a portion of the site from Single Family Residential Zone to Semi-Detached Residential Zone in order to subdivide the site into one single-family lot and two semi-detached single-family lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale and spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site and proposed rezoning.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.

5. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20592"
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 44 (CD 44), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20593"
Application No. 7918-0088-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 5400 - 148 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: Ridgeview Panorama Estates Ltd.
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)

PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to amend the Official Community Plan Figure 3: General Land Use Designations by redesignating the site from Suburban to Urban. The proposal also includes rezoning of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit the development of 39 townhouse units.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale and spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject site and parks.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site, the presences of riparian area setbacks, biodiversity conservation strategy lands, parklands and Metro Vancouver sewer lines. The delegation suggested that an arborist be on site when the driveway onsite is redeveloped.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees and parks.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.

6. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20594"
"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20595"
Application No. 7918-0164-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10150 - 177A Street

APPLICANT: Owner: Qualico Developments (Vancouver) Inc.
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)

PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to amend the Official Community Plan Figure 3: General Land Use Designations by redesignating a portion of the site from Suburban to Urban. The proposal also includes rezoning a portion of the subject site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Zone and another portion from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential (13) Zone in order to subdivide the site into 6 RF lots, 21 RF-13 lots, an open space (riparian protection) lot, and a remnant lot.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum rear yard setback to the principal building face for proposed Lots 9, 10, 11, 13, 24, and 26; reduce the minimum front yard setback to the principal building face and to the garage for proposed Lot 24; reduce the minimum rear yard setback from 7.5 metres to 5.5 metres for 50% of the rear principal building face and 4.0 metres for the other 50% of the rear principal building face for proposed Lot 25 and reduce the minimum lot depth for proposed Lot 24, 25 and 26.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale and spoke in opposition to the proposal citing removal of trees from the subject and adjacent site, greenspace, watercourse, tree health, Green City fund contribution and tree valuation.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the removal of trees from the subject site

E. McIntosh, Fraser Heights Community Association: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal and requested that the maximum onsite parking requirements be implemented.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Submission dated March 16, 2022, B. Emmons expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, E. Emmons expressing concerns for the proposal citing overcrowded schools.

- Submission dated March 16, 2022, S. Wattley expressing concerns for the proposal citing overcrowded schools and daycares.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, C. Patterson expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, D. Hastings expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, J. Gardner expressing concerns for the proposal citing noise.
- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.

7. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20596"**
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 48 (CD 48), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20597"
Application No. 7921-0160-00, 7921-0161-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 9933 Barnston Drive East

APPLICANT: Owner: 0794043 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Joginder Dhaliwal)
Agent: Joe Dhaliwal

PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to amend the Official Community Plan Figure 3: General Land Use Designations by redesignating the site from Commercial to Multiple Residential, and to amend Figure 42: Major Employment Areas by removing the Commercial designation. The proposal also includes rezoning of the subject site from Comprehensive Development Zone (CD Bylaw No. 17028) to a new Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit the development of a 54-unit, 5-storey mixed-use building, including 1,554 square metres of ground floor commercial and a stand-alone daycare, and 43 townhouse units.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

L. McCann, Guildford: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the need for commercial services, parking, daycare drop off and pick up, and inadequate green space.

J. Francis, Guildford: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and supply.

B. Young, Guildford: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing supply and affordability, commercial services, economic opportunities for smaller businesses, daycare and walkability.

J. Sidhu, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, proximity to schools, daycare, amenities, and commercial services.

S. Sidhu, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing daycare and commercial services.

R. Saxena, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing economic viability of a big box development, housing affordability and economic opportunities for smaller businesses.

W. Yeung, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing economic viability of a big box development, housing affordability, daycare and economic opportunities for smaller businesses.

J. Dhesi, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, housing supply, density and community engagement.

H. Han, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, housing supply, density, commercial services and access to major roadways.

A. Bramhill, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and supply.

K. Kang, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and supply.

L. Ryerson, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in opposition of the proposal citing lack of infrastructure to support development, inadequate land density transition, lack of community amenities and parking.

K. Voh, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing tree planting schedule, commercial services, economic opportunities for smaller businesses and economic viability of a big box development.

M. Dufowr, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

N. Begowal, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, access to major roadways and supply.

B. Dhillon, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, housing supply and density.

L. Dhillon, Newton: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, housing supply and density.

J. Levy, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability.

K. Doyle, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the ratio of commercial development to residential and lack of community amenities.

K. Doyle, Fraser Heights: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing the need for commercial development, lack of community amenities, opportunities to develop bicycle pathways and density.

C. Yang, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and density.

M. Ghuman, Walnut Grove: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing commercial services.

A. Huberman, Surrey Board of Trade: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, housing supply, amenities, economic viability of a big box store, commercial services, economic opportunities, daycare and job creation.

M. Smith, Surrey: The delegation read a letter on behalf of R. Landale in opposition to the proposal citing traffic volumes.

E. McIntosh, Fraser Heights Community Association: The delegation spoke to the history of the proposal and the need for more commercial development, green space and parking.

L. Barnett, Architect: The Architect provided a history of the proposal and spoke to commercial development, parking, outdoor amenities and green space, safety and density.

Joe Dhaliwal, Developer: The Developer provided a history of the proposal and spoke to commercial development, parking and green space.

The following registered their support to the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- D. Dhaliwal, Fraser Heights
- S. Pattar, Fraser Heights
- R. Johal, Fraser Heights
- H. Dhaliwal, Fraser Heights
- M. Dhami, Fraser Heights
- B. Sidhu, Fraser Heights
- R. Gill, Fraser Heights
- G. Gill, Fraser Heights
- J. Manhas, Guildford
- H. Hayer, Fraser Heights
- R. Buttar, Newton
- J. Tejs, Fraser Heights
- F. Belrabta, Fraser Heights
- R. Kaur, Guilford

- P. Thiara, Fleetwood,
- J. Mann, Surrey
- T. Sidhu, Surrey
- J. Sidhu, Surrey
- R. Rang, Surrey
- B. Grewal, Cloverdale
- J. Gill, Cloverdale
- K. Johal, Brookside
- H. Johal, Cloverdale
- J. Basra, Sullivan
- S. K., Surrey
- M. Garcha, Surrey
- M. Singh, Surrey
- L. Singh, Surrey
- J. Basra, Newton
- N. Basra, Newton
- N. Johal, Newton
- R. Johal, Newton
- R. Johal, Newton
- P. Bahad, Surrey
- J. Bhandal, Surrey
- B. Atwal, Surrey
- P. Atwal, Surrey
- S. Alounjan, Surrey
- D. Johal, Cloverdale
- G. Johal, Cloverdale
- S. Johal, Cloverdale
- G. Laura, Surrey
- I. Ghag, Surrey
- M. Johal, Cloverdale
- H. Bawant, Newton
- M. Samota, Surrey
- J. Sahota, Fleetwood
- K. Dhani, Fleetwood
- R. Johal, Cloverdale
- P. Johal, Cloverdale
- J. Johal, Cloverdale
- H. Hans, South Surrey
- M. Hans, South Surrey
- T. Sharpe, South Surrey
- R. Dhaliwal, Cloverdale
- N. Dhaliwal, Cloverdale
- G. Singh, Surrey
- P. Singh, Surrey
- S. Singh, Surrey
- D. Lin, Fraser Heights
- M. Sanghera, Guildford
- M. Sahota, Whalley

- B. Bali, Newton
- A. Gill, Newton
- P. Gill, Newton
- J. Gill, Cloverdale
- K. Ghuman, Surrey
- S. Dhesi, Surrey
- E. Palacios, Whalley
- G. Singh, Surrey
- S. Aujla, Surrey
- B. Bircirei, Surrey
- J. Levy, Surrey
- J. Buttar, Surrey
- H. Dhesi, Cloverdale
- K. Ghuman, South Surrey
- A. Johnson, Fleetwood
- K. Johnson, Fleetwood
- S. Sarai, Guildford
- S. Sarai, Guildford
- G. Sarai, Guilford

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 11, 2022, A. Bramhill expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 11, 2022, B. Bali expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 11, 2022, J. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 11, 2022, J. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 11, 2022, P. Singh expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 11, 2022, R. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, A. Joshi expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, A. Rolufs expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, traffic, and parking.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, C. Bubber expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, density, and congestion.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, C. Gaisford expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, C. Whitrow expressing opposition for the proposal citing height, density, commercial services, parking, traffic, and green space.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, D. Hanson expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, D. Kapoor expressing concerns for the proposal citing commercial services, quality of work, and parking.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, D. Madaisky expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, congestion, commercial services, parking, green space, and traffic.
- Emails dated March 13 and March 14, 2022, D. Tenge expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Emails dated March 13 and submission dated March 15, 2022, E. Robson expressing opposition for the proposal citing height, commercial services, green space, and parking.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Costley expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Hong expressing opposition for the proposal.

- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Kamstra expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, commercial services, parking, and traffic.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Nelson expressing opposition for the proposal density, congestion, commercial services, school, parking, green space, and traffic.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Pow expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 9 and submission dated March 23, 2022, L. McCann expressing opposition for the proposal citing retail space, parking, green space, density, and congestion.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, H. Thakkar expressing concerns for the proposal citing density, congestion, school, transit, commercial services, parking, crime rate, infrastructure, noise, roads, and traffic.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, I. Lacambra expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, I. Trapp expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, trees, traffic, crime rate, transit, schools, parking, noise, roads, congestion, and infrastructure.
- Emails dated March 13 and submissions dated March 21, 2022, J. and M. Bregg expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, density, and commercial services.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Cho expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Choe expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Lans expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, congestion, environment, and density.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 12, 2022, J. Teja expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, J. Tsvetkova expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, height, and schools.
- Email dated March 13 and submission dated March 15, 2022, J. Yu expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic, parking, and commercial services.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, K. Bollman expressing opposition for the proposal citing quality of work and commercial services.
- Emails dated March 13 and 14, 2022, K. Chan expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and parking.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, K. Friesen expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, height, parking, congestion, and density.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, K. Morrison expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, parking, and commercial services.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, K. Tan expressing opposition for the proposal citing schools, green space, congestion, parking, and safety.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, K. Vincent expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, L. Hearty expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, height, commercial services, parking, traffic, and green space.
- Email dated March 13 and submission dated March 19, 2022, L. Knittle expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, transit, traffic, roads, parking, infrastructure, and congestion.

- Email dated March 13, 2022, L. Orpia and C. Carino expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and quality of work.
- Emails dated March 13 and submission dated March 15, 2022, L. Reyes expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, and green space.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, L. Ryerson expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, parking, and community space.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, M. Landon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, M. Morrison expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and density.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, M. Niles expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic and infrastructure.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, M. Qing expressing opposition for the proposal citing schools.
- Emails dated March 13 and submission dated March 15, 2022, M. Reyes expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, parking, and green space.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, M. Yue expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, traffic, parks, noise, safety, and schools.
- Email dated March 13 and submission dated March 23, 2022, N. Suarez expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and quality of work.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, R. and K. Bollman expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13 and submission dated March 23, 2022, R. and L. Soon and D. Lie expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and quality of work.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, R. and S. McDonald expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, height, commercial services, traffic, congestion, and roads.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, R. Cairns expressing opposition for the proposal citing green space, parking, commercial services, and congestion.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, R. Lensink expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, S. Huang expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, density, parking, and traffic.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, S. Nagra expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, parking, traffic, transit, infrastructure, green space, and density.
- Email dated March 12, 2022, S. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13 and submission dated March 19, 2022, S. Sutanto and J. Sutirto expressing opposition for the proposal citing unsold condos.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, S. Vincent expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, S. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, T. Cooke expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and traffic.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, T. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, transit, and roads.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, W. Williamson expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 13, 2022, Y. Deng expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, traffic, parks, noise, security, and schools.

- Email dated March 13, 2022, Z. Henderson expressing opposition for the proposal citing height.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, A. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, B. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, C. Hampson expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, E. Koo expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and commercial services.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, K. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, P. Case expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, parking, and commercial services.
- Email dated March 14, 2022, S. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, A. Haqq expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, A. W. expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, and green space.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, B. Bentham expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, green space, parking, and congestion.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, C. Archibald expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, green space, and parking.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, C. Eguia expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, density, and congestion.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, C. Sladek expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, G. Wu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, H. Erickson expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, congestion, parking, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, H. Wu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, J. Bedi expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, and green space.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, J. Rasilanen expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, J. Sidhu expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, and green space.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, M. Hannah expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, congestion, parking, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, M. Kuo expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and infrastructure.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, R. Moodley expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, green space, congestion, and parking.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, S. Chen expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, and green space.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, S. Feng expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and green space.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, S. Liu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, S. Moodley expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, height, green space, congestion, and parking.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, S. Wang expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and green space.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, V. Ighani expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.

- Submission dated March 15, 2022, W. Eguia expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.
- Submission dated March 15, 2022, Y. Chan expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and green space.
- Email dated March 15, 2022, Y. Xing expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, school, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, A. Woodward expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission and email dated March 16, 2022, B. Townsend expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, height, and congestion.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, C. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, commercial services, infrastructure, and parks.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, D. Lynn expressing concerns for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, G. Castro expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and traffic.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, G. Jacklin expressing opposition for the proposal citing green space, parking, density, and commercial services.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, G. Sawa expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, traffic, and roads.
- Email dated March 16, 2022, J. Yu expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, height, parking, and congestion.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, K. Bollman expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, K. Gallagher expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, K. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, K. Laskowska expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, height, congestion, green space, and noise.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, L. Rizzardo expressing opposition for the proposal citing height, commercial services, privacy, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, L. Yu expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, height, parking, and commercial services.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, M. Hernandez expressing opposition for the proposal citing schools and infrastructure.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, N. Yee expressing opposition for the proposal citing height, commercial services, congestion, parking, school, and green space.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, P. Oraziatti expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, P. Sobota expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, R. Maitland expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, R. Sawa expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, S. Bullock expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, S. Joura expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, S. Maxwell expressing concerns for the proposal citing schools and parking.
- Submission dated March 16, 2022, S. Pickering expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.

- Submission dated March 16, 2022, X. Wong expressing opposition for the proposal citing height, commercial services, and green space.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, C. Christ expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, height, commercial services, and green space.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, D. Hadlow expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, E. Soo expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, F. Asif expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, J. Sahai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, L. Matson expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, congestion, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, N. Chen expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, N. Thandi expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, P. Janda expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 17, 2022, S. Ravikesh expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, D. Batista expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, D. Brown expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, D. Osgarby expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, parking, density, and congestion.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, J. Batista expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, J. Wang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, L. Guias expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, L. VanderZalm expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, M. VanderZalm expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 18, 2022, T. Chen expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, D. Hastings expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, D. Knittle expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, F. Sanchez Aldana expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, K. Carmichael expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 19, 2022, S. Bains expressing concerns for the proposal citing commercial services, density, parking, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 19, 2022, S. Sanchez expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, A. Pham expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, B. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, B. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 21 and email dated March 22, 2022, D. Bailey expressing opposition for the proposal citing walk score, commercial services, traffic, density, environment, and congestion.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, J. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, J. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, J. Sahota expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, K. Dhama expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, K. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, R. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated March 21, 2022, S. Bailey expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 21, 2022, T. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, A. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, A. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, A. Manhas expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, A. Saini expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, A. Silvester expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, D. Buutar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, D. Sangita expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, Fraser Heights Community Association expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, green space, and parking.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, G. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, H. Hayer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Buttar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Dhilon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Jason expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Judge expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Manhas expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Saxena expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, J. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, K. Kamaljit expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, L. Veer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, M. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, M. Khangura expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, M. Virk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, N. Dhotra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, N. Gahunia expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, P. Thiara expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, R. Buttar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, R. Manhas expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, R. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, R. Saxena expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, R. Thandi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, S. Donnelly expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, S. Virk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, T. Bregg expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 22, 2022, X. Han expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, K. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, L. Babcock expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, P. Downes expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 22, 2022, R. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 23, 2022, C. Yang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, G. McCann expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and density.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, R. Johal expressing support for the proposal.

- Email dated March 23, 2022, S. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 23, 2022, S. White expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, E. Lin expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, S. Singh expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, M. Dizon expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and transit.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, I. Lacambra expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, A. Oala-Florescu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, J. Reid expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, M. Lacambra expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, F. Tran expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, D. Lie expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and parking.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, J. Gatina expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and parking.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, K. Valeroso expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, R. Benas expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 23, 2022, R. Gatin expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and traffic.
- Email dated March 24, 2022, A. Wally expressing support for the proposal.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 3 letters expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, D. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, D. Kapoor expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 24, 2022, F. Al-Dolaimiee opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and quality of work.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, M. Brucal expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and green space.
- Submission dated March 24, 2022, J. Jackson expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Email dated March 24, 2022, S. Stone opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, congestion, and traffic.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, B. Jin expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and traffic.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, C. Magsino expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, transit, and day care.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, D. Banwait expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, D. Bhangu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, E. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, G. Bramhill expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated March 25, 2022, G. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, G. Sharma expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, H. Hans expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, H. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, I. Kim expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Brucal expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, I. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Lee expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and infrastructure.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Lynds expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, J. Manzano expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, K. Bramhill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, K. Dhami expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 25, 2022, L. McMahon expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, roads, transit, schools, green space, parking, and schools.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, M. Landon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, P. Virk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, R. Dhat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, R. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, S. Azurdia expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, S. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 25, 2022, Surrey Board of Trade expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, T. Geovena expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, commercial services, green space, and property value.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, T. Sidoo expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 25, 2022, The Coast at Fraser Heights expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and quality of work.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, V. Iyer expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, V. Iyer expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 25, 2022, Y. Arafat expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, B. Beckham expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, D. Patter expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, E. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, G. Atwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, H. Movahedi expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, J. Kular expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, J. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, K. Gura expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and parking.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, M. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, R. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated March 26, 2022, R. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, S. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, S. Parhar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, S. Sahi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 26, 2022, S. White expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Misha expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, A. Sahota expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, B. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, B. Sandhar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, B. Singh expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, C. Bhullar expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, C. C. expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, C. Craig expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, D. Abidi expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, D. Dryden expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and density.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, D. Reid expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, recreational facilities, and parks.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, D. Abidi expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, H. Hayer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, I. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, I. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, J. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, J. Litt expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, I. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, J. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, J. Wieser expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, K. Matharu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, L. O. expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, N. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, P. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, R. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, R. Iyer expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, R. Lans expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and amenities.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, R. and J. Lans expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, S. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, S. Khaley expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, S. Mcgarva expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, S. Pattar expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated March 27, 2022, S. Ubhi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 27, 2022, V. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, A. Bramhill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, A. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, A. Gaigebrody expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, A. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, A. Wai expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, B. Beckham expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, B. Kang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, B. Rana expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, C. Yang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, H. Banwait expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, H. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, H. Sahota expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Francis expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, J. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, K. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, K. Doyle expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, K. Second expressing support for the proposal citing commercial services, parking, and traffic.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, L. Moody expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services, traffic, and parking.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, M. Ghuman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, M. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, M. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, M. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, P. Parhar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, R. Ong expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, R. Rana expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, R. Rana expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated March 28, 2022, R. Moody expressing opposition for the proposal citing commercial services and parking.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, S. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, S. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, S. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, S. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, S. Dhesi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, T. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, Y. Jiao expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, Y. Qiao expressing support for the proposal.
- Form Letters received March 28, 2022, 130 letters expressing support for the proposal.
- Petition received March 28, 2022, 425 Petition Signatures expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated March 28, 2022, I. Kim expressing support for the proposal.

8. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20564" File No. 3900-20-20564 – Regulatory Text Amendment

PURPOSE: A bylaw to expand the Fleetwood Plan Area and to amend the amenity contributions for the Fleetwood Plan Area in accordance with the Stage 1 Plan and interim Fleetwood Plan Development Expectations Strategy, as described in Corporate Report 2022-R049.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

J. Lopes, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal but expressed concerns regarding base density in West Fleetwood.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated March 28, 2022, Lineage Properties Inc. expressing support for the proposal.

9. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20583" File No. 3900-20-20583 – Regulatory Text Amendment

PURPOSE: A bylaw to implement interim Secondary Plan Community Amenity Contribution rates in accordance with the approved Stage 1 Plan for the Guildford Town Centre – 104 Avenue Corridor Plan, as described in Corporate Report 2022-R048.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

10. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20584" File No. 3900-20-20584 – Regulatory Text Amendment

PURPOSE: A bylaw to update the Secondary Plan and Infill Area Community Amenity Contribution rates by an overall 3%, calculated using the average annual Vancouver Consumer Price Index for inflation, and to remove the phasing schedule for Capital Projects Community Amenity Contribution provisions which lapsed on January 1, 2022, as described in Corporate Report 2022-R048.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

C. COMMITTEE REPORTS**1. Agriculture, Environment and Investment Advisory Committee - February 9, 2022**

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Agricultural, Environment
and Investment Advisory Committee meeting held on February 9, 2022, be received.
RES.R22-530 Carried

D. BOARD/COMMISSION REPORTS**1. Board of Variance - February 9, 2022**

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Board of Variance meeting
held on February 9, 2022, be received.
RES.R22-531 Carried

2. Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission - February 9, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage Advisory
Commission meeting held on February 9, 2022, be received.
RES.R22-532 Carried

E. MAYOR'S REPORT**1. Proclamations**

File: 0630-02

Mayor McCallum announced that Glen Todd, Canada Cup softball tournament founder, has passed away.

Councillor Patton read the following proclamation:

- (a) Parkinson's Awareness Month – April 2022

Councillor Guerra read the following proclamation:

- (b) World Autism Awareness Day – April 2, 2022

Councillor Elford read the following proclamation:

- (c) Emergency Service Dispatchers and 911 Awareness Week – April 10 - 16, 2022

Councillor Nagra read the following proclamation:

- (d) Day of Action Against Asian Racism – May 10, 2022

F. GOVERNMENTAL REPORTS

This section had no items to consider.

G. CORPORATE REPORTS

The Corporate Reports, under date of March 28, 2022, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. R050 BC Senior Games Society – 2026 55+ BC Games - Bid Application
File: 8200-20

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, and General Manager, Finance, submitted a report to seek Council’s approval to proceed with bid submission to apply as the host municipality for the 2026 55+ BC Games in response to an invitation from the BCSGS to apply to host the Games in 2026.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R050 for information;
2. Approve the submission of an application to the BC Senior Games Society ("BCSGS") for the City of Surrey to host the 55+ BC Games (the "Games") in 2026; and
3. Approve the inclusion in the application, as required by the BCSGS, of:
 - A one-time commitment worth approximately \$55,000 for in-kind services and facilities toward hosting the Games;
 - A one-time commitment of approximately \$60,000 for general expenses; and
 - A one-time commitment of approximately \$75,000 for a full-time Games Operations Manager to be employed by the City for a 7-month period in advance of and during the Games should Surrey be awarded to host the Games.

RES.R22-533

Carried

**Item No. R051 First Biannual Intake of Sport Tourism Grants - 2022
File: 1855-01**

The General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, and General Manager, Finance, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval for the issuance of grants under the Sport Tourism Grant Program for the first biannual intake in 2022.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R051 for information; and
2. Approve staff recommendations for the first biannual intake for 2022 Sport Tourism Grant applications as documented in Appendix "I", in accordance with the City's Sport Tourism Grant Program Guidelines (Appendix "II").

RES.R22-534

Carried

**Item No. R052 Award of Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B, C, D & E for
Licensed Security Personnel and Related Services – Various
Civic Facilities and Sites
File: 1345-01**

The General Manager, Corporate Services, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval to award Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B, C, D and E for Licensed Security Personnel and Related Services at Various Civic Facilities and Sites to Guardteck Security Corp., Securiguard Services Ltd., and Genesis Security Group as outlined in this report.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B & E to Securiguard Services Ltd. for an initial one-year term for Monitoring of Alarm Systems, Parks Washroom and Gate Keeping Services, Call Centre, Mobile Patrol, and Alarm Response Services at an annual cost of \$725,738, including GST;
2. Award Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 C to Genesis Security Group for an initial one-year term for Special Events at an annual cost of \$163,041, including GST;
3. Award Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 D to Guardteck Security Corp. for an initial one-year term for Uniform Security Personnel Services at an annual cost of \$1,696,868, including GST;
4. Set the annual expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B & E at \$798,500, including GST and contingency;
5. Set the annual expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 C at \$179,500, including GST and contingency;

6. Set the annual expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 D at \$1,867,000, including GST and contingency;
7. Approve the option to extend Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B, C, D and E for up to four additional one-year terms, including Vancouver Consumer Price Index ("CPI") rate increases, as the City's sole discretion; and
8. Authorize the General Manager, Corporate Services Department to execute Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B, C, D and E and approve and execute all contract renewals related to Contract No. 1220-040-2021-060 A, B, C, D and E within the approved annual expenditure authorization limits per year including GST, contingency, and CPI rate increases.

RES.R22-535

Carried

Item No. R053 Surrey Housing Needs Report – Update on Report Revisions
File: 4815-01

The Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, submitted a report to provide Council with an update on revisions to the Surrey Housing Needs Report, seek approval to submit the Housing Needs Report to the Union of BC Municipalities, and seek authorization for staff to use the Housing Needs Report to inform future planning processes and policies.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R053 for information;
2. Authorize staff to use the Housing Needs Report to inform planning processes and policies including:
 - a) Future updates to the Official Community Plan;
 - b) Land use plans along the Surrey-Langley Skytrain Corridor Planning Area, and specifically the shared objective of the Province, TransLink and the City of Surrey facilitating the development of affordable housing along this transportation corridor;
 - c) Other land use plans and policies relative to housing;
 - d) New housing policies, innovative housing programs and regulations related to issues, such as facilitating affordable rental housing, family-friendly housing, and/or adaptable housing; and
 - e) Future housing action plans and strategies; and report back to Council with an update on these efforts; and
3. Authorize staff to submit a copy of this Corporate Report, including the Surrey Housing Needs Report attached as Appendix "I", and related Council resolution to the Union of BC Municipalities.

RES.R22-536

Carried

**Item No. R054 Award of Contract No. 5519-001-21
District Energy Distribution Piping System Expansion
File: 5519-001/21**

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval for the award of Contract No. 5519-001-21 for the District Energy Distribution Piping Expansion project.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

- 1. Award Contract No. 5519-001-21 to Rissling Services Ltd. in the amount of \$4,145,733.90 (including GST) for the District Energy Distribution Piping Expansion project;
 - 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 5519-001-21 at \$4,560,000.000 (including GST and contingency); and
 - 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 5519-001-21.
- RES.R22-537 Carried

**Item No. R055 Award of Contract No. 1721-029-11 Major Road Network Paving
Package #1
File: 1721-029/11**

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval for the award of Contract No. 1721-029-11 for repaving of Major Road Network roads.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

- 1. Award Contract No. 1721-029-11 to BA Blacktop Ltd. in the amount of \$2,740,290.92 (including GST) for Major Road Network paving at various locations;
 - 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1721-029-11 at \$3,050,000.00 (including GST and contingency); and
 - 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 1721-029-11.
- RES.R22-538 Carried

**Item No. R056 Award of Contract No. 1721-029-21
Arterial and Collector Road Paving
File: 1721-029/21**

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval for the award of Contract No. 1721-029-21 for repaving of arterial and collector roads.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 1721-029-21 to BA Blacktop Ltd. in the amount of \$3,268,819.47, including GST, for arterial and collector road paving at various locations;
 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1721-029-21 at \$3,600,000.00, including GST and contingency; and
 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 1721-029-21.
- RES.R22-539 Carried

Item No. R057 Proposed Partnering and Lease Agreement for the Lease of City Land located East of 131 Street and North of 112 Avenue to Pacific Community Resources Society
File: 0930-30/493

The General Manager, Engineering, and Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval to enter into a Partnering Agreement and Lease Agreement with Pacific Community Resources Society, to allow for the construction and operation of a modular supportive housing project for youth on a portion of the City properties located at/adjacent to 13102 – 112A Avenue to rapidly house vulnerable young people in the City of Surrey.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R057 for information;
 2. Authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute a Partnering Agreement between the City and Pacific Community Resources Society, subject to compliance with the public notice provisions of the *Community Charter* and satisfaction of the conditions precedent, as approved by the City Solicitor, all as generally described in the report; and
 3. Authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute a Lease Agreement of a 1,552 m² portion of City property located east of 131 Street and north of 112 Avenue, as shown in Appendix "I", to Pacific Community Resources Society for a term of 20 years, subject to execution of the Partnering Agreement, compliance with the public notice provisions of the *Community Charter*, all as generally described in the report.
- RES.R22-540 Carried

Item No. R058 Approval of the Sale of a Closed Portion of Road Allowance Adjacent to 17146 – oA Avenue (Step 2)
File: 7919-0255-00

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to seek Council's approval to sell the closed portion of road allowance for consolidation with the adjacent development lands at 17146 – oA Avenue.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council authorize the sale of a 438.0 metre square area, based on final survey information, of closed road allowance adjacent to 17146 - oA Avenue under previously approved terms for this closure and sale as outlined in Corporate Report No. 210; 2021, a copy of which is attached to Corporate Report R058 as Appendix "I".

RES.R22-541

Carried

Item No. R059 2022 Salmon Habitat Restoration Program
File: D-14930

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to provide information about the 2022 SHaRP program (the "Program"), and to obtain Council approval to award two contracts in support of the delivery of the 2022 Program.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R059 for information;
2. Award Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064A to Dillon Consulting Limited in the amount of \$132,825.00 (including GST) for the management of the 2022 Salmon Habitat Restoration Program ("SHaRP");
3. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064A at \$139,466.25 (including GST and contingency);
4. Award Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064B to Dillon Consulting Limited in the amount of \$335,299.65 (including GST), for the student salary component of the 2022 SHaRP program;
5. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064B at \$352,064.63 (including applicable taxes and contingencies);
6. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064A and Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064B; and

7. Authorize the extension of Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064A and Contract No. 1220-030-2021-064B for four additional one-year terms subject to the satisfactory performance of the services by Dillon Consulting Limited and any other relevant considerations.

RES.R22-542

Carried

Item No. Ro60 Award of Contract No. 1720-012-21 Intersection Improvements Package #1
File: 1720-012/12

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to obtain Council's approval for the award of Contract No. 1720-012-21 for intersection improvements.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 1720-012-21 to 1336238 BC Ltd. dba Cancon Construction Ltd. in the amount of \$1,308,948.90 (including GST), for intersection improvements at various locations;
2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1720-012-21 at \$1,440,000.00 (including GST and contingency); and
3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 1720-012-21.

RES.R22-543

Carried

Item No. Ro61 Neighbourhood Concept Plans Progress Update
File: 6430-01

The Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, General Manager, Engineering, and General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture, submitted a report to provide Council with an update on the status of current and future land use planning processes, as well as current development capacity within approved Land Use Plans.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report Ro61 for information; and
2. Endorse the land use planning work program, as detailed in the report.

RES.R22-544

Carried

Item No. Ro62 COVID-19: Emergency Operations Centre Update
File: 7130-16

The City Manager submitted a report to provide Council with a status update of the various actions taken in response to COVID-19 since the last Corporate Report, which was provided to Council at the February 14, 2022, Regular Council Public Hearing meeting (a copy of Corporate Report No. Ro38; 2022 is attached as Appendix "I").

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council receive Corporate Report Ro62

for information.

RES.R22-545

Carried

Item No. Ro63 Update on Delivering the City Centre Plan Vision – Central
Business District
File: 6520-20 (City Centre)

Note: See Bylaw Nos. 20601 & 20602 in the H Section.

The Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, and General Manager, Investment & Intergovernmental Relations, submitted a report to provide an update on the ongoing review of the City Centre Plan and to seek Council approval of new policies and land use designations intended to support office and employment growth in City Centre.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
 Seconded by Councillor Patton
 That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report Ro63 for information;
2. Approve the proposed Office and Employment Strategy, as described in the report, including amendments to the City Centre Plan, attached as Appendix "I";
3. Approve the proposed City Centre Plan boundary extensions, as described in the report and attached as Appendix "II";
4. Authorize staff to amend *Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2014, No. 18020, as amended*, as documented in Appendix "III", in order to ensure consistency between related figures and land use designations within the City Centre Plan and those in the Official Community Plan, and authorize the City Clerk to bring forward the necessary Amendment Bylaw for the required readings and to set a date for Public Hearing; and
5. Authorize staff to amend *Surrey Zoning By-Law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended* (the "Zoning Bylaw"), as documented in Appendix "IV", in order to provide clarity on the impact of employment space requirements on the calculation of Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions, and authorize the City Clerk to bring forward the necessary Amendment Bylaw for the required readings and to set a date for Public Hearing.

RES.R22-546

Carried

H. BYLAWS AND PERMITS

BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING

1. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20588"
7921-0169-00
Owners: G. Dhanoi, L. Dhanoi
Agent: Citiwest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)
RF to RF-13 – 8929 - 148 Street – to subdivide into two single-family small urban lots.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

It was

RES.R22-547

Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20588" Carried

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
pass its third reading.

2. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 47 (CD 47), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20589"
7919-0258-00
Owner: Anthem Surrey Centre 2 Developments Ltd.
Agent: Anthem Properties Group Ltd. (Alexander Wright)
C-8 to CD – 10232 Whalley Boulevard – to permit a mixed-use phased development
consisting of three high-rise mixed-use towers, two low-rise residential buildings
and one single-storey standalone commercial building.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

It was

RES.R22-548

Zone 47 (CD 47), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20589" Carried

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
pass its third reading.

- Development Variance Permit No. 7919-0258-00
10232 Whalley Boulevard - to vary the definition of "Bond" in the "Surrey Subdivision
and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830", as amended, to include the use of a Surety
Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0258-00.

It was

RES.R22-549

Permit No. 7919-0258-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
of the associated rezoning bylaw. Carried

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Development Variance

3. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20590"
7920-0284-00
Owner: Berkeley Enterprises Ltd.
Agent: Chris Dikeakos Architects Inc. (Jalil Azizi)
To amend the OCP Figure 16 for the subject sites located at 10744 and 10752 City Parkway; 10757, 10745 and 10737 - 135A Street (10739 - 135A Street) from 3.5 FAR to 7.5 FAR.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-550

It was	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20590" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
--------	---

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 46 (CD 46), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20591"
C-8 and C-15 to CD - 10744 and 10752 City Parkway; 10757, 10745 and 10737 - 135A Street - to permit the development of a 48-storey mixed-use building in City Centre, including 6,690 square metres of office and commercial floor space within the 4-storey podium and 593 market residential dwelling units above.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-551

It was	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 46 (CD 46), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20591" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
--------	--

4. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20578"
7920-0287-00
Owners: D. Jhutti, K. Jhutti
Agent: Citiwest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)
RF to RF-SD - Portion of 10578 - 127A Street - to subdivide the site into one single-family lot and two semi-detached single-family lots.

Council direction received February 14, 2022

RES.R22-552

It was	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20578" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
--------	--

- 5. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20592"
7918-0088-00
Owner: Ridgeview Panorama Estates Ltd.
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)
To amend the OCP Figure 3 to redesignate the subject site located at 5400 - 148 Street from Suburban to Urban.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-553	It was 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20592" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, <u>Carried</u>
-------------	--	--

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 44 (CD 44), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20593"
RA to CD - 5400 - 148 Street - to permit the development of 39 townhouse units.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-554	It was Zone 44 (CD 44), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20593" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Comprehensive Development <u>Carried</u>
-------------	--	---

- 6. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20594"
7918-0164-00
Owner: Qualico Developments (Vancouver) Inc
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)
To amend the OCT Figure 3 for a portion of the site located at 10150 - 177A Street from Suburban to Urban.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-555	It was 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20594" pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>	Moved by Councillor Guerra Seconded by Councillor Patton That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, <u>Carried</u>
-------------	--	--

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20595"
RA to RF and RF-13 – portion of 10150 - 177A Street – to subdivide the site into 6 RF
lots, 21 RF-13 lots, an open space (riparian protection) lot, and a remnant lot.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-556
It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20595" pass its third reading.
Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7918-0164-00
Portion of 10150 - 177A Street - to reduce the minimum rear yard setback to the
principal building face for proposed Lots 9, 10, 11, 13, 24, and 26; reduce the minimum
front yard setback to the principal building face and to the garage for proposed
Lot 24; reduce the minimum rear yard setback from 7.5 metres to 5.5 metres for 50%
of the rear principal building face and 4.0 metres for the other 50% of the rear
principal building face for proposed Lot 25 and reduce the minimum lot depth for
proposed Lot 24, 25 and 26.

RES.R22-557
It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Development Variance
Permit No. 7918-0164-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
of the associated rezoning bylaw.
Carried

- 7. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022,
No. 20596"
7921-0160-00, 7921-0161-00
Owner: 0794043 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Joginder Dhaliwal)
Agent: Joe Dhaliwal
To amend OCP Figure 3 by redesignating the subject site located at
9933 Barnston Drive East from Commercial to Multiple Residential, and to
amend Figure 42 by removing the Commercial designation.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

RES.R22-558
It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20596" pass its third reading.
Carried

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 48 (CD 48), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20597" CD (Bylaw No. 17028) to CD – 9933 Barnston Drive East – to permit the development of a 54-unit, 5-storey mixed-use building, including 1,554 square metres of ground floor commercial and a stand-alone daycare, and 43 townhouse units.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
Zone 48 (CD 48), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20597" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-559 Carried

8. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20564" 3900-20-20564 – Regulatory Text Amendment
A bylaw to expand the Fleetwood Plan Area and to amend the amenity contributions for the Fleetwood Plan Area in accordance with the Stage 1 Plan and interim Fleetwood Plan Development Expectations Strategy.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R049

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20564" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-560 Carried

9. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20583" 3900-20-20583 – Regulatory Text Amendment
A bylaw to implement interim Secondary Plan Community Amenity Contribution rates in accordance with the approved Stage 1 Plan for the Guildford Town Centre – 104 Avenue Corridor Plan.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R048

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20583" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-561 Carried

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20583" be finally adopted.

RES.R22-562 Carried

12. Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0289-00
14387 – 18 Avenue
Owners: G. Heer, H. Heer
Agent: G. Heer
The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum rear yard (west) setback from 7.5 metres to 6 metres to the principal building face, in order to permit a single-family dwelling.

Four pieces of correspondence expressing opposition were received for this proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council authorize the issuance of

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0289-00.

RES.R22-566

Carried

13. Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0366-00
7677 – 132 Street (7675 – 132 Street)
Owners: Newplex Surrey Nominee Inc.
Agent: New Surrey Cabinet Doors (Kuldip Singh Sidhu)
The applicant is requesting a variance to increase the maximum height of an accessory structure from 6 metres to 9.8 metres, in order to permit an existing dust-collector.

No written submissions were received for this proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council authorize the issuance of

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0366-00.

RES.R22-567

Carried

PERMITS - SUPPORT

14. Development Variance Permit No. 7914-0147-00
14499 and 14500 – 59 Avenue
Owner: K. Dhanda, J. Dhanda, 0749813 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Parmjit Bal)
Agent: Coastland Engineering and Surveying Ltd. (Mike Helle)
The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum rear yard setback of a principal building from 7.5 metres to 6 metres for proposed Lots 1, 2 and 4, and to 5.5 metres for proposed Lot 3, in order to allow subdivision into 5 single family lots.

Four pieces of correspondence expressing opposition were received for this proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Council support Development Variance

Permit No. 7914-0147-00, and consider issuance of the Permit upon final approval of the associated Development Permit.

RES.R22-568

Carried

FINAL ADOPTIONS

15. "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of Portion of Road Adjacent to 15719 - 16 Avenue and 1634 - 157 Street, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20575"
3900-20-20575 - Council Initiative
A bylaw to remove the dedication of a 402.1 square metre portion of unopened road allowance to facilitate consolidation with the adjacent land under Development Application No. 7919-0183-00. In accordance with the Community Charter, SBC 2003, c.26, as amended, approval of the disposition of the lane will be considered by City Council at a later date.

Council direction received January 17, 2022
Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R006

The Mayor called for any persons wishing to make representations on Bylaw No. 20575.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

It was
Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Close and Remove the
Dedication of Highway of Portion of Road Adjacent to 15719 - 16 Avenue and
1634 - 157 Street, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20575" be finally adopted.

RES.R22-569

Carried

16. "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of Portion of Lane Adjacent to 10458, 10476, 10490 and 10508 - 138A Street and 10463, 10469, 10477, 10495 and 10505 - 139 Street, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20576"
3900-20-20576 - Council Initiative
A bylaw to remove the dedication of a 658.9 square metre portion of unopened lane allowance to facilitate consolidation with the adjacent land under Development Application No. 7919-0091-00. In accordance with the Community Charter, SBC 2003, c.26, as amended, approval of the disposition of the lane will be considered by City Council at a later date.

Council direction received January 31, 2022
Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R020

The Mayor called for any persons wishing to make representations on Bylaw No. 20576.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed By-law.

It was
Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That "Surrey Close and Remove the
Dedication of Highway of Portion of Lane Adjacent to 10458, 10476, 10490 and
10508 - 138A Street and 10463, 10469, 10477, 10495 and 10505 - 139 Street, Bylaw,
2022, No. 20576" be finally adopted.

RES.R22-570

Carried

17. "Drinking Water Conservation Plan Bylaw, 2004, No. 15454, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20585"

3900-20-20585 – Regulatory Text Amendment

A housekeeping bylaw that aligns with recent changes to the Metro Vancouver drinking water conservation plan to ensure a consistent regional approach to managing water resources.

Council direction received March 7, 2022

Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R042

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That "Drinking Water Conservation Plan

Bylaw, 2004, No. 15454, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20585" be finally adopted.

Carried

RES.R22-571

INTRODUCTIONS

18. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20601"

3900-20-20601 – Regulatory Text Amendment

A bylaw to ensure consistency and strengthen alignment between the OCP and the City Centre Plan, which represents a clear strategy to protect for and advance office space and employment opportunity within a designated urban centre in alignment with the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy.

Earlier in the meeting, Council approved the recommendations of Corporate Report Item No. R063. Bylaw No. 20601 is therefore in order for consideration.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,

2013, No. 18020, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20601" pass its first reading.

Carried

RES.R22-572

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra

Seconded by Councillor Patton

That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,

2013, No. 18020, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20601" pass its second reading.

Carried

RES.R22-573

I. CLERK'S REPORT

1. Approval of Business Improvement Area ("BIA") Financial Statements and Budgets

Investment & Intergovernmental Relations and Finance advise (see memorandum in back-up) that the financial statements and budgets have been received from Surrey's four BIA's and based on the external auditors reports it is in order for Council to receive the submissions provided by each BIA. Each submission includes the following items:

- BIA 2022 AGM Notice
- BIA 2022 AGM Minutes
- BIA 2022 Budget
- BIA 2022 Board of Directors List
- BIA Certified Insurance Policy
- BIA Audited Financial Statements
- BIA Annual Report

(a) **Fleetwood Business Improvement Association**

File: 1970-10 F

(b) **Newton Business Improvement Association**

File: 1970-10 N

(c) **Cloverdale Business Improvement Association**

File: 1970-10 C

(d) **Downtown Surrey Business Improvement Association**

File: 1970-10 W

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton

That Council receive the submissions from:

1. The Fleetwood Business Improvement Association;
2. The Newton Business Improvement Association;
3. Cloverdale Business Improvement Association; and
4. Downtown Surrey Business Improvement Association

RES.R22-578

Carried

2. **Delegation Requests**

(a) **Allen Aubert, Secretary, and John Sprung, Chairman
Fraser Valley Heritage Railway Society**

File: 0550-20-10

It was Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That Allen Aubert, Secretary, and John
Sprung, Chairman Fraser Valley Heritage Railway Society be heard as a
delegation at Council-in-Committee.

RES.R22-579

Carried

J. **NOTICE OF MOTION**

This section had no items to consider.

K. **OTHER BUSINESS**

1. **Position Paper regarding Reforms to the *Police Act***

File: 7400-01

At the March 7, 2022, Regular Council – Public Hearing meeting, Councillor Hundial provided the following Notice of Motion:

"That Surrey prepare a position paper to be submitted to the Special Committee of the Legislator to consider reforms to the *Police Act* as an urgent matter, while the Committee is still in full force and before it concludes its work and submits recommendations to the Legislator."

Mayor McCallum advised that the deadline to submit comments regarding the reforms to the *Police Act* is March 31, 2022 and that the RCMP will submit feedback.

Council requested that staff seek an extension to the submission deadline.

It was Moved by Councillor Hundial
Seconded by Councillor Guerra
That Surrey prepare a position paper to be
submitted to the Special Committee of the Legislator to consider reforms to the
Police Act as an urgent matter, while the Committee is still in full force and before
it concludes its work and submits recommendations to the Legislator.

RES.R22-580

Carried

L. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Councillor Guerra
Seconded by Councillor Patton
That the March 28, 2022 Regular Council -

Public Hearing meeting be adjourned.

RES.R22-581

Carried

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 10:14 p.m.

Certified correct:

Jennifer Ficocelli, City Clerk

Mayor Doug McCallum