

Present:

Chairperson - Mayor Locke
Councillor Annis
Councillor Bains
Councillor Bose
Councillor Elford
Councillor Hepner
Councillor Kooner
Councillor Nagra
Councillor Stutt

Absent:**Staff Present:**

City Manager
City Clerk
General Manager, Corporate Services
General Manager, Engineering
General Manager, Finance
General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Culture
General Manager, Planning & Development
City Solicitor
Manager, Area Planning & Development, North Division
Manager, Area Planning & Development, South Division
Land Development Engineer

A. ADOPTIONS**1. Adoption of the Agenda**

It was

Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That:

1. The agenda of the November 28, 2022, Regular Council Public Hearing meeting be amended by:

- Varying the agenda to consider Corporate Report R209 immediately following Item B.3;
- Add Item E.1(a): World AIDS Day – December 1, 2022;
- Removing Item H.20: Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0210-00;
- Adding Item J.1: Application No. 7918-0033-00; and

2. The agenda be adopted as amended.

RES.R22-2149

Carried**2. Adoption of the Minutes****a. Regular Council Inaugural Meeting - November 7, 2022**

It was

Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That the minutes of the Regular Council
Inaugural meeting held on November 7, 2022, be adopted.

RES.R22-2150

Carried

3. **Assistant Commissioner Brian Edwards, Officer in Charge
Surrey RCMP**

Note: See Corporate Report R209.

Assistant Commissioner Brian Edwards, Officer in Charge, provided a presentation regarding the framework for maintaining the RCMP as the police of jurisdiction in Surrey and highlighted the following information:

- The RCMP has provided policing services in Surrey for over 70 years and has addressed unique situations over the years. Solutions are often community-based approaches and tailored to Surrey's specific needs. Overall crime rates have continually decreased over the last 10 years.
- The Police Transition is currently in Phase 1, with Phase 2 being when the Surrey Police Services (SPS) will take over and become the Police of Jurisdiction in Surrey. A legal binding agreement and transfer of infrastructure is still required and there is currently no legal mechanism for the RCMP to work under the SPS command at this time.
- There is currently a shortage in the labour market that impacts more sectors, including policing. The Surrey RCMP currently has 573 operational members, with 161 additional members required. There are several strategies to hire more officers. The RCMP can implement immediate steps to increase staffing with Council's approval. Recruiting would target current operational and non-operational SPS officers and officers from other locations. A Collective Agreement that was signed in August 2021 brought the RCMP rate of pay to the same as other municipal officers. This step has increased staffing already due to the options available to RCMP officers, and the same current rate of pay that is now available.
- The following should be considered prior to continuing with the transition: legal authorities to operate, infrastructure such as computers, phones, radios, facilities and vehicles, information management, civilian support, exhibits and legal indemnification.

In response to questions from Council, the Assistant Commissioner provided the following information:

- Of the 519 vacancies within the RCMP, 277 positions were never funded by the Province and could not have been filled. With the recent announcement from the Province regarding funding, the RCMP can now move forward with filling those 277 vacancies. It is also anticipated that the recent collective agreement that will bring the rate of pay up to the same as municipal police forces will assist with hiring.
- The Surrey RCMP must hire 161 positions in order to reach its fully staffed number of 734 positions. The Surrey Police Services must hire 450 positions in order to be fully staffed

- The decision to choose an employer is one that is made by an individual, not a group.
- The RCMP can recruit locally, specific to Surrey, and that is one of its hiring strategies. Individuals from BC can be pre-posted to positions in BC, if they prefer.
- Under the *Municipal Police Unit Agreement*, the RCMP reports directly to the Mayor. Previously, the City of Surrey has delegated this authority to the Public Safety Committee. The RCMP is required to implement the priorities, objectives and goals of Council. The *Municipal Police Act* also includes a provision that allows for a Police Committee to be appointed by the government, with specific duties, as well as other duties as set out by the Minister.

The agenda was varied to address Corporate Report R209 immediately following Item B.3.

Item No. R209 Framework for Maintaining the RCMP as Police of Jurisdiction in Surrey
File: 7400-01

The General Manager, Community Services, General Manager, Finance, and General Manager, Corporate Services, submitted a report concerning to seek Council's endorsement of the priorities, goals, and objectives for policing in 2023 and to seek endorsement of the framework for maintaining the RCMP as the Police of Jurisdiction in Surrey. This framework will guide the development of a final plan to maintain the RCMP as the POJ in Surrey which will be presented to Council for endorsement on December 12, 2022, should Council endorse the recommendations of this report.

It was	Moved by Councillor Stutt Seconded by Councillor Hepner That the recommendations outlined in
Corporate Report R209 be divided. RES.R22-2154	<u>Carried</u>

It was	Moved by Councillor Annis Seconded by Councillor Bose That Council receive Corporate Report R209
for information. RES.R22-2155	<u>Carried</u>

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council endorse the priorities, goals
and objectives for policing in 2023, as described in Corporate Report R209.
RES.R22-2156 Carried
With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.

It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council endorse the proposed
framework for maintaining the Royal Canadian Mounted Police as the Police of
Jurisdiction in Surrey as outlined in Corporate Report R209.
RES.R22-2157 Carried
With Councillors Annis, Elford and Nagra
opposed.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council direct staff to present the final
plan for maintaining the RCMP as Police of Jurisdiction in Surrey for Council endorsement
at the December 12, 2022 Council meeting.
RES.R22-2158 Carried
With Councillors Annis, Elford and Nagra
opposed.

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That an independent accountant serve on
the Project Team established to develop the transition framework and report back to
Council on the true costs of the police transition.
RES.R22-2159 Defeated
With Mayor Locke and Councillors Bains,
Kooner, Hepner and Stutt opposed.

B. DELEGATIONS - PUBLIC HEARING

1. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 84 (CD 84), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20744" Application No. 7922-0040-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10135 - 173 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: 10145 173rd Street Project Ltd.
(Director Information: Yidan Chen, Ying Fan, Hai Ji)
Agent: Sutton Select Property Management & Realty (Clairy Yang)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Half-Acre Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to allow subdivision into two single family lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to tree removal.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, B. Atwal expressing support for the proposal.

2. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20762"
Application No. 7917-0085-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 15864 - 112 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owner: Athwal Construction Inc.
Agent: WSP Canada Inc. (Scott Pelletier)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Zone in order to subdivide the subject site into six single family residential lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to tree removal.

Resident: The delegation spoke to infrastructure costs.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree removal

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, S. and W. Kassam expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking and traffic.

3. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20770"
Application No. 7922-0091-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 10945 - 142 Street (10947 - 142 Street)

APPLICANT: Owners: S. Anderson, S. Anderson
Agent: S. Anderson

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from Single Family Residential Zone to Duplex Residential Zone in order to bring an existing, non-conforming duplex into compliance under the appropriate zone in the Zoning Bylaw No. 12000 for future strata conversion.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum lot width from 24 metres to 23 metres.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation spoke to the lack of dimensions provided for variances, and variance compensation.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to variance compensation.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

4. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20764" Application No. 7918-0108-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 14124 - 113A Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: S. Chumber, K. Karara
Agent: Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the site from Single Family Residential Zone to Single Family Residential (13) Zone in order to subdivide the subject site into two single family small lots.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum lot depth for a Type II Lot from 24 metres to 21 metres for proposed Lots 1 and 2.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to tree removal.

M. Kompter, HUB Engineering Inc. (Agent on behalf of the Applicant): The Agent provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to lot size, neighbourhood support, and infrastructure related to development.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.

- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

5. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20748"**
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 90 (CD 90), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20749"
Application No. 7921-0189-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 9537 Prince Charles Boulevard

APPLICANT: Owner: Kekinow Native Housing Society
Agent: NSDA Architects (Molly Chan)

PURPOSE: The applicant is seeking to amend the Official Community Plan Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site specific permission for the subject site to permit a density up to 1.70 FAR. The proposal also includes rezoning the subject site from Multiple Residential 15 Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit the development of two residential buildings of 5- and 6-storeys and one 6 storey mixed-use building containing approximately 175 affordable rental dwelling units for people of Indigenous ancestry.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to tree removal, water main and sewer connection requirements, and traffic.

L. Chau, Entre Nous Femme Housing Society: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing, supportive services, parking, and proximity to amenities.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to opportunities to implement greenery on the flat roof.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. and K. Smith-Weston expressing concerns for the proposal citing trees, light, noise, parking, infrastructure, and density.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, Entre Nous Femmes expressing support for the proposal.

6. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20746"
Application No. 7921-0276-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 6703 and 6725 - 192 Street Diversion; 6702 - 191A Street;
19132 and 19156 - 67 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: Simranjeet Dhanoa, Kamaljit Dhanoa,
0760815 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Simranjeet S. Dhanoa),
1355255 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Kamaljit K. Dhanoa,
Simranjeet S. Dhanoa), Benchmark Management Ltd.
(Director Information: Robert Bontkes, Christina Bontkes)
Agent: H.Y. Engineering Ltd. (Fahad Abrahani)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Special Single Family Residential (9) Zone and a portion of the subject site from Single Family Residential (9) Coach House Zone to Special Single Family Residential (9) Zone, and another portion from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Zone in order to allow the consolidation and re-subdivision of five remnant lots into two single family urban (RF) lots, eleven single family urban small lots and one remnant lot in East Clayton.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum lot width requirement for proposed Lot 12; reduce the minimum lot depth requirement for proposed Lot 13; reduce the minimum front (east) yard setback on proposed Lots 12-13; reduce the minimum front (west) yard setback on proposed Lots 12-13; reduce the minimum front (west) setback of an accessory building or structure and vary the requirements to permit a basement access and basement well between the principal building and the front (west) lot line on proposed Lots 12-13; and vary the requirements to permit a basement access and basement well between the principal building and the front lot line on proposed Lots 1-7.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to the proposed zoning and land use designation, and traffic.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree retention, and Restrictive Covenant maintenance requirements for landscaping.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation spoke to the lack of information regarding the proposed variances.

F. Abrahani, H.Y. Engineering Ltd. (Agent on behalf of the Applicant): The Agent provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to the proposed rezoning and variances, and neighbourhood support.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, K. Marks expressing concern for the proposal citing parking.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, C. Thompson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, D. Thompson expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

Council recessed at 9:23 p.m. and the meeting reconvened at 9:30 p.m.

7. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20753"
Application No. 7921-0166-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 5811 - 175 Street (5813 - 175 Street); 17459 - 58 Avenue
(17461 - 58 Avenue) and 17475 - 58 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: G. Dhillon, A. Sidhu, H. Dhillon, S. Dhillon
Agent: Igel Architecture Ltd. (Andrew Igel)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Single Family Residential Zone to Multiple Residential 30 Zone in order to permit the development of 18 townhouse units in Cloverdale Town Centre.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum east front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 3 metres to the principal building face of Building 2 and 4; to reduce the minimum west rear yard setback from 6 metres to 3.1 metres to the principal building face of Building 1; and to reduce the minimum indoor amenity space required before cash in-lieu may be applied for an 18-unit townhouse development from 37 square metres to 0 square metres.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to inconsistency with the existing neighbourhood character, Official Community Plan and Cloverdale Town Centre Plan.

A. Nijjar, City Centre: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing proximity to Kwantlen Polytechnic University and the future Cloverdale hospital and other amenities, density, affordability, and tree retention and replanting.

H. Sidhu, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing supply, and proximity to Kwantlen Polytechnic University and the future Cloverdale hospital and other amenities.

H. Saran, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing conformance with the Official Community Plan, density, and proximity to arterial roads, Kwantlen Polytechnic University, the future Cloverdale hospital and other amenities.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the lack of indoor amenity space.

D. Sharma, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing proximity to arterial roads, Kwantlen Polytechnic University, the future Cloverdale hospital and other amenities.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

**8. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2009, No. 17031, Amendment Bylaw, 2010, No. 17269, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 17708, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20741"
Application No. 7922-0202-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 7475 - 135 Street (Unit 107 and 108)

APPLICANT: Owner: 1353459 B.C. Ltd.
(Director Information: Amritpal S. Jawanda, Kevin Johal, Shivraj S. Riar)
Agent: S. Jawanda

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to amend Comprehensive Development Bylaw No. 17031 in order to permit a small-scale drug store in association with a new medical clinic on the lot. The amendment also includes housekeeping changes to remove obsolete imperial equivalency measurements.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum separation requirement between drug stores, small-scale drug stores or methadone dispensaries from 400 metres to 20 metres.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation spoke to the reduction in distance between small scale drug stores and dispensaries.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal citing distancing.

9. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20751"**
"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20752"
Application No. 7920-0062-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 5872 - 152 Street

APPLICANT: Owners: K. Sharma, N. Sharma
Agent: Westridge Engineering & Consulting Ltd. (Dave Kajal)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) Figure 5: Suburban Density Exception Areas for the subject site by removing the site from the Suburban Density Exception Area. The proposal also includes rezoning the same site from Half-Acre Residential Zone to Quarter Acre Residential Zone in order to subdivide from one into two suburban single family lots, and to permit retention of the existing single family dwelling on Lot 1.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum (east) rear yard principal building setback from 7.5 metres to 3.85 metres to the principal building face on proposed Lot 1, and reduce the minimum (south) side yard principal building setback from 2.4 metres to 1.75 metres to the principal building face on proposed Lot 1, to retain the existing building on Lot 1.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to neighbourhood opposition to the proposal, tree removal, drop-off access for the childcare space.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree removal.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees and parking.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

10. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20776"
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 91 (CD 91), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20777"
Application No. 7917-0427-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 8483 and 8495 - 164 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: Ravi Investments Ltd. (Director Information: Ravinder S. Sanghera, Paramjit S. Sanghera)
Agent: CitiWest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP) Figure 3: General Land Use Designations, to redesignate the site from Urban to Multiple Residential and amend Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site specific permission for the same site to permit a density up to 2.16 FAR. The proposal also includes rezoning the subject site from Single Family Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to develop a 5-storey apartment building with approximately 55 units.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, density, inconsistency with the Fleetwood Town Centre Plan and Official Community Plan, neighbourhood opposition, tree removal, parking, road safety, and traffic.

Resident, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing rezoning, safety, density, building height, inconsistency with the existing neighbourhood character, school capacity, parking and blocking of views.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to the opportunity to implement greenery on the flat roof and native plantings.

Resident, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, traffic, and safety.

S. Takhar, Applicant: The Applicant provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy, proximity to amenities and transit, affordable housing, density, building siting, amenities, vehicle access, bike parking and amenities, electric vehicle amenities, and neighbourhood support.

Resident, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing safety and density.

Resident, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height and density.

The following expressed opposition to the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- W. Luo, Fleetwood

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, K. Jarvis expressing opposition for the proposal citing school and parking.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal citing height and character of neighborhood.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, V. Serna expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, wildlife, and property devaluation.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, F. Garcia expressing concerns for the proposal citing trees, character of neighbourhood, noise, and parking.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, F. Kamida expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, noise, parking, and public engagement.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, S. Hem expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighborhood, parking, density, congestion, safety, and traffic.

**11. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 89 (CD 89), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20745"
Application No. 7921-0339-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 3017 McBride Avenue

APPLICANT: Owner: Hemingford Homes Inc.
Agent: WSP Canada Inc. (Scott Pelletier)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Single Family Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to permit the construction of a new single family dwelling.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation pointed out that Director information for the applicant is not listed on the agenda.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Barker expressing support for the proposal.

12. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20766"**
Application No. 7921-0368-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 12590 - 15A Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: L. Parsons, G. Parsons
Agent: Sarah Gallop Design Inc. (Sean Hemenway)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Single Family Residential Zone to Single Family Residential Oceanfront Zone in order to allow for an addition to an existing single family dwelling.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum front yard (north) setback from 10 metres to 3.6 metres and the minimum rear yard (south) setback from 10 metres to 1.8 metres to the principal building face. The proposal also includes a reduction in the minimum required lot depth from 45 metres to 30.3 metres, and an increase to the maximum second floor area requirements allowed from 80% of the ground floor area to 115%.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

There were no persons present to speak to the proposed Bylaw.

The following expressed their support for the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- L. Parsons, Ocean Park
- G. Parsons, Ocean Park

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

13. **"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20771"**
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 92 (CD 92), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20772"
Application No. 7921-0101-00

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2513 - 140 Street

APPLICANT: Owners: U. Heer, H. Cumo
Agent: Everttek Engineering (Gagan Oberoi)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Half-Acre Residential Zone and rezone a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to subdivide the subject site into two suburban single family lots.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing tree removal, and non-support of City policies.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree removal, replanting and valuation.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Bansal expressing support for the proposal.

**14. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20765"
Application No. 7921-0174-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 1788 - 168 Street

APPLICANT: Owner: DLJ Development Ltd.
(Director Information: Bhupinder S. Johal)
Agent: Ankenman Marchand Architects (Daniel Martins)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone a portion of the subject site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Multiple Residential 30 Zone in order to permit the development of a townhouse site with 123 units, and a detention pond.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum east rear yard and south side yard setbacks from 6 metres to 3 metres to the principal building face, for units No. 78, 91, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122 and 123; to reduce the rear yard setback from 6 metres to 1 metre for parking space No. 10; and to increase the maximum height of the Indoor Amenity Space Building from 11 metres to 11.3 metres. The proposal also includes a reduction in the minimum streamside setback from the top of bank of a Class B Channelized Stream from 15 metres to 10 metres at the narrowest point.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing tree removal, parking, Hazelmere petition boundaries and threshold requirements.

G. Norris, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing and roof top patios.

J. Sacharia, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, unit size and roof top patios.

D. Whitfield, Grandview: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing stable housing for families.

S. Duhra, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree removal and replanting, tree removal from the riparian area, lack of environmental reports, and fish habitat.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation spoke to variance compensation, tree removal from the riparian area, and fish habitat.

S. Marples, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing, and consistency with the existing neighbourhood.

M. Kompter, HUB Engineering Inc. (Agent on behalf of the Applicant): The Agent provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to the neighbourhood context and adjacent development, Darts Hill Garden Pond.

The following expressed support for the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- K. Minhas, South Surrey
- J. Dhaliwal, South Surrey
- M. Minhas, South Surrey
- B. Pannu, South Surrey

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

15. **"Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20778"**
"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 82 (CD 82), Bylaw, 2022, No 20779"
Application No. 7918-0103-00; 7918-0103-01

CIVIC ADDRESS: 2950, 2960, 3010, 3038 and 3050 Croydon Drive

APPLICANT: Owners: Forte Futures Inc., Rosemary Business Park Ltd. (Director Information: Jerry Luking, Charles Westgard), o834221 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Cory K. S. Saran), Amanim Holdings Ltd. (Director Information: Amani Morra)
 Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to amend the Official Community Plan (OCP), Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site specific permission for a portion of the site to allow for a FAR of 1.9 within Site 1 and 2.7 within Site 2 within the Mixed Employment land use designation. The proposal also includes rezoning a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone, Business Park Zone and Comprehensive Development Zone (CD By-law No. 19297) to Comprehensive Development Zone in order to develop six business park buildings.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to zonings, density, BC Hydro right-of-way requirements, traffic studies and questioned statements in the Planning Report.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to tree removal and plantings, use of tree trunks, lighting, and development-related costs.

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Submission dated November 20, 2022, C. Eng expressing concerns for the proposal citing traffic.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, E. Owusu-Ansah expressing concerns for the proposal citing environment.
- Email dated November 22, 2022, Paramount Pediatrics expressing concerns for the proposal citing environment.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, C. Marshall expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Dhanowa expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Letter received November 24, 2022, L. Lin expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter received November 24, 2022, R. Klassen expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter received November 24, 2022, S. Yadwood expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra-Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, K. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, S. Forte expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, T. Short expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, J. Williamson expressing opposition for the proposal citing parks, wildlife, noise, and trees.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, F. Tisdale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, M. Turnbull expressing opposition for the proposal citing trees and parks.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, N. McKnight expressing opposition for the proposal.

- Email dated November 28, 2022, 834221 BC Ltd expressing support for the proposal.

**16. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20769"
Application No. 7922-0149-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 16611, 16651 and 16681 - 20 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owner: Marathon Homes Sunnyside Ltd.
(Director Information: Gurjot Gill, Jaspreet Gill)
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting to rezone a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone and Single Family Residential (12) Zone to Multiple Residential 30 Zone and a portion of the site from One-Acre Residential Zone to Single Family Residential (10) Zone in order to develop 171 townhouse units and two single family lots on the subject site.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum north front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 18, 19, 20, and 21; reduce the minimum north side yard setback from 6 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Building 17; reduce the minimum west front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 17 and 18; reduce the minimum west side yard setback from 6 metres to 5 metres to the principal building face for Building 15; reduce the minimum southwest side yard setback from 6 metres to 3 metres to the principal building face for Building 4 and from 6 metres to 3.5 metres for Building 5; reduce the minimum east side yard setback from 6 metres to 3.7 metres to the principal building face for Building 21; reduce the minimum southeast side yard setback from 6 metres to 3.6 metres to the principal building face for Building 23, and from 6 metres to 4 metres for Building 22; and reduce the minimum east front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 1 and 2.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke to tree removal.

D. Jack, Surrey Environmental Partners: The delegation spoke to cluster housing, parkland acquisition and tree retention.

M. Koka, Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Agent on behalf of the Applicant): The Agent provided an overview of the proposal.

A. Kaps, North Surrey: The delegation spoke to tree removal, lack of dimensions for the proposed variances, and development-related costs.

Resident, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

S. Uppal, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability, and proposed greenspace.

S. Marples, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing housing affordability and spoke to traffic.

J. Gill, Representative of the Developer: The representative provided an overview of the proposal.

The following expressed their support for the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- A. Gill, South Surrey
- A. Gill, South Surrey
- K. Minhas, South Surrey
- B. Pannu, South Surrey
- A. Sangha, South Surrey
- A. Ugre, South Surrey
- K. Mangat, South Surrey
- S. Duhra, South Surrey
- M. Minhas, South Surrey
- G. Gill, Cloverdale
- N. Kandola, Cloverdale

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Submission dated November 16, 2022, J. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 16, 2022, M. May expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 16, 2022, P. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 16, 2022, T. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, E. Liu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, E. McDonald expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, E. McDonald expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, E. McDonald expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, K. Thompson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, S. Huang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, S. Sun expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, S. Sun expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 17, 2022, V. Carpenter expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 18, 2022, C. Xu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 18, 2022, J. Zhang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 18, 2022, S. Shaoyu Shi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, B. Thind expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, C. Thind expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 20, 2022, M. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, R. Shera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, S. Thind expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, T. Herath expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 21, 2022, D. Peters expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 21, 2022, G. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, A. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, A. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, A. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, B. Hassan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, B. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, D. Hundial expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, D. Nijjar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, D. Vuckovic expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, E. Benjamin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, G. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, G. Robins expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Gair expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Kassam expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, K. Devlin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, K. Gair expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, K. Manan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, M. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, M. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, M. Michel expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, R. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, R. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, R. Lucas expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, R. Shera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, S. Andersen expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, S. Burgess Robins expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, S. Howr expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, S. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, T. Devlin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, A. Benjamin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, A. Benjamin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, A. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, B. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, G. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, H. Berglund expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, H. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, H. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, H. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, J. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, J. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 23, 2022, J. Singh expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, L. Lewis expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, M. Christopherson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, N. Brown expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, P. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Dhanowa expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, S. Peterson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, Z. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, C. Fairhurst expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, D. Maan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, G. Embley expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Shah expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, A. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, A. Colter expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, B. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, D. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, D. Peter expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, D. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, G. Purewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, H. Bath expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, J. Bansal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, J. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, J. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, M. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, M. Morton-Kassam expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, P. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, R. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, R. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, V. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Athwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Hundal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, A. Sekhon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, B. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, B. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, B. Sandhar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, B. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, B. Sekhon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, D. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 25, 2022, D. Sohi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, H. Sohi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, I. Bedi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra-Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bansal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Jagpal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, K. Bains expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, K. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, K. Purewall expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Athwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Pattar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Randhawa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Sidhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, M. Sodhi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, Marathon Group expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, N. Johal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Gewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Gill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Malli expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Oppal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, P. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Boyal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Skleryk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Bedi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Boyal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Johal expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Malli expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Malli expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Malli expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Sanfgera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Sanghera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, T. Moe expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, Y. Yee Ng-Skleryk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Bhurji expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Heed expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Mundi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Samra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, B. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, G. Bhurji expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, G. Dhaliwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, G. Randhawa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Hayer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Heer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Klar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Paul expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Paul expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Randhawa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Sandhu expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, K. Ireland expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, L. Batchelor expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, L. Hazell expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, M. Basi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, M. Gaube expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, M. Yasin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, N. Kandola expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, N. Mansahia expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, N. Nagra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, P. Bhurji expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, P. Randhawa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Dale expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Dhanowa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Hayer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Heed expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, S. Bath expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, S. Dosanjh expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, S. Maan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, T. Athwal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, T. Davidson expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Dhillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Heed expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Sachdev expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Samra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, B. Wu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Gao expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Jensen expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Martin expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, C. Van Haeften expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Wang expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and school.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, D. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, E. Randhawa expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, G. Cuda expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, schools, hospitals, roads and infrastructure.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, G. Samra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, H. Hong expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, H. Spoothari expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood and schools.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, J. Martin expressing opposition for the proposal citing environment.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, J. Martin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, L. Jensen expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Xia expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood and density.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, P. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Deo expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Kumar expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Rosenfeld expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Rosenfeld expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Sachdev expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Bhatta expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Chauhan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Jiang expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density, schools, parking, and safety.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Muller expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Shergill expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, V. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, Z. Yin expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Cheung expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Jones expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.

- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Schroeder expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, B. Marin expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Begley expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, parking, traffic and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Boyda expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, traffic, infrastructure, privacy and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Cradock expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, safety, and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Hendrick expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Lee expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, character of neighbourhood, congestion, traffic and parking.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Sanchez expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, C. Zhou expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, D. Anders expressing opposition for the proposal citing public consultation.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, D. Fortuna expressing opposition for the proposal citing infrastructure, roads and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, D. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, F. Catonio expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density, and parking.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, F. Somers expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density, and parking.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, G. Clous expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, G. Gill expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, G. Lally expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, G. Wang expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and trees.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, H. Chomani expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, H. Chomani expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, H. Cui expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, H. Zhang expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, J. Bennett expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, J. Sun expressing opposition for the proposal citing narrow road.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, J. Sunner expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and congestion.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, J. Sunner expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, K. Boyda expressing opposition for the proposal citing traffic congestion, infrastructure, density and character of neighbourhood.

- Submission dated November 28, 2022, K. Jian expressing opposition for the proposal citing narrow road.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. Cheung expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. Cummings expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. Cummings expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. Fortuna expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. J. expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and school.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, L. Xu expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and traffic.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, M. Brar expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, M. Hendrick expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, M. Kaur expressing opposition for the proposal citing infrastructure and privacy.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, M. Schroeder expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, N. Harder expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, N. Rai expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, N. Yu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, P. Redding expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, P. Webb expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, Q. Ren expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion, density, and crime rate.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Gundhra expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Huang expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Lee expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Li expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Li expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Mcmillan expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, R. Sun expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and schools.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Barn expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Dutta expressing opposition for the proposal citing density.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Jack expressing opposition for the proposal citing narrow roads.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Kumar expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Ning expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood and narrow roads.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Sui expressing opposition for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Sun expressing opposition for the proposal citing congestion and high density.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, T. Bennett expressing opposition for the proposal citing density and character of neighbourhood.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, T. Heer expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density and setbacks.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, T. Yu expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, W. Casey expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, schools, and roads.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, W. Hundial expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, W. Shin expressing opposition for the proposal citing narrow roads.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, X. Y. expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, Z. Sun expressing opposition for the proposal citing narrow roads.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, Z. Tan expressing opposition for the proposal citing density, traffic and environment.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, Z. Zurich expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.

17. **"Surrey Semiahmoo Trail Heritage Designation Bylaw, 2004, No. 15280, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20780"
"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20781"
Application No. 7922-0305-00**

CIVIC ADDRESS: 15077 and 15153 – 20 Avenue

APPLICANT: Owners: Peninsula Estates Housing Society, City of Surrey
Agent: Peninsula Estates Housing Society (Elizabeth Tichelman)

PURPOSE: The applicant is requesting an amendment to the Surrey Semiahmoo Trail Heritage Designation Bylaw, 2004, No. 15280, as amended and an amendment to Schedule H of the Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000 in order to facilitate the realignment of a portion of the Semiahmoo Trail, which currently runs down the centre of the subject site, and is located on 15153 – 20 Avenue. The realignment of the Trail to the west side of 151A Street will provide for a more coherent and visible trail alignment, and will facilitate the development of a six-storey building with 91 non-market rental residential units for affordable and supportive housing.

In addition, the proposal includes a Development Variance Permit to vary the maximum building height allowed from 13 metres to 19.2 metres; reduce the minimum Side Yard on Flanking Street (151A Street) setback from 7.5 metres to 3.5 metres for the existing apartment building and to 2.1 metres for the existing townhouse building; reduce the minimum setback from the Semiahmoo Trail from 10 metres to 8 metres to the entry canopy along 151A Street; reduce the minimum number of on site parking spaces from 137 parking spaces to 83 parking spaces; and reduce the indoor amenity requirement from 279 square metres to 116 square metres.

The Notice of the Public Hearing was read by the City Clerk.

R. Landale, Fleetwood: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposed citing variances and conditions of the proposed housing agreement.

N. Hartman, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing supportive, inclusive housing.

L. Chau, Entre Nous Femmes Society: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable supportive, inclusive housing.

D. Westdorp, Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing supportive housing.

I. Jarvis, UNITI: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable, supportive housing, development plan, consistency with City policies, tree retention and replanting, Semiahmoo Trail realignment, parking, and greenspace.

G. Chambers, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, impact on neighbouring properties, proposed variances, tree removal, traffic, inconsistency with the Official Community Plan, and future development of the site.

A. Bailey, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, impact on neighbouring properties, proposed variances, traffic, parking, road issues, trees, inconsistency with existing City policies, and realignment of the Semiahmoo Trail.

B. Gangass, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable housing.

M. Gangass, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable and safe housing.

K. Crocker, UNITI: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable and safe housing.

S. Wiscsorak, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable and safe housing, proximity to amenities and transit, and successful housing model.

L. Holmes, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, density, proposed variances, traffic, and parking.

M. Sabine (speaking on behalf of T. Sheppard): The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing lot size, trees, and parking requirements.

A. Huberman, Surrey Board of Trade: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable housing, economic development, focused growth, greenspace, realignment of the Semiahmoo Trail, amenity space, and outdoor plaza.

B. Tichelman, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing community support, zoning of adjacent properties, and development plan.

M. Sabine, Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

K. Mulholland: South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, proposed crosswalk to be constructed, road access, traffic and parking.

P. Brownsword, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing building height, density, inconsistency with the existing neighbourhood character and City policies, traffic, and road access.

E. Liebert, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in opposition to the proposal citing development plan, density, building height, inconsistency with the existing neighbourhood character and City policies, parking, and tree removal.

J. Hayes, White Rock: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing inclusive, affordable and safe housing, realignment of the Semiahmoo Trail and proximity to amenities.

E. Tichelman, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing.

R. Pope, Cloverdale: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing stable, affordable housing.

A. Barsum, Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable housing.

R. Mutchler, Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the realignment of the Semiahmoo Trail and affordable housing.

R. Gorman, Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal.

Council recessed at 12:38 a.m. and reconvened at 12:46 a.m.

L. Simpson, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable, inclusive housing.

T. Halford, South Surrey: The delegation spoke in support of the proposal citing affordable, safe housing.

D. Tennant, UNITI: The delegation provided an overview of the proposal and spoke to affordable housing, development plan, consistency with the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan and City policies, building height and site plan, and density.

The following expressed their support for the proposal but did not wish to speak:

- P. Milne, Surrey
- J. Sephton, Surrey
- H. Grant, Surrey
- J. Coombs, Abbotsford
- P. Gebor, Langley
- K. Charlton, South Surrey
- G. Powell, South Surrey
- K. McGregor, Whalley
- M. Cruickshank, Kennedy
- A. Morrison, South Surrey
- S. Tripathi, Surrey
- A. Tripathi, Surrey
- J. Glennie, Surrey
- K. Secret, Fleetwood
- T. Wall, Elgin
- S. Kohler, South Surrey
- A. Lenwald, South Surrey
- T. Sheppard, South Surrey
- G. Dumitrgan, South Surrey
- G. Duly, South Surrey/White Rock
- L. Secret, Fleetwood
- D. Armstrong, White Rock
- G. Simpson, South Surrey
- B. Simpson, South Surrey
- E. Deschenes, Cloverdale
- M. Deschens, Cloverdale
- P. Weslowski, South Surrey
- M. Micla, North Surrey
- D. Tennant, Delta
- N. Ware, South Surrey
- J. Marabulos, South Surrey
- J. Aristizabal, South Surrey
- A. Pol, Fleetwood
- S. Baranszky, Delta/Ladner

- T. Aristizabal, South Surrey
- A. Gill, South Surrey
- J. Gill, South Surrey
- A. Gill, South Surrey
- T. Leport, South Surrey
- J. Russell, South Surrey
- L. Mercado, Fleetwood
- C. Drake, White Rock
- S. Clemas, South Surrey
- K. Milne, South Surrey
- W. Bosma, South Surrey
- J. Hutchinson, South Surrey
- S. Hutchinson, South Surrey
- S. Grozier, White Rock
- A. MacDonald, White Rock
- M. Green, Surrey
- L. Boughen, Abbotsford
- J. Hayes, White Rock
- T. Adams, South Surrey
- R. Karcher, South Surrey
- K. Kirk, Surrey
- A. Sanghe, South Surrey
- S. Green, South Surrey
- L. Fisher, South Surrey
- K. Fisher, South Surrey
- R. Tichelman, South Surrey

Written submissions were received as follows:

- Email dated October 15, 2022, R. Dunlop expressing concerns for the proposal citing unchanged plan.
- Email dated November 10, 2022, E. and J. Cutting expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 11, 2022, N. Ware expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 12, 2022, C. Shum expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 12, 2022, M. Solie expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 14, 2022, Crescent Housing Society expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 15, 2022, M. Wieczorek expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 15, 2022, P. and D. Utendale expressing support for the proposal.
- Email and letter dated November 15, 2022, Mount Olive Lutheran Church expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 16, 2022, T. Sheppard expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 17, 2022, BC Self-Advocate Leadership Network Society expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 17, 2022, C. Fosolan expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 17, 2022, G. Duke expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 18, 2022, Inclusion BC expressing support for the proposal.

- Email dated November 18, 2022, J. Sephton and P. Grant expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 18, 2022, M. Cenezero expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 18, 2022, P. Zheng expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 18, 2022, T. Bernardi expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 19, 2022, B. deMooy expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 19, 2022, D. Armstrong expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 19, 2022, M. Sabine expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 19, 2022, R. Oldale expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 20, 2022, J. Aristizabal expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 20, 2022, S. Brennan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 21, 2022, C. Dale expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 21, 2022, D. Cherry expressing concerns citing height of development.
- Submission dated November 21, 2022, D. Peters expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 21, 2022, H. Jones expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 21, 2022, J. McKenzie expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 21, 2022, L. Vaksdal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, A. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, A. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 22, 2022, B. and F. Kohler expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, B. Hassan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, B. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, C. Anderson expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 22, 2022, C. Anderson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, D. Hundal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, J. Kassam expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, K. Manan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, M. Grewal expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, P. Kang expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 22, 2022, P. Petrala expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 22, 2022, S. Burgess Robins expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 22, 2022, T. MacDougall expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 23, 2022, BC Non-Profit Housing Association expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 23, 2022, C. Lightowlers expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, I. Nijjar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, N. Brown expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Dhanowa expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 23, 2022, R. Landale expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 23, 2022, R. Lidder expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, Aspen Developments Ltd. expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, D. Burns expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 24, 2022, E. Barker expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, L. and S. Davidson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Barker expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, A. Clarke expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, C. Oram expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, Delta Housing Be Mine expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, F. Dunlop expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density, traffic, parking, and trees.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, G. Mullins expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, J. Glover expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 24, 2022, P. McManus expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood.
- Email dated November 24, 2022, R. Dunlop expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, density, traffic, parking, and trees.
- Submission dated November 24, 2022, S. Aichinger expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Strinoff expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Baghbannazay expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Abedi expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Martin expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Martin expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Caine expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Shih expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Wen expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from H. Shih expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Carter expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Carter expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Zoltok expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Clark expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Tredgett expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees. Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Bradley expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.

- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. MacKinnon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Logan expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Scott expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Patel expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Patel expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Jeffrey expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from Y. Liu expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Caron expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Barrett expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Barrett expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from E. Smith expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Lambie expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Rumsby expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Cherniak expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Tredgett expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Bakewell expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Joy expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Cook expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Norman expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Longley expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Gibson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Norman expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Norris expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from T. Burn expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.

- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Liebert expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Roberts expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Templeton expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from I. Zalkowsky expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Zalkowsky expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from F. Schulze expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from H. Ball expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Ball expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Hutson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Gordon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Gordon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Cox expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Crofton expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from U. Lohmann expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from I. Lohmann expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Bruchet expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Zuliani expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. and V. Cavezza expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Friend expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from H. Kong expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from V. Johnson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Meleppuram expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Beiklon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Sauder expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.

- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Oke expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Oke expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Hesselbach expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Kenney expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Kenney expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from N. Erol expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Mulholland expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Dykes expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Rogerson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Ferguson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from P. MacDonald expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Holland expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Longair expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Goodbrand expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Gregory expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Gregory expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Hill expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Fleming expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Burns expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Archambault expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Wirtz expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Li expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from W. Lu expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Hendriks expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from W. Hendriks expressing opposition for the proposal

- citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Roberts-Mason expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from V. Tan expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Moon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Keshav expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from Chip Barrett Architect expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Holmes expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Holmes expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Worden expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Waverick expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Carley expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Katz expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from N. Boehm expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from F. Boehm expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Calate expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Bailey expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Hon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from N. Bae expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from I. Nikloske expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Chambers expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Fraser expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Fraser expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from O. Eloystrup expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Norris expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
 - Letter dated November 25, 2022 from K. Kerslake expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.

- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Anderson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Baptie expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from E. Henriouille expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Birtch expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from E. Wieler expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Broderick expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Lefebvre expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from W. Lowry expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Low expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Swanson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Haring expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Soules expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Ehlers expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from V. Delosky expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Batch expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from G. Batch expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from P. Johnson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from M. Johnson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Wang expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Simpson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from Sudal Consulting Inc. expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Stuart expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Huang expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Stafford expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.

- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Gillingham expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Gillingham expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from H. Yoon expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Jhi expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Matheson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Matheson expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from C. Fosolan expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from A. Brownsword expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from P. Brownsword expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from B. Sadko expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from D. Britt expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Hale expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from T. Walley expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from S. Harvey expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from P. Harvey expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, privacy, traffic, parking, density, and trees.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, A. Ivens expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, A. Patel expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Tichelman expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from L. Robinson expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 17, 2022 from N. Shipley expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 14, 2022 from T. Aristizabal expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 10, 2022 from D. Costanzo expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 18, 2022 from M. Cenezero expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 17, 2022 from B. Hopaluk expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from T. Gowdyk expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from E. Tichelman expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Russell expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from N. Milau expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 19, 2022 from M. Aristizabal expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 20, 2022 from E. Stmanski expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 7, 2022 from L. Tremblay expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from M. Milau expressing support for the proposal.

- Letter dated November 7, 2022 from L. Mercado expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 17, 2022 from J. Hadley expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 16, 2022 from J. MacDonald expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 20, 2022 from N. Raven expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 18, 2022 from C. Simpson expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from T. Leport expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 17, 2022 from C. Robinson expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from L. Gauvreau expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 22, 2022 from M. Good expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 24, 2022 from S. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 18, 2022 from C. Dale expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 14, 2022 from C. Ferguson expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 14, 2022 from J. Siebert expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 19, 2022 from S. Finley expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from J. Glennie expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from A. McCorkell expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 18, 2022 from S. Bennett expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 15, 2022 from B. Moriarty expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 16, 2022 from S. Smith expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 21, 2022 from M. Bjorklund expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from R. Tichelman expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, Brightside Community Homes Foundation expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, C. Ferguson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, C. Isaak expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, C. Mclay expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, Cari-Can Heritage Society expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, E. Hanson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, J. Bahra-Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, J. Basra expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, J. Sims expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, J. Utendale expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, K. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, K. Milne expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, L. Truong expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, M. Robinson expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, N. Rao expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Bahra expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, R. Bayer expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 25, 2022, R. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, Rose-Grove Neighbourhood Association expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, trees, accessibility, density, height, and parking.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, S. Green expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, S. Kohler expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 25, 2022, S. Mangat expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 25, 2022, T. Sheppard expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Ballard expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Rainkie expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Reber expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, A. Spyker expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, B. Hadikin expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, B. Knihniski expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, C. Arychuk expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, C. Dalglish expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, D. Antifaev expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, D. Furlotte expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, D. Oliver expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, D. Tennant expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 26, 2022, E. and E. Johnson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Bindon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Rasalan expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, J. Reyes expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, K. Mulholland expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, L. Hepner expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 26, 2022, L. Neid expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, M. Aujla expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, M. Telford expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Black expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Black expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Mann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Mutchler expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, R. Tang expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 26, 2022, T. Fisher expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Baltaji expressing support for the proposal.
- Letter dated November 25, 2022 from L. Baltaji expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Kelly expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. MacGillivray expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Sanghe expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Sihota expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, A. Silvera expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, G. Crocker expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, B. Gillette expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, B. Webster expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Day expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Harris expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Hartman expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, C. Silvera expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, D. Johnstone expressing support for the proposal.

- Submission dated November 27, 2022, D. Theilmann expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, E. Alt expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, E. Baatz expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, G. Bhurji expressing support for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, J. Coombs expressing support for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, J. Cotton expressing support for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, J. Houston expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, J. Leith expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, J. Newby expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, K. Edlund expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, K. Laczny expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, K. Leith expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, K. Macdonald expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, L. Brown expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, L. Brown expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, L. Cummings expressing support for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, L. Mercado expressing support for the proposal.
- Submissions dated November 27, 2022, L. Ratudradra expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, L. Ypenburg expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Clark expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, M. Micla expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Rooney expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Shankar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, M. Sanger expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, R. Joharchy expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 27, 2022, R. Kaptyn expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, R. Tate expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Drysdale expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Mahar expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Sanderson expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 27, 2022, S. Smolock expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Castrillon expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Huberman expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Kaps expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, A. Morrison expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, A. Saeed expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, Alex House expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, B. Gurm expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, B. Thompson expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, B. Wirtz expressing opposition for the proposal citing character of neighbourhood, traffic, parking, and height of development.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, D. Brown expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, E. Jarvis expressing support for the proposal.

- Email dated November 28, 2022, E. Liebert expressing opposition for the proposal citing parking, traffic, trees, density, height of development, character of neighbourhood, and accessibility.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, Entre Nous Femmes Housing Society expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, H. Yan expressing opposition for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, I. Jarvis expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, J. Rice expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, N. and D. Glover expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, P. Bradley expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, R. Kaptyn expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, S. Sajda expressing support for the proposal.
- Email dated November 28, 2022, S. Tripathi expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, V. Drake expressing support for the proposal.
- Submission dated November 28, 2022, Z. Rice expressing support for the proposal.

C. COMMITTEE REPORTS

This section had no items to consider.

D. BOARD/COMMISSION REPORTS

1. Surrey Heritage Advisory Commission - September 7, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That the minutes of the Surrey Heritage
 Advisory Commission meeting held on September 7, 2022, be received.

RES.R22-2160

Carried

E. MAYOR'S REPORT

1. Proclamations

File: 0630-02

Mayor Locke read the following proclamation:

- (a) World AIDS Day – December 1, 2022

F. GOVERNMENTAL REPORTS

This section had no items to consider.

G. CORPORATE REPORTS (continued)

The Corporate Reports, under date of November 28, 2022, were considered and dealt with as follows:

Item No. R200 Surrey Ethics Commissioner
File: 2210-20-045

The General Manager, Corporate Services, provided a report to seek direction from Council on its preferred direction on next steps regarding the Surrey Ethics Commissioner Office.

It was
Corporate Report R200 be divided.
RES.R22-2161
It was
RES.R22-2161
Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That the recommendations outlined in
Carried

It was
for information.
RES.R22-2162
Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council receive Corporate Report R200
Carried

It was
in Corporate Report R200, to re-establish the Surrey Ethics Commissioner Selection Committee to recruit a new Surrey Ethics Commissioner and authorize staff to undertake next steps.
RES.R22-2163
Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That Council endorse Option 1, as outlined
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford and Nagra opposed.

As Council approved Option 1 as outlined in the Corporate Report, Option 2 was out of order.

Item No. R201 Award of Contract No. 6021-004-11
Colebrook Pump Station Replacement (DMAF)
File: 6021-004-11

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to obtain approval to award an agreement for the Colebrook Pump Station Replacement project, as illustrated on the map attached to this report as Appendix "I".

It was
 Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 6021-004-11 to Industra Construction Corp. in the amount of \$6,993,814.70 (including GST) for the Colebrook Pump Station Replacement project;
 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 6021-004-11 at \$7,700,000.00 (including contingencies and GST); and
 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 6021-004-11.
- RES.R22-2164 Carried

Item No. R202 Award of Contract No. 4722-003-11
Local Area Service - Sanitary Sewer Extension on 54 Avenue
from 12710 to 12807 - 54 Avenue
File: 4722-003/11

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to seek Council's approval for the award of Contract No. 4722-003-11 to Blackline Site Works Ltd. for the sanitary sewer extension on 54 Avenue from 12710 to 12807 - 54 Avenue.

It was
 Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Hepner
 That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 4722-003-11 to Blackline Site Works Ltd. in the amount of \$298,620.00 (including GST) for the sanitary sewer extension on 54 Avenue from 12710 to 12807 - 54 Avenue;
 2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 4722-003-11 at \$298,620.00 (including GST); and
 3. Authorize the General Manager, Engineering to execute Contract No. 4722-003-11.
- RES.R22-2165 Carried

Item No. R203 Award of Contract No. 1220-040-2022-085 for Cisco Network
Switch Replacement
File: 1355-30

The General Manager, Corporate Services, submitted a report is to obtain Council's approval to award Contract No. 1220-040-2022-085 to Long View Systems for the supply and delivery of the new Cisco Network Switch Replacement equipment as described in the report.

It was
 Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Hepner
 That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 1220-040-2022-085 to Long View Systems for the total amount of \$550,125 (including GST & PST) for the supply of the Cisco Network Switch Replacement equipment;
2. Set the expenditure authorization limit for Contract No. 1220-040-2022-085 in the amount of \$605,140 (including contingency & applicable taxes); and
3. Authorize the General Manager, Corporate Services to execute Contract No. 1220-040-2022-085 and approve all payments up to the expenditure authorization limit.

RES.R22-2166

Carried

Item No. R204 Closure of Road Adjacent to 9759/61 and 9775 – 137A Street and 13748 Fraser Highway
File: 7921-0311-00

Note: See Bylaw 20785 in the H Section.

The General Manager, Engineering, submitted a report to seek Council's approval to close and remove a portion of road allowance for consolidation with the adjacent properties to allow for the development of a proposed 41-storey purpose-built rental residential building under Development Application No. 7921-0311-00.

It was
 Moved by Councillor Kooner
 Seconded by Councillor Hepner
 That Council authorize the City Clerk to bring forward a Bylaw to close and remove the dedication as highway of a 531.7 square metre portion of partially constructed road allowance adjacent to 9759/61 and 9775 – 137A Street and 13748 Fraser Highway, as generally illustrated in Appendix "I" attached to Corporate Report R204, subject to compliance with the notice provisions of the *Community Charter, SBC 2003, c. 26*.

RES.R22-2167

Carried

Item No. R205 Quarterly Update on the Guaranteed Permitting Timelines and Process Improvements – Q3 2022
File: 6630-01

The Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, General Manager, Engineering, and General Manager, Finance, submitted a report concerning to provide Council with a quarterly update on the Guaranteed Permitting Timelines for Single-Family Building Permits, Tenant Improvement Building Permits, and Rezoning Applications and the corresponding process improvements to support these timelines, as well as to seek Council's endorsement to conclude the Extended Hours Pilot Program, effective December 22, 2022.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R205 for information; and
2. Endorse the conclusion of the Extended Hours Pilot Program, effective December 22, 2022.

RES.R22-2168

Carried
With Councillor Nagra opposed.

**Item No. R206 Surrey School District Eligible School Sites Proposal 2023-2024
Capital Plan
File: 0510-20 (SD36)**

The Acting General Manager, Planning & Development, submitted a report to provide information to Council on the Surrey School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal for 2023 to 2024 and to seek Council endorsement of the proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council:

1. Receive Corporate Report R206 for information;
2. Accept the Surrey School District's Eligible School Sites Proposal 2023-2024 Capital Plan, attached as Appendix "I"; and
3. Support that City staff continue to work with School District staff to review expected student yields resulting from the future residential units in order to determine if changes to their projections, and subsequently future Eligible School Sites Proposals, are warranted.

RES.R22-2169

Carried

**Item No. R207 Surrey City Development Corporation - 2022 Annual General Meeting
File: 2480-01**

The City Solicitor submitted a report to have Council, on behalf of the City of Surrey as the sole shareholder of SCDC, address matters related to the 2022 AGM for SCDC, which is scheduled for December 14, 2022, at 5:30 pm and to approve these items in accordance with the *Business Corporations Act*. The purpose of this report is to also have Council advise on the future mandate for SCDC.

- It was
Corporate Report R207 be divided.
RES.R22-2170
- Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That the recommendations outlined in
Carried
- It was
for information.
RES.R22-2171
- Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That Council receive Corporate Report R207
Carried
- It was
Annual General Meeting ("AGM") and Agenda for Surrey City Development Corporation ("SCDC"), a copy of which is attached as Appendix "I" to Corporate Report R207.
RES.R22-2172
- Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council receive the Notice of the 2022
Carried
- It was
represent the City of Surrey (the "Shareholder") at the 2022 AGM for the Corporation.
RES.R22-2173
- Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council appoint the City Solicitor to
Carried
- It was
endorse the recommendations that are listed below and as set out in the Notice of Annual General Meeting and Agenda:
- a. Approve the Agenda;
b. Approve the Minutes of the October 25, 2021 Annual General Meeting;
c. Approve the Financial Statements and Report of the Auditor for the Year Ended December 31, 2021;
d. Approve the Appointment of BDO Canada LLP ("BDO") as SCDC's Auditor for the Year Ending December 31, 2022; and
e. Receive the 2021 Report from the Board of Directors to the Shareholder.
RES.R22-2174
- Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council as sole shareholder of SCDC,
Carried

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
 Seconded by Councillor Bains
 That Council endorse Option 2 – direct staff
 to prepare a plan for Council’s consideration to re-operationalise SCDC as a for-profit
 entity, including recommendations with respect to SCDC’s mandate, source(s) of funding,
 and operational model.

RES.R22-2175 Carried
 With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.

Item No. R2o8 Quarterly Financial Report – Third Quarter - 2023
File: 188o-2o

The General Manager, Finance, submitted a report to provide Council with an update on
 the City’s financial activity for the third quarter of 2022 and to compare this activity with
 the 2022 Financial Plan.

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
 Seconded by Councillor Bains
 That Council receive Corporate Report R2o8
 for information.

RES.R22-2176 Carried

H. BYLAWS AND PERMITS

BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING

1. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 84 (CD 84), Bylaw, 2022, No. 2o744"
 7922-oo4o-oo
 Owner: 1o145 173rd Street Project Ltd. (Director Information: Yidan Chen,
 Ying Fan, Hai Ji)
 Agent: Sutton Select Property Management & Realty (Clairy Yang)
 RH to CD – 1o135 - 173 Street – to allow subdivision into two single family lots.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Bains
 That "Surrey Comprehensive Development
 Zone 84 (CD 84), Bylaw, 2022, No. 2o744" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2177 Carried

2. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20762"
7917-0085-00
Owner: Athwal Construction Inc.
Agent: WSP Canada Inc. (Scott Pelletier)
RA to RF - 15864 - 112 Avenue - to subdivide into 6 single family residential lots.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2178	It was Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20762"	Moved by Councillor Annis Seconded by Councillor Bose That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
--------------	---	--

3. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20770"
7922-0091-00
Owners: S. Anderson, S. Anderson
Agent: S. Anderson
RF to RM-D - 10945 - 142 Street - to bring an existing, non-conforming duplex into compliance under the appropriate zone in the Zoning Bylaw No. 12000 for future strata conversion.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2179	It was Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20770"	Moved by Councillor Bains Seconded by Councillor Stutt That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, pass its third reading. <u>Carried</u>
--------------	---	---

Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0091-00
10945 - 142 Street (10947 - 142 Street)
To reduce the minimum lot width from 24 metres to 23 metres.

RES.R22-2180	It was Permit No. 7922-0091-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption of the associated rezoning bylaw.	Moved by Councillor Bose Seconded by Councillor Bains That Council support Development Variance <u>Carried</u>
--------------	---	---

4. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20764"
7918-0108-00
Owners: S. Chumber, K. Karara
Agent: Hub Engineering Inc. (Mike Kompter)
RF to RF-13 - 14124 - 113A Avenue - to subdivide into 2 single family small lots.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2181 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20764" pass its third reading.
Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7918-0108-00
14124 - 113A Avenue
To reduce the minimum lot depth for a Type II Lot from 24 metres to 21 metres for
proposed Lots 1 and 2.

RES.R22-2182 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council support Development Variance
Permit No. 7918-0108-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
of the associated rezoning bylaw.
Carried
With Councillors Bains and Bose opposed.

5. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022,
No. 20748"
7921-0189-00
Owner: Kekinow Native Housing Society
Agent: NSDA Architects (Molly Chan)
To amend the OCP Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site
specific permission for the subject site 9537 Prince Charles Boulevard to permit a
density up to 1.70 FAR.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2183 It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20748" pass its third reading.
Carried

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 90 (CD 90), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20749" RM-15 to CD – 9537 Prince Charles Boulevard – to permit the development of two residential buildings of 5- and 6-storeys and one 6-storey mixed-use building containing approximately 175 affordable rental dwelling units for people of Indigenous ancestry.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 90 (CD 90), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20749" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2184

Carried

- 6. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20746" 7921-0276-00
 Owners: Simranjeet Dhanoa, Kamaljit Dhanoa, 0760815 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Simranjeet S. Dhanoa), 1355255 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Kamaljit K. Dhanoa, Simranjeet S. Dhanoa), Benchmark Management Ltd. (Director Information: Robert Bontkes, Christina Bontkes) Agent: H.Y. Engineering Ltd. (Fahad Abrahani)
 RA to RF-9S, RF-9C to RF-9S and RA to RF – Portions of 6703 and 6725 - 192 Street Diversion; Portion of 19132 - 67 Avenue – to allow the consolidation and re-subdivision of five remnant lots into two single family urban lots (RF), eleven single family urban small lots and one remnant lot in East Clayton.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20746" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2185

Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0276-00
6703 and 6725 - 192 Street Diversion; 6702 - 191A Street; 19132 and 19156 - 67 Avenue
To reduce the minimum lot width requirement for proposed Lot 12; reduce the minimum lot depth requirement for proposed Lot 13; reduce the minimum front (east) yard setback on proposed Lots 12-13; reduce the minimum front (west) yard setback on proposed Lots 12-13; reduce the minimum front (west) setback of an accessory building or structure and vary the requirements to permit a basement access and basement well between the principal building and the front (west) lot line on proposed Lots 12-13; and vary the requirements to permit a basement access and basement well between the principal building and the front lot line on proposed Lots 1-7.

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
 Seconded by Councillor Hepner
 That Council support Development Variance
 Permit No. 7921-0276-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
 of the associated rezoning bylaw.
 RES.R22-2186 Carried

7. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20753"
 7921-0166-00
 Owners: G. Dhillon, A. Sidhu, H. Dhillon, S. Dhillon
 Agent: Igel Architecture Ltd. (Andrew Igel)
 RF to RM-30 - 5811 - 175 Street; 17459 - 58 Avenue and 17475 - 58 Avenue
 To permit the development of 18 townhouse units in Cloverdale Town Centre.
 Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Annis
 That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
 Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20753" pass its third reading.
 RES.R22-2187 Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0166-00
 5811 - 175 Street (5813 - 175 Street); 17459 - 58 Avenue (17461 - 58 Avenue) and
 17475 - 58 Avenue
 To reduce the minimum east front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 3 metres to the
 principal building face of Building 2 and 4; to reduce the minimum west rear yard
 setback from 6 metres to 3.1 metres to the principal building face of Building 1; and to
 reduce the minimum indoor amenity space required before cash in-lieu may be applied
 for an 18-unit townhouse development from 37 square metres to 0 square metres.

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Hepner
 That Council support Development Variance
 Permit No. 7921-0166-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
 of the associated rezoning bylaw.
 RES.R22-2188 Carried

8. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2009, No. 17031,
 Amendment Bylaw, 2010, No. 17269, Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 17708,
 Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20741"
 7922-0202-00
 Owner: 1353459 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Amritpal S. Jawanda, Kevin Johal,
 Shivraj S. Riar)
 Agent: S. Jawanda
 To amend Comprehensive Development Bylaw No. 17031 in order to permit a
 small-scale drug store in association with a new medical clinic located at
 7475 - 135 Street. The amendment also includes housekeeping changes to remove
 obsolete imperial equivalency measurements.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2009, No. 17031, Amendment Bylaw, 2010, No. 17269,
Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 17708, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20741" pass its
third reading.

RES.R22-2189 Carried

It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2009, No. 17031, Amendment Bylaw, 2010, No. 17269,
Amendment Bylaw, 2012, No. 17708, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20741" be finally
adopted.

RES.R22-2190 Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0202-00
Unit 107 and 108, 7475 - 135 Street
To reduce the minimum separation requirement between drug stores, small-scale
drug stores or methadone dispensaries from 400 metres to 20 metres.

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council authorize the issuance of

RES.R22-2191 Carried
Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0202-00.

9. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022,
No. 20751"
7920-0062-00
Owners: K. Sharma, N. Sharma
Agent: Westridge Engineering & Consulting Ltd. (Dave Kajal)
To amend OCP Figure 5: Suburban Density Exception Areas by removing the site
5872 - 152 Street from the "Suburban Density Exception Area".

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20751" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2192 Carried

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20752"
RH to RQ – 5872 - 152 Street – in order to subdivide from one into two suburban single family lots, and to permit retention of the existing single family dwelling on Lot 1.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2193
It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20752" pass its third reading.
Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7920-0062-00
5872 - 152 Street

To reduce the minimum (east) rear yard principal building setback from 7.5 metres to 3.85 metres to the principal building face on proposed Lot 1, and reduce the minimum (south) side yard principal building setback from 2.4 metres to 1.75 metres to the principal building face on proposed Lot 1, to retain the existing building on Lot 1.

RES.R22-2194
It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That Council support Development Variance
Permit No. 7920-0062-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption of the associated rezoning bylaw.
Carried

10. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20776"
7917-0427-00
Owner: Ravi Investments Ltd. (Director Information: Ravinder S. Sanghera, Paramjit S. Sanghera)
Agent: CitiWest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)
To amend OCP Figure 3: General Land Use Designations, to redesignate the site 8483 and 8495 - 164 Street from "Urban" to "Multiple Residential and amend Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site specific permission for the subject site to permit a density up to 2.16 FAR.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw,
2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20776" pass its third reading.

Before the question was put:

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
 Seconded by Councillor Annis
 That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20776" be referred back to staff to work with the developer.

RES.R22-2195 Carried
 With Councillors Elford, Hepner and Nagra opposed.

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 91 (CD 91), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20777" RF to CD – 8483 and 8495 - 164 Street – the development of a 5-storey apartment building with approximately 55 units.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

This item was out of order.

11. "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 89 (CD 89), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20745" 7921-0339-00
 Owner: Hemingford Homes Inc.
 Agent: WSP Canada Inc. (Scott Pelletier)
 RF to CD – 3017 McBride Avenue – to permit the construction of a new single family dwelling.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
 Seconded by Councillor Bains
 That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 89 (CD 89), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20745" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2196 Carried

12. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20766" 7921-0368-00
 Owners: L. Parsons, G. Parsons
 Agent: Sarah Gallop Design Inc. (Sean Hemenway)
 RF to RF-O – 12590 - 15A Avenue – to allow for an addition to an existing single family dwelling.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
 Seconded by Councillor Bose
 That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20766" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2197 Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0368-00

12590 - 15A Avenue

To reduce the minimum front yard (north) setback from 10 metres to 3.6 metres and the minimum rear yard (south) setback from 10 metres to 1.8 metres to the principal building face. The proposal also includes a reduction in the minimum required lot depth from 45 metres to 30.3 metres, and an increase to the maximum second floor area requirements allowed from 80% of the ground floor area to 115%.

It was

Moved by Councillor Annis

Seconded by Councillor Bose

That Council support Development Variance

Permit No. 7921-0368-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R22-2198

Carried

13. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20771"

7921-0101-00

Owners: U. Heer, H. Cumo

Agent: Evertex Engineering (Gagan Oberoi)

RA to RH – Portion of 2513 - 140 Street – to allow a Half-Acre Residential Zone on proposed Lot 1.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was

Moved by Councillor Hepner

Seconded by Councillor Bose

That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,

Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20771" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2199

Carried

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 92 (CD 92), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20772"

RA to CD – Portion of 2513 - 140 Street – to allow Comprehensive Development on proposed Lot 2.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was

Moved by Councillor Hepner

Seconded by Councillor Annis

That "Surrey Comprehensive Development

Zone 92 (CD 92), Bylaw, 2022, No. 20772" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2200

Carried

14. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20765"
7921-0174-00
Owner: DLJ Development Ltd. (Director Information: Bhupinder S. Johal)
Agent: Ankenman Marchand Architects (Daniel Martins)
RA to RM-30 – Portion of 1788 - 168 Street – to permit the development of a
townhouse site with 123 units, and a detention pond.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Bose
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20765" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2201

Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7921-0174-00
1788 - 168 Street

To reduce the minimum east rear yard and south side yard setbacks from 6 metres to 3 metres to the principal building face, for units No. 78, 91, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122 and 123; to reduce the rear yard setback from 6 metres to 1 metre for parking space No. 10; and to increase the maximum height of the Indoor Amenity Space Building from 11 metres to 11.3 metres. The proposal also includes a reduction in the minimum streamside setback from the top of bank of a Class B Channelized Stream from 15 metres to 10 metres at the narrowest point.

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That Council support Development Variance
Permit No. 7921-0174-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R22-2202

Carried

15. "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022,
No. 20778"
7918-0103-00; 7918-0103-01
Owners: Forte Futures Inc., Rosemary Business Park Ltd. (Director Information: Jerry Luking,
Charles Westgard), 0834221 B.C. Ltd. (Director Information: Cory K. S. Saran),
Amanim Holdings Ltd. (Director Information: Amani Morra)
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)
To amend the OCP Table 7a: Land Use Designation Exceptions by adding site specific
permission for a portion of the subject sites 2950, 2960, 3010, 3038 and 3050 Croydon Drive
to allow for a FAR of 1.9 within Site 1 and 2.7 within Site 2 within the Mixed Employment
land use designation.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2203 It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That "Surrey Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2013, No. 18020, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20778" pass its third reading.
Carried

"Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 82 (CD 82), Bylaw, 2022, No 20779" RA & IB & CD By-law No. 19297 to CD – Portion of 2950, 2960, 3010, 3038 and 3050 Croydon Drive – To develop six business park buildings.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2204 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That "Surrey Comprehensive Development Zone 82 (CD 82), Bylaw, 2022, No 20779" pass its third reading.
Carried

16. "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20769" 7922-0149-00
Owner: Marathon Homes Sunnyside Ltd. (Director Information: Gurjot Gill, Jaspreet Gill)
Agent: Aplin & Martin Consultants Ltd. (Maggie Koka)
RA and RF-12 to RM-30 and RF-10 – Portion of 16611 - 20 Avenue, 16651 - 20 Avenue and Portion of 16681 - 20 Avenue – to develop 171 townhouse units and two single family lots.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

RES.R22-2205 It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20769" pass its third reading.
Carried
With Councillors Bains, Bose and Kooner opposed.

Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0149-00
16611, 16651 and 16681 - 20 Avenue

To reduce the minimum north front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 18, 19, 20, and 21; reduce the minimum north side yard setback from 6 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Building 17; reduce the minimum west front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 17 and 18; reduce the minimum west side yard setback from 6 metres to 5 metres to the principal building face for Building 15; reduce the minimum southwest side yard setback from 6 metres to 3 metres to the principal building face for Building 4 and from 6 metres to 3.5 metres for Building 5; reduce the minimum east side yard setback from 6 metres to 3.7 metres to the principal building face for Building 21; reduce the minimum southeast side yard setback from 6 metres to 3.6 metres to the principal building face for Building 23, and from 6 metres to 4 metres for Building 22; and reduce the minimum east front yard setback from 4.5 metres to 4 metres to the principal building face for Buildings 1 and 2.

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council support Development Variance
Permit No. 7922-0149-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R22-2206

Carried
With Councillor Kooner opposed.

17. "Surrey Semiahmoo Trail Heritage Designation Bylaw, 2004, No. 15280, Amendment
Bylaw, 2022, No. 20780"
7922-0305-00
15077 and 15153 - 20 Avenue
Owners: Peninsula Estates Housing Society, City of Surrey
Agent: Peninsula Estates Housing Society (Elizabeth Tichelman)
To amend the Surrey Semiahmoo Trail Heritage Designation Bylaw, 2004,
No. 15280 in order to facilitate the realignment of a portion of the Semiahmoo
Trail, which currently runs down the centre of the subject site and is located at
15153 - 20 Avenue.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That "Surrey Semiahmoo Trail Heritage
Designation Bylaw, 2004, No. 15280, Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20780" pass its
third reading.

RES.R22-2207

Carried

"Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20781"
To amend Schedule H of the Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993. No. 12000 to provide a
more coherent and visible trail alignment, and will facilitate the development of a
six-storey building with 91 non-market rental residential units for affordable and
supportive housing located at 15077 and 15153 - 20 Avenue.

Council direction received October 3, 2022

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Annis
That "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000,
Text Amendment Bylaw, 2022, No. 20781" pass its third reading.

RES.R22-2208

Carried

Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0305-00
 15077 and 15153 - 20 Avenue
 To vary the maximum building height allowed from 13 metres to 19.2 metres;
 reduce the minimum Side Yard on Flanking Street (151A Street) setback from
 7.5 metres to 3.5 metres for the existing apartment building and to 2.1 metres for
 the existing townhouse building; reduce the minimum setback from the
 Semiahmoo Trail from 10 metres to 8 metres to the entry canopy along 151A Street;
 reduce the minimum number of on site parking spaces from 137 parking spaces to
 83 parking spaces; and reduce the indoor amenity requirement from 279 square
 metres to 116 square metres.

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
 Seconded by Councillor Annis
 That Council support Development Variance
 Permit No. 7922-0305-00 and consider issuance of the Permit upon final adoption
 of the associated rezoning bylaw.

RES.R22-2209

Carried**PERMITS**

18. Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0253-00
 13377 - 56 Avenue
 Owner: A. Kang
 Agent: Citiwest Consulting Ltd.
 The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the minimum lot size
 requirements for septic tank servicing from 0.81 hectares to 0.18 hectares in order
 to allow on-site sewage disposal systems and subdivision of the site.

10 pieces of correspondence expressing opposition and one piece expressing
 support were received for the proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Bains
 Seconded by Councillor Stutt
 That Council authorize the issuance of
 Development Variance Permit No. 7909-0253-00.

RES.R22-2210

Carried

19. Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0137-00
 3225 - 138A Street
 Owners: K. Little, B. Little
 Agent: 4th Dimension Design & Drafting (Karighan Dumont)
 The applicant is requesting a variance to increase the maximum lot coverage from
 20% to 27% in order to replace an existing shed with a proposed personal
 workshop without requiring modification of the existing one-storey home.

Two pieces of correspondence expressing support for the proposal were received
 for the proposal.

It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
 Seconded by Councillor Bose
 That Council authorize the issuance of
 Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0137-00.
 RES.R22-2211 Carried

20. Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0210-00
 13068 Marine Drive
 Owner: K. Lit
 Agent: CitiWest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)
 The applicant is requesting a variance to increase the maximum principal building height from 7.3 metres to 8.7 metres for a roof pitch less than 1:4 in order to permit the construction of a new single-family dwelling.

Council was advised that Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0210-00 was removed from the agenda for consideration.

21. Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0264-00
 12967 - 56A Avenue
 Owner: A. Loodu
 Agent: Citiwest Consulting Ltd. (Roger Jawanda)
 The applicant is requesting a variance to increase the maximum area of a basement well (including stairs) from 28 square metres to 99 square metres in the zone in order to permit the construction of a new single-family dwelling.

No correspondence was received for this item.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
 Seconded by Councillor Bains
 That Council authorize the issuance of
 Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0264-00.
 RES.R22-2212 Carried

22. Development Variance Permit No. 7922-0303-00
 16090 - 24 Avenue (2332 - 160 Street)
 Owner: CP Reit BC Properties Ltd. (Director Information: Mario Barrafato, Doris L. Baughan, Rael Diamond)
 Agent: Imperial Sign Corporation (Stuart Wilson)
 The applicant is requesting a variance to increase the maximum fascia signs for a single tenant from two to three and to increase the maximum combined sign area for a single building from 1 square metre per 1 metre of linear premises frontage (24.26 sq. m) to 1.16 square metres per 1 metre of linear premises frontage (28.22 sq. m) in order to allow an additional fascia sign.

One piece of correspondence was received expressing opposition to the proposal.

FINAL ADOPTIONS

25. "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of Portion of Road Adjacent to 6362 and 6370 – 131A Street and 6365 – 132 Street and 13174 and 13190 – 64 Avenue, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20784"

3900-20-20784 - Council Initiative

A bylaw to remove the dedication of a 668.7 square metre portion of unopened road allowance to facilitate consolidation with the adjacent lands under Development Application No. 7918-0149-00. In accordance with the *Community Charter*, SBC 2003, c.26, as amended, approval of the disposition of the road will be considered by City Council at a later date.

Council direction received October 3, 2022
Corporate Report Item No. 2022-R186

It was

Moved by Councillor Kooner

Seconded by Councillor Bains

That "Surrey Close and Remove the

Dedication of Highway of Portion of Road Adjacent to 6362 and 6370 – 131A Street and 6365 – 132 Street and 13174 and 13190 – 64 Avenue, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20784" be finally adopted.

RES.R22-2216

Carried

INTRODUCTIONS

26. "Surrey Close and Remove the Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 13748 Fraser Highway and 9759 (9761), 9775 137A Street, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20785"

3900-20-20784 - Council Initiative

A bylaw to remove the dedication of a 531.7 square metre portion of unopened road allowance to facilitate consolidation with the adjacent lands under Development Application No. 7921-0311-00. In accordance with the *Community Charter*, SBC 2003, c.26, as amended, approval of the disposition of the road will be considered by City Council at a later date.

Earlier in the meeting, Council approved the recommendations of Corporate Report Item No. R204. Bylaw No. 20785 is therefore in order for consideration.

It was

Moved by Councillor Bose

Seconded by Councillor Hepner

That "Surrey Close and Remove the

Dedication of Highway of a Portion of Road Adjacent to 13748 Fraser Highway and 9759 (9761), 9775 137A Street, Bylaw, 2022, No. 20785" pass its first reading.

RES.R22-2217

Carried

The said Bylaw was then read for the second time.

- (c) **Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM) – 2023 Conference**
File: 0250-07

It was Moved by Councillor Bose
Seconded by Councillor Hepner
That Council authorize all members of
Council to attend the 2023 Union of British Columbia Municipalities
(UBCM) Annual Conference, and that expenses be paid in accordance with
Council policy.

RES.R22-2222

Carried

J. NOTICE OF MOTION

1. Application No. 7918-0033-00

At the November 28, 2022 meeting, Mayor Locke put forward the following Notice of Motion:

"That Council rescind 3rd reading of the bylaws related to development application number 7918-0033-00 and that the bylaws be filed and the application be closed."

K. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Application No. 7920-0224-00

Councillor Hepner declared a conflict of interest and left the meeting at 2:00 a.m.

At the November 14, 2022 meeting, Mayor Locke put forward the following Notice of Motion:

"That Council rescind 3rd reading of the bylaws related to development application number 7920-0224-00 and that the bylaws be filed and the application be closed."

It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council rescind 3rd reading of the
bylaws related to development application number 7920-0224-00 and that the
bylaws be filed and the application be closed.

RES.R22-2223

Carried by members remaining
With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.

It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council rescind third reading of
Bylaw No. 20729, granted by Resolution RES.R22-1622, at the August 8, 2022,
Special Council meeting.

RES.R22-2224

Carried by members remaining
With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.

RES.R22-2225	<p>It was</p>	<p>Moved by Councillor Annis Seconded by Councillor Bains That Bylaw No. 20729 be filed. <u>Carried</u> by members remaining With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.</p>
--------------	---------------	---

RES.R22-2226	<p>It was</p>	<p>Moved by Councillor Kooner Seconded by Councillor Stutt That Council rescind third reading of Bylaw No. 20730, granted by Resolution RES.R22-1623, at the August 8, 2022, Special Council meeting.</p>
		<p><u>Carried</u> by members remaining With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.</p>

RES.R22-2227	<p>It was</p>	<p>Moved by Councillor Kooner Seconded by Councillor Bains That Bylaw No. 20730 be filed. <u>Carried</u> by members remaining With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.</p>
--------------	---------------	--

RES.R22-2228	<p>It was</p>	<p>Moved by Councillor Kooner Seconded by Councillor Stutt That Application 7920-0224-00 be closed. <u>Carried</u> by members remaining With Councillors Elford and Nagra opposed.</p>
--------------	---------------	--

Councillor Hepner rejoined the meeting at 2:12 a.m.

2. Application No. 7921-0209-00

At the November 14, 2022 meeting, Mayor Locke put forward the following Notice of Motion:

"That Council rescind 3rd reading of the bylaws related to development application number 7921-0209-00 and that the bylaws be filed and the application be closed."

- RES.R22-2229 It was Moved by Councillor Annis
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That Council rescind 3rd reading of the
bylaws related to development application number 7921-0209-00 and that the
bylaws be filed and the application be closed.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford and
Nagra opposed.
- RES.R22-2230 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Council rescind third reading of Bylaw
No. 20727, granted by Resolution RES.R22-1634, at the August 8, 2022, Special
Council meeting.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford and
Nagra opposed.
- RES.R22-2231 It was Moved by Councillor Stutt
Seconded by Councillor Bains
That Bylaw No. 20727 be filed.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford
and Nagra opposed.
- RES.R22-2232 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council rescind third reading of Bylaw
No. 20728, granted by Resolution RES.R22-1635, at the August 8, 2022, Special
Council meeting.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford and
Nagra opposed
- RES.R22-2233 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Bylaw No. 20728 be filed.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford
and Nagra opposed.

RES.R22-2234 It was Moved by Councillor Hepner
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That Application 7921-0209-00 be closed.
Carried
With Councillors Annis, Bose, Elford and
Nagra opposed

3. Application No. 7920-0144-00

At the November 14, 2022 meeting, Mayor Locke put forward the following Notice of Motion:

"That Council rescind 3rd reading of the bylaw related to development application number 7920-0144-00 and that the bylaw be filed and the application be closed."

RES.R22-2235 It was Moved by Councillor Kooner
Seconded by Councillor Stutt
That Council rescind 3rd reading of the
bylaw related to development application number 7920-0144-00 and that the
bylaw be filed and the application be closed.
Defeated
With Councillors Annis, Bains, Bose, Elford
and Nagra opposed

4. Application No. 7918-0013-00

At the November 14, 2022 meeting, Mayor Locke put forward the following Notice of Motion:

"That Council rescind 3rd reading of the bylaws related to development application number 7918-0013-00 and that the bylaws be filed and the application be closed."

Staff advise that there is no active Development Application related to the file number 7918-0013-00.

This item was not considered by Council.

L. ADJOURNMENT

It was

Moved by Councillor Bains
Seconded by Councillor Kooner
That the November 28, 2022 Regular Council

- Public Hearing meeting be adjourned.

RES.R22-2236

Carried

The Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting adjourned at 2:19 a.m.

Certified correct:

Jennifer Ficocelli, City Clerk

Mayor Brenda Locke