

City of Surrey

## ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS

## Application No.: <br> 7906-0301-00

Planning Report Date: January 15, 2024

## PROPOSAL:

- Development Variance Permit
to increase the percentage of back-to-back units permitted in a 73-unit townhouse development, of which 26 units are back-to-back units.

LOCATION:
13111 King George Boulevard Portion of 13140113 B Avenue Portions of 131 Street and 113A Avenue

ZONING:
RF
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing to vary the percentage of permitted back-to-back units in the Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30).


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Development Application No. 7906-0301-oo received Third Reading by Council on June 21, 2010. The original proposal was for a 68 -unit townhouse development on the subject site. The current proposal is similar, except for a slight increase to the number of townhouse units.
- Since 2010, the property has been sold multiple times. However, the current owners are committed to completing the project and are hoping to advance the file as quickly as possible.
- Currently, the applicant proposes a 73 -unit townhouse development with a similar layout as the original proposal that was supported by Council in 2010. The current proposal also includes 26 back-to-back townhouse units, which were not previously proposed.
- On October 16, 2023, Council received Corporate Report No. R161, introducing changes to the Zoning By-law, which include limiting the percentage of back-to-back units to a maximum of $20 \%$ for the "Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30)", and to increase the required amount of outdoor amenity space for back-to-back units from 3 square metres per unit to 6 square metres per unit. It was anticipated that some in-stream applications would be affected.
- The proposed changes to the RM-30 Zone were adopted on November 20, 2023, and a variance is required, as the subject application proposes 26 back-to-back units out of 73 total units ( $36 \%$ of the proposed units).
- The proposal complies with the indoor and outdoor amenity space requirements for the proposed townhouse development. This includes the 26 back-to-back townhouses units, which require additional outdoor amenity space.
- Staff supports the proposed variance.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7906-0301-oo (Appendix II) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back units from $20 \%$ to $36 \%$ in the "Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30)".

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant, treed property. | Urban | RF |
| North: | Vacant, treed property. | Urban | RF |
| Further North (across the <br> unopened road allowance): | Truck parking. | Industrial | IL |
| East: | Existing single family <br> dwellings and duplex. | Urban | RF and RM-D |
| South: | Townhouse <br> development and vacant <br> City lot. | Multiple <br> Residential and <br> Urban | RF and CD <br> (Bylaw No. <br> $15834)$ |
| West (across the unopened <br> road allowance): | Vacant, treed property. | Urban | A-1 |

## Context \& Background

- Development Application No. 7906-0301-oo received Third Reading by Council on June 21, 2010. The original proposal is for an Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment from "Urban" to "Multiple Residential", a Rezoning from "Single Family Residential (RF)" to "Multiple Residential (30) Zone (RM-30)", a Development Permit and a Subdivision (including unopened road allowance acquisition and lot consolidation) for a 68-unit townhouse development on the subject site. The components of the development application remain the same; however, the site plan has been revised and includes an increase in the number of proposed townhouse units.
- The property has been sold multiple times since the OCP Amendment and Rezoning were granted Third Reading. However, the current owners are committed to completing the project and are working diligently with staff to advance the file as quickly as possible.
- Currently, the applicant proposes a 73-unit townhouse development with a similar layout as the original proposal that was supported by Council in 2010. The current proposal also includes 26 back-to-back townhouse units, which were not previously proposed.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant currently proposes a 73-unit townhouse development, including 26 back-toback townhouse units. The layout is similar to the original proposal that was supported by Council in 2010, but did not include any back-to-back units.
- Due to the approved changes to the Zoning Bylaw that limits back-to-back townhouse units to a maximum of $20 \%$ for the RM-30 Zone, a Development Variance Permit (DVP) is required.
- The proposed changes to the RM-30 Zone were adopted on November 20, 2023 subsequent to the updated proposal being submitted to the City, with significant work having been completed by the applicant in coordination with staff. As a result, a variance is required, as the subject application proposes 26 back-to-back units out of 73 total units ( $36 \%$ of the proposed units).
- The proposal complies with the indoor and outdoor amenity space requirements for the proposed townhouse development. This includes the 26 back-to-back townhouses units, which require additional outdoor amenity space.
- The proposed development consists of 73 townhouse units in 14 buildings, ranging from 4 to 6 units per building, and a stand-alone amenity building. The 26 back-to-back units are in Buildings 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 .
- All of the townhouse units are proposed as 2-bedroom and den units or larger. There are a number of 4 - and 5 -bedroom units as well.
- Twenty-two of the 73 townhouse units ( $30 \%$ ) include tandem parking. All of the 26 back-toback units are side-by-side double garages.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Lot Area <br> Site Area (including lot <br> consolidation): | 12,967 square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 1 |
| Building Height: | 13 metres (3-storey) |
| Unit Density: | 57 units per hectare |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | o.89 |
| Floor Area |  |
| Residential/Total: |  |
| Residential Units: |  |
| 2 bedroom + den | 3 |
| 3-bedroom: | 52 |
| 4-bedroom + den: | 12 |
| 5 bedroom: | 6 |

- The Zoning Bylaw update also included an increase to the required amount of outdoor amenity space for back-to-back units from 3.0 square metres per unit to 6.0 square metres per unit.
- The Zoning Bylaw update for back-to-back units increased the required outdoor amenity space to 297 square metres, and a total of 509 square metres of outdoor amenity space is proposed. Therefore, the proposal well exceeds the minimum requirement.


## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the proposed variance, subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements.

## Transportation Considerations

- The proposed variance does not impact the original Transportation requirements.


## Parkland Considerations

- The proposed variance does not impact the original Parks requirements and comments.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

- The variance request does not impact or change how the application addresses or complies with the Regional Growth Strategy.
- The site is designated General Urban in the Regional Growth Strategy (RGS), and the proposal complies with the designation.
- An Official Community Plan (OCP) is required to redesignate the site from "Urban" to Multiple Residential". The OCP Amendment Bylaw (No. 17192) was granted Third Reading on June 21, 2010, and is still applicable for the current proposal.


## Zoning By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)". Rezoning By-law No. 17193 was granted Third Reading at the Regular Council - Public Hearing Meeting on June 21, 2010. The proposal complies with the RM-30 Zone land use and density provisions.


## Variance

- On October 16, 2023, Council received Corporate Report No. R161, introducing changes to the Zoning By-law, which include limiting the percentage of back-to-back townhouse units to a maximum of $20 \%$ of the total units for development under the RM-30 Zone. The proposed changes to the RM-30 Zone were subsequently adopted on November 20, 2023.
- A variance is now required for the subject site, as it proposes 26 back-to-back units out of 73 total units. The variance would increase the maximum percentage of back-to-back units that are permitted from $20 \%$ to $36 \%$.
- Should the variance not be supported, the applicant would be required to make changes to their proposal to reduce the number of back-to-back unit types to a maximum of 20 percent of the total units.
- The proposed update to the development permit and site plan was submitted prior to the adoption of amendments to the RM-30 Zone, with significant work having been completed by the applicant in coordination with staff. When the changes to the RM-3o Bylaw were adopted, it was anticipated that certain instream applications would require variances to accommodate aspects of their proposals not in full compliance with the amendments.
- Staff supports the proposal, and it is recommended that the proposed variance be supported.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Current Site Plan, Data Sheet and Building Elevations
Appendix II. Development Variance Permit No. 7906-0301-oo
Appendix III. Initial Planning Report No. 7906-0301-00, dated June 7, 2010 (with original site plan)
approved by Ron Gill

Don Luymes
General Manager
Planning and Development
DN/ar
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## PROJECT TEAM

ARCHITECT PARAMORPH ARCHITECTURE INC. 308-9639 137A Stree
Surrey, BC V3T 0M1
Surrey, BC V3T
(604) $608-0161$
info@paramorph.com
SURVEY DHALIWAL AND ASSOCIATES LAND SURVEYING INC.
216-12899 76th AVENUE
604-501-6188
info@dhaliwalsurvey.com
CiVIL HUb engineering inc.
\#212 1299276 AVENUE
604-572-4328
mgk@hub-inc.com
LANDSCAPE M2 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE \#220-26 LORNE MEWS NEW WESTMINSTER, BC V3M 3L7 604-553-0044

## PROGRAM SUMMARY

Appendix I




(1) $\frac{\text { BULLDING } 1}{\text { NORTHELEVATION }}$


|  | aMorph hitecture Inc 37A Street, Surrey BC VST 0M1 Avenue. Vanocuver, BC V6. 1 H |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| KEY P |  |
| 2023-1.23 | Revised/ Cily Comments |
| 2023.0925 | Revised/ Cif C Comments |
| 2023.0726 | Revised/ Comments |
| 202-11.29 | ReSSumision / Comments |
| 2022-11.01 | Fire Accoss Re.suminision |
| 2022-10.22 | Fire Acoss Re.Subinision |
| 2022-0621 | DP Apprication |
| 202-02-18 | Re.Sumisision |
| 202.020.09 | Preiminay Apopication |
| Date | Dessripion |
| Issues/Revis | isions |
| Seal |  |
| Project Tille TE DE | OwnHouse VELOPMENT |
| Project Addre 13111 KING SURREY, | G GEORGE BLVD BC |
|  | ${ }_{\text {cole }}^{\text {palae }}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Prosedil } \\ \text { suis }}}^{\text {and }}$ |
| Sheet Title <br> ELEVATIONS |  |
|  |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.1 |  |


(1) sucimes

(2)

| SCHEDULE OF FINSHES |
| :---: |
| HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE |
| SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE |
| 3. SMOOTH Finsh hardie panel siong |
| 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIIING |
| 5. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK |
| 6. BRICK VENEER <br> OBSIDIAN BY I-XL BUILDING PRODUCTS INC |
| 7. PAINTED VINYL WINDOWS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 8. SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-30 |
| 9. GARAGE DOORS <br> MOOR 2133-60 |
| 10. METAL RAILING COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE $2127-10$ |
| 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR : MID GRAY HFH9135U |
| 13. TRIM color Agep pewter |


| ParaMorph Architecture Inc 308 - 9639 137A Street, Surrey BC V3T 0M1WC. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2023-1.123 | Revised/ City Comments |
| 2023.0925 | Revised/ City Comments |
| 2023.0726 | Revised/ Comments |
| $2022 \cdot 112$ | Re.Sumisision / Comments |
| $2022 \cdot 11.01$ | Fire Acoss Re.Subumision |
| $2022 \cdot 10 \cdot 22$ | Fire Acoss Res.Sumisision |
| 2022.0621 | DPApplicition |
| 2022.0218 | ReS.Sumisision |
| ${ }^{2022.020 .09}$ | Preiminay Applicaion |
| Date | Descripion |
| Issue/ Revisions |  |
| Seal |  |
| TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT |  |
| Project Address 13111 KING GEORGE BLVD SURREY, BC |  |
|  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Prosed } \\ \text { suis }}}$ |
| Sheet Title ELEVATIONS |  |
|  |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.2 |  |


(1)



| ParaMorph Architecture Inc$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2023-1:123 | Revised/ City Comments |
| 2023.0925 | Revised/ City Comments |
| 2023.0726 | Revised/ Comments |
| $2022 \cdot 1129$ | Re.Sumisision/ Coomments |
| 2022-1101 | Fire Acosss Re-Sumisision |
| 2022-10:22 | Fire Acosss Re.Sumisision |
| $2022 \cdot 0 \cdot 21$ | DPAppolation |
| $2022 \cdot 02 \cdot 18$ | ReSumisision |
| 2022.0209 | Preilimay Application |
| Date | Descripion |
| Issues/Revisions |  |
| Seal |  |
| Project Title <br> TOWNHOUSE developmen |  |
| Project Address <br> 13111 KING GEORGE BLVD SURREY, BC |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Prs }}^{\text {Pramm }}$ By | ${ }_{\substack{\text { aname } \\ \text { 20a3-25 }}}$ |
|  |  |
| Sheet Title <br> ELEVATIONS |  |
|  |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.3 |  |




| SCHEDULE OF FINSHES |
| :---: |
| HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE |
| SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE |
| 3. SMOOTH Finsh hardie panel siong |
| 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIIING |
| 5. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK |
| 6. BRICK VENEER <br> OBSIDIAN BY I-XL BUILDING PRODUCTS INC |
| 7. PAINTED VINYL WINDOWS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 8. SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-30 |
| 9. GARAGE DOORS <br> MOOR 2133-60 |
| 10. METAL RAILING COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE $2127-10$ |
| 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR : MID GRAY HFH9135U |
| 13. TRIM color Agep pewter |


| Project Title <br> townhouse developmen |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Project Address |  |
| 13111 KING GEORGE BLVD SURREY, BC |  |
|  | $\left.\right\|_{\text {anamer }} ^{\text {Ona }}$ |
|  | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Probelid } \\ \text { suis }}}$ |
| Sheet Tile |  |
| ELEVATIONS |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.4 |  |


(1) $\frac{\text { Bulling } 3}{\text { NORTHELEVATION }}$


| SCHEDULE OF FINISHES <br> 1. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE <br> 2. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE <br> 3. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: AGED PEWTER <br> 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NIGHT GREY <br> 5. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK <br> 6. BRICK VENEER <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 <br> 8. SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE $2126-30$ <br> 9. GARAGE DOORS COLOR: BENJAMIN MOOR 2133-60 <br> 10. METAL RAILING COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 <br> 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE $2127-10$ <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 <br> 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR : MID GRAY HFH9135U <br> 13. TRIM |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

TownHose
DEVELOPMENT

Projed Adtress

| 13111 KING GERGE |
| :---: |
| SUREY, EC |



ELEVATIONS









(1) $\frac{\text { sulung }}{\text { southelevation }}$




| ParaMorph Architecture Inc$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2023-1.2-2 | Reised/ City Comments |
| 2023.092.23 | Revised/ /ity Comments |
| 2023.072. | Revised/ Comments |
| 2022.11-2 | ReSubisision/ Coomment |
| $2022 \cdot 1 \cdot 0$ | Fire Acosss Resubumision |
| $2022 \cdot 10 \cdot 2$ | Fire Acoss Resesumis |
| $2020.06 \cdot 2$ | DP Applicaion |
| 20220.0218 | Re-Sumisision |
| $2022.02 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~g}$ | Preiminay A Apilicaion |
| Date | Descripion |
| Issues/ | sions |
| Seal |  |
| Project T |  |
| Project A <br> 13111 K <br> SURRE | ng george blvd BC |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ana } \\ \text { 2030.2025 }}}$ |
| Cometed | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Proseat } \\ \text { suli }}}$ |
| Sheet The |  |
| ELEVATIONS |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.12 |  |




(1) $\frac{\text { Sullonge }}{\text { sourt ElVVation }}$

(1)


| SCHEDULE OF FINSHES |
| :---: |
| 1. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE |
| 2. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE |
| 3. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: AGED PEWTER |
| 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING <br> COLOR: NIGHT GREY |
| 5. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK |
| 6. BRICK VENEER <br> OBSIDIAN BYI BUILDING PRODUCTS INC |
| 7. PAINTED VINYL WINDOWS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| . SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-30 |
| 9. GARAGE DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOOR 2133-60 |
| 10. METAL RAILING <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR : MID GRAY HFH9135U |
| 13. TRMM RMER AGEDEWTER |



(1) $\frac{\text { sulumg } 10}{\text { southelevation }}$
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ParaMorph Architecture Inc
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| SCHEDULE OF FINSHES |
| :---: |
| HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE |
| SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE |
| 3. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: AGED PEWTER |
| 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIIING COLOR: NIGHT GRE |
| smOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK |
| 6. BRICK VENEER <br> BSIDIAN BY I-XL BUILDING PRODUCTS INC |
| 7. PAINTED VINYL WINDOW <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 8. SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-30 |
| 9. GARAGE DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOOR 2133-60 |
| 10. METAL RAILING <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE $2127-10$ |
| 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR: MID GRAY HFH9135U |
| 13. TRMM COLOR: AGED PEWTER |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { t Title } \\ & \text { TOWNHOUSE } \\ & \text { DEVELOPMENT } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project Address } \\ & 13111 \text { KING GEORGE BLVD } \\ & \text { SURREY, BC } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| mom |  |
| \%ome | \% |
|  | ations |
| sale |  |
| A-3.18 |  |
|  |  |






| SCHEOULE OF FINSHES |
| :---: |
| HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NAVAJO BEIGE |
| 2. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: MONTEREY TAUPE |
| smooth finsh hardie panel iling COLOR: AGED PEWTER |
| 4. HARDIE ARTISAN LAP SIDING COLOR: NIGHT GREY |
| 5. SMOOTH FINISH HARDIE PANEL SIDING COLOR: TIMBER BARK |
| 6. BRICK VENEER <br> OBSIDIAN BY I-XL BUILDING PRODUCTS INC |
| 7. PAINTED VINYL WINDOWS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 8. SOLID CORE WOOD DOORS COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-30 |
| 9. GARAGE DOORS <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOOR 2133-60 |
| 10. METAL RAILING <br> COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 11. POWDER COATED ALUMINUM RAILING WITH SAFETY GLASS (CLEAR AND TRANSLUCENT) COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2127-10 |
| 12. CERACLAD TRIPLE COATED PANELS COLOR : MID GRAY HFH9135U |
| 13. TRMM COLOR AGED PEWTER |


| ParaMorph Architecture Inc$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2023-1.2-2 | Reised/ City Comments |
| 2023.092.23 | Revised/ Cily Comments |
| 2023.07-26 | Revised/ Comments |
| 2022.11-2 | ReSubisision/ Coomment |
| $2022 \cdot 1 \cdot 0$ | Fire Acosss Resubumision |
| $2022 \cdot 10 \cdot 2$ | Fire Acoass Re.Sumis |
| $2020.06 \cdot 2$ | DP Applicaion |
| 20220.0218 | Re-Sumisision |
| $2022.02 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~g}$ | Preiminay A Apilicaion |
| Date | Descripion |
| Issues/ | sions |
| Seal |  |
| Project T |  |
| Project A <br> 13111 K <br> SURRE | ng george blvd BC |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ana } \\ \text { 2030.2025 }}}$ |
| Cometed | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { Proseat } \\ \text { suli }}}$ |
| Sheet The |  |
| ELEVATIONS |  |
| Scale |  |
| Sheet No. |  |
| A-3.19 |  |
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# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7906-0301-oo
Issued To:

> ("the Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: o17-241-553
Portion of Section 9 range 2 Plan Northwest Plan 17410 New Westminster District Lot A
(BE12939), Except Plan BCP45094, Previously Lots 51-55
Portion of 13140 - 113 B Avenue
Parcel Identifier: oo8-042-314
Lot G Section 9 Range 2 Plan Northwest Plan5585 New Westminster District Except Plan 35529 13111 King George Boulevard

Portions of 131 Street and 113A Avenue (road allowance)
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Subsection J. 4 Special Regulations, Back-to-Back Ground-Oriented Dwelling Units of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone, the maximum allowable percentage of back-to-back units is increased from $20 \%$ to $36 \%$.
5. This development variance permit applies to only the Land shown on Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

$$
\text { AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , } 2
$$

ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20.

Mayor - Brenda Locke

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
- OCP Amendment; and
- Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The proposed development does not comply with the Urban OCP designation and requires a redesignation to Multiple Residential.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Allows for development on a currently vacant site, along King George Boulevard, which is a gateway into Surrey's City Centre area.
- The City will generate income from the sale of the underutilized excess City lands.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to amend the OCP by redesignating the subject site from Urban to Multiple Residential.
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 879 of the Local Government Act.
3. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" (By-law No. 12000) to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" (By-law No. 12000) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
4. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7906-0301-oo in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix III).
5. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) completion of the road closure and acquisition of portions of 131 Street and 113 A Avenue with the Realty Services Division;
(g) the applicant to address the concern that the proposed development will place additional pressure on existing parks facilities, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Recreation \& Culture;
(h) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically prohibit the conversion of the enclosed parking spaces into livable space;
(i) registration of a statutory right-of-way for public passage along the west property line to allow for pedestrian connection to the future public walkway;
(j) registration of statutory right-of-way for public passage within private land to the east of the proposed public lane, to allow for access to the developable area to the east and southeast.

## REFERRALS

Engineering

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix IV.

## Projected number of students from this development:

${ }^{11}$ Elementary students at James Ardiel School 5 Secondary students at Kwantlen Park Secondary School

## (Appendix V)

The Parks Department has concerns about the impact the proposed development has on existing parks facilities in the area.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Treed property without buildings.
Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Immediately North: | Vacant treed lot. | Urban | RF |
| North (Across 113B <br> Avenue): | Vacant lot. | Industrial | IL |
| East: | Existing single family <br> dwellings and duplex. | Urban | RF and RM-D |
| South: | 48 existing townhouses, <br> completed under Application <br> No. 7902-0351-oo. | Multiple Residential | CD (By-law No. <br> $15834)$ |
| West (Across 131 Street): | Vacant treed lot. | Urban and Industrial | A-1 |

## 【USTIFICATION FOR OCP AMENDMENT

- The adjacent site to the east (11255-132 Street) was redesignated from Urban to Multiple Residential and rezoned to CD (By-law No. 11654) in 1993 to permit the development of a 4-storey apartment building (File No. 5691-0477-oo). The proposed apartment building, however, was never constructed.
- In 1999, the adjacent site was redesignated from Multiple Residential to Commercial and rezoned to CD (By-law No. 13192) to permit the development of a 102-room hotel (Application No. 7996-0202-00).
- Construction of the hotel did not proceed and the applicant ultimately requested a redesignation from Commercial to Multiple Residential to allow for the development of a 48-unit townhouse development, completed under Application No. 7902-0351-00.
- The proposed redesignation of the OCP from Urban to Multiple Residential is consistent with the long term vision of bringing in higher densities to the City Centre area.


## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- The application was originally submitted in June 2006 for a proposed 19-storey, high-rise building with 260 apartment units and 35 townhouse units. This application also encompassed a total of four (4) properties addressed as 13111 King George Boulevard and 13130/32/40-113B Avenue and the acquisition from the City of some portions of 131 Street and 113 A Avenue.
- This application never proceeded to Council due to the economic downturn and the financial impact of a high-rise and townhouse development. In March 2010, the application was amended to exclude two of the properties, 13130 and 13132 - 113B Avenue and the development proposal was decreased in size to 68 townhouse units only.


## Current Application

- The current proposal is situated primarily on one property addressed as 13111 King George Boulevard and on a portion of City-owned property addressed as $13140-113 B$ Avenue.
- The site is approximately 1.2 hectares ( 2.97 acres) in net area and currently zoned Single Family Residential Zone (RF) and designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The development site is extremely sloped and currently heavily treed.
- The applicant proposes an OCP amendment from Urban to Multiple Residential, a rezoning from Single Family Residential Zone (RF) to Comprehensive Development Zone (CD) and a Development Permit to allow for the development of 68 townhouse units to be completed within three (3) phases. The application will also include a purchase from the City of the eastern half of 131 Street (ending just to the north of 113 A Avenue), a portion of 113 A Avenue and a small area of land to the north, resulting from the realignment of the currently unopened 113A Avenue.
- The proposed unit density of the development is 58 units per hectare ( 23 units per acre), with a floor area ratio (FAR) of o.96. The FAR is slightly higher than the maximum o.90 FAR permitted under the RM-30 Zone for a development site which exceeds 1.0 hectare ( 2.47 acres ). The proposed unit density is below the 75 units per hectare ( 30 upa ) permitted in the RM-30 Zone.
- The development proposes 210 square metres ( 2,260 sq.ft.) of indoor amenity space within a separate building, exceeding the minimum of 204 square metres ( $2,196 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) required for the development (based on $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 32$ sq.ft. per dwelling unit). The indoor amenity space will be provided in a two-storey amenity building located centrally within the development, and will be incorporated as part of Phase II of the development. Due to the fact that 113A Avenue has not yet been constructed, the phasing of the development will proceed from east to west.
- The development proposes 253.7 square metres ( $2,731 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) of outdoor amenity space, which exceeds the minimum 204 square metres ( 2,196 sq.ft.) required for this development (based on $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 32$ sq.ft. per dwelling unit). The proposed outdoor amenity space is to be located immediately to the west of the indoor amenity building and will incorporate a children's play area, community gardens and walking paths. Due to the fact that the amenity spaces are to be constructed under Phase II, securities for the landscaping and amenity areas for both Phases I and II will be required prior to the issuance of the Phase I building permit.
- The proposed development includes 136 resident parking stalls and 14 visitor parking stalls, which complies with the requirements of the Surrey Zoning By-law. The proposed visitor parking stalls will be dispersed throughout the development site. The resident parking stalls will be provided in double garages attached to the units.
- The development site will result in a hooked lot, as the development will be providing a public lane to allow for future access to a potential multiple residential development site located within the excess King George Boulevard road dedication to the south and to a future multiple residential development located to the east (Appendix III).


## Road Closure and Acquisition (Appendix I)

- There are currently two dedicated roads, 131 Street and 113 A Avenue, which exist, but have not been opened in the vicinity of the subject property. The Engineering Department has indicated that 131 Street will not extend north beyond the cul-de-sac at 13102-114 Avenue and 11408-131 Street. Therefore, the excess portion of 131 Street, to the south of this location is surplus and may be sold, with Council's approval. Ultimately, 113A Avenue is to be opened further west as development occurs and 113 A Avenue will ultimately connect to 112 B Avenue to the west. However, the alignment of 113 A Avenue is required to change. Therefore, the applicant is required to close part of 113A Avenue and acquire some City land to the north in order to facilitate this new alignment of 113 A Avenue (Appendix III).
- There is currently some excess road within the King George Boulevard, which is a gazetted road, south of the subject site. It is anticipated that the future closure of the gazetted road will create approximately 0.97 hectare ( 2.4 acres ) of land. Although not fully developable due to its configuration, there will be a development opportunity. The applicant was asked to provide a development concept for this area and the concept reflects a possible townhouse development, with vehicular access derived from a proposed public lane which will bisect the site (Appendix III).
- Staff have reviewed the applicant's proposal and find it acceptable. To implement this proposal, the applicant is required to dedicate a 6 -metre ( 20 ft .) wide lane through the subject site to provide access to the potential development site to the south. A dedicated lane, although unusual to be provided through a site, is considered preferable to an access easement or right-of-way on private property.


## Tree Preservation and Replacement

- An arborist report was submitted by the applicant. The report was prepared by Lesley Gifford, Certified Arborist, for Diamond Head Consulting Ltd.
- Two hundred (200) deciduous trees were identified within the site. It is proposed that all 200 trees be removed (Appendix VI).
- According to the arborist's report, all of the trees found growing within the subject site and to the west of the site are second growth trees. The majority of the site was cleared about 40 years ago and was regenerated naturally with a relatively dense, uniform stand of Red Alder, Black Cottonwood and Bigleaf Maple with scattered Paper Birch. Most of these deciduous trees range in diameter from $25-55 \mathrm{~cm}$ and average 40 cm . The northern portion of the site was cleared about 3-5 years ago. This is dominated by Black Cottonwood and Bigleaf Maple regenerated from stumps from shoots.
- The stands of trees are even-aged and have grown as a group, relying on neighbouring trees for structural support. According to the arborist's report, these stands offer little opportunity for retention as the trees within them have grown upwards competing for light and generally have poorly tapered trunks and small live crowns. Opening up these stands and exposing them as single trees makes them susceptible to windthrow and breakage. Considering the proposal is a moderately dense multiple residential development, the arborist is recommending that none of the trees be kept on the site, but that replacement trees be planted.

| Tree Species | Number of Trees | Proposed <br> Retention | Proposed <br> Removal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pear | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Cottonwood | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Alder | 130 | 0 | 130 |
| Bigleaf Maple | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| Bitter Cherry | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Birch | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Other (Ropbinia \& Oak) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |

- Based upon these numbers, 264 replacement trees are required, with 136 to be replaced at a 1:1 ratio and 64 trees to be replaced at a $2: 1$ ratio. There are 143 replacement trees proposed within the site. The applicant will be required to provide cash-in-lieu for the remaining 121 trees which are not accommodated within the site.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

- Two pre-notification letters were mailed out, one for the initial proposal for the high-rise building and townhouses, dated August 20, 2007 and one dated March 19, 2010, based upon the current townhouse proposal.
- Staff did not receive any comments for either of the development proposals.


## PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS FOR OCP AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Section 879 of the Local Government Act, it was determined that it was not necessary to consult with any persons, organizations or authorities with respect to the proposed OCP amendment, other than those contacted as part of the pre-notification process.

## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The proposed townhouse project consists of 68 3-bedroom units in ten (10) buildings. The townhouse units are 3 storeys in height, with private roof decks.
- The site reflects two vehicular access points from 113A Avenue, with one entering the centre of the site and the other at the eastern edge which connects to a minimum 6.0-metre ( 20 ft .) wide public lane. The public lane will eventually allow for access to a potential future development site within a surplus portion along King George Boulevard which may be closed in the future.
- A walkway will be constructed within the remaining 131 Street right-of-way adjacent to the site, between King George Boulevard and 113A Avenue. The developer will be required to contribute to one-half of the construction costs of the walkway.
- Due to the grading on the site, the townhouse units have been designed with two different unit layouts, one where the garage is situated at the second level, with the living room below and the other where the living room is at the second level, with the garage at the ground level. All townhouse units will incorporate roof decks.
- The primary building materials and colours proposed consist of hardi-boards painted in varying shades of beige, overhead doors and frames painted in white, vinyl windows and frames painted in a dark beige, red painted cladding and varying accent colours ranging from blue, golden yellow, purple and teal. Entry doors and trellises will be stained wood.
- Due to the slope, significant terraced retaining walls are proposed along the north (113A Avenue), west and southeast portions of the site.
- A proposed two-storey amenity building is located centrally within the site. The main floor incorporates an exercise room and office, while the second floor incorporates a multi-purpose room, a TV room and game area. The roof of the building will incorporate a green roof system.
- The outdoor amenity is proposed to the immediate west of the indoor amenity building. The proposed outdoor amenity will include a children's play area, community gardens and walking paths.
- Fencing with groundcover planting and trees are proposed to frame the ground floor patios for each unit and to provide for privacy.
- Main vehicular access points are defined with decorative paving.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

This application was not referred to the ADP, but was reviewed by staff and found satisfactory, except for the following which will have to be addressed prior to Final Adoption:

- A roll over curb on the lane to be shown on the plans.
- A sidewalk should be provided over the parking stalls at the south end of the development site.
- The privacy wall should be lowered to match the o.9 metre ( 3 ft .) high wood fence.
- Signage details are required for review.
- Resolution of all outstanding landscape items.

The applicant has agreed to complete these items prior to consideration of Final Adoption.

## PROPOSED CD BY-LAW (Appendix VII)

- The proposed CD By-law is based upon the RM-3o Zone, with a slightly increased floor area ratio (FAR) and variances for building setbacks and to allow for visitor parking stalls and stairs exceeding 3 risers to be situated within the setback area.
- The proposed development will reflect a proposed FAR of o.96, which slightly exceeds the maximum 0.90 FAR permitted for RM-30-zoned sites exceeding 1 hectare ( 2.47 acres ) in size. The unit density will remain under the maximum 75 units per hectare ( 30 units per acre) permitted under the RM-30 Zone, at 58 units per hectare ( 23 units per acre).
- The proposed building setbacks take into account two separate portions of the site, north of the proposed public lane and south of the lane.
- In the northern portion of the site, the north yard (113A Avenue) and the west yard setbacks will be a minimum of 4.4 metres ( 14.4 ft .). The area within the setbacks will be landscaped. Along 113A Avenue, the setback is measured from the property line to the building face. Along the west property line, the setback is measured to the side elevations of the westerly buildings. A walkway will be situated to the west of the subject site, thereby "increasing" the open area. The windows from the end units will also allow for increased surveillance of the walkway.
- The south yard and east yard setbacks for the northern portion of the site will be a minimum 2.1 metres ( 6.9 ft .) and 1.2 metres ( 3.9 ft .) respectively, measured from the proposed lane. The setbacks are typical what would be proposed from a private driveway.
- In the southern portion of the site, the south yard and east yard setbacks are a minimum of 7.0 metres ( 23.0 ft .) and 5.0 metres ( 16.4 ft .) respectively. The 5.0 -metre ( 16.4 ft .) east setback is required only on the easterly edge of the south-easterly building (Building \#12). This reduced setback is required due to the irregular shape of the site at the southeast corner.
- The proposed north yard and west yard setbacks for the southern portion of the site are 1.2 metres ( 3.9 ft .) and 0.9 metre ( 3.0 ft .) respectively, due to the alignment of the proposed public lane.
- In addition, the proposed CD By-law will allow for further encroachments into the setbacks, by stairs, retaining walls and second floor building elements.
- Proposed visitor parking stalls are proposed to be situated within the building setback areas, to allow for better accessibility throughout the site. This proposed variance does not have a significant impact upon the development, as the applicant is proposing landscaping around the parking areas.
- Due to the grading of the site, stairs exceeding three (3) risers are proposed within the west, north and south building setbacks of the larger site. These stairs are required to provide for level and usable patios for the townhouse units and to provide for pedestrian connections through the site. The applicant's architect had previously worked with Planning staff to reduce the number of stairs where possible. However, it is recognized that due to the grading on the site, stairs are necessary to facilitate pedestrian connections within the site.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets and Survey Plan Showing Road Closure
Appendix II. OCP Amendment Map
Appendix III. Proposed Subdivision Plan, Site Plan, Building Elevations and Landscape Plans
Appendix IV. Engineering Summary
Appendix V. School District Comments
Appendix VI. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VII. Proposed CD By-law

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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## APPENDIX I HAS BEEN

REMOVED AS IT CONTAINS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET



* If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.


## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed in RM-30 Zone | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 75 uph/30 upa | 58 uph/23 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.90 | 0.96 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | $204 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Outdoor | $204 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $253.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  | n/a |
| Industrial |  | n/a |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Bachelor +1 Bedroom |  |  |
| 2 -Bed |  |  |
| 3-Bed | 136 | 136 |
| Residential Visitors | 14 | 14 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  | n/a |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 150 | 150 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls | 1 | 1 |
| Number of small cars | 3 | 3 |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units | n/a | n/a |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length | n/a | n/a |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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INTER-OFFICE MEMO

10: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROA: : Development Project Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: May 10, 2010 PROJECTHLE: 7806-0301-00
RE. Engineering Requirements
Location: 13111 King George Blvd. and 13140131 Street
OCP AMENDMENT
There are no engineering requirements relative to the OCP Amendment.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- dedicate 18.3 metres for 113 A Avenue;
- dedicate minimum 6.0 metres for a public road through the site as shown on the site layout; and
- purchase proposed road closure areas.


## Works and Services

- construct 113 A Avenue complete with temporary hammerhead at 131 St. intersection,;
- construct minimum 6 m wide public road through the site.
- provide cash-in-lieu for future 131 Street walkway;
- extend waterman to the west from 12 B Avenue to 132 Street and construct main along 131 Street site frontage; and
- construct storm and sanitary facilities to service the development and future developments adjacent the site.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT
There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit.

The Engineering Department notes that adjustment to the proposed site layout may be required to met the engineering requirements.


Bob Ambardar, P.Eng.
Development Project Engineer
KS


NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file


April 22, 2010
Planning

## SCHOOL DISTRICT \#36 (SURREY)

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION \#: 7906.030100

## SUMMARY

The proposed 68 townhouse units are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:

Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 11 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 5 |

September 2009 Enrolment/School Capacity

| James Ardiel Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $47 K+308$ |
| Capacity (K/1-7): | $40 K+475$ |


| Kwantlen Park Secondary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1464 |
| Capacity .(8-12): | 1200 |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

There are no new capital projects proposed at the elementary school and no new capital projects identified for the secondary school. The District is considering a district program move from Kwantlen Park to another secondary school site, to eliminate projected overcrowding at Kwantlen Park Secondary School. The proposed development will not have an impact on these projections.


Kwantlen Park Secondary


## W. W,

## Surrey Project No.: <br> Project Location:

Registered Arborist:
$\# 0920$
13111 King George Highway

> Lesley Gifford, B.App Sc.
> ISA Certified Arborist (PN5432A)
> Certified Tree Risk Assessor (56)
> BC Parks Wildlife and Danger Tree Assessor

Detailed Assessment of the existing trees of an Arborist's Report is submitted on file. The following is a summary of the tree assessment report for quick reference.

1. General Tree Assessment of the Subject Site: Large stand of even aged tree species including alder, cottonwood, bigleaf maple, birch and cherry with a single mature western red cedar. Site proposed for townhouse development.
2. Summary of Proposed Tree Removal and Placement:
[]$\quad$ The summary will be available before final adoption.
Number of Protected Trees Identified $\quad: \quad \overline{200}$
. Number of Protected Trees declared high risk due to natural causes Number of Protected Trees to be removed
Number of Protected Trees to be Retained Number of Replacement Trees Required (136@1:1, 64@2:1)
Number of Replacement Trees Proposed
Number of Replacement Trees in Deficit
Total Number of Protected and Replacement Trees on Site
Number of Lots Proposed in the Project
Average Number of Trees per Lot

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (A-B-C) <br> (C-B $) \times 2$ <br>  <br> $(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F})$ | $\frac{-2}{200}$ |
| $(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{F})$ | $\frac{-143}{121}$ |

(A)
(B)
(C)
(D)
(E)
(F)
(G)
(I)
3. Tree Survey and Preservation / Replacement Plan
$\square$ Tree Survey and Preservation / Replacement Plan is attached
[] This plan will be available before final adoption

-

## CITY OF SURREY

## BYLAW NO.

A by-law to amend Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended

THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Surrey, in open meeting assembled, ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 903 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 1996 c. 323, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

FROM: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RF)
TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

Parcel Identifier: 008-042-314
Lot "G" Except: Part Subdivided By Plan 35529, Section 9 Block 5 North Range 2 West New Westminster District Plan 5585

1311 King George Boulevard
Portion of Parcel Identifier: 017-241-553
Lot "A" (B E12939) Section 9 Block 5 North Range 2 West New Westminster District Plan 17410 as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this By-law as Schedule "A", certified correct by Gene Paul Nikula, B.C.L.S. on the $11^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2010 containing 281 square metres, called Block $B$

$$
\text { Portion of } 13140-131 \text { Street }
$$

Portion of 131 Street as shown on Schedule "A" attached hereto containing 1,165 square metres, called Block A.

Portions of usA Avenue as shown on Schedule " A " attached hereto containing 805 square metres called Block D, and containing 42 square metres, called Block E
(hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate the development of medium density, ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings and related amenity spaces which are to be developed in accordance with a comprehensive design.

## B. Permitted Uses

The Lands and structures shall be used for the following uses only, or for a combination of such uses, provided such combined uses are part of a comprehensive design:

1. Ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
2. Child care centres, provided that such centres:
(a) Do not constitute a singular use on the lot; and
(b) Do not exceed a total area of 3.0 square metres [ $32 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.] per dwelling unit.
C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.
D. Density

1. The floor area ratio shall not exceed o.96.
2. The maximum unit density shall not exceed 58 dwelling units per hectare [23 upa].
3. The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1(b) of this Żone, is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## E. Lot Coverage

The lot coverage shall not exceed $37 \%$.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

1. Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks for the portions of the Lands shown on the Plan of Proposed Subdivision attached hereto and forming part of this By-law as Schedule "B", certified correct by Gene Paul Nikula, B.C.L.S. on the $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ day of May 2010:
(a) North portion of the Lands shown on Schedule "B" containing 10,166 square metres:


Measurements to be determined as per Part a Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

* Stairs and retaining walls may be sited a minimum o. 6 metre [2.0 ft.] from the north lot line (113A Avenue).
** Structures may be sited a minimum of 1.5 metres [ 4.9 ft .] from the lane.
*** The second floor of the principal building nearest the lane, may be sited a minimum of 1.0 metre [ 3.3 ft .] from the lane.
(a) South portion of the Lands shown on Schedule " $B$ " containing 1,703 square metres:


Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

* The upper floors of the principal buildings may be sited a minimum of 0.5 metre [ 1.6 ft .] from the lane.
** Patio encroachments may be sited a minimum of 4.5 metres [14.8 ft.] from the south lot line.
*** The second floor of the principal building nearest the lane may be sited a minimum of 0.5 metre [ 1.6 ft .] from the lane.

2. Notwithstanding Sub-section E.17.(b) of Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, stairs exceeding 3 risers may encroach within all building setbacks.

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part a Definitions of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

1. Principal buildings: The building height shall not exceed 13 metres [42 feet].
2. Accessory buildings and structures: The building height shall not exceed 4.5 metres [ 15 feet].

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Resident and visitor parking spaces shall be provided as stated in Table C.6, Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. All required resident parking spaces shall be provided as underground parking or as parking within building envelope.
3. Visitor parking within the required setbacks is permitted.

## I. Landscaping

1. .. All developed portions of the lot not.covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. Along the developed sides of the lot which abut a highway, a continuous landscaping strip of not less than 1.5 metres [ 5 ft .] in width shall be provided within the lot.
3. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.
4. Garbage containers and passive recycling containers shall be screened to a height of at least 2.5 metres [ 8 ft ] by buildings, a landscaping screen, a solid decorative fence, or a combination thereof.

## J. Special Regulations

1. Amenity space shall be provided on the lot as follows:
(a) Outdoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit and shall not be located within the required setbacks; and
(b) Indoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq. ft.] per dwelling unit, of which a maximum of 1.5 square metres [16 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit may be devoted to a child care centre.
2. Child care centres shall be located on the lot such that these centres:
(a) Are accessed from a highway, independent from the access to the residential uses permitted in Section B of this Zone; and
(b) Have direct access to an open space and play area within the lot.

## K. Subdivision

Lots created through subdivision in this Zone shall conform to the following minimum standards:

| Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\vdots$ |  |
| $8,18 \mathrm{o}$ sq. m. | 132 metres | 62 metres |
| $[2$ acres $]$ | $[433 \mathrm{ft}]$ | [203 ft.] |

Dimensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E. 21 of Part 4 General Provisions of Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-3o Zone as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
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4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading, of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of Surrey Zoning By-law,'1993, No. 12000, as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building By-law, 1987, No. 9011, as amended.
8. Building permits shall be subject to Surrey Development Cost Charge By-law, 2010, No. 17111, as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-30 Zone.
9. Surrey Tree Protection By-law, 2006, No. 16100, as amended.
10. Development permits may be required in accordance with the Surrey Official Community Plan, 1996, By-law No. 12900, as amended.
11. Provincial licensing of child care centres is regulated by thë Community Care and Assisted Living Act R.S.B.C. 2002. c. 75, as amended, and thé Regulations pursuant thereto including without limitation B.C. Reg 319/89/213.
12. This By-law shall be cited for all purposes as."Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, , No. ."

READ A FIRST AND SECOND TIME on the th day of , zo .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of ,20:
READ A THIRD TIME ON THE th day of , 20.
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of , 20 .
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## SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY BYLAW NO. <br> OVER PART OF LOT "A" (BE12939) <br> PLAN 17410, LOT "G" EXCEPT: PART SUBDIVIDED BY PLAN 35529, PLAN 5585, AND ROADS SHOWN ON PLAN 480 ALL OF SECTION 9 BLOCK 5 NORTH RANGE 2 WEST NEW WESTMINSTER DISTRICT <br> FOR THE PURPOSE OF REZONING

BCGS 92G. 026
CITY OF SURREY
LEGEND
SCALE 1:1500
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