

# City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: 7910-0265-00 

Planning Report Date: January 24, 2011
PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from RA to RM-30
- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
in order to permit the development of approximately 144 three-storey townhouse units with variances to setbacks, parking and signage.

LOCATION: $\quad$ Portions of 19407, 19501-68
Avenue and 194A Street/ 19495-68 Avenue

OWNER: City of Surrey et al.
ZONING: RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Medium-High Density (15-25 upa)


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to reduce indoor amenity space.
- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Seeking relaxation of setbacks.
- Seeking relaxation of enclosed tandem parking and parking setback requirements.
- Seeking relaxation of the Sign By-law to allow one additional free-standing sign on the property.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- Complies with NCP Designation.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of East Clayton.
- Proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The additional free-standing sign allows for identification signage to be located beside each driveway on 194 Street and 68 Avenue.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. a By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" (By-law No. 12000) to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" (By-law No. 12000) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council approve the applicant's request to reduce the amount of required indoor amenity space from 432 square metres ( $4,650 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) to 407 square metres ( $4,381 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.).
3. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7910-0265-oo in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
4. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7910-0265-oo, (Appendix VI) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.7 metres ( 15 ft .) along all lot lines to the building face, and 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for roof overhangs, bay or boxed windows, hutches, eaves, balconies and columns;
(b) to reduce the minimum east side yard setback of the RM-3o Zone for proposed Building No. 10 from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.2 metres ( 10.5 ft .) to the building face, and to 2.4 metres ( 7.9 ft .) for roof overhangs, and bay or boxed windows;
(c) to vary the setback requirements in Part 4 General Provisions of Zoning By-law No. 12000 to allow four (4) risers to encroach into the setback along all lot lines;
(e) to vary the enclosed tandem parking space requirements of the RM-30 Zone to permit one outside tandem parking space for 66 of the 288 residential parking spaces;
(f) to vary the parking requirements of the RM-30 Zone to permit one (1) visitor parking space to be located within the east side-yard setback; and
(g) to vary the Sign By-law to increase the maximum number of free-standing identification signs from one (1) to two (2).
5. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(d) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) completion of the road closure and acquisition of a portion of 194A Street with the Realty Services Division;
(g) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space; and
(h) the applicant adequately address the impact of reduced indoor amenity space.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

## Projected number of students from this development:

23 Elementary students at Hazelmere Elementary School 12 Secondary students at Clayton Heights Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

The applicant should provide cash-in-lieu for the construction of the sidewalk connections to the greenway. The existing curb let down on 68A Avenue should be replaced with a proper barrier curb by the applicant. The proposed sidewalk along the greenway should be entirely on private property.

At the land clearing stage, the applicant should install permanent hard fencing at the property line bordering the proposed park and coordinate the removal of hazardous trees with Parks staff.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: The western portion is City-owned vacant, cleared land and the eastern portion is treed.

Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 68A Avenue): | Townhouse development <br> and parkland (greenway). | Medium-High Density <br> $(15-25$ upa) and Public <br> Open Space/Park | RM-30 and RA |


| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East: | Detention pond. | Storm Water Pond | RA |
| South (Across 68 Avenue): | Townhouse <br> developments and <br> parkland. | Medium-High Density <br> $(15-25$ upa), Public <br> Open Space/Park | RM-30 and RA |
| West (Across constructed <br> yet to be dedicated 194 <br> Street): | Detention pond and <br> parkland. | Storm Water Pond, <br> School/Park | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- The subject site is located in East Clayton and consists of primarily one property located at 19495 - 68 Avenue and portions of City-owned properties located at 19407 and 19501 - 68 Avenue. The application also includes the closure and consolidation of a portion of 194A Street.
- The site is approximately 2.1 hectares ( 5.3 acres) in size. All the properties comprising the subject site are zoned One-Acre Residential (RA), designated Urban in the Official Community Plan (OCP), and Medium-High Density 15-25 units per acre in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The site slopes towards 68 Avenue with the property located at 19495 - 68 Avenue heavily treed.
- The applicant is proposing to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential" (RA) to "Multiple Residential 30" (RM-30) to allow the development of 144, three-storey townhouse units to be completed in six (6) phases.
- The proposed unit density of the development is 67 units per hectare ( 27 units per acre), with a floor area ratio (FAR) of o.69. The proposed unit density is slightly above the 63 units per hectare (25 upa) designation in the East Clayton NCP for the subject site. However, the proposed unit density is below the 75 units per hectare ( 30 upa) permitted in the RM-3o Zone and the proposed FAR of 0.69 is under the maximum FAR of 0.9 in the RM-30 Zone.
- The development proposes 407 square metres ( 4,381 sq. ft .) of indoor amenity space within a separate building. The proposed indoor amenity space is 25 square metres ( 269 sq . ft .) less than the required 432 square metres ( $4,650 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) required under the Zoning By-law (based on 3 square metres/32 sq. ft. per dwelling unit). The applicant will be providing cash-in-lieu for the eight (8) unit deficiency in indoor amenity space, in accordance with City Policy No. o.48.
- The indoor amenity space will be provided in a centrally located two-storey building facing the driveway entrance on 68 Avenue and will be incorporated as part of Phase I of the development.
- The development proposes 508 square metres ( $5,468 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of outdoor amenity space, which exceeds the minimum 432 square metres ( 4,650 sq. ft.) required under the Zoning By-law (based on 3 square metres $/ 32 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . per dwelling unit). The proposed outdoor amenity space is to be located immediately northeast of the indoor amenity building and will incorporate a children's play area, bench seating and walking paths. Securities for landscaping within the amenity areas will be required prior to the issuance of the Phase I building permit.
- The proposed development includes 288 resident parking stalls and twenty-nine (29) visitor parking stalls, which complies with the requirements of the Surrey Zoning By-law. The proposed visitor parking stalls will be dispersed throughout the development site, with one stall to be located within the east side yard setback which requires a variance to the parking requirements of the RM-3o Zone (see By-law Variance section).
- Resident parking stalls will be provided in sixteen (16) double, sixty-six (66) single, and sixty-two (62) tandem garages attached to the units. A variance is required to allow outside tandem parking spaces for the 66 single car garages (see By-law Variance section).


## Landscaping and Tree Replacement

- An arborist report prepared by Norman Hol, Certified Arborist, for Arbortech Consulting Ltd. was submitted by the applicant.
- Forty (40) deciduous trees were identified within the site. It is proposed that all 40 trees be removed (Appendix VI).
- According to the arborist report, the treed area of the site (19495-68 Avenue) is covered with a remnant pioneer deciduous forest stand. The majority of the trees are below bylaw size. The review found that all the trees were in advanced stages of decline, many being dead, and a majority of the trees in a dying state of health. This is due to soil hydrology changes related to the history of disturbance and build-out of surrounding lands, affecting the ability of the trees to collect adequate water and nutrients.
- As the proposal is a moderately dense multiple residential development, the arborist is recommending that none of the trees be kept on the site, but that replacement trees be planted.

| Tree Species | Number of Trees | Number to be <br> Retained | Number to be <br> Removed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red alder | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| Black cottonwood | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |

- Based on these numbers, forty (40) replacement trees are required at a $1: 1$ ratio. There are 266 replacement trees proposed within the site.
- Due to a sighting of nesting birds within the stand of trees on 19495-68 Avenue by a neighbouring resident, the applicant submitted a raptor survey by Rolf Sickmuller of Envirowest Consultants Ltd. The report identified five (5) unoccupied bird nests on the property at the time of survey. The nests are larger than those associated with passerine bird species, but smaller than those associated with large raptors such as bald eagles or Great Blue Heron. The nests were likely constructed by smaller raptors such as red-tailed hawk, Coopers hawk, or sharp-shinned hawk, or by crows.
- The report recommends that any removal of nests, nest trees, and adjacent vegetation should occur prior to February 15 or after September 1 to preclude possible contravention of Section 34 of the Wildlife Act that prohibits the disturbance of active nests. Any clearing of vegetation between
these dates will require completion of additional nest surveys to determine if existing nests are active, and if new nests have been established.


## PRE-NOTIFICATION

Pre-notification letters were sent on November 8, 2010 and staff received six (6) telephone calls from neighbouring residents with the following comments:

- The owner of a local laundromat inquired if the development is proposing any new commercial units within the townhouse development.
(The proposed development is for 144 townhouse units and not a mixed-use development. The RM-30 Zone does not permit any commercial or retail units. However certain types of home-based businesses may be permitted in any residential zone under the Zoning By-law.)
- The residents were concerned with the loss of parkland, overcrowding of park spaces in the neighbourhood due to the density of the development and the lack of outdoor recreational facilities in the neighbourhood.
(The applicant is proposing a townhouse development that complies with the existing designation of Medium-High Density 15-25 units per acre in the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

The development will include portions of existing City-owned land. However, the NCP has identified these portions of City property for future residential development. The existing parkland to the west of 194 Street will remain.

The applicant is providing indoor and outdoor amenity areas that will provide areas for recreation, fitness and social uses within the development. When the development is completed, the multi-use pathway to the north and east of the site will be constructed, providing additional connections to existing multi-use pathways in the area and open space for the use of surrounding residents)

- The residents were concerned with the impacts to parking and traffic in the area.
(The applicant is providing 288 resident and 29 visitor parking spaces as required under the Zoning By-law. In addition, there is an additional outside parking space for 78 units with enclosed tandem parking garages on the apron behind the garage.

There are two driveway accesses for the development to assist in the movement of vehicular traffic through the site. The main driveway will be from 68 Avenue with a secondary driveway from 194 Street. This will assist in the movement of residents and visitors to and from the site.)

- The residents were concerned that the development will have a negative impact on trees and existing wildlife that live in the wooded area at 19495-68 Avenue. Hawks nests have been sighted on the property as well as a Great Blue Heron around the detention pond to the east.
(The applicant conducted a Raptor Survey and found five (5) nests. The consultant recommends that any removal of nests, nest trees, and adjacent vegetation should occur
prior to February 15 or after September 1 to preclude possible contravention of Section 34 of the Wildlife Act that prohibits the disturbance of active nests. Any clearing of vegetation between February 15 or after September 1 will require completion of additional nest surveys to determine if existing nests are active, and if new nests have been established. The City has reviewed the report and is satisfied with the findings.

The arborist report submitted by the applicant indicates that the stand of trees at 19495-68 Avenue are in declining health with many dead or in a state of dying and not suitable to be retained. The applicant is providing 266 replacement trees, 226 more than required under the Tree Protection By-law. In addition the applicant will be providing additional landscaping and fencing that will enhance the streetscape along 194 Street, 68 Avenue and the multi-use pathway.)

## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

- The proposed townhouse project consists of 132 two-bedroom units and 12 three-bedroom units in twenty (20) buildings. The townhouse units are 3 -storeys in height, with private balconies for each unit.
- To provide a more urban interface for the townhouse development, more than half of the units have street entrances from 194 Street, 68 Avenue and the future multi-use pathway. Access to individual parking garages for all the units will be from the internal roadway.
- The site proposes two driveway access points; the main driveway entrance will be from 68 Avenue and a secondary driveway entrance will be from 194 Street. The vehicular access points will be defined with decorative paving.
- The developer will be required to provide cash-in-lieu to contribute to the construction of the connections on City land to the multi-use pathway from the private sidewalk, along the north and east property lines.
- The primary building materials and colours include pre-finished horizontal vinyl siding in beige and brown, vertical board and batten detailing painted cream, and painted hardie-board shingles in 4 -alternating accent colours of green and yellow. The detailing, including wood brackets, fascia boards, horizontal bands, corner boards and window trim are painted white. Balcony guard rails and entry trellis are dark grey or black. The windows and trim are white vinyl. Unit entry doors both front and back, along with the garage door are brown. The roof will be clad in black asphalt shingles.
- The proposed two-storey amenity building is centrally located within the site facing the driveway on 68 Avenue. The main floor consists of a multi-purpose room, kitchen, television lounge, mail room and a large outdoor deck and barbequing area, while the lower floor incorporates an exercise room and open gym area.
- The outdoor amenity area is proposed to the immediate north and northeast of the indoor amenity building. The proposed outdoor amenity will include a children's play area, bench seating and walking paths.
- Low fencing with groundcover planting and trees are proposed to frame the ground floor entrances and patios for each unit and to provide for privacy. Trees and shrubs will be provided in small islands in between most of the residential parking stalls to provide landscaping along the internal road.
- Visitor parking stalls located within the east side yard will be screened with hedging and coniferous trees to further screen the stalls from the multi-use pathway.
- The applicant was unable to relocate the existing Hydro and Telus kiosks in front of the development near the proposed driveway entrance on 68 Avenue. To ensure that the kiosks on 68 Avenue are less obtrusive along the street frontage, the kiosks will be wrapped with a decorative image in keeping with the character of the development and surrounding neighbourhood. Other kiosks proposed throughout the development will be screened with hedging and low shrubbery.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

This application was not referred to the ADP, but was reviewed by staff and found satisfactory. The applicant has agreed to complete a number of minor items prior to consideration of Final Adoption, in particular, the submission of a final landscaping plan and cost estimate.

## BY-LAW VARIANCES AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:

- To reduce the minimum setback of the RM-30 Zone from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.7 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face, and 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for roof overhangs, bay or boxed windows, hutches, eaves, balconies and columns along all lot lines.

Applicant's Reasons:

- The proposed setback variances will create a more pedestrian-oriented streetscape along 68 Avenue, 194 Street and the multi-use pathway adjacent to the site in accordance the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.
- The proposed variance to the building setback allows for the majority of townhouse units to have front entries from the street to create a pedestrian-friendly street interface.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setback relaxation is consistent with the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan, which recommends setbacks for townhomes to be a minimum of 3.5 metres ( 11.5 ft .) from the property line and ground-oriented unit entries facing the street in order to create a pedestrian-oriented urban environment.
- The proposed townhouse units fronting 194 Street, 68 Avenue and the multi-use pathway will have direct pedestrian connections to the street, in keeping with the objectives of the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.
- The varying roof overhangs and stepped building face provide interest and variety to the proposed townhouse buildings and streetscapes particularly along 194 Street and 68 Avenue.
- Staff support this variance.
(b) Requested Variance:
- To reduce the minimum east side yard setback of the RM-30 Zone for proposed Building No. 10 from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.2 metres ( 10.5 ft .) to the building face, and to 2.4 metres ( 7.9 ft .) for roof overhangs, and bay or boxed windows.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- To achieve the 4.7 -metre ( 15 ft .) setback to the building face for townhouse units facing 194 Street, the townhouse units along 68 Avenue were shifted to the east. As a result, the east side of proposed Building No. 10 encroaches into the east side yard setback of the property.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed setback relaxation is consistent with the East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan, which recommends setbacks for townhomes to be a minimum of 3.5 metres ( 11.5 ft .) from the property line to create a pedestrian-oriented urban environment.
- The reduced side yard setback for proposed Building No. 10 will also enhance the concept of "eyes on the street" to allow residents to monitor the adjacent multi-use pathway.
- Staff support the variance.
(c) Requested Variance:
- To vary the setback requirements in Part 4 General Provisions of Zoning By-law No. 12000 to allow four (4) risers to encroach into the building setback area from all lot lines.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- The additional riser is necessary to address the sloping grade of the site and omit the use of retaining walls.
- The first two (2) risers are located close to the property line leading to an extended landing and then to the last two ( 2 ) risers leading to the front door of the townhouse units. This facilitates an urban streetscape for the townhouse unit front entries facing 194 Street, 68 Avenue and the multi-use pathway.


## Staff Comments:

- The Zoning By-law permits three (3) risers to encroach into the building setback area.
- The one (1) additional riser is required to address the grade issues on the subject site.
- By breaking up the risers with an extended landing, the front yards of the proposed townhouse units will be protected as private spaces. By bringing the first two (2) risers close to the property line, the front entries of the proposed townhouse units will create a strong street presence in keeping with the objectives of the East Clayton NCP.
- Staff support the variance.
(d) Requested Variance:
- To vary the enclosed tandem parking space requirements of the RM-30 Zone to permit one outside tandem parking space for 66 of the 288 residential parking spaces.

Applicant's Reasons:

- The majority of the units have both tandem parking spaces inside the garage, however in order to provide a variety of smaller more affordable unit types, some units have one exterior parking space, behind the garage.


## Staff Comments:

- The RM-3o Zone requires that both tandem parking spaces for townhouse developments be enclosed and attached to each dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing one outside tandem parking space for 66 of the 288 residential parking spaces, which is $23 \%$ of the total residential parking spaces proposed for the project. In the RM-30 Zone, up to $50 \%$ of all required resident parking is permitted outside. Therefore, if these were not tandem parking spaces they would be permitted.
- Due to the narrow design of these particular units, tandem parking is the only option available.
- The configuration of the development with units fronting 194 Street, 68 Avenue and the future multi-use pathway, ensures that the outdoor parking spaces will be well screened from the street. In addition, trees and shrubs will be planted in between the outdoor parking spaces for further screening.
- Staff support the variance.
(e) Requested Variance:
- To vary the parking requirements of the RM-30 Zone to permit one (1) visitor parking space to be located within the east side yard setback.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- Visitors parking stalls have been provided throughout the development in locations convenient to residents and their visitors. Due to site constraints, one visitor parking stall will encroach into the east side yard setback.
- The encroaching visitor parking stall will be screened from the multi-use pathway by trees and hedging.

Staff Comments:

- The RM-3o Zone does not permit parking spaces within the building setback area.
- One (1) parking stall located in the northeast corner of the site beside proposed Building No. 8 is partially within the 4.7 -metre ( 15 ft .) building setback. Due to site constraints, the visitor parking stall cannot be relocated outside of the 4.7 -metre ( 15 ft .) setback.
- The visitor parking stalls along the east lot line will be screened by coniferous trees and hedging proposed in the landscaping plan, which should be sufficient in lessening the visibility of the stalls from the multi-use pathway.
- Staff support the variance.

Requested Variance:

- To vary the Sign By-law to increase the maximum number of free-standing identification signs from one (1) to two (2).


## Applicant's Reasons:

- The variance for an additional free-standing sign will allow for identification signage to be located beside each driveway entry on 194 Street and 68 Avenue. The size and density of the development requires two driveway entrances to the site.


## Staff Comments:

- The Sign By-law permits only one identification sign permit per lot for properties zoned RM-30.
- There are two driveway accesses for the townhouse development to facilitate vehicular traffic through the site. The main access will be from 68 Avenue and a secondary driveway access will be from 194 Street. A free-standing sign beside each of the driveway access locations will assist in directing residents and visitors to the driveway entrances to the townhouse development.
- The design of the proposed signage complements the overall design of the townhouse development and will be placed at a minimum of 2.3 metres ( 7.5 ft .) from the property line. The proposed free-standing identification signs comply with all other provisions in the Sign By-law.
- Staff support the variance.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets and Survey Plan
Appendix II. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VI. Development Variance Permit No. 7910-0265-00

Original Signed by Judith Robertson
Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

## LC/kms

 12/1/10 2:22 PM

## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Thomas Woo, Townline Group

Address: $\quad 120$ - 13575 Commerce Parkway
Richmond, BC
V6V 2 Li
Tel: $\quad$ 604-276-8823 (Ext. 248)
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Addresses: Portion of 19407 and 19501-68 Avenue and a portion of 194A Street; 19495-68 Avenue
(b) Civic Address: Portion of 19407-68 Avenue

Owner: City of Surrey
Portion of PID: 012-387-002
South Half Lot 5 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 1809
(c) Civic Address: 19495-68 Avenue

Owner: BPRT Holdings Ltd., Inc. No. 773636
PID: 027-133-729
Lot 125 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan BCP31093
(d) Civic Address: Portion of 19501-68 Avenue

Owner: City of Surrey
Portion of PID: 027-133-753
Lot 128 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan BCP31093
(e) Portion of 194A Street
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Introduce a By-law to rezone the site.
(b) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7910-0265-oo.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Proposed Zoning: RM-30

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT AREA* (in square metres) |  |  |
| Gross Total |  |  |
| Road Widening area |  |  |
| Undevelopable area |  |  |
| Net Total | $\geq 10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 21,470 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE (in \% of net lot area) | 45\% | 33\% |
| Buildings \& Structures |  | 29\% |
| Paved \& Hard Surfaced Areas |  | 62\% |
| Total Site Coverage |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SETBACKS ( in metres) |  |  |
| South: | 7.5 m . | $4.7 \mathrm{~m} / 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ roof overhangs / 4 risers |
| North: | 7.5 m . | $4.7 \mathrm{~m} / 4.0$ overhangs /4 risers |
| West: | 7.5 m . | $4.7 \mathrm{~m} / 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ roof overhangs / 4 risers |
| East: | 7.5 m . | $4.7 \mathrm{~m} / 4.0$ roof overhangs/4 risers |
| Proposed Building No. 10 | 7.5 m . | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3.2 \mathrm{~m} / 2.4 \mathrm{~m} \text { roof } \\ \text { overhangs } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (in metres/storeys) |  |  |
| Principal | 13 m | 13 m |
| Accessory | 11 m | 11 m |
|  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |
| Bachelor |  |  |
| One Bed |  |  |
| Two Bedroom |  | 132 |
| Three Bedroom + |  | 12 |
| Total |  | 144 |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Residential |  | 14,886 m ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Commercial |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| FLOOR AREA: Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING FLOOR AREA | 19,323 m ${ }^{2}$ | 14,886 m ${ }^{2}$ |

*If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | 7.5 uph/30 upa | 67 uph/27 upa |
| FAR (gross) |  |  |
| FAR (net) | 0.90 | 0.69 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) |  |  |
| Indoor | $432 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $407 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Outdoor | $432 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $508 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Residential | 288 | 288 |
|  |  |  |
| Residential Visitors | 29 | 29 |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 317 | 317 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls |  |  |
| Number of small cars |  | 3 |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: Number / \% of Total Number of Units |  | 256/88\% |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces width/length |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 m-4.6 m \\ & 6.4 m-12.7 m \end{aligned}$ |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY REZONING BYLAW NO.______ OVER PART SOUTH HALF LOT 5 PLAN 1809 ,
PART LOT 128 PLAN BCP31093, LOT 125 PLAN BCP31093, PART ROAD DEDICATED BY PLAN 1809, AND PART ROAD DEDICATED BY PLAN BCP31093, ALL OF SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 8 NEW WESTMINSTER DISTRICT
B.C.G.S. $92 G .017$
-

$\underbrace{235_{20}^{04 L}}_{20}$
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(2) SOMCRERE STAR WITH BOULDER CHEEK WaLs


## INTER-OFFICE MEMO

TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Project Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: January 17, 2011 PROJECT FILE: 7810-0265-00
RE: Engineering Requirements
Location: 19407/19495/19501-68 Avenue

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 22 metre width for 194 A Street including 5 metre x 5 metre corner cuts at the intersection of 194 A Street and $68^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Dedicate 0.942 metre on $68^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, along the frontage of 19407-68 Avenue; and
- Provide 0.5 metre wide Statutory Right of Way (SRW) along the north side of 68 Avenue for multi-use pathway and utilities.


## Works and Services

- Construct sidewalk on the east side of 194A Street.
- Construct a 400 mm water main on 194A Street; the City will fund the upsizing.
- Provide sustainable drainage features as outlined in the East Clayton NCP.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.


Bob Ambardar, P.Eng.
Development Project Engineer
IKı

NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

November 16, 2010
Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS <br> APPLICATION \#: 7910026500

## SUMMARY

The proposed 144 townhouse units are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:

## Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 12 |

September 2010 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Hazelgrove Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $107 \mathrm{~K}+606$ |
| Capacity (K/1-7): | $80 \mathrm{~K}+450$ |
| Clayton Heights Secondary |  |
| Cnrolment (8-12): |  |
| Capacity (8-12): | 1239 |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Hazelgrove is a new elementary school in the East Clayton NCP Area (opened September 2009). A phased in boundary move to Latimer Road has been implemented to reduce the total projected student buildout within the schools catchment. Also, the capacity in the table includes an approved four classroom addition to Hazelgrove, with full day Kindergarten implementation, projected to be completed in 2011. The school districts capital plan has requested funding (\#1 priority in the plan) for a new elementary school to serve the rapid growth in the east half of Hazelgrove catchment (The proposed Clayton East Area Elementary Site \#201 at 70 Ave at approximately 194 Street is owned by the school district, consistent with the NCP's school-park designation ). The school district is also asembling land for future elementary schools and a future secondary school in the North Clayton Area to accommodate long term growth.

Hazelgrove Elementary


Clayton Heights Secondary


## TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY

Surrey Project No: $\mathbf{7 9}$
Project Location: 19400 block 68 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Surrey BC
Registered Landscape Architect/Arborist Norman Hol - Arbortech Consulting Ltd
Detailed Assessment of the existing trees or an Arborist's Report is submitted on file. The following is a summary of the tree assessment report for quick reference

## 1. General Tree Assessment of the Subject Site

The treed area of the site is covered with a remnant pioneer deciduous forest stand. Then predominant species is red alder with occasional black cottonwood, and is of semi-mature age class. The majority of the trees are below bylaw size, however we did perform a count of the bylaw trees found on site. The trees are all in advanced decline with many being dead, and the majority in a dying state of health. The subject trees are not viable for consideration of retention in the proposed development.

## 2. Summary of Proposed Tree Removal and Replacement

The summary will be available before final adoption.

Number of Protected Trees Identified
Number of Protected Trees to be Removed (hazard)
Number of Protected Trees to be Removed
Number of Protected Trees to be Retained
Number of Replacement Trees Required
(A-B-C)
(2:1 ratio except 1:1 for alder and cottonwood trees)
Number of Replacement Trees Proposed
Number of Replacement Trees in Deficit
Total Number of Prot. and Repl. Trees on Site (D+F)
Number of Lots Proposed in the Project
Average Number of Trees per Lot
(A) $\underline{40}$
(B) $\underline{0}$
(C) $\underline{40}$
(D) $\underline{0}$
(E) $\underline{40}$
(F) $\underline{40}$
(G) $\underline{0}$
(H) $\mathbf{4 0}$
(I) $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ n/a

## 3. Tree Survey and Preservation/Replacement Plan

$\qquad$ Tree Survey and Preservation/Replacement Plan is attached
$\qquad$ This plan will be available before final adoption.


Summary and plan prepared and submitted by: $\qquad$ Date:
Aug 18, 10
(Arborist)

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO. 7910-0265-oo

| Issued To: | CITY OF SURREY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | $14245-56$ Avenue <br> Surrey, BC <br> $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ |
| Issued To: | BPRT HOLDINGS LTD., INC. NO. 773636 |
| Address: | \#120 - 13575 Commerce Parkway <br> Richmond, BC <br> V6V 2 Li |

> (collectively referred to as the "Owner")

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Portion of Parcel Identifier: 012-387-002
South Half Lot 5 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan 1809
Portion of 19407-68 Avenue
Portion of Parcel Identifier: 027-133-753
Lot 128 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan BCP31093
Portion of 19501-68 Avenue
Parcel Identifier: 027-133-729
Lot 125 Section 15 Township 8 New Westminster District Plan BCP31093

> 19495-68 Avenue

Portion of 194A Street

> (the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Section F of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.7 metres ( 15 ft .) to the building face along all lot lines;
(b) In Section F of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) the minimum setback is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 4.0 metres ( 13 ft .) for roof overhangs, bay or boxed windows, hutches, eaves, balconies and columns along all lot lines;
(c) In Section F of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) the minimum east side yard setback for proposed Building No. 10 as shown in Schedule A is reduced from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 3.2 metres ( 10.5 ft .) to the building face, and to 2.4 metres ( 7.9 ft .) for roof overhangs, and bay or boxed windows;
(d) In Sub-section H.3., of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) the location of visitor parking spaces is varied to allow one (1) visitor parking space within the east side yard setback;
(e) In Sub-section H.5. (b) of Part 22 Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30) the enclosure of tandem parking spaces is varied to allow one outside tandem parking space for 66 of the 288 parking spaces, as shown in Schedule A; and
(f) In Sub-section E.17. (b) of Part 4 General Provisions the maximum number of risers to encroach into the building setback area from all lot lines is increased from three (3) to four (4) risers.
5. Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) Sub-section 23.2., in Part 4 Signs in Residential Zones is varied to increase the maximum number of free-standing identificaiton signs to be located on the property from one (1) to two (2), as shown in Schedule A.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction within two (2) years after Development Permit No. 7910-0265-oo is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

Mayor - Dianne L. Watts

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan
$\mathrm{v}: \backslash w p-$ docs $\backslash$ planning $\backslash$ 1odata \oct-dec $\backslash 120116291 \mathrm{c}$. doc . 1/20/11 11:29 AM
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