

# City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> File: 7913-0058-00 

Planning Report Date: February 24, 2014

## PROPOSAL:

- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
in order to permit the development of a four-storey multi-tenant business park building. A Development Variance Permit is requested to reduce the setbacks, overall height and landscape requirements under the CD By-law.

LOCATION: 6683-152A Street 6657-152A Street
OWNER: Surreal Properties Inc.
ZONING: CD (By-law No. 17404)
OCP DESIGNATION: Industrial
NCP DESIGNATION: Business Park


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval to draft Development Permit.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is requesting a Development Variance Permit (DVP) in order to allow the following variances: [1] vary the building height from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building to allow for mechanical equipment screening and 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 14 metres ( 46 ft .) for the remainder of the building; [2] reduce the minimum front yard setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .), rear yard setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .) as well as west side yard flanking setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 7 metres ( 23 ft .); and [3] eliminate the requirement for a 0.7 metre ( 2 ft .) high berm located within the 3 metre ( 1 oft .) wide landscaping buffer proposed along 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the East Newton Business Park NCP designation.
- The height variance is proposed in order to provide 6 metres ( 20 ft .) clearance floor-to-floor to adequately accommodate ground-floor industrial units as well as address grade changes on-site.
- The proposed variance for building height from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building will enable the applicant to properly screen the roof-top mechanical equipment from adjacent residential dwellings.
- The requested setback variances will enhance the streetscape along 152 Street by anchoring the building at the entrance to the business park.
- By eliminating the berm, the applicant can properly address the grade changes, reduce CPTED concerns along 152 Street and provide sufficient on-site parking without removing the bio-swale required on the eastern boundary of the subject property. The applicant will install the on-site trees, along the future boulevard, as per the CD By-law on all frontages.
- The proposed multi-tenant building is considered attractive, well-designed and provides an appealing addition to 152 Street as well as establishes a higher-standard in terms of the form, design and character of future industrial buildings within East Newton Business Park.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7913-0058-oo, which includes a comprehensive sign design package, generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
2. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7913-0058-oo (Appendix VI) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum front yard setback of the CD Zone (By-law No. 17404) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5.0 metres ( 16.4 ft .);
(b) to reduce the minimum rear yard setback of the CD Zone (By-law No. 17404) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .);
(c) to reduce the minimum west side yard flanking setback of the CD Zone (By-law No. 17404 ) from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 7.0 metres ( 23 ft .);
(d) to vary the maximum building height of the CD Zone (By-law No. 17404) allowed from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building in order to properly screen the roof-top mechanical equipment and 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 14 metres ( 46 ft .) for the remainder of the building; and
(e) to eliminate the requirement for a 0.7 metre ( 2 ft .) high berm located within the 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer along 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street.
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final approval:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) appropriate input and approval from Ministry of Environment regarding soil contamination;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) registration of reciprocal access and parking easements to accommodate the shared parking arrangement proposed between the subject property and 668o-152A Street (File No. 7913-0059-oo); and
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to limit the hours of operation for businesses located on-site to Monday through Friday, 6:00 a.m. to 7:0o p.m., except for first-floor general service uses which can utilize the twenty-nine additional stalls located in the underground parkade, in keeping with the shared parking agreement.

## REFERRALS

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

Parks,
Recreation \& Culture:

Surrey Fire Department: The applicant is required to provide an E-Comm Radio Building Amplification System and survey that identifies the type of radio amplification system required in accordance with By-law No. 15740. In addition, the building must be addressed off 152 Street in order to assist emergency response personnel.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Existing Land Use: Vacant parcels
Adjacent Area:

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/NCP <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | Unauthorized <br> Truck Park Facility | Industrial/ <br> Business Park | A-1 |
| East (Across 152A Street): | Vacant Parcel under DP <br> application to allow a <br> two-storey multi-tenant <br> business park building. | Industrial/ <br> Business Park | CD <br> (By-law No. 17404) |
| South (Across 66A Avenue): | Vacant Parcel | Industrial/ <br> Local Commercial | CD <br> (By-law No. 17404) |
| West (Across 152 Street): | Single Family Residential | Urban/Urban Single <br> Family Residential | RA |

## DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## Background

- The property at $6657 / 6683$ - 152A Street is designated "Industrial" in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and "Business Park" in the East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The property is regulated by a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" (By-law No. 17404) which permits a broad range of light impact industrial, office, general service and personal service uses.


## Current Proposal

- The applicant proposes to construct a four-storey multi-tenant industrial building that provides roughly 4,903 square metres ( $52,78 \mathrm{o}$ sq. ft.) of ground-floor industrial with upper-storey general service, personal service as well as office space.
- The applicant is requesting a Development Variance Permit (DVP) to reduce the setbacks from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .) for the front yard, 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .) for the rear yard and 7 metres ( 23 ft .) for the west side yard flanking street setback. In addition, the applicant requests a DVP to increase the maximum building height from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building to allow for mechanical equipment screening and 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 14 metres ( 46 ft .) for the remainder of the building as well as eliminate the requirement for a o. 7 metre ( 2.3 ft .) high berm located in the 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer along 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street. The variances are discussed in greater detail below.
- The applicant is proposing a shared parking agreement with the adjacent property at $6680-152 \mathrm{~A}$ Street in order to satisfy the minimum parking requirements under Zoning By-law No. 12000 and provide additional off-site parking for a proposed banquet hall facility on this adjacent property. A separate development application (File No. 7913-0059-oo) was recently submitted for a twostorey multi-tenant business park building which includes 3,884 square metres ( $41,807 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.) of ground-floor industrial, office, general service and personal service uses as well as a second-floor banquet hall. The development application for the adjacent property at 6680-152A Street (File No. 7913-0059-00) is proceeding to Council in conjunction with this Planning Report on February 24, 2014.


## DESIGN PROPOSAL AND REVIEW

## Proposed Building

- The applicant proposes to construct a four-storey multi-tenant industrial building on the subject property that provides ground-floor industrial with upper-storey general service, personal service and office space. The proposed building is roughly 4,903 square metres ( $52,78 \mathrm{o} \mathrm{sq}$. ft .) in total area.
- A total of five ground-floor industrial units are proposed with another ten general service/personal service units on the first-floor and twenty-eight office units on the second- and third-floors, each with individual entrances. The ground-floor industrial units have overhead loading doors that face the surface parking spaces.
- The proposed building conforms to the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) and lot coverage in the CD Zone.
- The applicant is unable to provide the required parking on the subject property at $6657 / 6683$ 152A Street, as per the Zoning By-law. Therefore, a shared parking agreement is proposed with the adjacent property at $6680-152$ A Street (File No. 7913-0059-00). The applicant is required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to restrict the hours of operation for businesses onsite to ensure sufficient parking is maintained for the proposed tenants, in accordance with the requirements of the Zoning By-law.
- The concrete tilt-up building is attractive, well-designed and provides an appealing addition to East Newton Business Park by establishing a high-standard for the form, design and character of future industrial buildings within the surrounding neighbourhood. The building façade includes tinted windows with reflecting spandrel glass on the upper-levels, clear double-glazed storefront windows and doors for first-floor tenants, metal overhead roller doors for ground-floor industrial units as well as natural colour anodized aluminum screen doors for the underground parkade. In addition, the proposed building includes natural colour anodized aluminum shading on the west and south elevations as well as metal cladding in various colours which enhances visual interest.
- The proposed layout and building elevation drawings were referred to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on January 16,2014 . Although the applicant has satisfactorily addressed the comments and suggestions provided at ADP (Appendix V), the following urban design elements will need to be finalized before Council grants Final Approval to the Development Permit:
o City staff are currently working with the applicant on the design and location of the proposed fascia signage. As a result, the fascia signage has not yet been finalized and may be subject to a separate Development Permit application in future;
o The applicant is required to provide clear entry points along the northern and southern building facades which address CPTED concerns (e.g. glass enclosed with at-grade exits);
o The applicant is required to submit a finalized landscape plan and cost estimate. No specialty features (e.g. paving materials) should be shown in the greenway on 152 Street or future road right-of-way. All landscaping should conform with the CD By-law, except for the request to eliminate the 0.7 metre ( 2 ft .) berm requirement on 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street;
o The public plazas and gateway feature proposed on-site are required to conform to the approved drawings, as shown in the Design Guidelines, and should be coordinated with adjacent projects (File No. 7912-0331-oo and File No. 7912-0o86-oo).


## Driveway Access, On-Site Parking and Shared Parking Agreement

- The multi-tenant building will obtain access from 152A Street, as per the Section 219 Restrictive Covenant registered on title.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 25 stalls within the underground parkade and 96 surface parking stalls for a total of 121 spaces. The amount of parking meets the minimum requirements under Zoning By-law No. 12000 based upon a combination of ground-floor industrial as well as upper-storey general service, personal service and office uses.
- On March 11, 2013, Council authorized an amendment to Zoning By-law No. 12000 that effectively increased the minimum parking requirement for banquet halls from to to 14 spaces per 100 square metres ( 1,075 sq. ft .). As a result, the banquet hall proposed under File No. 7913-0059-oo at 668o 152A Street now requires a total of 213 parking stalls with another 36 stalls for ground-floor tenants. To address the shortfall in parking and given the banquet hall activities occur largely on evenings or weekends, the adjacent owner will enter into a shared parking agreement with 6657/6683-152A Street (File No. 7913-0058-oo). The subject property at 6657/6683-152A Street will provide a total of 121 parking spaces on-site that will be shared with the adjacent property located at $6680-152 \mathrm{~A}$ Street. The parking stalls must be completed before the banquet hall receives an occupancy permit or business license.
- In order to ensure sufficient parking is provided for the proposed shared parking arrangement, the applicant will register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to limit the hours of operation for businesses on the subject property from Monday through Friday, 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The limits on hours of operation will apply to all tenants except for first-floor general service uses which can utilize the twenty-nine additional stalls in the underground parking structure, as per the shared parking agreement.


## Pedestrian Connectivity and Gateway Feature

- A 4 metre wide ( 13 ft .) wide pedestrian walkway (Surrey Lake Greenway) is currently protected under a statutory right-of-way along the western boundary of the subject property ( 152 Street).
- Additional walkways are proposed on-site to encourage pedestrian connectivity which include a walkway extending along the north lot line of the subject property from 152 Street to 152 A Street.
- Pedestrian connectivity is enhanced by adding a gateway feature at the southwest corner of the subject property that includes the addition of low-level planting and ornamental landscaping.


## Proposed Free-standing Signage

- The Surrey Sign By-law permits a free-standing sign with a maximum height of 6 metres ( 20 ft .) on 152 Street. However, the maximum permitted height for a free-standing sign is reduced to 2.4 metres ( 8 ft. ), as per the Design Guidelines registered on title under File No. 7908-0128-oo for this portion of East Newton Business Park. The proposed free-standing sign is 2.4 metres ( 7.9 ft .) and, therefore, complies with the maximum height requirement specified in the Design Guidelines.
- The free-standing sign is 3.7 metres ( 12 ft .) wide and double-sided resulting in a total sign area of 9 square metres ( 96 sq . ft.). The Sign By-law permits a total sign area of 28 square metres ( 300 sq . ft .).
- The free-standing sign will consist of a concrete base with polished granite copy area and brick accent materials. The sign will identify the entrance to East Newton Business Park. There is no individual tenant signage permitted. The free-standing sign design is controlled through a S. 219 Restrictive Covenant (RC) registered on title.
- The applicant proposes a minimum setback of 2 metres ( 6.5 ft .) from the southern and western boundary of the subject property, in keeping with the setback requirements in the Sign By-law.
- The applicant is proposing to introduce additional landscaping around the base of the sign to improve the overall appearance, including low-lying shrubs as well as additional groundcover.


## Proposed Fascia Signage

- The proposed fascia signage will be limited to one fascia sign per premise frontage and conform to the maximum allowable combined sign area per linear foot of premise frontage as well as not extend more than 0.5 metre ( 1.6 ft .) from the building façade, in keeping with the Sign By-law.
- The fascia signs will consist of individual channel letters with background illumination. The fascia signage will be located directly above the unit windows and doorway entrances. No fascia signage is permitted on architectural elements or design features on the exterior of the proposed building.
- Fascia signage is limited to first-floor tenants. No upper-storey fascia signs are proposed.
- City staff are currently working with the applicant on the design and location of the proposed fascia signage. As a result, the fascia signage has not yet been finalized and may be subject to a separate Development Permit application in future.


## Proposed Landscaping

- The proposed landscaping complies with the CD Zone and Design Guidelines originally developed for this portion of East Newton Business Park under File No. 7908-o128-oo. However, the applicant has requested a Development Variance Permit (DVP) to eliminate the requirement for a o. 7 metre ( 2.3 ft .) high berm located within the 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer proposed on 152 Street, 66 A Avenue and 152A Street in order to address grade changes and reduce CPTED concerns. The proposed landscaping includes a double row of trees, located on-site, lining the existing sidewalk, in keeping with the CD By-law.
- Significant landscaping is proposed around the bio-swale feature along the eastern boundary of the subject property (152A Street) which includes river rocks, small trees and evergreen shrubs.
- A variety of trees, shrubs as well as groundcover is proposed around the perimeter of the subject property with decorative features including benches, permeable unit pavers as well as pedestrian lighting. In addition, the applicant is proposing landscape diamonds in the surface parking areas.


## Tree Preservation

- The Arborist Report indicates there is 1 by-law sized tree, a Sitka Spruce, on the subject property. The applicant proposes to remove this tree because it is in poor condition and located within the building envelope. In response, the applicant proposes roughly thirty-five replacement trees onsite. The Arborist Report was submitted recently and requires further review by City staff before Council grants Final Approval to the Development Permit and Development Variance Permit.

| Tree Species | Number of <br> Existing Trees | Number of Trees <br> Proposed for Removal | Number of Trees <br> Proposed for Retention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sitka Spruce |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

- Under the recently approved Tree Protection By-law, tree replacement is required at specified ratios. Alder and Cottonwood trees are replaced at a ratio of 1:1 while other trees, including the Sitka Spruce, are replaced at a ratio of 2:1. As 1 Sitka Spruce is proposed for removal, a total of 2 replacement trees would be required. The applicant is proposing roughly 35 replacement trees. As a result, there would likely be no deficit in tree replacement. However, the Arborist Report was submitted recently and requires more review by City staff in order to confirm these values (Appendix IV).


## SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

The applicant prepared and submitted a sustainable development checklist for the subject property in January, 2014. The table below summarizes the applicable development features of the proposal based on the seven (7) criteria listed in the Surrey Sustainable Development Checklist.

| Sustainability Criteria | Sustainable Development Features Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Context \& Location |  |
| (A1-A2) |  |$\quad$| - The subject property is situated within the East Newton Business |
| :--- |
| Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). Moreover, the proposal |
| complies with the land-use designation in the Official Community |
| Plan (OCP) and East Newton Business Park NCP. |


| 5. Accessibility \& Safety <br> (E1-E3) | • The site plan and overall building design address CPTED principles <br> by avoiding concealed spaces/entrances and high walls/fences, low- <br> level planting, providing substantial glazing on building facades to <br> promote natural surveillance and improving pedestrian linkages. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6. Green Certification <br> $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)$ | • The project is seeking third-party ratings (ASHRAE 90.1 and LEED). |
| 7. Education \& Awareness <br> $\left(\mathrm{Gl}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{4}\right)$ | •N/A |

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL

The proposed layout and building elevation drawings were referred to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on January 16, 2014. The applicant has satisfactorily addressed the comments and suggestions (Appendix V).

## BY-LAW VARIANCE AND JUSTIFICATION

(a) Requested Variance:

- To vary the minimum front yard setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .).
- To vary the minimum rear yard setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .).
- To vary the minimum west side yard flanking setback from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 7 metres ( 23 ft .).


## Applicant's Reasons:

- A reduced setback is required to anchor the building at the corner of 152 Street and 66A Avenue which further enables the applicant to provide additional on-site parking spaces.


## Staff Comments:

- City staff initially requested the applicant relocate the multi-tenant building closer to 152 Street and 66A Avenue to provide an attractive and pedestrian friendly streetscape, as per the East Newton Business Park NCP and Design Guidelines registered on title.
- The decision to anchor the proposed building at the corner will conceal on-site parking from passing motorists and provide an attractive addition to the business park entrance.
(b) Requested Variance:
- To vary the maximum building height from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building to allow for mechanical equipment screening and 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 14 metres ( 46 ft .) for the remainder of the building.

Applicant's Reasons:

- The variance will enable the applicant to construct a four-storey multi-tenant building.


## Staff Comments:

- The proposed increase in overall building height will address grade changes on-site. Due to site grades, the building presents a three-storey façade along 152 Street and four-storey façade along the interior (east façade) facing 152A Street. The three-storey elevation along 152 Street provides an appropriate interface with the existing single family residential uses on the west side of 152 Street.
- In addition, the requested variance will ensure 6 metres ( 20 ft .) clearance is provided floor-to-floor for ground-floor industrial units. The increase in height will improve the function of each ground-floor unit by providing future tenants with loading bay doors and sufficient overhead clearance to promote industrial activities on-site.
- The proposed variance for building height from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building enables the applicant to properly screen the roof-top mechanical equipment from adjacent residential drawings.
(c) Requested Variance:
- To eliminate the requirement for a o. 7 metre ( 2 ft .) high berm located within the 3 metre (10 ft.) wide landscape buffer along 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street.


## Applicant's Reasons:

- The subject property is impacted by several grade changes from 152 Street to 152A Street.
- The applicant is required to provide a bio-swale along 152A Street which reduces the amount of space available to provide a berm without compromising on-site parking.


## Staff Comments:

- Eliminating the requirement for a o. 7 metre ( 2.3 ft .) high berm on 152 Street will reduce CPTED concerns by promoting natural surveillance from pedestrian traffic and passing motorists along the western façade thereby reducing the potential for criminal activity.
- The grade change along the south lot line reduces any chance of creating a o. 7 metre high berm without requiring significant retaining walls adjacent to the surface parking spaces.
- The bio-swale located along the east lot line ( 152 A Street) will serve as the 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer required under the CD By-law. A berm would conflict with the bio-swale design and function. In addition, the applicant cannot provide the 3 metre wide landscape strip, 0.7 metre high berm as well as bio-swale feature without compromising on-site parking.
- The applicant will install the on-site trees, along each frontage, as per the CD By-law.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Lot Owners, Action Summary and Project Data Sheets
Appendix II. Proposed Layout, Building Elevation Drawings and Landscape Plans
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix V. ADP Comments
Appendix VI. Development Variance Permit No. 7913-0058-0o

## INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON FILE

- Complete Set of Architectural and Landscape Plans prepared by WG Architecture Inc. and M2 Landscape Architecture, respectively, dated February 19, 2014 and February 17, 2014.
original signed by Nicholas Lai

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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<br>file-serverı\net-data $\backslash$ csdc $\backslash$ generate\areaprod $\backslash$ save $\backslash 6420742046$.doc
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## Information for City Clerk

Legal Description and Owners of all lots that form part of the application:

1. (a) Agent: Name: Navi Jagpal

Address: 16117-30 Avenue
Surrey, B.C. V3S oZ8
Tel: 604-779-4949
2. Properties involved in the Application
(a) Civic Address: 6683-152A Street 6657-152A Street
(b) Civic Address: 6683-152A Street Owner: Surreal Properties Inc.
PID: 028-737-857
Lot 1 Section 14 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan BCP49715
(c) Civic Address: 6657-152A Street

Owner: Surreal Properties Inc.
PID: o28-737-865
Lot 2 Section 14 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan BCP49715
3. Summary of Actions for City Clerk's Office
(a) Proceed with Public Notification for Development Variance Permit No. 7913-0058-oo and bring the Development Variance Permit forward for an indication of support by Council. If supported, the Development Variance Permit will be brought forward for issuance and execution by the Mayor and City Clerk in conjunction with final approval of the associated Development Permit.

## DEVELOPMENT DATA SHEET

Existing Zoning: CD (By-law No. 17404)


[^0]
## Development Data Sheet cont'd

| Required Development Data | Minimum Required / Maximum Allowed | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DENSITY |  |  |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (gross) | N/A | N/A |
| \# of units/ha /\# units/acre (net) | N/A | N/A |
| FAR (gross) | N/A | N/A |
| FAR (net) | 0.75 | 0.74 |
|  |  |  |
| AMENITY SPACE (area in square metres) | N/A | N/A |
| Indoor |  |  |
| Outdoor |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PARKING (number of stalls) |  |  |
| General Service/Personal Service | 38 stalls | 38 stalls |
| Industrial | 8 stalls | 8 stalls |
| Office | 54 stalls | 54 stalls |
|  |  |  |
| Residential | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| Institutional | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| Total Number of Parking Spaces | 100 stalls | 121 stalls |
|  |  |  |
| Number of disabled stalls | 3 stalls | 5 stalls |
| Number of small cars | 30 stalls | 26 stalls |
| Tandem Parking Spaces | N/A | N/A |
| Size of Tandem Parking Spaces | N/A | N/A |


| Heritage Site | NO | Tree Survey/Assessment Provided | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS <br> 6657, 6680 + 6683 152A STREET, SURREY, BC



## LIST OF DRAWINGS

DP000 - TITLE SHEET
DP101 - CONTEXT PLAN
DP102 - SITE PLAN, STATISTICS
DP103 - BASE PLAN
DP104 - SURVEY PLAN
DP105 - SITE DETAILS
DP211 - BUILDING A FLOOR PLANS L1 + L2
DP212-BUILDING A FLOOR PLANS ROOF
DP221 - BUILDING B FLOOR PLANS BASEMENT + L1
DP222 - BUILDING B FLOOR PLANS L2 + L3
DP223 - BUILDING B FLOOR PLANS ROOF
DP311 - BUILDING A ELEVATIONS
DP321-BUILDING B ELEVATIONS
DP401-BUILDING A \& B SECTIONS
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division
Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: February 18, $\mathbf{2 0 1 4} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: $\quad \mathbf{7 8 1 3 - 0 0 5 8 - 0 0}$

RE: Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial)
Location: 6657 152A Street

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

The following matters, associated with the Development Permit, are to be addressed as a condition of issuance of the Building Permit:

- Construct 1.0 metre wide concrete letdown.
- Provide a minimum queuing distance of 9.0 metres. Any proposed gates must be installed a distance back from the property line equivalent to or greater than the queuing distance.
- Register a reciprocal access/parking easement with 6680-152A Street (Project 13-0059-00) to ensure that adequate access/parking is provided as described in the Planning Report. This is to address the parking stall deficiency for the Banquet Hall proposed as part of the adjacent development (7813-0059-00).
- The off-site works required to service the site have been completed through Project 7808-o128-oo.
- A bio-filtration trench/drainage system is to be implemented on the subject site in accordance to the criteria defined within the Restrictive Covenant registered on the lands (Title Charge BB1358281).
- All landscaping along the Surrey Lake Greenway Mutli-use Path is to be a minimum of 0.500 metres from the greenway and located exclusively on private property and maintained by the property owner in perpetuity.

A Servicing Agreement is not required prior to issuance of the Development Permit.

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Variance Permit.


Rémi Dubé, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
CE

NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

# ARBORTECHconsulting 

ACL GROUP

Appendix $\qquad$

## TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY

## Surrey Project No.: 7913-0058-00

Project Loc ation: 6680 152A Street Surrey, BC
Consulting Arborist Norman Hol

1 Summary description of the existing tree resource. See also the arborist report on file

2 Summary of Proposed Tree Removal and Replacement
Quantity of Bylaw Protected Trees Identified
Quantity of Bylaw Protected Trees to be Removed (Hazard)
Quantity of Bylaw Protected Treesto be Removed
Quantity of Bylaw Protected Trees to be Retained
Quantity of Replacement Trees Required

|  | (A) | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (B) | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  | (A-B-C) | (D) |
|  | (E) | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |

(2:1 ratio except for alder and cottonwood at 1:1 ratio)
Quantity of Replacement TreesProposed
(F)


3 Tree Survey and Preservation/Replacement Plan Attached
Yes

This summary and the referenced documents are prepared and submitted by:


[^1]Dated: December 11, 2013

Direct: 6048139194
Email: nom@aclgroup.ca

## Advisory Design Panel Minutes

## City Hall

14245-56 Avenue
Surrey, B.C.
THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 2014
Time: 4:00 pm

Present:<br>Chair - L. Mickelson<br>Panel Members:<br>E. Mashig<br>B. Heaslip<br>J. Makepeace<br>G. Wylie<br>N. Baldwin<br>T. Bunting<br>T. Wolf

Guests:<br>Igor Nardin, OCA Architects Inc.<br>Meredith Mitchell, M2 Landscape Architecture<br>Russ Vandergraaf, Fraser Health Authority<br>Yuri Afanasiev, WG Architecture Inc.<br>M. Jaszczewski, WG Architecture Inc.<br>Navi Jagpal, Jagpal Development Ltd.<br>Andrea Scott, PJ Lovick Architects Ltd.<br>Mary Chan, PMG Landscape Architects.<br>Bhupinder Johal, Carelink Investments Ltd.<br>Kevin Shoemaker, Polygon Harvard Gardens<br>Scott Baldwin, Polygon Harvard Gardens<br>Keith Hemphill, Rositch Hemphill Architects<br>Daryl Tyacke, Eckford Tyacke \& Associates<br>Paul Peters, Eckford Tyacke \& Associates

## Staff Present:

M. Rondeau, Acting City Architect Planning \& Development
T. Ainscough, City Architect Planning \& Development H. Bello, Senior Planner - Planning \& Development
H. Kamitakahara, Planner, Planning \& Development

## A. RECEIPT OF MINUTES

It was
Moved by J. Makepeace
Seconded by T. Wolf
That the minutes of the Advisory Design
Panel meeting of December 12, 2013, be postponed until the next meeting, due to the fact that several pages of the minutes are missing.

Carried

## B. SUBMISSIONS

2. 5:08 PM

File No.:
Description:
Address:
Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7913-o058/59-oo } \\
& \text { East Newton Industrial Park } \\
& 6657 / 6680 / 6683 \text { - 152A Street (Newton) } \\
& \text { Navi Jagpal, Jagpal Development Ltd. } \\
& \text { Yuri Afanasiev, WG Architecture Inc. } \\
& \text { Meredith Mitchell, M2 Landscape Architecture } \\
& \text { Misty Jorgensen } \\
& \text { Hernan Bello }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Urban Design Planner presented an overview of the proposed project and highlighted the following:

- This project is in the East Newton Business Park. This proposal is similar to projects approved for sites to the north and east of this submission.
- The project is consistent with the NCP in terms of use. It is important that there is an industrial component in keeping with the business park designation.
- The project has been reviewed extensively by staff, essentially to find a solution that properly address grade constraints particularly for Building B, and incorporate the industrial component required in the NCP.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site plan, building plans, elevations, cross sections, and streetscapes and highlighted the following:

- Building A (Banquet/Industrial) has the main entry oriented to the corner plaza.
- Building B also has strong entrance on $152^{\text {nd }}$ Street and the shape of the building flows with the multi-purpose walkway along $152^{\text {nd }}$.
- For site security, the balcony needs to be secured. What we are planning to do is put the roll-up screens (aluminum mesh) to cover the accesses at night. Also gates to parking when closed.
- For sustainability, the glazing is less than 40 percent. There are horizontal shades to reduce the impact. On the east elevation, the majority is spandrel (opaque) glass. The entry banquet hall glass has structural elements which create certain shades.
- For materials, we've been using the concept of contrast between glass and solid. Main building will be concrete and big surfaces of glass.

The Landscape Architect reviewed the landscape plans and highlighted the following:

- The landscape follows the master plan character for the general area.
- The parking area behind Building $B$ is lower than the street so there is sloping landscape proposed at either side going down to the parking level.
- Maintained an overall character of plant material in texture and material for the overall campus of the area.
- There are bioswales incorporated that are typical of the area, that are fully incorporated as required by civil.
- Some permeable pavers are proposed.
- Garbage areas are fully screened.


## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

## East Newton Industrial Park

 6657/668o/6683-152A Street, NewtonFile No. 7913-0058/59-00
It was Moved by N. Baldwin
Seconded by G. Wylie
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) recommends that the applicant address the following recommendations and revise and resubmit to the Planning staff.

## Carried

## STATEMENT OF REVIEW COMMENTS

## Site Design

- The site appears to be well laid out and a good resolution of the variety of uses proposed.
- Good to emphasize the area as a campus for continuity.
- The slope on the site has been well integrated with industrial tucked below.


## Form and Character

- It's a nice vocabulary with a lot happening.
- The design is ambitious for these types of buildings and the detailing will be very important to pull it off.
- The stepping of the tops of the concrete frames on the west elevation of the Banquet Building A could be deleted.
- Building $B$ is the stronger one architecturally including the identification of the entrance from the street. But from the parking lot there is not the same prominence. That entry should be shown in a more forceful way. Maybe take the red feature on the west through to the east side entrance massing.
- Reflective glass, it was something that was sort of abandoned in the 1980 os because it does not allow natural light into the building. You don't get to see outside. It is not a nice feeling inside with reflective glass and at night. The extent of reflective glass should be reconsidered.
- Entrance canopies are fairly heavy particularly on the banquet Building A.
- It's unusual to have the retail accessed from the back balcony. Balcony walkway should be covered with a less solid, more varied treatment.
- 250 ft . long corridor for office is unusual.
- The signage is good and should be locked into the drawings at this stage.
- The height of the banquet hall is low. Probably going to end up with 12 ft . height at the most.


## Landscape

- The landscaping is very good for an industrial project.
- The layered planting along $152^{\text {nd }}$ Building B is good.
- The entrance to Building A banquet hall needs some more flushing out. It is really complex right now. Make sure your pedestrian zone crossing is well defined and is a safe place for people to walk to the building.
- You probably will have 1000 people in the banquet hall at some point, and there is no space in front or outside for people to congregate. Open space for people to talk to each other.
- Generally, the landscaping looks quite intensive for a project like this. Hopefully the outside spaces will be used.


## CPTED

- The efforts for site security were considered positive.


## Accessibility

- Make sure the elevator button panel is horizontal.
- Power doors at the entrances.
- Wheelchair accessible washrooms.
- Parking - 2 parking spaces for that building is inadequate. Increase that if possible to 4 to 6 for the banquet hall.


## Sustainability

- Building B is much better resolved for shading and Building A could follow better.
- Less glass would be better. If the building is only used at night, and it is only for the look, I think you could achieve the look without using so much glass.
- Good use of permeable paving and swales.
- Consider a mechanical system that goes beyond code minimums.
B. Heaslip left the meeting at 6:00 PM

Jane Sullivan, City Clerk
Leroy Mickelson, Chairperson, Advisory Design Panel

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7913-0058-oo
Issued To:

## SURREAL PROPERTIES INC.

("the Owner")
Address of Owner: Valley Centre
P.O. Box 56109

Langley, B.C. V3A 8B3

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 028-737-857
Lot 1 Section 14 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan BCP49715

$$
6683-152 \text { A Street }
$$

Parcel Identifier: 028-737-865
Lot 2 Section 14 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan BCP49715
6657-152A Street
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, Amendment By-law, 2011, No. 17404 is varied as follows:
(a) In Section F., Yards and Setbacks, the minimum front yard setback is varied from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .);
(b) In Section F., Yards and Setbacks, the minimum rear yard setback is varied from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 5 metres ( 16.4 ft .);
(c) In Section F., Yards and Setbacks, the minimum west side yard flanking setback is varied from 7.5 metres ( 25 ft .) to 7 metres ( 23 ft .);
(d) In Section G.1., Height of Buildings, the principal building height is varied from 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 15.9 metres ( 52 ft .) for a portion of the building in order to allow for screening of mechanical equipment and 12 metres ( 40 ft .) to 14 metres ( 46 ft .) for the remainder of the building; and
(e) In Section I.2., Landscaping, eliminate the requirement for a 0.7 metre ( 2 ft .) high berm located within the 3 metre ( 10 ft .) wide landscape buffer along 152 Street, 66A Avenue and 152A Street.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule $A$, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.


Mayor - Dianne L. Watts

City Clerk - Jane Sullivan
c: \users\mj2\desktop\dvp.docx
M 2/19/14 12:23 PM




[^0]:    * If the development site consists of more than one lot, lot dimensions pertain to the entire site.

[^1]:    Nomman Hol, Consulting Arborist

