

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT

 Application No.:7916-0231-00
Planning Report Date: May 9, 2022

## PROPOSAL:

- NCP Amendment from Parks and Open Spaces to Multiple Residential
- Rezoning from A-1 to CD (based on RM-30)
- Development Permit
to permit the development of 75 townhouse units
LOCATION:
12409-104 Avenue
12453 and 12463-105 Avenue
10529-125 Street
Portion of 105 Avenue
Portion of lane
ZONING:
A-1
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Parks and Open Spaces



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character, Hazard Lands (Steep Slopes) and Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas).


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing an amendment to the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential".


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposal complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposed amendment to the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential" will accommodate 75 townhouse units at a net density of 20 UPA. The proposal will follow the pattern of redevelopment in this neighbourhood by providing ground-oriented multi-family residences with appropriate tree retention, landscaping, and buffering along the BC Hydro right-of-way along the eastern property line.
- The Parks, Recreation \& Culture Department supports the NCP amendment from "Parks and Open Space" to "Multiple Residential" provided the development constructs the walkway in the unconstructed road right-of-way to the west of the subject property.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character, Hazard Lands (Steep Slopes), and Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas).
- The proposed townhouse development achieves an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality natural materials, and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site, as well as a portion of 105 Avenue, approximately 2,142.7 square metres in area and shown as Block A, and a portion of lane, approximately 216.2 square metres in area and shown as Block B, both illustrated on the Survey Plan attached as Appendix I, from "General Agriculture Zone (A-1)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7916-o231-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I) and the finalized Ecosystem Development Plan and Geotechnical Report.
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) submission of a finalized Ecosystem Development Plan to the satisfaction of City staff;
(h) submission of a finalized Geotechnical Report to the satisfaction of City staff;
(i) Registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant that requires the owner to develop the site in accordance with the conditions in the geotechnical report;
(j) completion of the road closure and acquisition of a portion of 105 Avenue and adjacent lane;
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(1) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Services;
(m) registration of the statutory right-of-way to protect the sanitary sewer trunk line as required by Metro Vancouver;
(n) registrations of a shared access easement to provide driveway access to neighbouring lots to the north (10502 and 10510-124 Street) should those parcels redevelop for townhouses in the future;
(o) Resolution of any issues arising from comments provided by Southern Railway of British Columbia Limited; and
(p) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to protect the proposed 6 metre wide landscaped buffer/Green Infrastructure Network corridor along the eastern boundary of the site.
4. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the land from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential" as shown in Appendix $V$ when the project is considered for final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant lot | Parks and Open <br> Spaces | A-1 |
| North (across unconstructed <br> lane): | Vacant lots | Parks and Open <br> Space \& Business <br> Park | A-1 |
| East (across BC Hydro ROW): | Single family <br> dwellings and the <br> Saint Mary Coptic <br> Orthodox Church | OCP: Urban | PA-1 <br> RF <br> F-12 |
| South (across 104 Avenue): | Single family <br> dwellings and the <br> BC Hydro ROW | OCP: Urban | RF |
| West (across multi-use <br> pathway): | Ground oriented <br> townhouse units | Low Density <br> Townhouses | RM-15 |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site is 3.73 acres (gross) in area and is currently designated as Urban in the OCP. The subject site is also located in the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) area and is designated as "Parks and Open Space". The site is currently zoned as "General Agriculture Zone (A-1)". There have been no previous development applications related to these properties.
- The site consists of four vacant lots with A-1 zoning and an unconstructed portion of 105 Avenue and adjacent lane. The site is of an irregular shape and measures approximately 220 metres north-to-south, and 80 metres east-to-west. The site has a northwest aspect and a uniform slope which is more than $20 \%$. Portions of the site are densely vegetated, particularly in the north of the site and along the east and south property lines. The site is adjoined to the east by a BC Hydro (railway) right-of-way.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing the following:
- An amendment to the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential";
- A Rezoning from A-1 to CD (based on RM-30);
- Development Permit for Form and Character, Hazard Lands (Steep Slopes), and Sensitive Ecosystems (GIN); and
- Subdivision (consolidation) from 4 lots into 1 , with the closure/consolidation of 105 Avenue and north-south lane allowances.
in order to allow for the development of a townhouse complex with 75 units.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lot Area |  |  |
| Gross Site Area: | 1.51 ha |  |
| Road Dedication: | o.01 ha <br> Net Site Area: |  |
| Building Height: | 120 ha |  |
| Unit Density: | $50.0 \mathrm{mph} / 20.3 \mathrm{upa}$ |  |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 0.73 |  |
| Floor Area |  |  |
| Residential: | $10,896.16 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. |  |
| Total: | $10,896.16 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$. |  |
| Residential Units: |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom: | 75 |  |
| Total: | 75 |  |

## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project as outlined in Appendix II.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

The School District has advised that there will be approximately 26 school-age children generated by this development, of which the School District has provided the following expected student enrollment.

16 Elementary students at Prince Charles School. 10 Secondary students at L. A. Matheson School
(Appendix III)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Spring of 2023.

Parks supports the NCP amendment from "Parks and Open Space" to "Multiple Residential" provided the development constructs the walkway in the unconstructed road right-of-way to the west of the subject property. Cash-in-lieu to be collect through the servicing agreement for tree planting adjacent to the walkway.

## Surrey Fire Department: No Concerns.

Southern Railways of BC: The application has been sent to the Southern Railway of BC for comment. The preliminary recommendations are that the developer registers a notice of railway operations on the title to ensure new and future owners are aware of the presence of the active rail line and the sounds the operation generates, and to provide appropriately designed fencing along the eastern property boundary to prevent trespass into the rail corridor. Any additional matters arising from the referral with the Southern Railway of BC will be finalized prior to final adoption.

The application has been sent to MetroVancouver, Sewer and Drainage operations for comment. The proposal includes the acquisition and closure of 105 Avenue by the applicant. MetroVancouver have indicated that, as part of this road closure, they will require the registration of a statutory ROW to provide unfettered access for any construction or maintenance activity related to the ongoing operation of the North Surrey Interceptor Roebuck Section (NSR) located off $124^{\text {th }}$ Street. MetroVancouver also require that the driveway entrance to $124^{\text {th }}$ Street be widened to 8.3 metres to account for temporary resident access to the development; primarily during instances where open cut excavation is required for maintenance of the sewer. In addition, any proposed surface features and landscaping plans within the ROW must be found to be acceptable by MetroVancouver. The matters raised above will be finalized prior to final adoption.

## Transportation Considerations

- The subject site is proposed to be accessed and addressed from 124 Street, where 124 Street meets the northwest corner of the property.
- In the south portion of the site, the applicant is required to dedicate 4.942 metres along the north side of 104 Avenue for widening.
- The applicant will be required construct the north-south multi-use pathway located to the west of the subject site, between 104 Avenue and $124^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- The nearest transit routes are Route No. 373 Guildford / Surrey Central Station and Route No. 393 Newton Exchange / Surrey Central Station. The closest bus stops are on $128^{\text {th }}$ Street, approximately 750 metres walking distance to the east of the subject site.


## Natural Area Consideration

- A Green Infrastructure Network Corridor (GIN) is identified along the eastern portion of the subject site and incorporates the BC Hydro Southern Railway Corridor. The GIN Corridor has a moderate ecological value and a targeted width of 50 metres. All areas covered by the Sensitive Ecosystems Development Permit (GIN) are proposed to be enhanced with the planting and establishment of landscaping consisting of native species. This equates to a 6 metre wide buffer area on the subject site.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The proposal complies with the "General Urban" designation in the Regional Growth Strategy.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal complies with the "Urban" designation in the Official community Plan (OCP).


## Themes/Policies

- The proposed development complies with the following themes and policies in the OCP (staff comments are in italics):
- A3.1 - permit gradual and sensitive residential infill within existing neighbourhoods.
(The proposed development allows for residential intensification and is compatible with adjacent land uses).


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal requires an amendment to the South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) area from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential".


## Themes/Objectives

- The policy outcomes sought in the NCP for the approximate area comprising the subject site are broadly discussed in Section 2.4 [Scott Road Commercial] of the NCP report. The Plan envisions highway commercial uses to locate along the Scott Road corridor, with business parks behind the commercial outlets extending towards the toe of the southeast slope of the residential hillside. The NCP report goes on to state that the development of high-quality business parks adjacent to the residential single-family homes on the hillside will provide a suitable transition to the residential areas on the hillside and respect the views while minimizing the impacts of noise and lighting.
- In addition, relevant policy can be found in Section 4 [Environmental Protection] of the NCP report. The report notes that much of the eastern plan boundary borders the escarpment separating the lowlands of northern Surrey from the communities above. While the escarpment itself is outside the Plan area, the escarpment, and residential neighbourhoods above are impacted by development in South Westminster. Portions of the escarpment may provide wildlife habitat and serve as corridors for local wildlife or migrating birds. The plan identifies pockets of open space and linear greenway connections along much of the bottom portion of the escarpment. This will provide pedestrian and wildlife connections along the corridor, as well as provide a buffer between the land uses below and the neighbourhoods above.


## Amendment Rationale

- The proposed amendment to the South Westminster NCP from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential" and will provide an appropriate residential interface with adjacent townhouses and single family homes with sufficient separation from the industrial uses located further downslope to the west.
- The site is bounded by an RM-15 zoned townhouse development to the west at 10488 124 Street. RF zoned, single-family dwellings are located to the south directly across 104 Avenue; as well as to the east (upslope across the BC Hydro railway ROW) where RF-12 zoned, single-family dwellings and a church are located on 104 Avenue / 125 Street.
- Additional single-family dwellings are planned and anticipated to the north of the subject site on 124 Street, and the Khalsa Secondary School and athletic fields are located to the northwest.
- The proposed 75 -unit townhouse development is in-keeping with the surrounding context, which is predominantly residential.
- The requirements for pockets of open space and linear greenway connections along the bottom portion of the escarpment are captured by the OCP's Sensitive Ecosystem (GIN) provisions, which this proposal adequately accommodates through a 6 metre wide protected landscaped area (with native plantings) on the eastern portion of the subject site.
- Parks, Recreation and Culture staff support the proposed amendment of the NCP from "Parks and Open Spaces" to "Multiple Residential".


## Proposed CD Zone

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "General Agriculture Zone (A-1)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" (based on RM-30).
- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" and the resulting requirements proposed under the CD Zone.

| RM-30 Zone (Part 22) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 75 units per hectare <br> (30 units per acre) | 50 units per hectare <br> (20 units per acre) |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.00 | 0.73 |
| Lot Coverage: | 45\% | 45\% |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| South (Front): <br> East (Side): <br> North (Rear): <br> West (Side on Flanking Street): | 4.5 m . <br> 6.0 m . <br> 6.0 m . <br> 4.5 m . | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 9.5 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{~m} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Height of Buildings |  |  |
| Principal buildings: Accessory buildings: | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \mathrm{~m} . \\ & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Amenity Space |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: Outdoor Amenity: | 3 sq.m. per dwelling unit 3 sq.m. per dwelling unit | 3 sq.m. per dwelling unit 3 sq.m. per dwelling unit |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
| Residential: <br> Residential Visitor: <br> Total: <br> Accessible (2\%): | 150 parking stalls <br> 15 parking stalls <br> 165 parking stalls <br> 3 accessible parking stalls | 150 parking stalls 15 parking stalls 165 parking stalls 4 accessible parking stalls |
| Bicycle Spaces |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: Residential Visitor: | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { N/A } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { N/A } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

- The proposed unit density has been reduced from 30 units per acre under the RM-30 Zone to 20 units per acre under the proposed CD Zone. The 20 unit per acre density complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is considered compatible with the townhouse development to the west (with a unit density of 15 units per acre).
- The proposed side yard (west) building setback have been reduced from 4.5 metres to 3.0 metres in the CD Zone but relate specifically to building No. 4 located at the south of the site near 104 Avenue. The reduced setback is a side of unit condition and therefore does not impact functional back-yard space.
- Setbacks have been increased from 4.5 metres to 7.6 metres along the south lot line to provide greater separation from 104 Avenue and along the east lot line from 6.0 metres to 9.5 metres in order to accommodate the landscape buffer/GIN corridor along the eastern boundary of the site.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Building Permit issuance. The current rate $\$ 2,000$ per new unit.
- Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs are not applicable to this project as the proposed density is consistent with the Urban OCP designation.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per new unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on June 15, 2021, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on June 17, 2021. Staff received no responses from neighbours in the vicinity.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character. The proposal generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit Guidelines in the OCP.
- The proposed development consists of 75 townhouse units in 15 buildings. Seventy-three (73) of the proposed units contain 3 bedrooms, and the other 2 units will have 2-bedrooms with a den. All the units contain two-car side-by-side garages.
- The subject site is located on the slope of the Surrey escarpment below the Southern Railway rail line on 104 Avenue. The site has a northwest aspect, with the highest portions of the site located along an existing BC Hydro (railway) statutory right-of-way along the eastern edge. The lowest portions of the site are in the northwest corner of the site along $124^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Given the steep gradient changes along the eastern portion of the site and in response to the environmental enhancement requirements in the OCP's Sensitive Ecosystems (GIN) guidelines, no buildings are proposed along the eastern property line of the site. Portions of the northwest corners of the site will be encumbered by a statutory right-of-way to protect and allow for works on the North Surrey Sanitary Interceptor.
- The applicant has worked with staff to address the unique site grading challenges this site presents. The OCP requires the applicant to avoid excessive disruption of the natural topography of a site by stepping buildings, sloping parking areas, and providing larger setbacks to avoid the use of retaining walls. While these outcomes have been achieved in part, the unique topography of this site has made the use of significant retaining walls unavoidable in some portions of the site; particularly in proximity to the BC Hydro (railway) right-of-way along the eastern property line. Along this boundary, retaining walls are proposed to be equal to or greater than 2.7 metres in height in places.
- Staff acknowledge that the proposed retaining walls are necessary to allow for the redevelopment of this site for the purposes of a townhouse development. Staff are confident that any adverse visual effects which result from the extensive on-site retaining will be mitigated as the walls are predominantly internal to the site and will be obscured by the proposed townhouse units and softened by the proposed landscaping; particularly as viewed from the public realm on 104 Avenue and 124 Street.
- The primary cladding materials include decorative brick veneer, hardie plank siding, and window trims. The colour scheme consists primary of natural tones to blend with the natural landscape and hillside.
- Each unit will have a small private balcony, patio, or front yard space.


## Landscaping

- Landscaping within and around the townhouse development will consist of coniferous and deciduous trees such as Maples, Cypress, Dogwood, Hawthorn, Ash, Ironwood, and Cherry, interspersed with shrubs such as boxwood, laurel, honeysuckle, rhododendron, and huckleberry. A few grasses and perennials such as sedge, forest grass, hydrangea, coral bells, and ferns will be planted throughout the development.
- Additional landscaping is provided along the east property line of the site, within the GIN area adjacent to the BC Hydro (railway) statutory right-of-way. The environmental planting plan for the GIN has been submitted and peer reviewed. The planting in this area consists of native and other suitable species including pacific silver fir, vine maple, douglas fir, dogwoods, currants, snowberry, and salal. A 1.8 metre wood privacy fence is proposed along the eastern property line to shield the site from the railway operations, to prevent trespass into the railway corridor, and protect people from the significant drops from the top of the retaining wall.


## Indoor Amenity

- The proposed CD Zone (based on the RM-3o Zone) requires 225 square metres of indoor amenity be provided ( 3.0 sq . m per dwelling unit). The amount of indoor amenity spaces proposed is 286.9 square metres which is compliant with the zoning bylaw. The character of the amenity building is consistent with that of the overall development, and contains a lounge with a small kitchen, bathrooms, a games room in the basement, and an exercise room and library on the second storey. The indoor amenity is directly adjacent to, and accessible from, the main outdoor amenity area.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The proposed CD Zone (based on the RM-3o Zone) requires 225 square metres of indoor amenity be provided (3.0 sq. m per dwelling unit). The amount of outdoor amenity spaces proposed is 252 square metres which is compliant with the zoning bylaw. The character of the outdoor amenity is consistent with that of the overall development, and contains a children playground, a high quality covered outdoor seating area, a barbecue area with picnic benches, and a community garden area with raised planting beds.


## Parking

- A total of 150 parking spaces are provided within the garages, and an additional 15 visitor parking spaces interspersed through the site. The proposed parking satisfies the minimum parking requirements in the Zoning By-law No. 12000.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, and which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project. These generally include a further review of the site grading, exploring the possibility of enhancing the transition buffer with the single-family residential sites to the northwest of the site, and development identification signage.
- The applicant has been provided a detailed list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## Hazard Lands (Steep Slope) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Hazard Lands (Steep Slope) Development Permit Area (DPA) in the OCP, given that the site contains steep slopes in excess of $20 \%$ gradient. The Hazard Land (Steep Slope) Development Permit is required to protect developments from hazardous conditions.
- The site topography has been described elsewhere in this report. In short, the site topography slopes down from east to west. The existing slope gradient is approximately $20 \%$. The geotechnical report identifies that the following soil conditions were encountered in the order of increasing depth: fill, gravelly sand, sand, and clayey silt. Minor variations in the soil stratigraphy are expected across the site. Groundwater seepage was encountered at approximately 3 metres in depth when the site was investigated by auger holes during field review.
- A geotechnical report, prepared by Tegbir S. Bajwa, P. Eng., of Able Geotechnical Ltd. and dated September 3, 2021, was peer reviewed by Gunther Yip, P. Eng., Braun Geotechnical Ltd. and found to be generally acceptable by the peer reviewer. The report and peer review were reviewed by staff and found to conform to the OCP Development Permit guidelines for Hazard Lands, with some modifications to content of the report still required. The finalized geotechnical report will be incorporated into the Development Permit.
- The geotechnical report investigated issues related to slope stability and natural storm water drainage, from a geotechnical perspective, to determine the feasibility of development the site and proposing recommendations to ensure the ongoing stability of the slope.
- The consultant has determined that the development is feasible provided that the recommendations in their report are incorporated into the overall design of the site, including subgrade preparation, appropriate erosion and sediment control methodology, appropriate drainage, foundation enhancements, structural fill, and retaining walls.
- Registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant that requires the owner to develop the site in accordance with the conditions in the geotechnical report is required as a condition of final adoption.
- At Building Permit stage, the Building Division will require Letters of Assurance from a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the building plans comply with the recommendations in the approved geotechnical report.


## Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems DPA for Green Infrastructure Areas in the OCP, given the location of a Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) corridor located along the eastern property line of the subject site, within the BC Hydro (railway) right-of way. The Sensitive Ecosystems (Green Infrastructure Areas) Development Permit is required to protect environmentally sensitive and/or unique natural areas from the impacts of development.
- The City of Surrey Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) Green Infrastructure Network (GIN) map, adopted by Council on July 21, 2014 (Corporate Report No. R141; 2014), identifies a Regional BCS Corridor within the subject site, in the Newton BCS management area, with a Medium ecological value.
- The BCS further identifies the GIN area of the subject site as having a Moderate habitat suitability rating, derived from species at risk presence, species accounts and known ecosystem habitat inventories. The BCS recommends a target Corridor width of 50 meters.
- The development proposal enhances 940 square meters of the subject site by providing for an enhanced landscape buffer which equals to $6.22 \%$ of the total gross area of the subject site. This method of GIN retention/enhancement will assist in the long-term protection of the natural features and allows the City to better achieve biodiversity at this location consistent with the guidelines contained in the BCS.
- An Ecosystem Development Plan, prepared by Tracy Anderson, R.P. Bio., of Envirowest Consultants Inc. and dated March 1, 2022, was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable, with some modifications to content and format of the report still required. The finalized report and recommendations will be incorporated into the Development Permit.


## TREES

- Rhythm Batra, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |  |
| Alder / Cottonwood | 32 | 32 | 0 |  |
| Deciduous Trees |  |  |  |  |
| (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |  |
| Bigleaf Maple | 13 | 13 | 0 |  |
| Cherry | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |  |


| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deodar Cedar | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Spruce | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and <br> Cottonwood Trees) | 21 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed <br> (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ |  |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ |  |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program |  |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there is a total of eighteen (18) mature trees on the site and three (3) offsite trees, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Thirty-two (32) existing trees, approximately $60.3 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that zero (o) trees can be retained on site as part of this development proposal, and that the three (3) off-site trees would also require removal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of seventy-four (74) replacement trees and one-hundred and three (103) replacement trees are proposed for the project on the landscape plan within the townhouse development. An additional fifty-three (53) trees are proposed to be planted within the GIN area for a total of one hundred and fifty-six (156).
- The new trees within the townhouse development will consist of a variety of trees including Bronze Vine and Dark Red Japanese Maples, Slender Hinoki False Cypress, Pink Kousa Dogwood, Lavalle Hawthorn, Raywood Ash, Vanessa Persian Ironwood, Daybreak Cherry, and Pink Flowered Japanese Snowbell. Native trees including Pacific Silver Fir, Vine Maple, and Douglas Firs are proposed within the G.I.N.
- In summary, a total of one-hundred and three (103) trees are proposed to be replaced on the site with a contribution of \$o to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Survey Plan, Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, and Landscape Plans
Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. School District Comments
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix V. South Westminster Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) Redesignation Map
Appendix VI. Aerial Photo (COSMOS, created May 2022)
approved by Ron Gill

Jeff Arason
General Manager
Planning and Development
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Appendix I

## 75 Unit Townhouse Project

10400 block 124 Street, Surrey BC

## LIST OF DRAWINGS:
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(2) EAST ELEVaTION

(3) southelevation
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LEVEL 1 FLoor PLAN



EVEL 2 floor PLAN
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West Elevation



South Elevation





| Site Furnishing Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Symbol | Model |
|  | STANDARD CENTENNIAL BENCH C22－3A BY FRANCES ANDREW， BLACK POWDERCOAT，IPE SLATS |
| 08 | DERS，BURY 1／3RD MIN． $24^{\prime \prime} \&$ MAX 48＂DIAMETER．RECUPERATE FROM SITE EXCAVATION，IF POSSIBLE． |
| $+$ | 4 ＇BIE RACK，CORA EXPO RACK． <br> W3606，BLACK POWDERCOAT |
| 五 | FRANCES ANDREW NEWPORT SERIES CNIC TABLE：IPE WOOD BLACK POWDERCOAT．T23－3 |
| Hardscape Legend |  |
| $\bar{A}$ | ABBOTSFORD CONCRETE AQUAPAVE STANDARD SERIES CONCRETE UNIT PAVERS，SHADOW BLEND COLOUR． HERRINGBONE PATTERN |
| 罗開 | ABbotsford concrete classic STANDARD \＆HALF STANDARD BLEND COLOUR．PANWHEEL PATTERN． |
|  | ENGINEERED WOOD FIBRE PLAY SURFACING， $12^{\prime \prime}$ DEPTH SURFACING， $12^{\prime \prime}$ DEPTH |
| $+$ | STRUCTURAL SOIL，EXTENT AS indicated onlandscap plan to CONNECT TREE TRENCHES． 2 ＇DEPTH REFER TO DETALLAND SPECIFICATION |
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KEY PLAN


N
townhouse development
$12409-104$ AVE, $12453 \&$
12433-125 AEE, $10529-125$ ST,
SUPEVY
SURREY, bC

OUTDOOR
AMENITY DETAIL PLANS

L5


TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department

FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: January 24, $2022 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7816-0231-00
RE: Engineering Requirements
Location: 12463105 Avenue

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 4.942 m along north side of 104 Avenue.
- Register 0.5 m Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) along 104 Avenue frontage.


## Works and Services

- Grade 104 Avenue property line to $+/-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ of centerline road elevation.
- Construct 1.8 m sidewalk along north side of 104 Avenue.
- Construct east side of 124 Street.
- Construct north-south Multi-Use Pathway.
- Construct minimum 7.3 m concrete driveway letdown.
- Construct drainage, sanitary, and water service connections to the lot.
- Construct onsite drainage mitigation features as determined through detailed design.
- Resolve any downstream drainage and sanitary pipe capacity constraints.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT/DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit/ Development Variance Permit.

Jeff Pang, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
AY

April 5, 2022
Planning

THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS
APPLICATION \#: 160231

## SUMMARY

The proposed 80 townhouse units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

## Projected enrolment at Surrey School District for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Secondary Students: | 10 |

September 2021 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Prince Charles Elementary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $36 \mathrm{~K}+277$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+373$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| L. A. Matheson Secondary | 1145 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1400 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

## Projected population of school-age children for this development

Population : The projected population of children aged 0-19 Impacted by the development. Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Prince Charles Elementary serves a residential area that sits on top of an escarpment which overlooks a portion of the industrial area along the Fraser River. The 10 year projections indicate there will be growth in the catchment. However, the school is currently operating under capacity and will be able to accommodate all the growth within its current capacity. There are no capital expansion project requests for this school.

As of September 2021, LA Mathieson Secondary is currently operating at $81 \%$. There are no capital expansion project requests for this school.

## Prince Charles Elementary


L. A. Matheson Secondary


[^0]
## MIKE FADUM AND ASSOCIATES LTD. VEGETATION CONSULTANTS

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: 16-0231-00

Address: 12453 \& 12463 105 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, 10529 125 $^{\text {th }}$ Street, $12409104{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Surrey Registered Arborist: Rhythm Batra PN-8932A

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 53 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 53 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $32 X$ one (1) $=32$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio 21 X two (2) $=42$ | 74 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 99 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 3 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times \text { one }(1)=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $3 \times \text { two (2) = } 6$ | 6 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |


| Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Signature of Arborist: | Date: September 16, 2021 |



## SOUTH WESTMINSTER PLAN

 CITY OF SURREYPLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

## Legend

$\cdots$ SkyTrain
_ Overpass Waterfront Oriented\Padestrian Walkway


## COS 7916-0231-00 | Aerial View of Subject Site




[^0]:    * Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

