

City of Surrey
ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS
Application No.:
7917-0146-00
7917-0146-01

Planning Report Date: May 31, 2021
PROPOSAL:

- Development Permit
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of two self-storage buildings.

LOCATION: 151-175A Street
ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Mixed Employment


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing to vary the maximum height permitted in the IB-3 Zone from 14 metres to 15.6 metres for a proposed self-storage building on the south portion of the site.
- The applicant is also proposing to vary the setback provisions of CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site, from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback and from 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors to the northeast corner cut.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Mixed Employment designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Mixed Employment designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The applicant has already made application for:
o An Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential for a portion of the northern part of the site;
o A rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" for the northern portion of the site and to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" for the southern portion of the site;
o A Form and Character Development Permit in order to construct 28 townhouse units, two 5-storey apartment buildings containing some ground floor commercial units and 64 residential units on the north portion of the site; and
o A General Development Permit for Form and Character for two self-storage buildings on the south portion of the site.

The above proposal was presented to Council on May 4, 2020 (Appendix IV) and received Third Reading on May 25, 2020. Subsequently, the applicant decided to pursue a detailed Development Permit for two self-storage buildings on the south portion of the site. The subject Additional Planning Comments report is therefore seeking Council's approval to draft a detailed Development Permit for the self-storage buildings. The General Development Permit previously proposed by the applicant is now unnecessary as the detailed Development Permit is being brought forward for Council's consideration instead.

- The proposed height variance is for the easterly self-storage building, which is located farthest away from the existing single family small neighbourhood to the west. The applicant is proposing substantial building setbacks with ample landscaping around the perimeter of the site so the proposed height variance will not negatively impact any of the neighbouring properties.
- The setback variance proposed for the mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site is for a setback relaxation for the upper floors on the north elevation (2 Avenue) and to the corner cut at the intersection of 2 Avenue and 175A Street. These setback variances were overlooked when the CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) was brought forward for Council's consideration on May 4, 2020.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council cancel General Development Permit No. 7917-0146-o1 for Form and Character for the southern portion of the site (self-storage buildings) that received Approval to Draft at the May 4, 2020 Regular Council - Land Use meeting.
2. Council authorize staff to draft detailed Development Permit No. 7917-0146-o1 generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I).
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-01 (Appendix III) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to vary the maximum building height of the IB-3 Zone allowed from 14 metres to 15.6 metres for the proposed self-storage Building 1 on the south portion of the site;
(b) to vary the setback provisions of CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site as follows:

- from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback; and
- from 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor from the northeast corner cut and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors from the northeast corner cut.

4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final approval:
(a) completion of the associated Development Application No. 7917-0146-oo, including Final Adoption of Rezoning By-law No. 20087;
(b) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department; and
(c) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP | Existing <br> Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant treed parcel | South portion: <br> Mixed <br> Employment. <br> North portion: <br> Commercial | RA |


| Direction | Existing Use | OCP | Existing <br> Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 2 <br> Avenue): | Townhouse development | Urban | CD (By-law <br> No. 13493) |
| East (Across 175A <br> Street): | Commercial businesses. One <br> parcel is under Development <br> Application No. 19-0349 (pre- <br> Council) for a mixed use <br> commercial/residential <br> building, including a hotel. | Commercial | CD (By-law <br>  <br> $15120)$ |
| South: | Pacific Border RV Park | Mixed Employment | CD (By-law <br> No. 13190) |
| West: | Single family residential small <br> lots | Urban | RF-12 \& RF-9 |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site consists of a 2.2 hectare parcel ( 151 - 175A Street) located near the Pacific Highway ( 176 Street) border crossing. The site is vacant and is heavily treed and mainly flat. There is a Class B watercourse north of the site in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue road allowance.
- The parcel is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and is split-designated Mixed Employment and Commercial in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The property is also split-designated General Urban and Mixed Employment in Metro Vancouver's Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The applicant has already made application for:
o An Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential for a portion of the northern part of the site;
o A rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" for the northern portion of the site and to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" for the southern portion of the site;
o A Form and Character Development Permit in order to construct 28 townhouse units, two 5 -storey apartment buildings containing some ground floor commercial units and 64 residential units on the north portion of the site; and
o A General Development Permit for Form and Character for two self-storage buildings on the south portion of the site.
- The above proposal was presented to Council on May 4, 2020 and received Third Reading on May 25, 2020. Subsequently, the applicant decided to pursue a detailed Development Permit for the south portion (self-storage buildings) of the site. The subject Additional Planning Comments report is therefore seeking Council's approval to draft a detailed Development Permit for the self-storage buildings. The General Development Permit previously sought by the applicant is now unnecessary as the detailed Development Permit is being brought forward for Council's consideration instead.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing a detailed Development Permit for two self-storage buildings on the south portion of the site.


## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project. The applicant is to complete the Engineering requirements identified in the May 4, 2020 Planning Report.

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Advisory Design Panel: The application was not referred to the ADP but was reviewed by staff and found satisfactory.

## Transportation Considerations

- The applicant is proposing two vehicular accesses to the south portion of the site, both from 175A Street.
- Both 2 Avenue and 175A Street are local roads and the site is accessible without utilizing Highway No. 15 ( 176 Street) or 2 Avenue further to the east, where 2 Avenue is a truck route for border traffic.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The southern portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS). The proposed self-storage use complies with the Mixed Employment designation.


## Official Community Plan

- The southern portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The applicant's self-storage proposal on the south portion of the site complies with the Mixed Employment designation.


## Zoning By-law

- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" and parking requirements.

| IB-3 Zone | Permitted and/or <br> Required | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lot Coverage: | $60 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Yards and Setbacks | 7.5 m | 20.5 m |
| North: | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |
| East (175A Street): | 7.5 m | 18.0 m |
| South: | 7.5 m | 23.1 m |
| West: |  |  |
| Height of Buildings | 14.0 m | Building 1:15.6m; |
| Principal buildings: | 6.0 m | Building 2: 11.6m |
|  | n/a |  |
| Accessory buildings: | Required | Proposed |
| Parking (Part 5) |  |  |
| Number of Stalls | 58 | 58 |
| Industrial: |  |  |

## Height Variance

- The applicant is requesting to vary the height from 14 metres to 15.6 metres for the eastern self-storage building (Building 1). The variance is requested due to increased floor-to-floor heights for structural and mechanical requirements. The applicant also responded to staff's request to break up the building silhouette (massing) by providing more variation in the building massing which involved slightly increasing the height of the main building and lowering the height of the glazed box at the southeast corner.
- The proposed height variance is for the easterly self-storage Building 1 , which is located farthest away from the existing single family small lot neighbourhood to the west. The applicant is proposing substantial building setbacks with ample landscaping around the perimeter of the site so the proposed height variance will not negatively impact any of the neighbouring properties.
- Staff support the requested height variance to proceed for consideration.


## Setback Variance on North Portion of Site

- The applicant is requesting the following variance on the north portion of the site:

0 to vary the setback provisions of CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site as follows:

- from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback; and
- from 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor from the northeast corner cut and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors from the northeast corner cut.
- The setback variance proposed for the mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site is for setback relaxations for the upper floors on the north elevation (2 Avenue) and to the corner cut at the intersection of 2 Avenue and 175A Street. These setback variances were overlooked when the CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) was brought forward for Council's consideration on May 4, 2020. The setbacks proposed for this mixed use building reflect current urban design standards that create a more urban pedestrian environment and allow for a higher level of interaction between the mixed use building and the public realm.
- Staff support the requested variances on the north portion of the site to proceed for consideration.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art, or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.25 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption as part of the Rezoning Application currently at Third Reading.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Development Proposal Signs advising of the proposed detailed Development Permit for the self-storage buildings were installed on May 12, 2021. Staff received no responses regarding the proposed detailed Development Permit.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The applicant is now proposing a detailed Development Permit for the proposed self-storage use in the south portion of the site. This portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in both the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Metro Vancouver's Regional Growth Strategy (RGS). This portion of the site is proposed to be rezoned to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)", which permits the self-storage use, which is considered to be a warehouse use.
- The proposed self-storage facility is an appropriate use given the site's OCP and RGS designations. The property to the south is also designated Mixed Employment in both the OCP and RGS and may be expected to redevelop as such in the future. Additionally, self-storage is a low impact use which is also appropriate given the existing single family dwellings located to the west and the proposed residential units on the north portion of the site.
- The applicant is proposing to develop two self-storage buildings on the site, with a combined floor area of 11,127 square meters, which provides a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.oo.
- The applicant is proposing a significant landscape buffer on the perimeter of the site. A landscaped buffer ranging from approximately 9 metres to 20 metres in width is proposed on the western portion of the site, which interfaces with the existing single family residential dwellings. A 6-metre wide landscape buffer is proposed on both the north and south property lines, with a 7.5-metre wide landscape buffer proposed along 175A Street.
- The buildings are proposed to be located in the central portion of the site, which reduces the impact of the proposed 3 - and 4 -storey massing on adjacent properties. The lower building (Building 2) is proposed on the western portion of the site, which interfaces with the existing single family dwellings to the west. Building 2 is proposed to be set back 23 metres from the western property line. The higher building (Building 1 ) is located on the eastern portion of the site, nearer to 175A Street.
- For the building fronting 175A Street, Building 1 , the applicant is proposing a significant amount of glazing to enhance the facades, particularly on the east and south sides. The applicant is also proposing a greenscreen wall-mounted vertical trellis system on the street-facing elevation to enhance the street-facing facade.
- For both buildings, the applicant is proposing to use a two-tone colour scheme to break up the massing. In addition, colourful overhead doors on the ground floor bring an element of visual interest to the facades. The primary material proposed is tilt-up concrete (gray/white), with accents of glazing, brick veneer (dark gray), hardi-panel (white), longboard siding (orange).
- A caretaker suite is proposed in the southwest corner of Building 1. The suite extrudes from the building, providing articulation and also allowing for better site surveillance. A roof deck on top of the caretaker suite is proposed to provide some outdoor balcony space for the caretaker.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 58 parking spaces. Fifty-six (56) spaces are required for the self-storage use and 2 spaces are required for the caretaker's suite. The proposed parking meets the Zoning By-law requirements.


## Signage

- The applicant is proposing internally illuminated fascia signage, in compliance with the Sign By-law. Two of the fascia signs are 0.38 metres in height and consist of individually illuminated channel letter signs mounted on a metal sign band. The third sign is an upper floor fascia on the east elevation of Building 1 and consists of lettering recessed into the tilt-up panel.
- No free-standing signage is proposed.


## Landscaping

- The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, and ground cover. The landscaping concept will provide attractive landscaping features, and a combination of plantings that will provide visual interest and screening throughout the year.
- The applicant is proposing a significant landscape buffer on the perimeter of the site. A landscaped buffer ranging from approximately 9 metres to 20 metres in width is proposed on the western portion of the site, which interfaces with the existing single family residential dwellings. A 6 -metre wide landscape buffer is proposed on both the north and south property lines, with a 7.5 -metre wide landscape buffer proposed along 175A Street.
- Several outdoor seating areas with picnic tables for employees are proposed. Bioswales are proposed in the western and southern landscape buffer areas. Decorative paving is proposed at the vehicular site entrances. A pathway system provides pedestrian circulation around the site. Bench seating and bike racks enhance the outdoor environment on the site.
- A 1.8-metre high wood screening fence is proposed on the north, west and south property lines. A vehicle gate is proposed at both vehicular entrances for security. A screened garbage enclosure is proposed in the southwest portion of the site.


## TREES

- Peter Mennel, ISA Certified Arborists of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the southern (self-storage) portion of the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/Cottonwood | 102 | 102 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Birch | 1 | 1 | O |
| Maple, Bigleaf | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Spruce | 8 | 8 | O |
| Western Red Cedar | 56 | 56 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 66 | 66 | 0 |


| Total Replacement Trees Proposed <br> (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) | 234 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees | 234 |
| Contribution to the Green City Program | $\$ 0$ |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there is a total of 66 mature trees on the south (self-storage) portion of the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. One hundred two (102) existing trees, approximately $61 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees could be retained on the south (self-storage) portion of the site because, as the applicant's arborist advised: "much of the area is poorly drained resulting in shallow rooted trees as seen on the blowdown in the area. Most of the conifers around the perimeter are poorly conditioned western redcedars in poor and declining health leaving them unsuitable for preservation."
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 234 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 234 replacement trees, meeting City requirements.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including maples, cypress, dogwood, and Douglas-fir.
- In summary, a total of 234 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective Appendix II. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation Appendix III. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-o1 Appendix IV. May 4, 2020 7917-o146-oo Planning Report
approved by Shawn Low

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
$\mathrm{KB} / \mathrm{cm}$


151-175A STREET, SURREY (PARCEL II)


PERSPECTIVE - BLDG 1 from southeast-175A
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## OCP DESIGNATIONS

AGRICULTURAL
URBAN
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- INDUSTRIAL
- MIXED EMPLOYMENT

151-175A STREET, SURREY (PARCEL II) CONTEXT PLANS
Ankenman Associates Architects Inc.
Development For DOUGLAS GREEN DEVELOPMENTS LTD. $1820{ }^{\substack{\text { SCLIE } \\ \text { MAR 2 } 2,2042}}$

(

SYNOPSIS
$\frac{\text { LEGAL DESCRIPTION }}{\text { TOPOGRAPHIC SITE PLAN O }}$
LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAS NWD PLAN EPP44786
CIVIC ADDRESS
151-175A STREET, SURREY B.C.
$\frac{\text { ZONING }}{\text { CURRENT }}$
CURREN
RA
RONONING
PROPED
IB-3 PROPOSED USE $=$ MINI STORAGE
SITE AREA
11,125
11,125 SM/119,748.50 SF 1.1125 ha/ 2.749 ACRES
SITE COVERAGE
AlLOWABLE: 0.60
PROVIDED: $\quad 3,18$
BUILDING HEIGHT
ALLOWABLE: 14 M MAX 'request for varance to 1.54 an
PROVIDED:
PROVIDED:
$\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { BUILDING } 2(3 \text { STOREYS }) \\ & \text { BUILDING } 1(4 \text { STOREY })\end{aligned}=15.58 \mathrm{M}$
PROPOSED SETBACKS
NORTH $\quad 19.67 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER
EAST $\quad 67.26 \mathrm{FT}(20.51$ M) PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK


WEST $\quad 59.21 \mathrm{FT}(18.05 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK
WEST $\quad 19.68$ FT ( 6.00 M$)$ LANDSCAPE BUFFER

$11,127.3 \mathrm{SM} / 11,125 \mathrm{M}=\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$

## PARKING

STANDARD: $11,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 0.5$
OFFICE: $10.49 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 2.5$
CARETAKER'S SUITE:
total
LOADING
ACCESSIBLE STALLS
SMALL CARS $0.35 \times 58$

required | 55.00 |
| :--- |
| 0.26 |
| 2.00 , |



PROVIDED





ELEVATIONS



MATERIAL: CONCRETE TILT-UP PANELS
colour:
CIW CONTROL IOINTS
PAINTED TO MATCH BENIAMIN MOORE 2126-50 GRAY TIMBERWOLF
PAINTED TO MATCH BENIAMIN MOORE OC 17 WHITE DOVE


E2 MATERIAL: STEEL BEAMS / COLUMNS / WINDOW WALL COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-20 RACOON FUR

E3 MATERIAL: HARDI REVEAL 2.0 PANEL SYSTEM
COLOUR: BENJAMIN MOORE OC 17 WHITE DOVE



E6 MATERIAL: SOLAR LOUVRES COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH BENJAMIN MOORE 2126-20 RACOON FUR

2011-20 BLAZING ORANGE

E4 MATERIAL: EMBEDDED THIN BRICK
colour: MURM LINER ON TILT-UP PANEL
COLOUR: MUTUAL MATERIALS EBONY $\square$ E8 MATERIAL: METAL SIGNBAND FASCIA COLOUR: BENJAMIN MOORE 2019-30 SUNFLOWER
$\square$ E9 MATERIAL: METAL WRAPPED FIN
COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH PAINTED TO MATCH 2061-60 LITTLE BOY BLUE
$\square$ MATERIAL: CORRUGATED METAL
COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH


E11b COLOUR 2011-20 BLAZING ORANGE


E11c COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCE11d COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH
BENJAMIN MOORE 2061-60 LITTLE BOY BLUE
E11e COLOUR. PAINTED TO MATC
BENJAMIN MOORE 2039-40 TEAL BLAST
E12 MATERIAL: WINDOW WALL COLOUR: BLACK MULLIONS, CLEAR GLASS

## E13 MATERIAL: WINDOW WALL

COLOUR: BLACK MULLIONS, SPANDREL PANE

SELF STORAGE



151-175A STREET, SURREY (PARCEL II) SHADOW STUDY
Development For DOUGLAS GREEN DEVELOPMENTS LTD.

12321 Beecher St. Crescent Beach, BC V4A 3AZ 04.536.1600
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## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: TBD

Address: 151-175A Street
Registered Arborist: Vanessa Melney and Peter Mennel

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 168 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 168 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 102 X one $(1)=102$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $66 \times$ two (2) $=132$ | 234 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 234 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times \text { two }(2)=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

Signature of Arborist:
P) $\quad$ Date: May 11, 2021


# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7917-0146-o1
Issued To:

(the Owner)

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 029-495-865
Lot 3 Section 32 Block 1 North Range 1 East New Westminster District Plan EPP44786 151-175A Street

(the "Land")

3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:

- to vary the maximum building height of the IB-3 Zone allowed from 14 metres to 15.6 metres for the proposed self-storage Building 1 on the south portion of the site.

5. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended by Comprehensive Development Zone (By-law No. 20083), is varied as follows:

- to vary the setback provisions for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site as follows:
- from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback; and
- from 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor from the northeast corner cut and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors from the northeast corner cut.

6. This development variance permit applies to only the that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
7. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
8. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
9. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
10. This development variance permit is not a building permit.
AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , , 20 .
ISSUED THIS DAY OF , $\quad$.

Mayor - Doug McCallum

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli


## SYNOPSIS

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAST NWD PLAN EPP44786

## CIVIC ADDRESS

151-175A STREET, SURREY b.C

## ZONING

CURRENT
RA
PROPOSED ZONING
Parcel 1: CD PROPOSED USE = TH, COMM. \& APT
PARCEL 2: IB-3 PROPOSED USE $=$ MINI STORAGE

| SITE AREA |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}. \mathrm{R/W:} \quad 138.35 \mathrm{SM} \quad 1,489.19$ SF 0.03 ACRES | PARCEL 1: $\quad 11,018.79$ SM 118,605.31 SF 2.73 ACRES $\begin{array}{lll}\text { PARCEL 2: } & 11,083.70 \text { SM } & 19,304.00 \text { SF } 2.74 \text { ACRES } \\ \text { GROSS AREA: } & 22,248.83 \text { SM } 239,484.41 \text { SF 5 5.50 ACRES }\end{array}$

## SITE COVERAGE

$3,382.04$ SM $/ 11,018.79$ SM $=0.31$

## $\frac{\text { BUILDING HEIGHT }}{\text { PARCEL } 1:}$

PARCEL 1:
$\begin{aligned} \text { TH: } 3 \text { STOREYS } & \\ \text { MU APARTMENT: } 5 \text { STOREYS } & =11 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MAX} \\ \text { PARCEL 2: } & =18 \mathrm{M} \text { MAX }\end{aligned}$
$=14 \mathrm{M}$ MAX

| PROPOSED SETBACKS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PARCEL 1: |  |
| NORTH | $13.12 \mathrm{FT}(4.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| EAST | 13.12 FT ( 4.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| SOUTH | 19.69 FT ( 6.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | 4.92 FT ( 1.50 M ) REQUIRED DRIVE AISLE SETBACK |
| WEST | 24.59 FT ( 7.50 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $19.69 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| PARCEL 2: |  |
| NORTH | 19.67 FT ( 6.00 M ) REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | 67.26 FT ( 20.51 M ) PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| EAST | $24.59 \mathrm{FT}(7.50 \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{REQ}$ 'D/PROP'D BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $9.84 \mathrm{FT}(3.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| SOUTH | $19.68 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $58.89 \mathrm{FT}(17.95 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| WEST | $19.68 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $75.95 \mathrm{FT}(23.15 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |

## PARKING REQUIREMENTS

MU APARTMENTS

| APARTMENTS |  | REQUIRED | PROVIDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMM: | 513.89 SM / 100SM $\times 3$ | 15.4 |  |
| TH | $5 \times 2.0$ | 10.0 | 10 |
| 1BED: | $42 \times 1.3$ | 54.6 | 55 |
| 2BED+: | $17 \times 1.5$ | 25.5 | 27 |
| VISITOR: | 64 UNITS $\times 0.2$ | 12.8 | 14.7 Wenerized bicc outiet 50\%\% |
| ACCESSIBLE: |  | (3.0) | (3.0) 2 INug, 1 ¢ crade for comm. |

OWNHOUSES

| VISITOR: | 28 UNITS $\times 0.2$ | 5.6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 PER UNIT: | $2 \times 28$ UNITS | 56.0 | 56 |
| TOTAL |  | 61.6 | 62 |

PROPOSED $=11,015.12 \mathrm{SM} / 11,083.70 \mathrm{SM}=\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$
density
PROPOSED $=64$ APARTMENT UNITS
TOTAL $=\frac{92 \text { UNITS } / 2.75 \text { ACRES }=\mathbf{3 3 . 4 6} \text { UPA }}{}$

PARCEL 2:
INDUSTRIAL
STANDARD STALLS: $11,015.12 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 1$ 111
LOADING:
MALL CARS: $0.35 \times 110$ ACCESSIBLE:

## AMENITY

INDOOR:
OUTDOOR:
 92 UNITS $\times 3.0$ SM

EQUIRED 276 SM ( 2,971 SF)
276 SM (2,971 SF)

ROVIDED



$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { INDUSTRIAL } \\ \text { BLDG 1: 2: } & 7,126.56 \text { SM }\end{array}$


| PARCEL 1: | COMM. | RES. | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNHOMES: | - | $4,596.18 \mathrm{SM}$ | $4,596.18 \mathrm{SM}$ |
| MU APARTMENTS: | 513.89 SM | $5,301.93 \mathrm{SM}$ | $5,815.82 \mathrm{SM}$ |
|  | 513.89 SM | $9,898.11 \mathrm{SM}$ | $10,412.00 \mathrm{SM}$ |

DVP to vary the setback provisions of CD Zone (By-law No. 20083) for the proposed mixed-use apartment building on the north portion of the site as follows:

- from 4.0 metres to 2.4 metres for the upper floors for the north setback; and from 4.0 metres to 2.5 metres for the main floor from the northeast corner cut and to 0.5 metres for the upper floors from the northeast corner cut.


## 32 RESIDENTIAL <br> UNITS ABOVE

(4 STOREYS)


(16) SITE PLAN

A6 SCALE: $1 / 32^{\prime \prime}=1^{1}-0^{\prime \prime}$
TO: Mayor \& Council
FROM: General Manager, Planning \& Development Department
DATE: May 4, 2020 FILE: 7917-0146-oo

## RE: $\quad$ Agenda Item B.io, Regular Council - Land Use, May 4, 2020 Development Application No. 7917-0146-oo <br> 151-175A Street

The Development Variance Permit associated with Development Application No. 7917-0146-oo, which is Agenda Item B. 10 on the May 4, 2020 Regular Council - Land Use meeting agenda, proposes a reduction in the amount of required parking for the proposed self-storage use on the southern portion of the site.

The parking requirement for the self-storage use in Zoning By-law No. 12000 was amended in 2019 under Corporate Report No. Ro77 (https://www.surrey.ca/bylawsandcouncillibrary/CR 2019Ro77.pdf) which identified a parking rate for the self-storage use of o. 5 spaces per 100 square metres of gross floor area. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146 is proposing the same parking rate for the proposed self-storage use on the southern portion of the site, and therefore Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-oo is not required.

Given the change to the Zoning By-law, Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146 is out of order and should be removed from consideration as part of Development Application No. 7917-0146-00.

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning \& Development Department

[^0]

## City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT Application No.: <br> 7917-0146-00 7917-0146-01

Planning Report Date: May 4, 2020

## PROPOSAL:

- OCP Amendment for a portion from Commercial to Multiple Residential
- Rezoning from RA to IB-3 and CD
- Detailed Development Permit for the North Portion
- General Development Permit for the South Portion
- Development Variance Permit
to permit the development of a mixed commercial residential development inclusive of two 5-storey apartment buildings with ground floor commercial space and 64 apartment units, 28 townhouse units and two self-storage buildings.
LOCATION: 151-175A Street
ZONING: RA

OCP DESIGNATION: Mixed Employment \& Commercial


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for:
- OCP Amendment; and
- Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit No. 7917-0146-oo for Form and Character for the north portion of the site and Sensitive Ecosystems.
- Approval to draft a General Development Permit No. 7917-o146-or for Form and Character for the southern industrial portion of the site.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing an amendment to the Official Community Plan (OCP) from Commercial to Multiple Residential for a portion of the site.
- The applicant is proposing a variance to reduce the parking requirement for the two proposed self-storage buildings on the southern portion of the site.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Mixed Employment and General Urban designations in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposal complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP) Mixed Employment designation on the south portion of the site, and partially complies with the Commercial designation on the north portion of the site. The proposed amendment for a portion of the north portion of the site (shown as Blocks C and D in Appendix I) from Commercial to Multiple Residential will allow for a more appropriate interface with the existing residential uses within the Douglas community to the west.
- The applicant provided a market feasibility study in support of their proposed OCP amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential for a portion of the north part of the site. The study noted the below constraints:
- The Douglas neighbourhood is small in terms of population and does not warrant a second commercial site located at the subject property;
- Although the subject site's location is about 150 metres from Highway No. 15, the degree of separation and lack of visibility from the highway limits the level of patronage reasonably expected from outside the Douglas neighbourhood; and
- The existing nearby small commercial site to the northeast (with exposure along Highway No. 15) has been struggling to attract and retain tenants since it was developed approximately 6 years ago.
- The applicant's proposed mix of townhouse and apartment units provides increased diversity in housing type options in the Douglas neighbourhood and also promotes aging in place for Douglas residents as many people in single family dwellings often "downsize" to townhouse or apartment units.
- The proposed buildings achieve an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality materials and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed buildings and the public realm.
- The applicant will provide a density bonus amenity contribution consistent with the Tier 2 Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs), in support of the proposed OCP amendment.
- With respect to the proposed parking variance, self-storage facilities have a low parking demand. The self-storage facility use is not specified in the Zoning By-law; it is considered to be a warehouse use. General warehouse uses have a higher parking demand than self-storage facilities; given this there is justification to reduce the parking requirement for the self-storage facility use. It is noted that the City has previously accepted similar or lower parking ratios for self-storage facilities elsewhere in the City.
- The proposed Development Variance Permit (DVP) specifies that the parking reduction is for warehouse uses limited to mini-storage facilities. Therefore, if the mini-storage facility is converted to a warehouse use in the future, the warehouse parking rate will apply.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to:
(a) Amend the Official Community Plan Figure 3: General Land Use Designations for a portion of the subject site from Commercial to Multiple Residential as shown in Appendix VII, and a date for Public Hearing be set; and
(b) Amend the Official Community Plan Figure 42: Major Employment Areas for the subject site by removing the Commercial designation for Blocks C and D as shown in Appendix VIII, and a date for Public Hearing be set.
2. Council determine the opportunities for consultation with persons, organizations and authorities that are considered to be affected by the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan, as described in the Report, to be appropriate to meet the requirement of Section 475 of the Local Government Act.
3. A By-law be introduced to rezone the portion of the subject site shown as Block E in the zoning block plan attached in Appendix I from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Business Park 3 Zone" (IB-3) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
4. A By-law be introduced to rezone the portion of the subject site shown as Blocks A, B, C and D in the zoning block plan attached in Appendix I from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Comprehensive Development Zone" (CD) and a date be set for Public Hearing.
5. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7917-0146-oo for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems, including a comprehensive sign design package, for the northern portion of the site generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I) and the finalized Ecosystem Development Plan.
6. Council authorize staff to draft General Development Permit No. 7917-0146-or for form an character for the southern portion of the site (self-storage building) (Appendix I).
7. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7917-0146-oo (Appendix X) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum required number of parking spaces for the mini storage warehouse use from 1 parking space per 100 square metres of floor area for warehousing and 3 parking spaces per 100 square metres of floor area for associated office use to 0.5 space per 100 square metres of floor area for both warehousing and the associated office component, for the proposed self-storage buildings on the southern portion of the site.
8. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) input from Fisheries and Oceans Canada;
(e) approval from the Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations under the Water Sustainability Act for relocation of a Class B watercourse along 2 Avenue;
(f) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(h) submission of a finalized Ecosystem Development Plan to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning and Development Department ;
(i) the applicant provide a density bonus amenity contribution consistent with the Tier 2 Capital Projects CACs in support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning and Development Department;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to specifically identify the allowable tandem parking arrangement and to prohibit the conversion of the tandem parking spaces into livable space;
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art and Tier 1 Community Amenity Contributions, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(1) registration of an Environmental Protection Restrictive Covenant to protect the proposed relocated Class B watercourse; and
(m) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to 175A Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant treed parcel. | South portion: Mixed <br> Employment. North <br> portion: Commercial | RA |


| Direction | Existing Use | OCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North (Across 2 <br> Avenue): | Townhouse development. | Urban | CD (By-law No. <br> 13493) |
| East (Across <br> 175A Street): | Commercial businesses. One <br> parcel is under Development <br> Application No. 19-0349 (pre- <br> Council) for a mixed use <br> commercial/residential <br> building, including a hotel. | Commercial | CD (By-law Nos. <br> 8537 \& 15120) |
| South: | Pacific Border RV Park. | Mixed Employment | CD (By-law No. <br> 13190) |
| West: | Single family residential small <br> lots. | Urban | RF-12 \& RF-9 |

## Context \& Background

Site

- The subject site consists of a 2.2 hectare parcel ( 151 - 175A Street) located near the Pacific Highway ( 176 Street) border crossing. The site is vacant and is heavily treed and quite flat. There is a Class B watercourse north of the site in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue road allowance.
- The parcel is zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" and is split-designated Mixed Employment and Commercial in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The property is also split-designated General Urban and Mixed Employment in Metro Vancouver's Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The subject property was previously "hooked" and formed a single legal parcel with the Tudor Inn site located on the east side of 175A Street at 155/187-176 Street. In February 2015, the subject site was subdivided to "unhook" it from 155/187-176 Street (Development Application No. 7912-0277-00).
- The subject site is bordered to the west by a single family small lot subdivision and to the south by the Pacific Border RV Park. To the north, across 2 Avenue, lies the Douglas Pointe townhouse complex, and to the east, across 175A Street, is a commercial site containing a duty-free store and the Tudor pub.


## Previous Application: 7915-0328-00

- A previous applicant made an application in 2015 on the subject site (Development Application No. 7915-0328-oo), proposing an OCP amendment from Mixed Employment and Commercial to Urban for the entire site and a rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone" (RA) to "Multiple Residential 15 Zone" (RM-15) to permit development of a townhouse complex. The application also involved a Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) amendment from Mixed Employment to General Urban for the southern portion of the site, as the Mixed Employment designation does not permit residential development.
- The application was considered by Council on December 14, 2015 as a "Stage 1" planning report recommending that the application be referred back to staff to work with the applicant to develop a proposal that complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS). Council supported staff's recommendation and the application was subsequently closed by the applicant.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing:
- An Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential for a portion of the northern part of the site;
- A rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" for the northern portion of the site and to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" for the southern portion of the site;
- A Form and Character Development Permit in order to construct 28 townhouse units, two 5-storey apartment buildings containing some ground floor commercial units and 64 residential units on the north portion of the site;
- A General Development Permit for Form and Character for two self-storage buildings on the south portion of the site;
- A Sensitive Ecosystem Development Permit to ensure appropriate landscaping and interface between the relocated Class B watercourse and the development portion of the site in the northwest corner of the site (shown as Block A in Appendix I); and
- A Development Variance Permit to reduce the parking requirement for the proposed self-storage buildings on the southern portion of the site.
- The applicant is also proposing to subdivide the parcel into 2 lots, with the northern lot to be zoned Comprehensive Development (CD) Zone and the southern lot to be zoned Business Park 3 (IB-3) Zone.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area: | 22,248 sq.m. |
| Road Dedication: | o |
| Undevelopable Area: | o |
| Net Site Area: | 22,248 sq.m. |
| Number of Lots: | 2 |
| Building Height: | Self-storage: 18 m.; Apartments: $18 \mathrm{~m} . ;$ Townhouses: 13 m. |
| Unit Density: | North Parcel: 88 uph (36 upa) |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | North Parcel: 0.99 ; South Parcel: 1.00 |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Floor Area | North Parcel: 9,898 sq.m. |
| Residential: | North Parcel: 514 sq.m. |
| Commercial: | South Parcel: 11,015 sq.m. |
| Industrial: | 21,427 sq.m. |
| Total: |  |
| Residential Units: |  |
| Studio: | 0 |
| 1-Bedroom: | 42 |
| 2-Bedroom: | 15 |
| 3-Bedroom +: | 35 |
| Total: | 92 |

## Referrals

Engineering:

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO):

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix II.

## The School District has provided the following projections for the number of students from this development:

12 Elementary students at Hall's Prairie Elementary School 8 Secondary students at Earl Marriott Secondary School
(Appendix III)

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Fall 2022.

No concerns.

The project will require a Project Review by DFO, as the applicant is proposing a Change Approval Process application with FLNRORD.

No concerns. Preliminary approval is granted for the rezoning for one year pursuant to Section 52(3)(a) of the Transportation Act.

A Change Approval Process application is required under the Water Sustainability Act (WSA) with respect to the Class B watercourse located in the 2 Avenue road allowance. The applicant is proposing to relocate the watercourse from its existing location to an onsite location, as shown in Appendix I. The applicant will be making application to FLNRORD.

No concerns.

Advisory Design Panel: The proposal was considered at the ADP meeting on June 29, 2019 and was conditionally supported. The applicant has resolved all of the outstanding items from the ADP review.

## Transportation Considerations

- The applicant is proposing two vehicular accesses to the north portion of the site, from 2 Avenue and from 175A Street, and two vehicular accesses to the south portion of the site, both from 175A Street.
- Both 2 Avenue and 175A Street are local roads and the site is accessible without utilizing Highway No. 15 ( 176 Street) or 2 Avenue further to the east, where 2 Avenue is a truck route for border traffic.


## Natural Area Considerations

- There is a small existing Class B watercourse within the existing $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue road allowance that the applicant is proposing to relocate on-site. It is necessary that this watercourse be moved so that the south side of $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue can be finished to a typical local road standard (i.e. curb, gutter, sidewalk, street lights, boulevard trees). The applicant will be making application to the Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resources and Rural Development (FLNRORD) and Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) to facilitate the watercourse relocation.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The northern portion of the site is designated General Urban and the southern portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The applicant's residential and commercial uses proposed on the north portion of the site comply with the General Urban designation on that portion of the site and the self-storage proposal on the south portion of the site complies with the Mixed Employment designation (which does not allow for any residential uses) on that portion of the site.


## Official Community Plan

## OCP Land Use Designation

- The northern portion of the site is designated Commercial and the southern portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The applicant's self-storage proposal on the south portion of the site complies with the Mixed Employment designation (which does not allow for any residential uses) on that portion of the site.
- The proposed residential and commercial uses on the north portion of the site partially comply with the Commercial designation on that portion of the site. The mixed use apartment building in the northwest corner (Block B in Appendix I) is permitted under the Commercial designation, but the apartment building and townhouse units (Blocks C and D , respectively, in Appendix I), require an amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential.


## OCP Amendment Rationale

- The subject site is uniquely located within Surrey, with close proximity to an international border crossing (the Douglas border crossing) and also adjacent to the largely residential Douglas neighbourhood. The site does not have high visibility from any major roads, which is often key to the success of a commercial site.
- The applicant indicated concerns that a commercial plaza occupying the north half of the property would not be viable. The applicant commissioned Site Economics Consulting Ltd. to do a market feasibility study for commercial uses at this location. The study noted the following constraints:
- The Douglas neighbourhood is small in terms of population and does not warrant a second commercial site in Douglas at the subject property;
- Although the subject site's location is about 150 metres from Highway No. 15, the degree of separation and lack of visibility from the highway limits the level of patronage reasonably expected from outside the Douglas neighbourhood; and
- The existing nearby small commercial site to the northeast (with exposure along Highway No. 15) has been struggling since it was developed approximately 6 years ago to attract and retain tenants.
- The applicant is proposing 514 square metres of commercial space in the ground floor level of the apartment building proposed in the northeast corner, in an effort to provide some commercial space on the site. The northeast corner provides the highest visibility and exposure and is why the commercial space is proposed at this location.
- The applicant indicates that providing approximately 92 residential units will help support the existing commercial development to the northeast of the subject site and also the proposed commercial space on the subject site.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project Community Amenity Contributions (CACs) for the proposed OCP amendment, as described in the Community Amenity Contribution section of this report. Staff negotiated a contribution of $\$ 220,000$ with the applicant prior to the adoption of the new CAC policy adopted by Council on December 16, 2019 and will be collecting this amount prior to final adoption.


## Themes/Policies

- The proposed development complies with the following themes and policies in the OCP (staff comments are provided italics):
- A1.1 - Support compact and efficient land development that is consistent with the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) (2011).
(The proposed development complies with the RGS designation.)
- A4.2 - Encourage the full and efficient build-out of existing planned urban areas in order to:
- provide housing options; and
- provide amenities for residents.
(The proposed development will provide commercial amenities for Douglas residents and townhouse and apartment living options in the Douglas area.)
- B2.14 - Encourage underground parking and provide landscaped open spaces and pedestrian environments in place of surface parking.
(The required residential parking for the proposed apartment units is proposed to be underground.)
- C1.2 - Encourage the development of more compact and efficient land uses and servicing systems, emphasizing infill and intensification in order to use existing infrastructure systems efficiently and to minimize the costs of new utility infrastructure.
(The proposed development is of a sufficient density to utilize existing infrastructure systems efficiently.)
- E1. 6 - Support the infill and redevelopment on under-utilized properties within Commercial, Mixed Employment and Industrial land designations.
(The proposal redevelops a vacant piece of land with some employment uses proposed.)


## Zoning - Southern Portion of the Site (Self-storage Building)

- The applicant proposes to rezone the southern portion of subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)".
- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" and parking requirements.

| IB-3 Zone | Permitted and/or <br> Required | Proposed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lot Coverage: | $60 \%$ | $28 \%$ |  |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |  |
| North: | 7.5 m | 20.5 m |  |
| East (175A Street): | 7.5 m | 7.5 m |  |
| South: | 7.5 m | 17.9 m |  |
| West: | 7.5 m | 23.1 m |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Height of Buildings | 14.0 m | 14.0 m |  |
| Principal buildings: | 6.0 m | n/a |  |
| Accessory buildings: | Required | Proposed |  |
| Parking (Part 5) |  |  |  |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |  |
| Industrial: |  |  |  |

## Parking Variance

- The required number of parking spaces for the self-storage component of the project is 111 , using the parking requirement for warehouses uses, which is 1 space per 100 square metres of gross floor area plus 3 spaces per 100 square metres for the associated office. The applicant is proposing to provide 56 parking spaces for the self-storage buildings.
- The applicant is proposing a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum required number of parking spaces for the mini storage warehouse use from 1 parking space per 100 square metres of floor area for warehousing and 3 parking spaces per 100 square metres of floor area for associated office use to 0.5 space per 100 square metres of floor area for both warehousing and the associated office component, for the proposed self-storage building on the southern portion of the site.
- The self-storage facility use is not specified in the Zoning By-law; it is considered to be a warehouse use. Warehouse uses have a higher parking requirement than self-storage facilities; given this there is justification to reduce the parking requirement for the self-storage facility use. Self-storage buildings typically have a low intensity of use in terms of number of employees and visits by customers.
- The proposed Development Variance Permit specifies that the parking reduction is for warehouse uses limited to self-storage facilities. Therefore, if the self-storage facility is converted to a warehouse use in the future, the DVP will not be applicable and the standard warehouse parking rate will apply.
- The City has previously accepted similar or lower parking ratios for mini-storage facilities. For example, a similar variance was granted for a mini-storage facility at 17848 - 65 A Avenue under Development Application No. 7915-0192-oo. In this case, a parking ratio of one (1) parking space per 450 square metres of floor area was used.
- The proposed variance is in support of the proposed self-storage use, to align the proposed General Development Permit with the proposed IB-3 Zone.
- Staff support the requested variance to proceed for consideration.


## CD By-law - Northern Portion of the Site

- The applicant proposes to rezone the northern portion of the site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)".
- The applicant is proposing a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" to accommodate the proposed 28 townhouse units, two 5 -storey apartment buildings containing some ground floor commercial space and 64 apartment units on the northern portion of the site. The proposed CD By-law for the proposed development site identifies the uses, densities and setbacks proposed. The CD By-law will have provisions based on the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)", the "Neighbourhood Commercial Zone (C-5)" and the "Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70)".
- The proposed CD Zone has 4 blocks:
- Block A: Open space. This is the proposed location of the Class B watercourse that the applicant is proposing to relocate onsite from the 2 Avenue road allowance;
- Block B: Mixed use apartment building (based on RM-70 and C-5);
- Block C: Apartment building (based on RM-70); and
- Block D: Townhouse units (based on RM-30.
- A comparison of the density, lot coverage, setbacks, building height and permitted uses in the the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)", the "Neighbourhood Commercial Zone (C-5)" and the "Multiple Residential 7o Zone (RM-70)" and the proposed CD By-law is illustrated in the following table:

| Zoning | RM-3o Zone (Part 22) | RM-7o Zone (Part 24) | C-5 Zone <br> (Part 35) | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 75 uph | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.50 | Block B: 1.82 <br> Block C: 1.44 <br> Block D: 0.79 |
| Lot Coverage: | 45\% | 33\% | 50\% | Block B: 50\% <br> Block C: 40\% <br> Block D: 45\% |
| Yards and Setbacks | 7.5m | 7.5m | 7.5m | North (2 Avenue): 4.om East (175A Street): 4m for ground floor and 2.5 m for upper floors South: 6.0m West: 7.5 m |
| Principal <br> Building <br> Height: | 11.0m | 50.0m | 9.0m | Block B: 18.om <br> Block C: 18.0 m <br> Block D: 11.0m |
| Permitted Uses: | Multiple unit residential buildings, groundoriented multiple unit residential buildings, and child care centres. | Same as RM-30. | Retail stores, limited personal service uses, eating establishments, pub, office uses, general service uses, indoor recreation, community services, child care centres. | Block A: Open Space. Block B: Multiple unit residential buildings and C-5 uses except for general service uses and community services. Block C: Same as RM30. <br> Block D: Groundoriented multiple unit residential buildings and child care centres. |
| Amenity Space |  |  |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: <br> Outdoor <br> Amenity: | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { sq.m. per } \\ & \text { unit } \\ & 3.0 \text { sq.m. per } \\ & \text { unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { sq.m. per } \\ & \text { unit } \\ & 3.0 \text { sq.m. per } \\ & \text { unit } \end{aligned}$ | n/a | The proposed 295 sq.m. meets the Zoning Bylaw requirement. <br> The proposed 508 sq.m. meets the Zoning Bylaw requirement. |
| Parking (Part 5) |  | Required |  | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: <br> Residential Ground-Oriented: <br> Residential Apartment: <br> Residential Visitor: <br> Total: <br> Tandem (\%): |  |  | 5 56 91 8 80 $0 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 56 \\ 93 \\ 20 \\ 184 \\ 29 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Bicycle Spaces - Apartments |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: Residential Visitor: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

- The key differences between the RM-30, RM-70 and C-5 Zones and the proposed CD Bylaw are as follows:
- Block B (Mixed commercial residential apartment):
- The FAR density is increased in the CD By-law from the 1.5 floor area ratio (FAR) permitted in the RM-7o Zone to allow up to 1.82 FAR (net density).
- The site coverage is higher in the CD By-law than the RM-70 Zone to reflect the proposed low-rise apartment form (4 to 6 storey type apartment).
- The yard setbacks in Block B are designed to meet current urban design standards that create a more urban pedestrian environment.
- The principal building height in Block B is significantly lower than the RM-7o Zone. The RM-7o Zone accommodates a high-rise form. The CD By-law limits the building's height to 5 -storeys maximum, as proposed.
- Most of the commercial uses in the C-5 Zone are permitted in Block B, except for general service uses and community services.
- Block C (Apartment):
- The FAR density is decreased in the CD By-law from the 1.5 floor area ratio (FAR) permitted in the RM-70 Zone to allow up to 1.44 FAR (net density).
- The site coverage is higher in the CD By-law than the RM-7o Zone to reflect the proposed low-rise apartment form (4 to 6 storey type apartment).
- The yard setbacks in Block $C$ are designed to meet current urban design standards that create a more urban pedestrian environment.
- The principal building height in Block C is significantly lower than the RM-70 Zone. The RM-7o Zone accommodates a high-rise form. The CD By-law limits the building's height to 5 -storeys maximum, as proposed.
- Block D (Townhouses):
- The FAR density is decreased in the CD By-law from the 1.0 floor area ratio (FAR) permitted in the RM-30 Zone to allow up to o. 79 FAR (net density).
- The south yard setback in Block D proposed at 6.0 metres allows for a sufficient rear yard space and separation from the proposed self-storage buildings to the south. The self-storage buildings are proposed to be set back 20.5 metres from the northern lot.
- Although Block B has a floor area ratio (FAR) higher than 1.5 FAR it is noted that the overall floor area ratio (FAR) for the northern portion of the site is 0.99 . The northern portion of the site is proposed to be one lot with a FAR of 0.99 , and as such, the FAR complies with both the Commercial and Multiple Residential Official Community Plan designations.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs and will provide $\$ 2,000 /$ unit if final adoption of the Rezoning By-law is approved by December 31, 2020. The contribution rates will be introduced based on a three-phase schedule, with rates increasing as of January 1, 2021. The proposed development will be required to pay the rates that are applicable at the time of Building Permit issuance.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs for the proposed Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment that is proposed on the northern portion of the site from Commercial to Multiple Residential for Blocks C and D (Appendix I).
- Staff negotiated a contribution of $\$ 220,000$ with the applicant prior to the adoption of the new CAC policy by Council on December 16, 2019 and will be collecting this amount prior to final adoption.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- As the subject application was instream on April 10, 2018, the contribution does not apply.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art, or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on August 13, 2018, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on September 25, 2018. Staff received 10 phone calls, 8 emails and 1 petition from the Douglas Pointe townhome complex, located across 2 Avenue from the subject site. The petition expressed concerns about the number of units proposed and resultant traffic concerns. Three (3) of the callers were curious about the proposal and did not indicate any concerns.
- Concerns identified by area respondents included issues related to increased traffic in the area, the proposed 5-storey height being out of character with the Douglas area, lack of
school capacity, any commercial on subject site likely wouldn't be successful, and loss of trees onsite.
- The South Campbell Heights Neighbourhood Association wrote in support of the proposed development.
- The Little Campbell Watershed Society (LCWS) indicated concern about the proposed tree loss that would result were the site to be redeveloped, wanted to ensure that proper onsite stormwater management best practices would be followed, and did not support the conversion of employment lands to residential uses, as this may put pressure to convert other "greenfield" sites in the Little Campbell watershed to employment uses. (staff comments in italics):
(The majority of trees on the site are not suitable for retention. The applicant will be keeping the trees on the south portion of the site, until such time as a Development Permit is proposed for the self-storage buildings. The applicant is proposing 190 replacement trees on the north portion of the site.

The proposed 5-storey building height is proposed for the eastern portion of the site, which is the farthest location from the existing single family residential located to the west of the subject site. The applicant has demonstrated through the shadow study in Appendix I that the proposed 5-storey buildings are not anticipated to overshadow the single family dwellings to the west or the townhouse complex north of 2 Avenue.

In terms of traffic concerns, both 2 Avenue and 175A Street are local roads and the site is accessible without utilizing Highway No. 15 (176 Street) or 2 Avenue further to the east, where 2 Avenue is a truck route for border traffic.

The School District has indicated that a new school is anticipated to open in Douglas in September 2020, and there will be surplus space available in the new school. The proposed employment uses on the site will not impact the new Douglas school capacity.)

## Public Information Meeting

- The applicant held a Public Information Meeting on July 11, 2018. According to the Summary Report submitted to the City, approximately 86 people attended the PIM and 68 comment forms were received. Sixty-three (63) comment sheets indicated support while 5 comment sheets indicated opposition.
- The concerns mentioned in the 5 comment sheets indicating opposition are similar to the above-described concerns (ie. traffic, density).
- The comments mentioned in the 63 supportive comment sheets indicated that the project would provide affordable housing options in the area (especially for younger families), the variety of units (townhouse and apartment) was appreciated, self-storage was needed in the area, the commercial space will provide more commercial options for Douglas residents, and the design was appealing.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems Development Permit Area (DPA) for Streamside Areas in the OCP, given the location of an existing Class B (yellow-coded) watercourse which flows along $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. The Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit is required to protect aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems associated with streams from the impacts of development.
- An Ecosystem Development Plan, prepared by Ian Whyte, P. Ag., of Envirowest Consultants, and dated April 9, 2020 was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable, with some modifications to content and format of the report still required. The finalized report and recommendations will be incorporated into the Development Permit prior to Final reading.
- There will be some accepted risk, as it has not yet been completely proven out how wide or deep the new ditch will need to be, and this will directly impact how large the encumbrance on the property will be. The applicant is aware that should the encumbrance prove larger than anticipated that this may result in changes to the site plan, including for loss of units.
- The applicant has had initial discussions with the BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD) regarding the Class B (yellow-coded) watercourse. The applicant is proposing to relocate the Class B watercourse onto the subject site as an enhanced channel in the northwest portion of the site, to allow for the completion of the 2 Avenue roadworks.
- The proposed relocation requires a Water Sustainability Act (WSA) change approval by the BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD). The project will require a Project Review by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). Approvals from FLNRORD and DFO will be required prior to bringing the project forward to Council for final adoption.
- As there is some uncertainty in terms of what FLNRORD and DFO may determine through their review processes, the applicant has prepared 2 options that would allow the project to move forward under either option:
- Option 1 (preferred option): the watercourse is relocated onto the subject site, as shown in the Appendix I site plan. This is the applicant's preferred option. In accordance with Part 7A Streamside Protection setbacks of the Zoning By-law, a Class $B$ (yellow-coded) watercourse requires a minimum streamside setback of 7.0 metres from development, as measured from the top of bank. The proposed setbacks in Option 1 comply with the requirements outlined in the Zoning By-law.

The riparian area will be private property and protected through the registration of an Environmental Restrictive Covenant against the property to ensure safeguarding and maintenance of the Protection Area in perpetuity, in compliance with the OCP.

- Option 2: the watercourse is retained in its current location within the 2 Avenue road allowance, as shown in the Appendix II site plan. In this scenario Block A (as shown in Appendix 1) is conveyed to the City to allow for sidewalk and Engineering works to
"bypass" the retained watercourse. It is staff's preference that Option 1 be supported by FLNRORD, but either option is viable from a servicing perspective.
- Under either Option 1 or 2 described above, the proposed rezoning by-laws and the Development Permit would still be viable and able to proceed, once approvals have been granted by FLNRORD and DFO. The applicant has agreed to the requirements under either scenario and has committed to resolving these a condition of approval should Council support the subject proposal.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement (North Portion of Site)

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character. The applicant is proposing a detailed Development Permit for the northern portion of the site and a General Development Permit for the proposed self-storage use on the southern portion of the site. This section of the report deals with the detailed Development Permit, while the General Development Permit is discussed further below in a separate section.
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the Official Community Plan (OCP).


## Townhouse Design

- The applicant is proposing six 3-storey ground-oriented townhouse buildings containing 28 townhouse units on the north portion of the site. Sixteen (16) ground-oriented townhouse units are proposed to be 4 -bedroom units with the remaining 12 townhouse units having 3 bedrooms. The proposed units range in size from 141 square metres to 179 square metres.
- The site plan reflects an effort to provide a sensitive interface with the existing single family lots to the west of the subject site, through the use of a side yard interface and a landscaped 7.5 -metre wide buffer. The townhouse units are organized around a central outdoor amenity space, with walking paths providing pedestrian connections throughout the site and also to the surrounding sidewalks on 2 Avenue and 175A Street.
- The architectural design concept for the townhouses utilizes a contemporary design vocabulary that pays homage to the commercial character of Apartment A and the Mixed Employment-designated proposed self-storage facility on the south portion of the site. The elevations provide articulation and volumetric massing elements. Flat roofs are proposed, enhancing the contemporary look.
- High quality materials and careful detailing are used to achieve a high quality building treatment. The proposed exterior materials include hardie panels (white), hardie siding (grey), and longboard siding (light fir). Metal guardrails with frosted glass inset are proposed for the balconies.


## Apartments A and B Design

- The applicant is proposing one 5 -storey mixed use building (Apartment A), containing approximately 514 square metres of ground floor commercial space and 32 apartment units, and one 5 -storey apartment building (Apartment B) containing 5 ground floor townhouse units and 27 apartment units, on the northeast portion of the site.
- Of the approximately 64 units proposed in the two buildings, 42 units are proposed as 1-bedroom units, 17 units as 2-bedroom units, and 5 units (the ground floor townhouse units in Building A) as 3-bedroom units. The applicant is proposing to provide 6 adaptable units ( $10 \%$ of units). Adaptable units are designed in such a way that they can be easily modified in the future to be accessible for occupants with disability or mobility constraints.
- The 2 proposed apartment buildings are to be located in the northeast corner of the site, so as not to be located away from the existing single family dwellings located west of the subject site. The mixed-use building, Building A, has a strong street presence at the intersection of 2 Avenue and 175A Street. Building B is proposed to be located south of Building A, oriented to 175A Street. The shadow plans provided in Appendix I indicates that there will be no overshadowing of adjacent properties from proposed Buildings A and B.
- The commercial units in Building A are located on the first floor of the building and are oriented to have unit entrances engage onto the street. A split commercial sidewalk on $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and $175^{\text {th }}$ Street is proposed, which provides an inner sidewalk adjacent to the commercial units and an outer sidewalk for unobstructed pedestrian passage closer to the street. Both street frontages have a double row of trees which is coordinated with the split sidewalk, benches and bicycle racks.
- Each commercial retail unit (CRU) in Building A will also have access from the at-grade parking at the rear and, although not street-facing, these facades have been designed to have a "front façade" appearance.
- The ground floor of Building B is proposed to consist of townhouse units facing 175A Street and an indoor amenity space facing west into the complex. The two-floor townhouse units have both a door to the internal hallway, and also an exterior door to the sidewalk, which promotes interaction with the public realm and provides casual surveillance.
- The applicant is proposing to provide 1 level of underground parking, which will provide for the required resident and visitor parking for Apartments A and B. Fifteen (15) at grade parking spaces for the commercial space are proposed on the west side of Buildings A and B.
- The primary residential entrances for both buildings are located along the drive aisle between the two buildings. There is a small lobby and a mail pick-up area proposed for both buildings.
- The design incorporates CPTED principles, including landscape design that allows clear and unobstructed views, visible and well-lit walkways and entries, and glazed doors in stairwell and parkade lobbies.
- The design of both buildings is contemporary. This is exemplified by the generous amount of retail glazing at street-level and continuous weather protection, which both enhance the public realm. A strong street-wall is established, which uses a refined material palette and a cleanly organized façade.
- High quality materials and careful detailing are used to achieve a high quality building treatment. The proposed exterior materials are similar to the townhouse units, and include hardie panels (white), hardie siding (grey), longboard siding (light fir) and brick (ebony). Metal guardrails are proposed for the balconies.
- An acoustical report and noise mitigation measures for the units fronting 175A Street will be required as part of the finalized Development Permit.


## Indoor Amenity

- The Zoning By-law requires that 276 square metres of both indoor and outdoor amenity space be provided for the residential units in both proposed buildings, based on the requirement of 3 square metres per dwelling unit.
- The proposed 295 square metres of indoor amenity is exceeds the minimum required under the Zoning By-law. The indoor and outdoor amenity space is to be shared between the townhouse and apartment units. The primary indoor amenity space is located on the ground floor of Building B. This approximately 218 square metre amenity space consists of a lounge and informal gathering space. The large lounge/kitchen area opens directly onto the outdoor amenity space. The indoor amenity space is functional as it can be programmed in a variety of ways to meet the needs of the residents.
- The applicant is also proposing two 38 square metre guest suites on the fifth floor of each apartment building. The guest suites will be available for short term rental for strata members and their guests.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The applicant is proposing a total of 508 square metres of outdoor amenity space, which is substantially more than the area required under the Zoning By-Law. One outdoor amenity area is located centrally within the townhouse portion of the site, and contains outdoor seating, picnic tables, a barbeque and an outdoor table tennis set. Another outdoor amenity area is located adjacent to the indoor amenity area in Building B, and contains outdoor seating, picnic tables and a barbeque.
- The applicant is also providing two smaller outdoor amenity areas on the fifth floor of each apartment building. These amenity spaces will offer views to the west and provide outdoor seating and a natural gas firepit.


## Signage

- The applicant is proposing fascia signage and projecting (blade) signage for the commercial retail units. The fascia signage is generally 0.38 metres in height and consists of individually illuminated channel letter signs mounted on a metal sign band. The blade signs are approximately 0.61 metres by 0.61 metres and contain waterjet cut aluminum letters, or painted lettering on an aluminum backer and are non-illuminated.
- Both Buildings $A$ and $B$ are proposed to have a channel letter identification identifying the lobby entrance for visitors. The applicant is not proposing any free-standing signs on the property.


## Landscaping

- The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, and ground cover. The landscaping concept will provide a safe pedestrian environment, attractive landscaping features, and a combination of plantings that will provide visual interest throughout the year. A significant landscape buffer ( 7.5 metres wide) is provided along the western property line to enhance the interface with the existing single family dwellings to the west.
- Decorative paving is proposed at the vehicular site entrances and the entrance to the underground parkade. All visitor parking areas utilize permeable pavers. A pathway system provides pedestrian access around the site and connections to adjacent sidewalks. Bench seating and bike racks enhance the outdoor environment on the site.
- No fences are proposed along the street frontages as the applicant is using landscaping to demarcate private space from the public realm. A low o. 9 metre ( 3 ft .) high wood fence is proposed at the south property line and a cypress hedge, adjacent to the existing fence, is proposed along the western property line.


## General Development Permit Requirement (South Portion of Site)

- The applicant is proposing a General Development Permit for the proposed self-storage use in the south portion of the site. This portion of the site is designated Mixed Employment in both the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Metro Vancouver's Regional Growth Strategy (RGS). This portion of the site is proposed to be rezoned to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)", which permits the self-storage use, which is considered to be a warehouse use.
- The proposed General Development Permit (DP) will be used to guide future development on the south portion of the subject site. A future detailed DP will be needed for the ultimate development on the site and will be required before a Building Permit can be issued for the self-storage buildings. The General DP establishes the guidelines to evaluate the future DP, and includes parameters for the detailed site, building, massing and landscape design.
- The proposed self-storage facility is an appropriate use given the site's OCP and RGS designations. The property to the south is also designated Mixed Employment in both the OCP and RGS and may be expected to redevelop as such in the future. Additionally, self-storage is a low impact use and this is also appropriate given the existing single family dwellings located to the west and the proposed residential units on the north portion of the site.
- The applicant is proposing to develop two self-storage buildings on the site, with a combined floor area of 11,015 square meters, which provides a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.00.
- The applicant is proposing a parking variance from 110 parking spaces to 56 parking spaces, as discussed above. Self-storage buildings typically have a low intensity of use in terms of number of employees and visits by customers. The proposed Development Variance Permit specifies that the parking reduction is for warehouse uses limited to self-storage facilities. Therefore, if the self-storage facility is converted to a warehouse use in the future, the DVP will not be applicable and the standard warehouse parking rate will apply. The proposed DVP is in support of the proposed self-storage use, to align the proposed General Development Permit with the proposed IB-3 Zone.
- Building 1 along 175A Street is proposed to be a 4 -storey building while Building 2 on the western portion of the site is proposed to be 3-storeys, in an effort to have the larger massing located farther from the existing single family residential dwellings to the west. Building 2 is proposed to be set back 23 metres from the western property line.
- The applicant is proposing a significant landscape buffer on the perimeter of the site. A landscaped buffer ranging from approximately 9 metres to 20 metres in width is proposed on the western portion of the site, which interfaces with the existing single family residential dwellings. A 6 metre wide landscape buffer is proposed on both the north and south property lines, with a 7.5 metre wide landscape buffer proposed along 175A Street.


## TREES

- Vanessa Melney, ISA Certified Arborists of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder/Cottonwood | 232 | 150 | 82 |
| Deciduous Trees <br> (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Birch | 1 | 0 | o |
| Hornbeam | 1 | o | o |
| Maple, Hedge | 1 | o | o |
| Maple, Bigleaf | 2 | 1 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Hemlock, Western | 1 | 1 | o |
| Spruce | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Western Red Cedar | 69 | 12 | 57 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 86 | 18 | 68 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 190 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 258 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there is a total of 86 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Two hundred thirty-two existing trees, approximately $73 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 68 non-Alder/Cottonwood trees can be retained as part of this development proposal, as the trees on the south portion (General Development Permit) are not proposed to be removed until a detailed Development Permit is considered for that portion of the site. The proposed
tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 186 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 190 replacement trees, meeting City requirements.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including maples, cypress, dogwood, spruce and fir.
- In summary, a total of 258 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Zoning Block Plan, Proposed Subdivision Layout, Option 1 Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix II. Option 2 Site Plan
Appendix III. Engineering Summary
Appendix IV. School District Comments
Appendix V. Proposed CD By-law
Appendix VI. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix VII. OCP Amendment - Figure 3
Appendix VIII. OCP Amendment - Figure 42
Appendix IX. ADP Comments and Response
Appendix X. Development Variance Permit No. 7917-o146-oo
approved by Shawn Low

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
$\mathrm{KB} / \mathrm{cm}$

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY ZONING BYLAW No.


| BOOK OF REFERENCE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOT | DESCRIPTION | PLAN | AREA | BLOCK |
| PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 BIN R1E | EPP44786 | 0.128 ha | BLOCK "A" |
| PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.177 ha | BLOCK "B" |
| PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.199 ha | BLOCK "C" |
| PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.609 ha | BLOCK "D" |
| PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 1.1125 ha | BLOCK "E" |


| P.I.D. O29-495-865 |
| :--- |
| SUBJECT PROPERTY MAY BE AFFECTED BY |
| STATUTORY RIGHT OF WAY CA4169003 (PLAN EPP44785) |
| COVENANTS BN293487, BN293489, BV94261, CA4169007 |
| AND CA4228780 |
| CIVC ADDRESS: \#151 175A STREET |

SYNOPSIS

## legal description

LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAS NWD PLAN EPP44786

CIVIC ADDRESS
151-175A STREET, SURREY B.C.
ZONING
CURRENT ZONING
RA
PROPOSED ZONING
$\quad$ PARCEL 1: CD PROPOSED USE $=$ TH, COMM. \& A

PARCEL 2: IB-3 PROPOSED USE $=$ MINI STORAGE

## SITE AREA

138.35 SM 1,489.19 SF 0.03 ACRES Parcel 1: $\quad 11,018.79$ SM $118,605.31$ SF 2.73 ACRES GROSS AREA: $22,248.83$ SM 239,484.41 SF 5.50 ACRES

SITE COVERAGE
PARCEL 1: $\quad 3,382.04$ SM $/ 11,018.79$ SM $=\mathbf{0 . 3 1}$
2. $\quad 3,077.82 \mathrm{SM} / 11,083.70 \mathrm{SM}=$

## BUILDING HEIGHT

PARCEL 1:

| TH: 3 STOREYS | $=\mathbf{1 1 M} \mathbf{M A X}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| MU APARTMENT: 5 STOREYS | $=\mathbf{1 8 M ~ M A X}$ |
| PARCEL 2: |  |
| MINI STORAGE (3/4 STOREYS) | $=\mathbf{1 4 M} \mathbf{M A X}$ |

RCEL 2:
MINI STORAGE (3/4 STOREYS)

| PROPOSED SETBACKS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PARCEL 1: |  |
| NORTH | 13.12 FT ( 4.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| EAST | 13.12 FT ( 4.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| SOUTH | $19.69 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | 4.92 FT ( 1.50 M ) REQUIRED DRIVE AISLE SETBACK |
| WEST | $24.59 \mathrm{FT}(7.50 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $19.69 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| PARCEL 2: |  |
| NORTH | 19.67 FT ( 6.00 M ) REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | 67.26 FT ( 20.51 M ) PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| EAST | 24.59 FT ( 7.50 M ) REQ'D/PROP'D BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $9.84 \mathrm{FT}(3.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| SOUTH | $19.68 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $58.89 \mathrm{FT}(17.95 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| WEST | $19.68 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $75.95 \mathrm{FT}(23.15 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |

## PARKING REQUIREMENTS <br> PARCEL 1:

MU APARTMENTS COMM: $\quad 513.89$ SM $100 \mathrm{SM} \times 3$ TH 513.8 1BED: $\quad 42 \times 1.3$ 2BED+: $\quad 17 \times 1.5$ VISITOR: ACCESSIBLE TOTAL

## LEGEND

| TOWNHOUSES 3 STOREY |
| :---: |
| TOWNHOUSES <br> 2 STOREY (IN BLDG B) |
| APARTMENT <br> 1 STOREY COMMERCIAL |
| APARTMENT <br> 4 STOREY RESIDENTIAL |
| APARTMENT RESIDENTIAL AMENITY |
| INDUSTRIAL 3-4 STOREYS |

(1) SITE PLAN

A6 SCALE: $1 / 32^{-1}=1^{1}-0^{\circ}$

ACRES $(+/-50 \%)$ of site) OCP DESIGNATION = COMMERCIAL
PROPOSED CD ZONE W/ IVE/WORK UNITS, 5-STOREY T GRADE, 4 STOREY RESIDENTIAL ABOVE-BASED ON C-15 ZONING) AND TOWNHOMES BASED ON RM-30




(2) SPRING EQUINOX -12PM

(3) $\frac{\text { SPRING EQUINOX - } 2 \text { PM }}{\text { ACALE: }}$


SYNOPSIS
$\frac{\text { LEGAL DESCRIPTION }}{\text { TOPOGRAPHIC SITE PL }}$
TEGAL DESCRIPTION
LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAST
NWD PLAN EPP44786
NWD PLAN EPP44786
$\frac{\text { CIVIC ADDRESS }}{151-175 A}$
$\frac{\text { ZONING }}{\text { CURRENT ZONING }}$
RA
$\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPOSED ZONING } \\ & \text { IB-3 PROPOSED USE }\end{aligned}=$ MINI STORAGE
Site area
11,083.70 SM / 119,304.00 SF 2.74 ACRES
$\frac{\text { SITE COVERAGE }}{\text { ALLOWABLE: }}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ALLOWABLE: } & 0.60 \\ \text { PROVIDED: } \\ 3,077.82 & \text { SM } / 11,083.70 ~ S M\end{array}$
BUILDING HEIGHT
ALLOWABLE:
PROVIDED: $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN MAX STORAGE ( } 3 / 4 \text { STOREYS) }=14 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MAX}\end{aligned}$
PROPOSED SETBACKS
NORTH $\quad 19.67 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { EAST } & 67.26 \text { FT } & (20.51 \text { M) PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK } \\ 24.59 \mathrm{FT} \\ (7.50 \mathrm{M}) \text { REO'D PROPD BLDG }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { AST } & \left.\begin{array}{ll}24.59 \mathrm{FT} \\ 9.84 \mathrm{FT} & (7.50 \mathrm{M}) \text { REQ'D/PROPD BLDG SETBACK } \\ (3.00 \mathrm{M}) \text { REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER }\end{array}\right]\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOUTH } \quad 19.68 \mathrm{FT} \\ & \quad(6.00 \mathrm{M}) \text { LANDSCAPE BUFFER }\end{array}$


floor area ratio:
ALLOWABLE:
PROVIDED:
$\qquad$

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { BLDG 2: } &$| $3,888.56 \mathrm{SM}$ |
| :--- |
|  TOTAL  |
|  | 1,$015.12 \mathrm{SM}\end{array}$

$11,015.12$ SM $/ 11,083.70$ SM $=1.00$
PARKING
required
STANDARD STALLS: $11,015.12 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 1{ }^{\text {REQUIRED }}$
OFFICE: $9.29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 3$
SMALL CARS: $0.35 \times 110$



(10.0) NORTH EAST PERSPECTIVE-BLDG A


3 SOUTH EAST PERSPECTIVE-BLDG A


| 2 | NORTH WEST PERSPECTIVE - BLDG A |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. 0.0 | scalt |








(2) BLDG B - NORTH ELEVATION


E1 MATERIAL: LONGBOARD SIDING / SOFFIT @ 5TH FLOOR COLOUR: LIGHT FIR


E3 MATERIAL: HARDI SIDING
COLOUR: BENJAMIN MOORE
2126-50 GRAY TIMBERWOLF
(FASCIA @ 5TH FLOOR ROOF PAINTED TO MATCH)


E4 MATERIAL: ALUMINUM GUARDRAILS C/W TEMPERED GLASS PANELS COLOUR: GUARDRAIL: PAINTED TO MATCH BEN MOORE 2126-20 RACCOON FU
GLASS: CLEAR GLASS

E5 MATERIAL: FASCIA 1
COLOUR: BENJAMIN MOORE
2019-30 SUNFLOWER
E6 MATERIAL: FASCIA 2
COLOUR: BENJAMIN MOORE
2016-30 CARROT STICK
E7 MATERIAL: FASCIA 3
COLOUR: BENIAMINMOORE
2011-20 BLAZING ORANGE
$\square$ E8 MATERIAL: VINYL WINDOWS
COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH BEN MOORE
E9 MATERIAL: ALUMINUM THERMALLY BROKEN STOREFRONT WINDOWS COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH BEN MOORE 2126-20 RACCOON FUR
CLAZING: 9A $=$ TRANSPARENT $/ 9 B=$ TRANSLUCENT
E10 MATERIAL: ROOF TOP SCREENING/GAS METRE ENCLOSURE/ SOLAR LOUVRES COLOUR: PAINTED TO MATCH BEN MOORE

2126-20 RACOON FUR
E11 MATERIAL: PORTHOLE DOORS (EXIT STAIR/LOBBY/METAL SIGN BAND COLOUR. TO MATCH BENIAMIN MOORE 2061-60 UTTLE BOY BLUE

## E12 MATERIAL: MUTUAL MATERIAL

COLOUR: EBONY THIN BRICK


(2) TH 1-VIEW FROM SOUTH-EAST





TOWNHOUSE - 6 PLEX


TOWNHOUSE - 6 PLEX
(BLDG 5/BLDG 6 MIRRORED)
151-175A STREET, SURREY B.C.





ALT. SYNOPSIS

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAST NWD PLAN EPP44786

## CIVIC ADDRESS

151-175A STREET, SURREY B.C.
ZONING
CURRENT ZONING
RA
PROPOSD ZONING
PARCEL 1: CD PROPOSED USE $=$ TH, COMM. \& APT
PARCEL 2: IB-3 PROPOSED USE $=$ MIN STORAGE

SITE AREA
$\begin{array}{lrr} \\ \text { PARCEL 1: } & 11,018.79 \text { SM } & 118,605.31 \text { SF } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { 133 ACRES }\end{array}$ PARCEL 2: $\quad 11,083.70$ SM 119,304.00 SF 2.74 ACRES GROSS AREA: $22,248.83$ SM 239,484.41 SF 5.50 ACRES

- AREA CONVEYED TO CITY IN PARCEL 1

| $\quad 709.50 \mathrm{SM}(7,636.94 \mathrm{SF})$ |
| :--- |
| NET AREA: |
| $21,539.33 \mathrm{SM} 231,847.47 \mathrm{SF}$ |

## SITE COVERAGE

PARCEL 1: $\quad 3,382.04 \mathrm{SM} / 10,309.29 \mathrm{SM}=\mathbf{0 . 3 3}$
BUILDING HEIGHT
PARCEL 1:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { TH: } 3 \text { STOREYS } & =\mathbf{1 1 M} \text { MAX } \\ \text { MU APARTMENT: } 5 \text { STOREYS } & =\mathbf{1 8 M} \text { MAX }\end{array}$
MU APARTMENT: 5 STOREYS $=\mathbf{1 8 M}$ MAX
PARCEL 2:

| PROPOSED SETBACKS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PARCEL 1: |  |
| NORTH | 13.12 FT ( 4.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| EAST | 13.12 FT ( 4.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK (L1) |
| SOUTH | 19.69 FT ( 6.00 M ) REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | 4.92 FT ( 1.50 M ) REQUIRED DRIVE AISLE SETBACK |
| WEST | $24.59 \mathrm{FT}(7.50 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $19.69 \mathrm{FT}(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| PARCEL 2: |  |
| NORTH | 19.67 FT ( 6.00 M ) REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | 67.26 FT ( 20.51 M ) PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| EAST | 24.59 FT ( 7.50 M ) REQ'D/PROP'D BLDG SETBACK |
|  | $9.84 \mathrm{FT}(3.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQUIRED LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
| SOUTH | 19.68 FT ( 6.00 M ) LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $58.89 \mathrm{FT}(17.95 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |
| WEST | 19.68 FT ( 6.00 M ) LANDSCAPE BUFFER |
|  | $75.95 \mathrm{FT}(23.15 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK |

## PARKING REQUIREMENTS

PARCEL 1:
MU APARTMENTS


 | TH | $5 \times 2.0$ | 15.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | 1BED: $\quad 42 \times 1.3$ 2BED $+:$

VISITOR: VISITOR:
$\frac{\text { ACCESSIBL }}{\text { TOTAL }}$
TOWNHOUSES
VISITOR:
VISITOR: 28 UNITS $\times 0.2$
2 PER UNIT.
2 PER UNIT: $2 \times 28$ UNITS
PARCEL 2:
INDUSTRIAL REQUIRED PROVIDED STANDARD STALLS: $11,015.12 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 1 \quad 111$ + OFFICE ( $9.29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 3$ )
SMALL CARS: $0.35 \times 110$ ACCESSIBLE:

## AMENITY

PARCEL 1:
INDOOR:
OUTDOOR:

92 UNITS $\times 3.0 \mathrm{SM}$
92 UNITS $\times 3.0 \mathrm{SM}$

## REQUIRED

 276 SM ( 2,971 SF)276 SM ( 2,971 SF)
PROVIDED


1155 SM


151-175A STREET, SURREY
WATERCOURSE IN SITU

| TO: | Manager, Area Planning \& Development <br>  <br> - South Surrey Division <br> Planning and Development Department |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FROM:  <br> Development Engineer, Engineering Department  |  |  |
| DATE: | April 22, 2020 | PROJECT FILE: | $\mathbf{7 8 1 7 - 0 1 4 6 - 0 0}$ |
| RE: | Engineering Requirements <br> Location: 151 175A St |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate $5.0 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ corner cut at the intersection of 2 Avenue and 175A Street.
- Road dedication may be required for 2 Avenue subject to watercourse relocation approval.
- Register a o.5m SRW for sidewalk maintenance along 2 Avenue and 175A Street.


## Works and Services

- Construct south side of 2 Avenue.
- Construct a 10.0 radius traffic button at the intersection of 2 Avenue and 175A Street.
- Provide utilities service connections.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## OCP AMENDMENT/DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the OCP Amendment and issuance of the Development Permit.


Jeff Pang, P.Eng. Development Engineer

LR

Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION \#: 17014600 (Updated April 3, 2020)

## SUMMARY

The proposed
64
34 townhouse units
lowrise units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:
Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Secondary Students: | 8 |

September 2019 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Hall's Prairie Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $41 \mathrm{~K}+177$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $19 \mathrm{~K}+93$ |
|  |  |
| Earl Marriott Secondary | 1902 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |

## School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:

As of September 2019, Hall's Prairie Elementary had 6 portables on site to meet the growing in-catchment demand. Construction of the new Site 180 Douglas Elementary school has begun and is targeted to open in the fall of 2020 . There will be surplus space available in the new school.

To relieve the pressure at Earl Marriot, a new 1500 capacity high school, Grandview Heights Secondary, located on 26th Ave next to the existing Pacific Heights Elementary is in construction; and is targeted to open for September 2021. The new elementary school will continue to Earl Marriott Secondary school.

Hall's Prairie Elementary



[^1]A bylaw to amend "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended

## THE COUNCIL of the City of Surrey ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended, is hereby further amended, pursuant to the provisions of Section 479 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015 c. 1, as amended by changing the classification of the following parcels of land, presently shown upon the maps designated as the Zoning Maps and marked as Schedule "A" of Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended as follows:

## FROM: ONE-ACRE RESIDENTIAL ZONE (RA)

TO: COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT ZONE (CD)

Portion of Parcel Identifier: 029-495-865
Lot 3 Section 32 Block 1 North Range 1 East New Westminster District Plan EPP44786

$$
\text { Portion of } 151-175 \text { A Street }
$$

As shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this Bylaw as Schedule A, certified correct by Gene Paul Nikula, B.C.L.S. on the 24th day of April, 2020, containing 1.11 hectares, called Blocks A, B, C and D.
(hereinafter referred to as the "Lands")
2. The following regulations shall apply to the Lands:

## A. Intent

This Comprehensive Development Zone is intended to accommodate and regulate the development of ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings, medium density multiple unit residential buildings, with related amenity spaces and ancillary commercial uses, developed in accordance with a comprehensive design, where density bonus is provided.

The Lands are divided into Blocks A, B, C and D as shown on the Survey Plan attached hereto and forming part of this Bylaw as Schedule A.

## B. Permitted Uses

The Lands and structures shall be used for the following uses only, or for a combination of such uses, provided such combined uses are part of a comprehensive design:

1. Block A
(a) Open space.
2. Block B
(a) Multiple unit residential buildings, provided that this use does not constitute a singular use in this Block.
(b) The following accessory uses, provided that such uses form an integral part of a multiple unit residential buildings in this Block:
i. Personal service uses excluding body rub parlors;
ii. Office uses excluding the following:
a. Social escort services; and
b. Methadone clinics;
iii. General service use excluding the following:
a. Funeral parlours;
b. Banks and drive-through banks;
c. Veterinary clinics; and
d. Adult education institutions;
iv. Retail stores excluding the following:
a. Adult entertainment stores;
b. Secondhand stores and pawnshops;
c. Convenience stores; and
d. Retail warehouses;
v. Eating establishments, excluding drive-through restaurants, provided that the gross floor area of the eating establishment does not exceed 150 square metres [1,615 sq.ft.];
vi. Indoor recreational facilities; and
vii. Child care centres.

## 3. Block C

(a) Multiple unit residential buildings and ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
(b) Child care centres, provided that such centres:
i. Do not constitute a singular use in this Block; and
ii. Do not exceed a total area of 3.0 square metres [32 sq. ft.] per dwelling unit in this Block.
4. Block D
(a) Ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings.
(b) Child care centres, provided that such centres:
i. Do not constitute a singular use in this Block; and
ii. Do not exceed a total area of 3.0 square metres [32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit in this Block.

## C. Lot Area

Not applicable to this Zone.

## D. Density

1. For the purpose of building construction, the maximum density shall not exceed a floor area ratio of 0.1 or building area of 300 square metres [3,230 sq.ft.], whichever is smaller. The maximum density may be increased to that prescribed in Section D. 3 of this Zone if amenity contributions (specifically affordable housing, capital projects, police, fire, libraries and parks) are provided in accordance with Schedule G, Sections A and B of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
2. For the purpose of this Section, and notwithstanding the definitions of floor area ratio and unit density in Part 1 Definitions of "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, the calculation of floor area ratio shall be based on the area of the Block.
3. The maximum floor area ratio shall be as follows:
(a) Block A: Not applicable;
(b) Block B: 1.82 ;
(c) Block C: 1.44; and
(d) Block D: $\quad 0.79$
4. Notwithstanding the definition of floor area ratio, for an air space subdivision, the air space parcels and the remainder lot of the air space subdivision shall be considered as one lot for the purpose of application of Section D of this Zone, and further provided that the floor area ratio calculated from the cumulative floor areas of the buildings within all of the air space parcels and the remainder lot of the air space subdivision shall not exceed the maximum specified in Section D. 1 of this Zone.
5. The indoor amenity space required in Sub-section J.1(b) of this Zone is excluded from the calculation of floor area ratio.

## E. Lot Coverage

1. For the purpose of this Section, and notwithstanding the definition of lot coverage in Part 1 Definitions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, the calculation of lot coverage shall be based on the area of the Block.
2. The maximum lot coverage shall be as follows:
(a) Block A: Not applicable;
(b) Block B: $\quad 50 \%$;
(c) Block C: $40 \%$; and
(d) Block D: $\quad 45 \%$.
3. Notwithstanding the definition of lot coverage, for an air space subdivision, the air space parcels and the remainder lot of the air space subdivision shall be considered as one lot for the purpose of application of Section E of this Zone, and further provided that the lot coverage within all of the air space parcels and the remainder lot of the air space subdivision shall not exceed the maximum specified in Section E. 2 of this Zone.

## F. Yards and Setbacks

1. Buildings and structures shall be sited in accordance with the following minimum setbacks, and measurements are determined as per Part 1 Definitions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended:
(a) North (2 Avenue): $\quad 4.0$ metres [13 ft.];
(b) East (175A Street): $\quad 4.0$ metres [13 ft.] for the ground floor and 2.5 metres [ 8 ft .] for upper floors;
(c) South:
(d) West:
6.0 metres [ 20 ft .]; and
7.5 metres [ 25 ft .].
2. Notwithstanding Section F.1 of this Zone, the minimum setbacks of principal buildings and accessory buildings and structures for interior lot lines for lots created by an air space subdivision may be o.o metre [o ft.].
3. Notwithstanding Sub-section A. 3 (d) of Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, the underground parking facility shall not be located within 0.5 metre [2 ft.] of the front lot line or the lot line along a flanking street.

## G. Height of Buildings

Measurements to be determined as per Part 1 Definitions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.

1. The maximum building height for principal buildings shall not exceed:
(a) Block A: Not applicable;
(b) Block B: $\quad 18.0$ metres [59 ft.];
(c) Block C: $\quad 18.0$ metres [59 ft.]; and
(d) Block D: 11.0 metres [43 ft.].
2. The maximum building height for accessory buildings and structures shall not exceed 4.5 metres [ 15 ft .].

## H. Off-Street Parking

1. Parking spaces shall be provided in accordance with Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
2. Parking within the required setbacks is not permitted.

## I. Landscaping

1. All developed portions of the lot not covered by buildings, structures or paved areas shall be landscaped including the retention of mature trees. This landscaping shall be maintained.
2. The boulevard areas of highways abutting a lot shall be seeded or sodded with grass on the side of the highway abutting the lot, except at driveways.
3. Garbage containers and passive recycling containers shall be screened to a height of at least 2.5 metres [ 8 ft .] by buildings, a landscaping screen, a solid decorative fence, or a combination thereof.

## J. Special Regulations

1. Amenity space shall be provided on the lot as follows:
(a) Outdoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [ 32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit and shall not be located within the required setbacks;
(b) Indoor amenity space, in the amount of 3.0 square metres [32 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit; and
(c) Indoor amenity space devoted to a child care centre shall be a maximum of 1.5 square metres [16 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit.
2. Child care centres shall be located on the lot such that these centres have direct access to an open space and play area within the lot.
3. Balconies are required for all dwelling units which are not ground-oriented and shall be a minimum of $5 \%$ of the dwelling unit size or 4.6 square metres [50 sq.ft.] per dwelling unit, whichever is greater.
4. Lots created through subdivision in this Zone shall conform to the following minimum standards:

| Lot Size | Lot Width | Lot Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 sq.m. | 5 metres | 5 metres |
| $[0.025$ acre $]$ | $[16 \mathrm{ft}]$. | $[16 \mathrm{ft}]$ |

Dimensions shall be measured in accordance with Section E. 21 of Part 4 General Provisions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
2. Air space parcels and the remainder lot created through an air space subdivision in this Zone are not subject to Section K.ı.

## L. Other Regulations

In addition to all statutes, bylaws, orders, regulations or agreements, the following are applicable, however, in the event that there is a conflict with the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone and other provisions in "Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000", as amended, the provisions in this Comprehensive Development Zone shall take precedence:

1. Definitions are as set out in Part 1 Definitions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
2. Prior to any use, the Lands must be serviced as set out in Part 2 Uses Limited, of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended and in accordance with the servicing requirements for the RM-30 Zone for Block D, and the RM-7o Zone for Blocks B and C as set forth in the Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended.
3. General provisions are as set out in Part 4 General Provisions of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
4. Additional off-street parking requirements are as set out in Part 5 Off-Street Parking and Loading/Unloading of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
5. Sign regulations are as set out in Surrey Sign By-law, 1999, No. 13656, as amended.
6. Special building setbacks are as set out in Part 7 Special Building Setbacks, of "Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000", as amended.
7. Building permits shall be subject to the Surrey Building Bylaw, 2012, No. 17850, as amended.
8. For Block D, building permits shall be subject to "Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2018, No. 19478", as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-30 Zone.
9. For Block B, building permits shall be subject to "Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2018, No. 19478 ", as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-70 Zone for the residential portion and the $\mathrm{C}-5$ Zone for the commercial portion.
10. For Block C, building permits shall be subject to "Surrey Development Cost Charge Bylaw, 2018, No. 19478", as may be amended or replaced from time to time, and the development cost charges shall be based on the RM-70 Zone.
11. Tree regulations are set out in "Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw, 2006, No. 16100", as amended.
12. Development permits may be required in accordance with the "Surrey Official Community Plan By-law, 2013, No. 18020", as amended.
13. Provincial licensing of child care centres is regulated by the Community Care and Assisted Living Act S.B.C. 2002, c. 75, as amended, and the Regulations pursuant thereto including without limitation B.C. Reg 319/89/213.
14. This Bylaw shall be cited for all purposes as ""Surrey Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. 12000", Amendment Bylaw, 2020, No. 20083".

PASSED FIRST READING on the th day of , 20 .
PASSED SECOND READING on the th day of , 20 .
PUBLIC HEARING HELD thereon on the th day of , 20 .
PASSED THIRD READING on the th day of ,20 .
RECONSIDERED AND FINALLY ADOPTED, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and sealed with the Corporate Seal on the th day of ,20 .

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY ZONING BYLAW No._ Schedule A OF LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAST
NEW WESTMINSTER DISTRICT PLAN EPP44786

| CITY OF SURREY BCGS MAPSHEET 92G.007 | BOOK OF REFERENCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOT | DESCRIPTION |  | PLAN | AREA | BLOCK |
| $\begin{array}{llll} M & 0 & 15 & 30 M \end{array}$ | PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 | BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.128 ha | BLOCK "A" |
|  | PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 | BIN R1E | EPP44786 | 0.177 ho | BLOCK "B" |
| all distances are in metres" | PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 | BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.199 ha | BLOCK "C" |
|  | PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 | BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 0.609 ha | BLOCK "D" |
|  | PART OF LOT 3 | SECTION 32 | BIN RIE | EPP44786 | 1.1125 ho | BLOCK "E" |


| P.I.D. O29-495-865 |
| :--- |
| SUBJECT PROPERTY MAY BE AFFECTED BY |
| STATUTORY RIGHT OF WAY CA4169003 (PLAN EPP44785) |
| COVENANTS BN293487, BN293489, BV94261, CA4169007 |
| AND CA4228780 |
| CIVC ADDRESS: \#151 175A STREET |

## Tree Preservation Summary

## Surrey Project No: 17-0146-00

Address: 151-175A Street
Registered Arborist: Vanessa Melney and Peter Mennel

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 318 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 168 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 150 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 150 X one $(1)=150$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $18 \times$ two (2) $=36$ | 186 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 190 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | NA |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 0 X one (1) = 0 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ two (2) $=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

Signature of Arborist:


Date: April 9, 2020



OCP Amendment - Figure 3
Proposed Amendment from Commercial to Multiple Residential


OCP Amendment - Figure 42

# Advisory Design Panel Minutes 


Surrey, B.C.
THURSDAY, JUNE 27, 2019
Time: 4:09 p.m.

Present:
Chair - R. Drew
Panel Members:
A. Callison
A. Llanos
G. Borowski
I. MacFadyen
M. Patterson
R. Sethi
S. Standfield

## Guests:

David Rose, PD Group Landscape Architecture Ltd Derek Lee, PWL
Emily Kearns, Ankenmen Associates Architects Inc. Jun He, New Great Land Manjit Lit, Douglas Green Developments Meredith Mitchell, M2 Landscape Architecture Parshotam Goel, Peace Park Developments Ltd Renante Solivar, MCMP Architects Voytek Grzbowicz, WG Architecture Inc.

## Staff Present:

F. Wong, Associate Planner
N. Chow, Urban Design Planner
S. Maleknia, Urban Design Planner
C. Eagles, Administrative Assistant

## NEW SUBMISSIONS

2. 5:30 p.m.

| File No.: | 7917-0146-oo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 151-175A Street in Douglas |
| New or Resubmit: | New |
| Last Submission Date: | N/A |
| Description: | Partial OCP Amendment, Rezoning and detailed Development Permit to permit two 5-storey apartment buildings (one building with ground floor commercial), 6 live-work townhouse units, 26 townhouse units on north lot. General DP for self-storage building on the south lot. |
| Developer: | Manjit Lit, Douglas Green Developments |
| Architect: | Emily Kearns, Ankenmen Associates Architects Inc. |
| Landscape Architect: | Meredith Mitchell, M2 Landscape Architecture |
| Planner: | Keith Broersma |
| Urban Design Planner: | Nathan Chow |

The Urban Design Planner advised that staff support the buildings' use, form and density, but are keen on seeing the visual appearance of massing kept to a lowscale and that the commercial component is resilient, pedestrian friendly and attractive to the Douglas neighbourhood.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site and building plans, streetscapes and elevations.

The Landscape Architect presented an overview of the general concept for the Landscape plans.

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

It was Moved by G. Borowski
Seconded by M. MacFayden
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) is in CONDITIONAL SUPPORT of the project and recommends that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department and, at the discretion of Planning staff, resubmit the project to the ADP for review.

## Carried With R. Sethi opposed.

## Key Points:

- Enhance the residential lobby entries.

Please find attached revised drawings which show fully glazed lobbies on both Building A \& B

- Provide universal access to townhouse suites.

We have provided $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ grade change between the townhouse units and grade as per the OCP requirements and direction from COS's Urban Design team.

- More deliberate with ground floor transitions.

All ground floor transitions are transitioned appropriately for the context

- Increase window area for ground floor amenity.

Please find attached revised drawings which show larger windows on the west face of Building B at the Indoor Amenity

- Other options for parkade access.

We have left the parking access as originally designed, as it has been approved by COS's Engineering Department. Its current location limits the number of access points close together on 151A street and is tucked in at the end of Building $B$ creating minimal impact on the ground plane for the rest of the development. Also, changing the access point will require us to remove at least 2 units from Building B to provide a suitable ramp slope, which is not desirable.

- Increase outdoor amenity area at grade.

We meet and exceed the City's requirements for outdoor amenity space and so therefore have not increased our provided OA.

- Increase roof top outdoor amenity area.

We meet and exceed the City's requirements for outdoor amenity space and so therefore have not increased our provided OA.

- Alternative paving pattern in lieu of checkerboard.

Checkerboard pattern in Outdoor Amenity areas has been changed to only hardscape pattern, no grass

- Reconsider location of residential garbage.

We have located the residential garbage in a centralized, secured location for ease of access for the building's occupants.

- Target some level of the BC Energy Step Code.

We will target the required level of the Step Code based on current City initiatives.

[^2]Site:

- Concerns about the success of the commercial units; consider reorienting the commercial units to face 2 Avenue.
We have located mixed-use Building A \& B on the north-south axis to limit the impact of the larger 5 -storey buildings on the neighbouring single-family homes to the west and strata to the north. We feel that orienting the mixed-use Building A (and thus the commercial units) along $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave would have a negative impact on the existing homes regarding massing transition, overshadowing and light.
- Encourage enlarging the outdoor amenity area adjacent to the ground floor indoor amenity area.
We presently exceed the City's requirements for outdoor amenity space and enlarging the $O A$ area at grade near Building $B$ would require removing a commercial parking stall \& relocating it underground, which is not practical.
- Recommend opening the ground level indoor amenity more towards the outdoor amenity area.
We have added larger windows on the west face of Building B at the Indoor Amenity space, so as to open the ground level IA more towards the adjacent OA.
- Concerns with purpose of the small upper storey amenity area; recommend enlarging it.
We feel the proposed Indoor Amenity on the top floor serves the purpose of providing gathering space adjacent to the Outdoor Amenity area with a sitting room, kitchen and accessible washroom. We also currently meet the City's requirements for indoor amenity space, so have not increased the area.
- Recommend alternative location of vehicle ramp at the south edge of the south building as it appears to be problematic; the space could be used for green space instead.
See the above response for 'Other options for parkade access'.
- Recommend providing less surface parking in favour of more landscaping. See the above response for 'Encourage enlarging the outdoor amenity area adjacent to the ground floor indoor amenity area'.
- Reconsider garbage room location and provide a garbage staging area.

The garbage room in its present location allows residents of both buildings to access the garbage room conveniently and securely.

- Consider cutting a site section through the highway.

Form and Character:

- The building envelope appears complicated.

A lot of thought was put into the design of the building envelope, and we feel it is straight forward, not complex

- $\quad$ Suggest refinement of the rules for massing changes from volumes to planes.
Volumes change to planes on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor, where they are not visible from the ground plane, and reflect the programming of the upper unit balconies.
- Recommend that the massing of the upper panelized boxes be refined at

[^3]the guard rail.
Same comment as above

- Consider fixing the transition of materials, such as the fibre cement panel corner details and long board.
We feel the transition of materials on the building adds texture to the façade and is applied in a pattern that works with the rhythm of the building.
- Recommend resolving how the townhouse brick expression hits the upper level.
See the attached revised renderings which allow the brick to terminate at the interior most wall.
- Consider consolidated E2 colour in elevations and refrain from using the brick.
We have implemented a brick podium on the advice of the COS Urban
Design team.
- Consider using E2 colour at all grays in lieu of E3.

E2 colour has been replaced at all grays on hardi-reveal panels levels 2-4

- Consider using E3 for fiber cement siding on the uppermost storey.

E3 colour (Ben Moore 2126-50 'Gray Timberwolf') applied on fibre-cement siding of uppermost storey.

- Consider allowing natural light into the corridors.

Added vision panels on doors in Level 2-5 exit corridors to allow some ambient light into the corridor.

- $\quad$ Consider enhancing the appearance of the residential lobby entrances to be more meaningful, such as adding more glazing.
As per 'Key Points' section above, lobbies on both Building A \& B are fully glazed.
- Recommend maintaining the quality and type of material as project moves forward.
Noted.
- Consider a two-sided elevator to provide at grade access to the units. Individual/paired elevators for each townhouse unit are not financially feasible.
- Consider relocating the garbage enclosure to be included in the building. The at-grade Garbage Enclosure is for the Commercial units and is not practical to have it located within the residential underground garage. The intention is to keep the commercial and residential uses separate.
- Consider refining the complex stepping and fencing of the parking ramp wall.
The stepping of the wall to the underground allows for landscaped planting areas to break-up an otherwise large and monotonous concrete wall. The fencing ensures the public does not fall into the parking ramp area.
- Recommend incorporating the design of any mechanical vents, structure now not later.
Mechanical vents are incorporated into the CRU's, which would influence the appearance of the exterior. Interior Mechanical \& Structural elements will be addressed at the Building Permit stage.

Landscape:

[^4]- Concerns of south expose landscape taken by asphalt.

Due to the orientation of the site, the parking ramp is tucked in at the south to reduce its impact on the overall site. See our rebuttal in the 'Key Points' section 'Other options for parkade access'. The overall site does take advantage of the south orientation in that there are many south facing backyards proposed in the townhouses to the west.

- Consider a planting palette that provides more structure through the winter such as evergreen material.
Current planting palette includes approximately $75 \%$ evergreen material, and and additional evergreens have been added to the upper amenity area.
- Consider alternative planting than grass for upper amenity space such as evergreen.
See above response, additional evergreen plants added into the upper amenity space.
- The amenity area paving is problematic and limits the use and increases maintenance. Consider two types of pavers for main area that could break down at edges to incorporate grass.
Two types of pavers have been added to the amenity areas and the lawn has been removed.
- Recommend increasing the hard surface area in upper amenity to accommodate BBQs and furniture.
BBQs have been added to Upper Amenity Areas.
- Consider more hardscaping at the upper outdoor amenity to accommodate tables.
Hard surface areas have been increased to allow for more seating.
- Consider a lower sloping wall adjacent to the drive aisle and one larger planting area with architectural treatment of the exposed building wall. See above response to section 'Consider refining the complex stepping and fencing of the parking ramp wall'.


## CPTED:

- No specific issues were identified.


## Sustainability:

- Consider Section 4 of the BC Energy Code.

As per the 'Key Points' section, we will target the required level of the BC Energy Code based on current City requirements.

- Western units may overheat; recommend providing passive solar shading. Triple glazing is being contemplated on the western units to provide some passive solar shading

Accessibility:

- Consider removing the steps into townhouse.

As per the 'Key Points' section 'Provide universal access to townhouse suites', we have provided $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ grade change between the townhouse units and grade as per the OCP requirements and direction from COS's Urban Design team.

[^5]- The checkerboard turf pavers create a barrier for wheelchair access. Checkerboard pattern in Outdoor Amenity areas has been changed to only hardscape pattern, no grass
- Recommend that the amenity restrooms and showers be accessible.

Amenity restrooms are accessible. No showers are provided within the amenity spaces.

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7917-0146-oo
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 029-495-865
Lot 3 Section 32 Block 1 North Range 1 East New Westminster District Plan EPP44786
151-175A Street
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
$\qquad$
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) to reduce the minimum required number of parking spaces for the self-storage warehouse use from 1 parking space per 100 square metres of floor area for warehousing and 3 parking spaces per 100 square metres of floor area for associated office use to 0.5 space per 100 square metres of floor area for both warehousing and the associated office component, for the proposed self-storage buildings on the southern portion of the site.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.
AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 .
ISSUED THIS DAY OF , 20.

Mayor - Doug McCallum

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli

## Schedule A



LEGAL DESCRIPTION
TOPOGRAPHIC SITE PLAN OF
LOT
LOT 3 SECTION 32 BLOCK 1 NORTH RANGE 1 EAST
NWD PLAN EPP44786
CIVIC ADDRESS
$\frac{\text { ZONING }}{\text { CURRENT ZONING }}$
RA
$\underset{\text { PROPOSED ZONING }}{\text { IB-3 PROPOSED USE }}=$ MINI STORAGE
Site area
11,083.70 SM / 119.304.00 SF 2.74 ACRES
$\frac{\text { SITE COVERAGE }}{\text { ALLOWABLE: }}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ALLOWABLE: } & 0.60 \\ \text { PROVIDED: } & \quad 3,077.82 \\ \text { SM } / 11,083.70 ~ S M & =0.28\end{array}$
BUILDING HEIGHT
ALLOWABLE:
PROVIDED: $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN MAX STORAGE ( } 3 / 4 \text { STOREYS) }=14 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MAX}\end{aligned}$
proposed setbacks
NORTH $\quad 19.67$ FT $(6.00 \mathrm{M})$ REQURED LANDSCAPE BUFFER


SOUTH $\quad 19.68$ FT ( 6.00 M ) LANDSCAPE BUFFER

$75.95 \mathrm{FT}(23.15 \mathrm{M})$ PROPOSED BLDG SETBACK
floor area ratio:
ALLOWABLE
PROVIDED: $\qquad$

$11,015.12$ SM $/ 11,083.70$ SM $=1.00$
Parking
required
STANDARD STALLS: 11,0
OFFICE: $9.29 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 3$
OADING
SMALL CARS: $0.35 \times 110$

PRovided 2
2



[^0]:    c.c. - City Manager

    - City Clerk

[^1]:    * Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students.

    Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

[^2]:    
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