

City of Surrey
ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS

## Application No.:

7919-0322-00
7919-0322-01
Planning Report Date: October 18, 2021
PROPOSAL:

- Development Permit
to subdivide into three industrial lots and the development of an 8,361 square metres tilt-up industrial building.
LOCATION:
6856-152 Street 15331-68 Avenue

ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Mixed Employment
NCP DESIGNATION: Business Park / Buffer \& Natural Areas


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Adjustment to the minimum Building Setback and Landscape Buffer Guidelines in the Farming Protection Development Permit.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The applicant is proposing a phased industrial project in the East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The proposal consists of applications to rezone the site from "General Agricultural Zone (A-1)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)", amend the NCP to modify the road network, subdivide the site to create three business park lots and issuance of Development Permits (DP) for Form and Character, Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystems and Farming Protection.
- The applicant is proposing to phase construction on the three proposed lots. To administer the phasing of the project, a detailed DP is proposed for Lot 1 and a general DP is proposed for Lots 2 and 3. The Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystems and Farming Protection DPs are proposed to be combined with the General DP.
- The General Form and Character, Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystem, and Farming Protection DP is proposed to be numbered as Development Permit No. 7919-0322-oo and the detailed Form and Character DP is proposed to be numbered as Development Permit No. 7919-0322-01.
- At the April 26, 2021, Regular Council - Land Use Meeting, the project was introduced, and Council approved a motion to schedule a Public Hearing for the proposed rezoning and authorized Staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-oo.
- Incorrectly, the Planning recommendations in the Initial Planning report did not include a recommendation for Council to authorize staff to Draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-01.
- The Public Hearing was held at the May 10, 2021, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting. Council passed Third Reading of the Amendment Bylaw later in the same meeting.
- The intent of this house-keeping report is to bring forward a motion for Council to authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-o1 based on the information presented to Council in the report presented at the April 26, 2021, Regular Council - Land Use Meeting, which is attached to this report as Appendix I.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-o1 generally in accordance with the information and drawings provided in the Initial Planning Report dated April 26, 2021 (Appendix I); and
2. Council instruct staff to resolve the outstanding issues identified in the report attached as Appendix I prior to final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant land | Mixed Employment and <br> Buffers/Natural Areas | A-1 |
| North: | Farm | OCP: Agricultural | A-1 |
| East: | Farm | OCP: Agricultural | A-1 |
| South (Across 68 Ave): | Business Park | Mixed Employment | CD (Bylaw No. <br> $17159)$ |
| West (Across 152 Street): | Single Family | OCP: Urban | RF |

## Context \& Background

- The subject properties total 5.35 hectares ( 13.2 acres) in size. The site is located northeast of the 68 Avenue and 152 Street intersection in the East Newton area and are designated "Mixed Employment" in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and zoned "General Agricultural (A-1) Zone" in Surrey Zoning By-law No. 12000. The East Newton Business Park Neighborhood Concept Plan designates the majority of the site for "Mixed Employment", with a small section designated "Buffers and Natural Areas".
- The site is located along the northern edge of the East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) area. Lands to the north are outside of the East Newton Business Park and are within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The project site is within a consolidation area in the NCP which requires the subject sites to consolidate with the two single family properties along 152 Street abutting the northwest corner of the subject lands, located at 6904152 Street and 6884152 Street.
- The site is located abutting the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) and within the Farming Protection Development Permit Area in the OCP.
- There is a Class A-O open channel ditch located along the east property line of the parcel located at 6856152 Street. This open channel ditch is a tributary to Bear Creek. A small portion at the southern edge of the subject site, along the east property line, is located within the 200 year floodplain. A Development Permit for Hazards Land and Sensitive Ecosystem is required as part of this application.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing a phased industrial project in the East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP). The proposal consists of the following applications:
- Rezoning of the site from "General Agricultural Zone (A-1)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)";
- an amendment to the NCP to modify the road network;
- a subdivision of the site to create three business park lots; and
- Development Permits (DP) for Form and Character, Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystems and Farming Protection.
- The applicant is planning to phase construction on the three proposed lots. To administer the phasing of the project, a detailed DP is proposed for Lot 1 and a general DP is proposed for Lots 2 and 3. The Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystems and Farming Protection DPs are proposed to be combined with the General DP.
- The General Form and Character, Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystem, and Farming Protection DP is proposed to be numbered as Development Permit No. 7919-0322-oo and the detailed Form and Character DP is proposed to be numbered as Development Permit No. 7919-0322-01.
- At the April 26, 2021, Regular Council - Land Use Meeting, the project was introduced, and Council approved a motion to schedule a Public Hearing for the proposed rezoning and authorized Staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-oo.
- The planning recommendations in the Initial Planning Report (Appendix I) unintentionally omitted the recommendation for Council to authorize staff to Draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-01.
- The Public Hearing was held at the May 10, 2021, Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting. Council passed Third Reading of the Amendment Bylaw later in the same meeting.
- Staff are bringing forward this house-keeping report for Council to consider authorization for staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-O1, based on the information presented in the Initial Planning Report.
- The Initial Planning Report is attached as Appendix I and provides greater detail on the proposal and includes the drawings that pertain to Development Permit No. 7919-0322-01.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Initial Planning Report No. 7919-0322-00, dated April 26, 2021, inclusive of Development Permit drawings.
approved by Shawn Low

Ron Gill
Acting General Manager
Planning and Development
BD/cm


City of Surrey
PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT
Application No.: 7919-0322-00

Planning Report Date: April 26, 2021

## PROPOSAL:

- LAP Amendment for changes to the road network
- Rezoning from A-1 to IB-3
- Development Permit
to subdivide into three industrial lots and the development of an 8,361 square metres tilt-up industrial building.

LOCATION
6856-152 Street
15331-68 Avenue
ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Mixed Employment
LAP DESIGNATION: Business Park / Buffer \& Natural Areas


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Hazard Lands, Sensitive Ecosystems, Farm Protection, and Form and Character.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Adjustment to the minimum Building Setback and Landscape Buffer Guidelines in the Farming Protection Development Permit.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Mixed Employment designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Business Park designation in the East Newton Business Park Plan
- The proposal complies with the Industrial designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of East Newton Business Park.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Sensitive Ecosystems Streamside Areas.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Hazard Lands (Flood Prone Areas).
- The proposal partially complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Farm Protection. The applicants have provided a reduced setback width with an enhanced landscape buffer area.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality, natural materials, and contemporary lines.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "General Agriculture Zone (A-1)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0322-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I);
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) conveyance, at no cost to the City, of riparian areas and Biodiversity Conservation Strategy areas;
(h) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant for "no build" on a portion of proposed Lot 3 until future consolidation with the adjacent properties at 6904 \& 6884152 Street to facilitate the development of these properties;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant for the installation and maintenance of the landscape buffer along the North and East property line abutting the Agriculture Land Reserve and adjacent land; and
(1) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to advise future owners of adjacent agricultural uses, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(m) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to restrict habitable floor area below the Flood Construction Level (FCL) and to inform current and future owners that a portion of the subject property is located within a floodplain area and that any buildings or structures constructed upon the lot may be damaged by flooding or erosion.
4. Council pass a resolution to amend the East Newton Business Park Plan to amend the road network.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant land | Mixed Employment and <br> Buffers/Natural Areas | A-1 |
| North: | Farm | OCP: Agricultural | A-1 |
| East: | Farm | OCP: Agricultural | A-1 |
| South (Across 68 Ave): | Business Park | Mixed Employment | CD (Bylaw No. <br> $17159)$ |
| West (Across 152 Street): | Single Family | OCP: Urban | RF |

## Context \& Background

- The subject properties total 5.35 hectares ( 13.2 acres) in size. The site is located northeast of the 68 Avenue and 152 Street intersection in the East Newton area and are designated "Mixed Employment" in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and zoned "General Agricultural (A-1) Zone" in Surrey Zoning By-law No. 12000. The East Newton Business Park Neighborhood Concept Plan designates the majority of the site for "Mixed Employment", with a small section designated "Buffers and Natural Areas".
- The site is located along the northern edge of the East Newton Business Park area. Lands to the North are outside of the East Newton Business Park and are within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The project site is within a consolidation area in the NCP which requires the subject sites to consolidate with the two single family properties along 152 Street abutting the northwest corner of the subject lands, located at 6904152 Street and 6884152 Street.
- The site is located abutting the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) and within the Farming Protection Development Permit Area in the OCP.
- There is a Class A-O open channel ditch located along the east property line of the parcel located at 6856152 Street. This open channel ditch is a tributary to Bear Creek. A small portion of the subject site along the south east property line is located within the floodplain
area. A Development Permit for Hazards Land and Sensitive Ecosystem is required as part of this application.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant proposes to rezone the property from "General Agricultural Zone (A-1)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)" in order to allow the creation of three business park lots.
- A General Development Permit (DP) for form and character is proposed for Lots 2 and 3 to establish design standards to achieve high quality development and include parameters for the future detailed site, building and landscape design of the lands. A detailed development permit is proposed for Lot 1 for Form and Character. Individual detailed DPs will be required when development occurs on proposed business park lots 2 and 3 in the future.
- The current application will create three business park lots ranging in size between 1.25 hectares to 2.1 hectares.


## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix II.

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

Agricultural and Food Policy Advisory Committee (AFPAC):

All boulevard trees are protected, and tree protection fencing is required to protect from impacts during the construction of 153 Street.

The applicant should seek to maximize the number of boulevard trees that can be planted on the new boulevard by designing driveway letdowns, service connections, street lights and other infrastructure and utilities in a manner that supports the goal of one boulevard tree per 10 metres of road edge.

All efforts to retain City trees must be explored before removal will be considered.

The application was reviewed by AFPAC on November 24, 2020. The committee expressed concerns for the proposed buffer setback, the maintenance and location of the proposed swale and fencing within the buffer, and the sloping of the landscape buffers onto agricultural land. The committee was concerned that slope debris may not be filtered out before reaching ALR lands. These issues are further discussed and considered in the 'Development Permit for Farming Protection' section of this report.

## Transportation Considerations

- Each lot provides individual accesses to the proposed buildings. Building E which is located within proposed Lot 1 , provides accesses from 68 Avenue and 153 Street.
- A cul-de-sac bulb is being dedicated as an extension of 153 Street. Access to future Buildings B, C and D will be provided from this cul-de-sac bulb. An additional access is proposed on 152 Street for Building A. No more than one independent driveway access will be permitted from 152 Street.
- A main access for passenger vehicle traffic and a separate access to facilitate both trucks and passenger vehicular traffic is provided for each building.
- The proposal includes a total of 357 parking stalls, which meets the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law for light impact industrial uses ( 346 spaces required).
- The proposed truck bays are located internally away from public view along 152 Street. The truck bays will be screened by the proposed building, fencing, and landscaping in accordance with the requirements of the Zoning By-law.
- The application proposes a 7.5 metre Multi-Use-Pathway (MUP) dedicated linkage along the south property line connecting 152 Street to the 153 Street cul de sac. The MUP will contain a 4-metre pathway with street lighting, street trees and landscaping on both sides of the pathway. The pathway will be constructed by the applicant as part of the Servicing Agreement.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met the majority of the sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist. However, the applicant does not propose to meet the Climate Adaptation Strategy policy for a green roof or a high-albedo roof, which is typically considered to have a Solar Radiance Index (SRI) value of o.75.
- The purpose of a high-albedo roof is to reduce the internal building temperature by reflecting sunlight and also to contribute to a lower overall heat island effect, which is an increase in the overall temperature of a developed area.
- The applicant is proposing light grey/white colored EPDM roof system with a minimum stone ballast of 17 pounds/square foot with an SRI value of 0.35 . This roof system is proposed for the Detailed Development Permit. The roof material for the General Development Permit will be considered in the future, at the time of Detailed Development Permits.
- Staff has reviewed the proposed roofing system and determined that the proposed system does not meet the Climate Adaptation Strategy policy for a green roof or a high-albedo roof. Instead, it proposes an alternative roof system that still releases heat in the evening hours.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The project site is designated Mixed Employment in Metro Vancouver's Regional Growth Strategy. The proposal complies with this designation.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The project site is designated Mixed Employment in the Official Community Plan. The proposal complies with the Mixed Employment designation.


## Themes/Policies

- Ensure a sufficient supply of employment lands in Surrey, including designated industrial lands, to meet the current and future needs of the local and regional economy.

Surrey's objective is to enhance sustainable economic growth and take advantage of emerging business opportunities in order to support a broad-based vibrant, high-quality economy. This application allows this objective to be met by providing the supply and efficient use of employment lands.

- Encourage the full utilization and efficient use of industrial and other employment lands in order to maximize jobs and economic activity per hectare.

This application meet the City's objective to support the infill and redevelopment of underutilized properties within Mixed Employment designations and allows for the development of employment lands providing employment opportunities for Surrey's workforce.

## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The subject sites are designated "Mixed Employment" and "Buffer and Natural Areas" in the East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan.
- The East Newton Business Park Neighbourhood Concept Plan requires consolidation of the existing small residential parcels located at 6904 and 6884152 Street with the subject property to ensure efficient redevelopment of these lots for the purpose of industrial use.


## Amendment Rationale

- The project complies with the land use designations in the East Newton Business Park, however, an amendment to the road network is being proposed. The NCP illustrates 153 Street as a cul de sac extending north and west from 68 Avenue into an L-shaped road network. A portion of this road is being eliminated in favour of on-site circulation. A 7.5 metre dedication to construct a Multi-Use Pathway is being proposed in lieu of a portion of the road. The proposed MUP will be located along the south property line of Lot 3, connecting 152 Street to 153 Street.
- The applicants were not able to secure acquisition of the two 'remnant' single family lots along 152 Street and have provided a concept plan to illustrate how these properties could be developed in the future. The concept plan is provided in Appendix I.
- The applicants are providing an access easement to allow the future industrial building on the two single family lots to have a functional access to that site.
- The applicants have also provided a no-build area on their site, which may be purchased by the future landowner. The no-build area provides an additional 315 square metres of land to construct a viable industrial building. The no-build area is subject to a Restrictive Covenant.


## Zoning By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "General Agriculture Zone (A-1)" to "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)".
- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Business Park 3 Zone (IB-3)", streamside setbacks and parking requirements.

| IB-3 Zone (Part 47C) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.0 | 0.47 |  |  |
| Lot Coverage: | 60\% | 34\% |  |  |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |  |  |
| North: <br> East: <br> South: <br> West: | 7.5 metres <br> 7.5 metres <br> 7.5 metres <br> 7.5 metres | Lot 3: <br> 27.9 metres <br> 16.2 metres <br> 21.5 metres <br> o metres | Lot 2 : <br> 21 metres 35.6 metres 14.8 metres 19.5 metres | Lot 1 : <br> 32.9 metres <br> 8.0 metres <br> 16.7 metres <br> 12.7 metres |
| Height of Buildings |  |  |  |  |
| Principal buildings: Accessory buildings: | 14 metres <br> 6 metres | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \text { metres } \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Streamside (Part 7 A) | Required | Proposed |  |  |
| Streamside Setbacks |  |  |  |  |
| Class A-O (red dashed-coded) Ditch: | 10 metres | 10 metres from top of bank |  |  |
| Class B (yellow-coded) Ditch: | 7 metres | 7 metres from top of bank |  |  |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |  |  |


| IB-3 Zone (Part 47C) | Permitted <br> and/or Required | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Industrial: | 175 | 175 |
| Office: | 171 | 182 |
| Total: | 346 | 357 |

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters and the Development Proposal Signs were sent and installed on March 4, 2021. Staff received two responses from neighbouring residents:
(Two area residents requested more information on the consolidation of the two remnant single family lots.

The residents were advised that a cross access easement and no build area is being provided by the applicant. 152 Street is an arterial street, therefore, only one access from 152 Street can be supported. The cross-access easement allows the remnant lots to have direct access to 152 Street. Furthermore, the proposed no-build area allows for the properties to construct a functional industrial building which is relative in size to the proposed development site.)

## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems Development Permit Area (DPA) for Streamside Areas in the OCP, given the location of an existing Class A-O (red dashed-coded) watercourse which flows north-south along the property line of proposed Lot 2, and an existing Class B (yellow-coded) watercourse which flows east-west along the south side of 68 Avenue. The Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit is required to protect aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems associated with streams from the impacts of development. The Class A-O watercourse was not identified in our COSMOS mapping system, but instead was identified by the Qualified Environmental Professional upon their site assessment of the subject site.
- In accordance with Part 7A Streamside Protection setbacks of the Zoning By-law, a Class A-O (red dashed-coded) open-channel ditch requires a minimum streamside setback of 10 metres, and a Class B (yellow-coded) open-channel ditch requires a minimum streamside setback of 7 metres, as measured from the top of bank. The proposed setbacks comply with the requirements outlined in the Zoning By-law.
- The riparian area does not fall within the boundaries of the subject site.
- An Ecosystem Development Plan, prepared by Ian Whyte P. Ag., of Envirowest Consultants Inc. and dated December 7, 2020 was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable. The finalized report and recommendations will be incorporated into the Development Permit.
- A Wetland Study, prepared by Cassidy Hedden, R.P. Bio., of McTavish Resource Management Consultants Ltd. and dated August 24, 2020 was also conducted for this site, which determined that the site was not located within a wetland area.
- The Ecosystem Development Plan and Wetland Study was submitted to the Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD) to confirm whether the watercourses adjacent to the subject site is considered a stream or a stream channel. FLNRORD commented that the unnamed A-O ditch is a purpose-built drainage feature, and the seasonally wetted feature is a result of previous disturbance from agricultural activities.


## Hazard Lands (Flood Prone) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Hazard Lands (Flood Prone) Development Permit Area (DPA) in the OCP, given that a small portion of the site is located within the 200-year floodplain of the Nicomekl River. The Hazard Land (Flood Prone) Development Permit is required to protect developments from hazardous conditions.
- A minimum flood plain elevation of approximately 2.6 metres geodetic is required. The applicant is proposing no building or structures within the flood plain area.
- The floodplain area needs to be landscaped and provide flood storage; however, the applicant still needs to provide maintenance and access requirements to be able to manage planting at the lower elevations on the east side of the proposed retaining wall. A Restrictive Covenant for maintenance may be required.
- Civil Plans prepared by Stuart McGregor P. Eng., of KM Civil and dated April 19, 2021 was reviewed by staff and found to be generally acceptable, with some modifications to content of the report still required. The finalized study will be incorporated into the Development Permit.
- The study investigated issues related to flooding to determine the feasibility of development on the site and proposes recommendations to mitigate potential hazards.
- Registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to restrict habitable floor area below the Flood Construction Level (FCL) and to inform current and future owners that a portion of the subject property is located within a floodplain area and that adjacent buildings or structures constructed upon the lot may be damaged by flooding or erosion and will be required as a condition of final adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law should the proposal be supported by Council.


## Farming Protection Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Farming Protection Development Permit Area (DPA) in the OCP, given that it is located within 50 metres of the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) boundary. The Farm Protection Development Permit is required to reduce agricultural-urban conflicts through increased setbacks and vegetated buffering.
- The Farming Protection Development Permit guidelines for non-residential uses are outlined below (with staff comments in italics):
- The minimum building setback for the ALR boundary is 30 metres.

The proposed buildings partially comply with minimum building setbacks. Building $B$ is proposing a building setback of 27.9 metres from the ALR Lands. The north side of Building $D$ (northeast corner of property) is proposing a building setback of 21 metres, and a setback of 35.6 metres is proposed to the east side of Building D from ALR Lands. Building E (southern building) is proposing a building setback of 32.9 metres from the north side and 21.3 to east side of Building E from the ALR Lands. Parking areas and landscaped buffers are located between the buildings and the ALR lands.

This application provides business park and light industrial uses, in the form of office uses, service uses, warehouse and distribution centres. The protection of employment lands is a key objective for the City of Surrey. Employment Land policies seek to encourage the full utilization and efficient use of industrial and other employment lands in order to maximum jobs and economic activity per hectare. As the applicants have provided an enhanced 8.0 metres buffer with additional screening, trees and bioswales, staff is supportive of this approach.

- The minimum vegetated buffer width is 15 metres.

The proposed buildings partially comply with the minimum vegetated buffer requirements. The applicants are proposing an 8 metre enhanced buffer with double row of coniferous and deciduous trees and additional shrubs for privacy screen from ALR Lands. Furthermore, the applicants propose a bioswale to reduce runoff to ALR lands.

- Any proposed vegetated buffer should include a mix of appropriate deciduous and coniferous trees, spaced a minimum of 2 metres to 4 metres apart. Existing mature trees and riparian areas within the proposed buffer area should be preserved and infill planting considered in order to provide a fuller vegetated buffer.

The landscape buffer will include a double row of coniferous tree and a row of 6 metre high deciduous trees, and additional shrubs for privacy and screening.

- For any property within 50 metres of the ALR boundary, a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant is required to inform future owners of farm practices in the area that may produce noise, odour and dust.

A Restrictive Covenant will be provided as a condition of Final Adoption.

- The application was reviewed by the Agriculture Food Policy and Advisory Committee (AFPAC). The Committee expressed concerns on the buffer setback, the maintenance and location of the swale which was suggested to be relocated to the parking edge. The committee was also concerned with the sloping nature of the landscape buffer, and that slope debris may not be filtered out before reaching ALR Lands. The applicant has adequately addressed these concerns from City staff's perspective as explained below.
- The applicants have relocated the swale to the parking edge for better maintenance. However, the sloping nature of the landscape buffer was not revised. The applicants explained the grade changes are picked up within the buffer, and the landscape area does not exceed a 3:1 slope which provides a softer interface. There is also a bioswale located along the landscape buffer which will filter and prevent runoff to the ALR lands.
- The applicants have provided an enhanced 8 metres vegetated buffer along the entire ALR boundary which has been designed to protect neighbouring farming operations by creating a physical and visual separation.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the East Newton Business Park Plan.
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the East Newton Business Park Plan.


## General Development Permit

- The General Development Permit displays a similar design approach of Building E (Detailed DP) and buildings along street frontages will be similar in form and character. Future detailed DPs will be needed for development of each proposed lot and the Generalized DP establishes the guidelines to evaluate the future DPs. The General DP site plan provides general information on Site Design, Grading, Transportation and Landscaping. A conceptual 3D rendering of the 152 Street interface has been provided which achieves a high standard built form.

Detailed Development Permit

- The Detailed Development Permit for Lot 1 is proposing an 8,361 square metre industrial building. Overall, the building is proposed to have a modern linear appearance. Architectural emphasis is placed on the main entrance to the building that is proposed to be sited near the corner of 153 Street and 68 Avenue with use of grazing and articulation to provide visual interest.
- The proposed building construction is a concrete tilt up building with curtain wall clear glazing. The color scheme proposed is light and dark grey tones.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project. These changes include the following:
- Screening of the loading areas, immediate and future rooftop equipment, all mechanical, electrical, garbage collection, blank walls, fences and paved parking areas from all street views (152 Street, 153 Street and 68 Avenue) with landscape screening; and
- Expansion of the Southwest open space to provide more connectivity to 153 Street and 68 Avenue by providing soft and hard scaping, seating areas and tables.


## TREES

- Norm Hol, ISA Certified Arborist of Arbortech Consulting Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Alder | 122 | 122 | O |
| Cottonwood | 57 | 57 | O |
| Deciduous Trees (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Vine Maple | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Bigleaf Maple | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Paper Birch | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Hazelnut | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Honey Locust | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| English Walnut | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Golden Chaintree | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Apple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Bitter Cherry | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Flowering Cherry | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Red Oak | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Weeping Willow | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Scouler Willow | 1 | 1 | O |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Grand Fir | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Sitka Spruce | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Douglas Fir | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 204 | 203 | 1 |
| Western Hemlock | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 260 | 253 | 7 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) | 306 |  |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees | 313 |  |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program | \$151,600 |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 260 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. 179 existing trees, approximately $29 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 7 trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 685 replacement trees on the site. Since only 306 replacement/retained trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 379 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 151,600$, representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted along the Multi-Use Pathway. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- In summary, a total of 313 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 151,600$ to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Proposed Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix IV. Tree Management Plan
Appendix V. Agricultural and Food Security Advisory Committee Minutes
Appendix VI. NCP Amendment Plan
approved by Shawn Low

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
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PROJECT DATA
CIIC ADORESS:
LEGAL ADDRESS
bulloing use: muttiple

allowable ste coverage: Proposed ste coverage TOTAL FLOOR AREA: max. AlLowed far:
UULDNG HEIGHT: builoing height:




Lanoscaping requirements:

| PARKING AND LOADI <br> $\frac{\text { OCCUPANCY }}{\text { INDUSTRIAL: }}$ <br> OFFICE | No. STALLS REQUIRED <br> 1 STALLL 1.075 SF ( 100 SM) FLOOR AREA <br> STL 430 SF ( 39.9 SM) FLOOR AREA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA (SF) | ${ }_{\text {PARKKIN FACTOR (SF) }}^{1.075}$ | REQUIR | Ono. |
| 187,94 5 F |  |  |  |
| 7.3 .32 SF | ${ }_{4}^{1.0750} 430$ | 346 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MiN. Stall dims | EEQ MIDTH | LENGTH | VEAsLE |
| STANDARD STA ACCESSIBLE ST |  <br>  ${ }^{1330 \cdot 0}(4.0 \mathrm{M}) \quad 30 \cdot 0^{0}(9.2 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| SMAALINARSTAAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| total stals |  | $\frac{\text { REPDIALLOWED }}{278}$ |  |
|  | PARALLEL STALLS IBLE STALLS (2.0\%) ALL CAR STALLS ( $35 \%$ |  |  |
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zoning by-Law analysis
ZONING BY-LAW


ZONING BYLAW ANALYSIS (CITY OF SURREY)

2.stricoverace tuuluma arase





| 4. BUILDING HEIGHT: MAXIMUM HEIGHT: PROPOSED HEIGHT: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 S. | Eewese | proves |
| Rap Mape (ish) |  | ${ }^{\text {jon }}$ |
|  |  |  |
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## General Notes

## Tree Notes：

| stallation Notes： |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Sufficient soil volume must be provided for each tree installed．A minimum of 10 m 3 of approved，tested， or native soil is required．The soil is to be tested at a reputable soil testing laboratory．Test results submitted to the Landscape Architect for review prior to installation．A continuous soil trench of minimum 1.0 m depth is used for parking island locations． |
|  | ees are planted within 2.0 m of curbs，sidewalks and driveways a root barier（Deep ro <br> ilar）shall be installed．A minimum of 4 panels（min． 8 ＇wide）of 45 cm depin root barier |
|  |  |
|  | sitizer is not to be intoduced at the |
|  | ssure－treated wooden stake．Stakes must be firm and installed outside the edge of the root ball．Tw |
|  |  |
|  | diameter of 1.5 m is to be established around the tree consising of good quality |
|  | uch is not acceptable．Bark protection from grass trimmers and mowers must be installed to cover the |
|  |  |

Planting Notes
1．All plants
and



All trees to be stoked in occordance with BCNTA Standards．



7．All tees to have minimum 10 cubic meters of growing medium unless othemise specified．


On－Site Plant List

| Sym | Qty | Botanical Name | Common Name | Size／Spacing／Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trees |  |  |
|  |  | Acer rubrum＇Red Sunset＇ | Red Maple | $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}. \mathrm{/} \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{B}$ |
|  |  | Acer x freemanii＇Autumn Brilliance＇ | Freeman Maple | 6 cm cal．／ $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}$（spacing 30＇ $0 . \mathrm{c}$ ） |
|  |  | Cercidiphylum japonicum | Katsura Tree | 6 cm cal．／ $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}$ ，specimen |
|  |  | Fraxinus oxycarpa＇Raywood＇ | Raywood Ash | 6 cm cal ／／ $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}$ |
|  |  | Pseudotsuga menziesii | Douglas Fir | $3 \mathrm{mht} / \mathrm{/}+\mathrm{B}$ |
|  |  | Quercus rubra | Red oak | $7 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}. \mathrm{/} \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{B}$ |
|  |  | Tsuga heterophylla | Western Hemlock | 3 mht ． |
|  |  | Shrubs |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Az }}^{\text {B }}$ | 275 309 | Azalea japonica Berberis thunbergii＇Atropurpurea＇ | Evergreen Azalea | \＃2 pot $/ 24^{\prime \prime}$ o．c． |
| Co | $\begin{aligned} & 309 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | Berberis thunbergii＇Atropurpurea＇ Cornus stolonifera | Red Leaf Japanese Barberry Red－Osier Dogwood | \＃2 pot／36＂o．c． \＃3 pot／48＂o．c |
| Gs | 309 | Gaultheria shallon | Salal | \＃2 pot／ $24^{\circ}$ o．c． |
| Lp | 525 | Lonicera pileata | Box－leaved Honeysuckle | \＃1 pot／24＂o．c． |
| Ma | 182 | Mahonia nervosa | Oregon Grape | \＃1 pot／ $24^{\text {a oc．c．}}$ |
| Nd | 490 | Nandina domestica＇Harbour Dwarr＇ | Dwarf Heavenly Bamboo | \＃1 pot／ $188^{\text {a oc．c．}}$ |
| Pm Rn | 71 | Polyssichum munitum | Western Sword Fern | \＃1 pot／ $24^{\text {os．c．}}$ |
| sa | 69 | Symphoricarpos albus | Snowberry | ${ }^{\text {\＃}}$ \＃ 3 pot／$/ 488^{\text {a }}$ |
| H | 44 | Taxus x media＇Hicksii＇ | Japanese Yew | $6^{\text {＇}}$＇，RB to RB，Male Only |
|  |  | Native Shrub Planting－ 5190 sq．ft． |  |  |
|  | 47 | Cornus stolonifera | Red－Osier Dogwood | \＃3 pot／48＂o．c |
|  | 185 | Gaultheria shallon | Salal | \＃2 pot／24＂o．c． |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 185 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | Lonicera pileata Mahonia nervosa | Box－leaved Honeysuckle Oregon Grape | \＃2 pot／ $24^{\circ}$ o．c． |
|  | 185 | Polystichum munitum | Western Sword Fern | \＃2 pot／24＂o．c． |
|  | 185 47 | Rosa nutkana Symphoricarpos albus | Nootka Rose Snowberry | \＃2 pot／24＂o．c． <br> \＃3 pot／48＂o．c． |
|  |  | Perennials，Grasses，Groundcovers |  |  |
| Ca | 53 | Calamagrostis x acutiflora＇Karl Foerster＇ | Karl F．Feather Reed Grass | \＃1 pot／24＂o．c． |
| Cx | 610 | Carex oshimensis＇Evergold＇ | Japanese Sedge | \＃1 pot／ |
| Cd | 150 | Cotoneaster dammeri ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Bearberry | \＃1 pot／ $188^{\text {a o．c．}}$ |
| GL | 40 | Gaura lindheimeria＇Whiring Butterflies＇ | Gaura | \＃1 pot／18＂o．c． |
| La | 79 | Lavandula a．＇Arctic Snow＇ | Arctic Snow Lavender | \＃1 pot／18＂o．c．． |
| Lt | 25 | Lavandula a．＇Thumbellina Leigh＇ | Thumbellina Leigh Lavender | \＃1 pot／18＂o．c．． |


| Material Legend |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detail | Key | Material ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Size |  |  | Colour／Finish／Notes |
|  | 《rl | Sidewalk－by others |  |  | Offsite：City of Surrey Standards Onsite：Refer to Civil |
| （6） | $\langle\hat{n} 2\rangle$ | CIP Concrete－Ash <br> CIP Concrete－Light Grey <br> CIP Concrete－Natural | 1 1－0＂wide x lengths vary |  | As shown |
| （5．120） | $\left\langle{ }^{43}\right.$ | Structural soil extent |  |  |  |
| （4．120） | （14） | Sod lawn |  |  |  |
| （3．3） | ${ }^{\text {H5 }}$ | Drain Rock Drip Strip |  |  | Provide 1＇wide drip strip along building face wherever softscape meets building |
| Furniture Legend |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detail | Key | Material | Manufactured by | Size | Colour／Finish |
| （12．2） | ［－1） | Steel with finish | Custom | As shown | Sandblasted，with Natural finish |
| （2，${ }^{2}$ | 『ط | Concrete | Custom | As shown | Natural |
| （314） | \ロ ¢－3 | Stone | Landscape forms | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}, \\ & 24^{\prime \prime} \times 95^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ | Black |
| （ ${ }_{(12.2}^{12}$ | －$\times$－4 | Biike Rack：Ride | Landscape Forms | $3.33^{\prime \prime} \times 28^{\prime \prime} \times 26^{\prime \prime}$ | Metallic Silver Mount：Surface mount |
| Landscape Drawing List |  |  |  | Irrigation Legend |  |
| L0．0 KEY PLAN <br> L1．0 OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN L1．1 ENLARGED PLAN LI． 2 ENLARGED PLAN 2 |  |  |  |  | rrigation Stub Out 50 PSI／ 50 GPM |

1．2 NLARGED PLAN 2
L1．3 ENLARGED PLAN 3
L1．4 ENLARGED PLAN 4
L1．4 ENLARGED PLAN 4
L1．5 ENLARGED PLAN 5
L1．5 ENLARGED PLAN 5
11．6 ENLARGED PLAN 6
L1．6 ENARGED PLAN 6
L2．1 LANDSCAPE DETALLS
L2．2 LANDSCAPE DETAILS
L3．1 LANDSCAPE SECTIONS


15331－68 Ave
Surrey，BC

| Drawn br： | JC／IM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Checked by： | DxC |
| Dote： | Appl20．2021 |
| Scole： |  |
| Drawing tite： |  |
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development - South Surrey Division
Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: April 20, $2021 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7819-0322-00
RE: Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial)
Location: 6856152 Street and 1533168 Avenue

## NCP AMENDMENT/ALR EXCLUSION

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment beyond those below.

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate approximately $4.891 \mathrm{~m}-4.908 \mathrm{~m}$ towards 152 Street.
- Dedicate approximately 10.0 m towards 153 Street.
- Dedicate 17.0 m radius cul-de-sac bulb towards 153 Street.
- Dedicate $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ corner cut at 153 Street and 68 Avenue.
- Dedicate approximately 7.0 m towards the East-West Multi-Use Pathway (MUP).
- Dedicate 1.0 mx 1.0 m corner cuts where the East-West MUP intersects 152 and 153 Street.
- Register 0.5 m SRW along 152 Street, 153 Street and 68 Avenue.
- Register 2.5 m SRW along the east-west sewer main to achieve total width of 7.5 m .
- Register 5.5 m SRW along the north-south sewer main, to achieve total width of 10.5 m .


## Works and Services

- Construct MUP on 152 Street and on East-West MUP corridor.
- Construct north side of 68 Avenue.
- Construct east side of 153 Street and full cul-de-sac.
- Construct concrete driveway letdowns to each lot.
- Construct water main on 68 Avenue.
- Provide water, storm and sanitary service connections to each lot.
- Provide on-site floodplain storage to capture the provincial 200-year floodplain level.
- Provide on-site storm mitigation/bio-filtration as per the East Newton Business Park NCP.
- Provide water quality/sediment control inlet chamber for each lot.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision. A processing fee of $\$ 12,845.95$ is required.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT (DP)

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the DP beyond those above.


Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
DJS
NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

## APPENDIX F: CITY OF SURREY SUMMARY FORM

Surrey Project No.:
Project Address:
6856152 Street and 1533168 Avenue, Surrey, BC
Consulting Arborist:
Nick McMahon

| ON-SITE TREES: | QUANTITY OF TREES |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Bylaw Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets <br> and lanes, excluding Park and ESA dedications) | 439 |
| Bylaw Protected Trees to be Removed |  |
| Bylaw Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excludes trees in Park dedication areas and ESA's) | 432 |
| Replacement Trees Required: <br> Alder and Cottonwood at 1:1 ratio: <br> All Other Bylaw Protected Trees at 2:1 ratio: <br> TOTAL:$\quad 253$ times $2=179$ times $1=179$ | 7 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 685 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit |  |
| Protected Trees Retained in Proposed Open Space/ Riparian Areas | 306 |


| OFF-SITE TREES: | QUANTITY OF TREES |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bylaw Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Required: | 0 times $1=0$ |
| Alder and Cottonwood at 1:1 ratio: | 0 times $2=0$ |
| All Other Bylaw Protected Trees at 2:1 ratio: |  |
| TOTAL: |  |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |

TBD denotes "To Be Determined" during Phased DP application process.
This summary and the referenced documents are prepared and submitted by:

Nick McMahon, Consulting Arborist

Direct: 6048122986
Email: nick@aclgroup.ca


## AFPAC Committee Minutes <br> December 1, 2020

## C. NEW BUSINESS

1. Development Application 7919-0322-oo

Harpreet Sondh, Planner
File: 7919-0322-00; 6856152 Street and 1533168 Avenue
The proposal is for a rezoning, subdivision, general development permit for form and character, and sensitive ecosystems (streamside), and farm protection development permit to permit the creation of three industrial lots in the East Newton Business Park.

- The subject site is 5.4 hectares in size, designated Employment Lands in the Official Community Plan, zoned Agriculture (A-1) and located outside of the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The applicant proposes to subdivide the subject property into three industrial lots under a Comprehensive Development Zone (based on IB-3). The applicants are proposing a General Development Permit for Form and Character for future light industrial and office development.
- In response to a question from the Committee, staff clarified that each application is reviewed individually. The Committee noted no reason to give a smaller setback unless the owners are giving something up.
- $\quad$ Staff noted that the buffer would consist of double row of deciduous conifer trees. Staff clarified that the buffer would remain under private ownership and the maintenance expectation would be on the owner.
- The Committee expressed concerns on:
- The buffer setback.
- The maintenance and location of the swale. It was suggested to be relocated to the parking edge.
- The fencing.
- The sloping onto agricultural lands, which slope debris may not be filtered out before reaching ALR lands.
- The access points. Staff clarified the access point would be from an internal laneway.
- The area has increased parking concerns.

The Committee suggested that the application return to staff to address concerns.
It was
Moved by M. Bose
Seconded by M. Hilmer

That the Agriculture and Food Policy Advisory Committee recommend to the General Manager of Planning and Development that Development Proposal 7919-0322-oo return to staff to address the concerns of the Committee.

## Carried


Buffers / Natural Areas
Business Park
Local Commercial
Existing Church

