

City of Surrey

## ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS

## Application No.: <br> 7919-0370-00

Planning Report Date: January 11,2021

## PROPOSAL:

- Development Variance Permit
to vary the definition of "bond" in the Surrey
Subdivision and Development Bylaw to include the use of a Surety Bond for the servicing agreement associated with a 6 -storey apartment development on the site.

LOCATION:
9458-134 Street
9444-134 Street
13437 - 94A Avenue 13445-94A Avenue

ZONING:
OCP DESIGNATION:
CITY CENTRE PLAN DESIGNATION: RF and CD (Bylaw No. 14112)
Multiple Residential
Residential Low to Mid Rise 2.5 DESIGNATION: FAR


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The applicant is proposing to vary the definition of "bond" in the Surrey Subdivision and Development Bylaw, 1986, No. 8830, as amended, to include the use of a Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0370-oo.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- A pilot program was introduced in 2016 for the use of Surety Bonds as an alternate form of security for Servicing Agreements. A Surety Bond is a three-party agreement between the City, the Developer, and the Surety Bond Company, which obligates the Surety to pay the City if the Developer defaults in completing the off-site engineering servicing works for the land development project in the pilot program.
- The Engineering Department supports the variance to include the use of a Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0370-oo.
- On December 21, 2020, Council granted Third Reading to rezoning Bylaw No. 20239 to permit the development of a 6 -storey apartment building on the subject site. Council also authorized staff to draft the corresponding Development Permit No. 7919-0370-oo for the project on December 7, 2020. The subject variance will allow for the use of a Surety Bond for the servicing agreement for this project.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7919-0370-oo (Appendix I) to vary the definition of "Bond" in the Surrey Subdivision and Development Bylaw, 1986, No. 8830, as amended, in include the use of Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0370-00, to proceed to Public Notification.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | City Centre Plan <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site |  <br> Child Care Centre | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF \& CD (Bylaw <br> No. 14112) |
| North: | Single Family Dwellings | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF |
| East: | Single Family Dwellings | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF |
| South (Across <br> 94A Avenue): | Queen Elizabeth Meadows <br> Park | Park | RF |
| West (Across <br> 134 Street): | Single Family Dwellings | OCP: Urban | RF |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site is approximately 5,500 square metres in size and is comprised of four lots near the corner of 134 Street and 94A Avenue in City Centre.
- The subject properties are designated Multiple Residential in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Residential Low to Mid Rise 2.5 FAR in the City Centre Plan. Three of the properties are zoned Single Family Residential Zone (RF) and the fourth is zoned Comprehensive Development Zone (CD Bylaw No. 14112).
- On December 21, 2020, Council granted Third Reading to rezoning Bylaw No. 20239 to permit the development of a 6 -storey apartment building on the subject site. Council also authorized staff to draft the corresponding Development Permit No. 7919-0370-oo for the project on December 7, 2020.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- In relation to the proposed redevelopment of the site, the applicant is proposing a Development Variance Permit to vary the definition of "Bond" in the Surrey Subdivision and Development Bylaw, 1986, No. 8830, as amended, in order to include the use of Surety Bond for the Servicing Agreement (No. 7819-0370-oo) associated with the development application.


## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project.

## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Variance to Definition of "Bond"

- The applicant is requesting to vary the definition of "Bond" in the Surrey Subdivision and Development Bylaw, 1986, No. 8830, as amended, to include the use of Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7919-0370-oo.
- In 2016, Council approved Corporate Report No. R257:2016, which authorized a pilot program for the use of surety bonds as an alternate form of security for Servicing Agreements. Subsequently, in 2019, Council approved Corporate Report No. R227; 2019, which included a modification to the terms of the pilot program and increased the maximum number of eligible land development projects from 5 to 12. Under the Surrey Economic Action and Recovery Plan from the COVID-19 Pandemic (Corporate Report No. Ro71; 2020) the maximum number of eligible land development projects was further increased from 12 to 20.
- A Surety Bond is a three-party agreement between the City, the Developer, and the Surety Bond Company, which obligates the Surety to pay the City if the Developer defaults in completing the off-site engineering servicing works for the land development projects in the pilot program. The Developer's working capital will then be freed to greater liquidity that can be then encouraged for reinvestment into the City.
- The Surety will be required to maintain a minimum A level rating from A. M. Best Rating Services.
- The Engineering Department supports the variance to include the use of a Surety Bond for Servicing Agreement No. 7819-0370-oo.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Development Variance Permit No. 7919-0370-oo
Appendix II. Planning Report No. 7919-0370-oo
approved by Ron Gill

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development

# CITY OF SURREY 

(the "City")

## DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

NO.: 7919-0370-oo
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations, or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: ou1-341-858
Parcel "12" (Reference Plan 15257), Except: Part on Plan LMP49568 Lot 25 Section 32 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 8668
9458-134 Street

Parcel Identifier: ooo-766-623
Lot 13 Section 32 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 18174
9444-134 Street

Parcel Identifier: o10-341-757
Lot 15 Section 32 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 18175
13437-94A Avenue
Parcel Identifier: o10-341-765
Lot 16 Section 32 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 18175
13445-94A Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Subdivision and Development By-law, 1986, No. 8830, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Part 1 - Interpretation - Definitions, "Bond" means cash, an irrevocable Letter of Credit, or a Surety Bond in favour of the City.
5. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
6. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
7. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
8. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , 20 . ISSUED THIS DAY OF , zo .


City of Surrey PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT Application No.: 7919-0370-00
Planning Report Date: December 7, 2020

## PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from RF and CD (Bylaw No. 14112) to CD (based on RM-7o)
- Development Permit
to permit the development of a 6-storey apartment building in City Centre

LOCATION
9458-134 Street
9444-134 Street
13437 - 94A Avenue
13445-94A Avenue
ZONING:
RF and CD (Bylaw No. 14112)
OCP DESIGNATION: Multiple Residential
CITY CENTRE PLAN Residential Low to Mid Rise 2.5 DESIGNATION:

FAR


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Bylaw Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- None


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Multiple Residential designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Low to Mid Rise 2.5 FAR designation in the Surrey City Centre Plan
- The proposed density and 6-storey building form are appropriate for this part of City Centre.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character and the design guidelines in the City Centre Plan.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality, natural materials and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm.
- The proposal retains a portion of the subject site for future redevelopment with the neighbouring lots to the southwest (9432-134 Street and 13427-94A Avenue), to ultimately complete the redevelopment of the block in accordance with the City Centre Plan.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A Bylaw be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7919-0370-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I).
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) provision of cash-in-lieu contribution to satisfy the indoor amenity space requirement of the RM-7o Zone, at the rate in effect at the time of Final Adoption
(g) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) registration of a right-of-way for public rights-of-passage for the area between the building face and the street edges;
(i) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant for "no build" on proposed Lot 2 until future consolidation and development with 9432-134 Street and 13427 -94A Avenue;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture; and
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Services.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | City Centre Plan <br> Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site |  <br> Child Care Centre | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF \& CD (Bylaw <br> No. 14112) |
| North: | Single Family Dwellings | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF |
| East: | Single Family Dwellings | Residential Low to Mid Rise <br> up to 2.5 FAR | RF |
| South (Across <br> 94A Avenue): | Queen Elizabeth Meadows <br> Park | Park | RF |
| West (Across <br> 134 Street): | Single Family Dwellings | OCP: Urban | RF |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site is approximately 5,500 square metres in size and is comprised of four lots near the corner of 134 Street and 94A Avenue.
- The subject properties are designated Multiple Residential in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Residential Low to Mid Rise 2.5 FAR in the City Centre Plan. Three of the properties are zoned Single Family Residential Zone (RF) and the fourth is zoned Comprehensive Development Zone (CD Bylaw No. 14112).
- The site slopes downwards by approximately 4.0 metres from west to east.
- The applicant was unable to come to an agreement with the owners of the two lots to the southwest of the subject site, at 9432-134 Street and 13427-94A Avenue, to purchase their properties to include in the subject application. Therefore, the applicant has agreed to place a no build covenant on a portion of the subject site to allow for future consolidation with these two lots to ensure sufficient buildable area if they are to develop in the future.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- In order to permit the development of a 6-storey apartment building with 174 dwelling units, the applicant is proposing the following:
- Rezoning the site from RF and CD (Bylaw No. 14112) to CD (based on RM-70);
- Subdivision (consolidation) of four lots into two lots; and
- Development Permit for Form and Character.
- CD Bylaw No. 14112 is in order to be repealed as all of the lands will be regulated by the new CD Bylaw for the site.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area: | 5,511 square metres |
| Road Dedication: | 1,160 square metres |
| No Build Area: | 330 <br> Net Site Area: |
| Number metres |  |
| Building Height: | 2 |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 21.0 metres |
| Floor Area | 2.36 gross FAR; 3.24 net FAR |
| Residential: |  |
| Commercial: | 13,017 square metres |
| Total: | N/A |
| Residential Units: | 13,017 square metres |
| Studio: | 9 |
| 1-Bedroom: | 9 |
| 2-Bedroom: | 97 |
| Townhouse: | 61 |
| Total: | 7 |

## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix II.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \& Culture:

The School District has provided the following projections for the number of students from this development:

9 Elementary students at Cindrich Elementary School 9 Secondary students at Queen Elizabeth Secondary School
(Appendix III)
The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Spring 2023.

Stumps to remain in-situ for any trees removed within 2 metres of the TPZ on any tree to be retained; no trees or vegetation to be removed from Parkland without pre-approval by Parks; any trees proposed to be removed within Queen Elizabeth Park will require full compensation.

Surrey Fire Department: West Building to be addressed off 134 Street; East Building to be addressed off 94A Avenue. No other concerns, however, there are some items that will be required to be addressed as part of the Building Permit application.

Advisory Design Panel: $\quad$ The proposal was considered at the ADP meeting on September 24, 2020 and was conditionally supported. The applicant has resolved most of the outstanding items from the ADP review as outlined in the Development Permit section of this report. Any additional revisions will be completed prior to Council's consideration of Final Adoption of the rezoning bylaw, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## Transportation Considerations

- The applicant will provide the following road dedication as part of the current rezoning application:
- Varying widths between 2.442 metres and 3.442 metres along 134 Street;
- 4.942 metres along 94A Avenue;
- Minimum 6.0 metres for green lane for a total ultimate width of 12.0 metres;
- 4.0 metres for walkway along the eastern edge of the site for a total ultimate width of 8.0 metres; and
- Corner cut at 134 Street and green lane.
- Parking for the development is proposed to be located in a two-level underground parkade, which will be accessed from the green lane along the north property line.
- The subject site is located approximately 300 metres from King George Boulevard, which is an existing Frequent Transit Network (FTN) and future rapid transit corridor, and approximately 1.3 kilometres from the King George Skytrain Station.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.
- In addition, the applicant has highlighted the following additional sustainable features:
- High efficiency in-suite energy recovery ventilator (ERV) systems;
- Low emitting materials;
- Increased airtightness;
- High performance building enclosure for reduced thermal transmittance through the building envelope;
- Efficient lighting, hot water heating, and low-flow plumbing fixtures;
- On-site rainwater detention; and
- High efficiency irrigation system to reduce water consumption.
- This project will be required to meet a minimum of Step 2 of the BC Energy Step Code, and possibly higher based upon the date of Building Permit issuance. The applicant has indicated the project will meet Step 3 of the BC Energy Step Code.


## POLICY \& BYLAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The subject site is designated Multiple Residential in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The proposed development complies with the OCP designation.


## Themes/Policies

- The proposed development is consistent with the following OCP Themes and Policies:
- Growth Management
- Growth Priorities: Accommodate urban land development first in City Centre and Town Centre location well-served by local services, infrastructure, and transit.
- Accommodating Higher Density: Direct residential and mixed-use development into Surrey's City Centre, Town Centres, along Frequent Transit Corridors and in approved Secondary Plan areas, at densities sufficient to encourage commercial development and transit service expansion.
- Centres, Corridors and Neighbourhoods
- Healthy Neighbourhoods: Plan and design urban neighbourhoods with sufficient densities to support a high-quality transit system that is accessible to most residents.
- Urban Design
- Ensure new development responds to the existing architectural character and scale of its surroundings, creating compatibility between adjacent sites and within neighbourhoods.


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal complies with the Residential Low to Mid Rise 2.5 FAR designation in the Surrey City Centre Plan.


## Themes/Objectives

- The proposed development is consistent with the following guiding principles:
- Encourage Housing Diversity: by providing a variety of housing forms and a range of unit sizes and types.
- Create Vibrant Urban Spaces: with high quality architectural aesthetics and amenities such as plazas to encourage people to interact with the public realm.


## CD Bylaw

- The applicant is proposing a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" to accommodate a proposed 6-storey apartment building on the subject site. The proposed CD Bylaw for the proposed development site identifies the uses, densities and setbacks proposed. The CD Bylaw will have provisions based on the "Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70)".
- A comparison of the density, lot coverage, setbacks, building height and permitted uses in the RM-70 Zone and the proposed CD Bylaw is illustrated in the following table:

| Zoning | RM-7o Zone (Part 24) | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.50 | 3.24 |
| Lot Coverage: | 33\% | 61\% |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| ```North (green lane): East (walkway): South (94A Avenue): South (internal): West (134 Street): West (internal):``` | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 7.5 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 5.0 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 3.4 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 4.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 3.5 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Principal Building Height: | 50.0 m | 21.0 m |
| Permitted Uses: | - Multiple unit residential buildings <br> - Ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings <br> - Child care centres | - Multiple unit residential buildings <br> - Ground-oriented multiple unit residential buildings |
| Amenity Space |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: <br> Outdoor Amenity: | 3.0 sq. m. per dwelling unit 1.0 sq. m. per lock-off suite 4.0 sq . m. per micro unit <br> 3.0 sq. m. per dwelling unit 1.0 sq. m. per lock-off suite 4.0 sq. m. per micro unit | The proposed 433 square metres [+ CIL of \$48,0oo] meets the Zoning Bylaw requirement. <br> The proposed 574 square metres meets the Zoning Bylaw requirement. |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
| Residential Ground-Oriented: <br> Residential: <br> Residential Visitor: <br> Total: | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6 \\ 150 \\ 18 \\ 174 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6 \\ 150 \\ 18 \\ 174 \end{gathered}$ |
| Bicycle Spaces |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: Residential Visitor: | $\begin{gathered} 209 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 215 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |

- The floor area ratio (FAR) has been increased from 1.5 FAR in the RM-70 Zone to 3.24 net FAR in the CD Zone. The proposed density complies with the City Centre Plan.
- The maximum lot coverage has been increased from $33 \%$ in the RM-70 Zone to $61 \%$ in the CD Zone to accommodate the built form, which is generally consistent with other similar 6-storey apartment developments in the City Centre Plan area.
- The reduced setbacks along the frontages ( 138 Street and 94 A Avenue), the lane, and walkway achieve a more urban, pedestrian-oriented streetscape, consistent with the goals and objectives of the City Centre Plan.
- The minimum parking requirement of 156 residential parking spaces and 18 visitor parking spaces, for a total of 174 parking spaces, is being met.
- The proposed bicycle parking complies with the minimum requirement.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Building Permit Issuance ( $\$ 1,500$ per unit if completed by December 31, 2021; and $\$ 2,000$ per unit if completed after January 1, 2022).
- The proposed development will not be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs as the proposal complies with the densities in the Secondary Plan designation.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art, or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on April 28, 2020, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on April 29, 2020.
- To date, staff have not received any responses from neighbouring residents.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the Surrey City Centre Plan.
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the Surrey City Centre Plan.
- The applicant is proposing a 6-storey apartment building containing 174 units, consisting of 9 studio units, 97 one-bedroom units, 61 two-bedroom units, and 7 two-bedroom townhouse units. The units' range in size from 40 square metres to 120 square metres.
- The proposed building consists of a west wing fronting 134 Street and an east wing fronting 94A Avenue. An outdoor amenity area is situated south of the building in the centre of the site.
- The proposed project is designed as an L-shaped building to frame three frontages; 134 Street to the west, the green lane to the north, and Multi Use Pathway (MUP) to the east.
- The overall building is designed to create two distinct, but related building wings with a defined architectural break connecting them.
- The west wing incorporates a palette of warm, earth-toned brick, grey fibre-cement panels, and blue-grey fibre cement lap siding. Warm wood-toned horizontal cladding is used at the break between the two wings along the lane to soften the colour palette and massing of the overall building, while expressing a west coast character. Wood soffits, which are also highly visible from the pedestrian realm, are proposed to enhance the building scale and pedestrian realm.
- Ground-oriented townhouse units facing 134 Street and 94A Avenue will have their own front door and useable, semi-private outdoor patio space.
- The applicant has worked with staff to address ADP and staff comments favorably. In particular the applicant has worked to resolve issues around appropriate setbacks from streets, public realm interfaces, ground-oriented interfaces with the public sidewalks, indoor and outdoor amenity connections, architectural scale within the context, and providing a nobuild area allowing future development to the south of the side.


## Landscaping

- The proposed landscaping for the site includes a mix of trees, shrubs, and groundcover, along with hardscaping, site lighting, fencing, and site furnishings.
- The landscaping concept centres around four areas, an entrance amenity area adjacent to each of the west building entrance and the east building entrance, the outdoor amenity courtyard to the south of the building, and a rooftop amenity area on the sixth floor between the east and west wings of the building.
- Pathways provide for pedestrian circulation around the site and provide access to the outdoor amenity courtyard from 134 Street and 94A Avenue.
- At grade units have patio spaces with feature paving, trees, shrubs, and fencing with gates to create semi-private outdoor spaces for residents.


## Indoor Amenity

- The required indoor amenity is 528 square metres, while the applicant is proposing to provide 433 square metres of indoor amenity space on site, requiring cash-in-lieu for the shortfall in accordance with City policy.
- The indoor amenity space is located on the first level of the west wing of the building facing 138 Street.
- The indoor amenity space consists of a gym, games rooms, lounge areas, a kitchen, and washrooms.
- The kitchen opens up onto the outdoor amenity courtyard.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The required outdoor amenity is 528 square metres. The applicant is proposing 574 square metres of outdoor amenity, exceeding the minimum requirement.
- The proposed outdoor amenity is organized into four areas.
- Each of the west and east wings of the building have a small amenity area with feature paving, bike racks for visitors, planters with trees and flowering shrubs, and areas with seating.
- The outdoor amenity courtyard to the south of the building consists of an outdoor seating area adjacent to the indoor amenity area, a barbeque station and a dining area with tables and chairs, community garden plots, a potting table, children's play area, and benches for seating.
- The rooftop amenity area on the sixth floor is located between the two wings of the building and consists of a barbeque station, bistro dining tables and chairs, a small lounge seating area, a larger lounge seating area, and culinary herbs in low planters.


## Outstanding Items

- The project was considered at the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) meeting on September 24, 2020 and received conditional support. The Panel provided comments to guide the refinement of the proposed apartment building and associated landscaping.
- The applicant is required to resolve all outstanding urban design and landscaping issues and Advisory Design Panel comments, as follows:
- Resolution of the public realm interfaces, and further clarification of landscape details at site edges; and
- Resolution of westerly elevation to reduce the blank wall on the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor.


## TREES

- Dean Bernasch, ISA Certified Arborist of Diamond Head Consulting Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alder and Cottonwood Trees |  |  |  |
| Cottonwood | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cherry | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Holly | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Hornbeam | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Maple | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Oak | 3 | 3 | O |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Douglas Fir | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Pine | 1 | 1 | O |
| Spruce | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 15 | 15 | O |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 54 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 54 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program | N/A |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there is a total of 15 mature trees on the site, excluding Alder and Cottonwood trees. Four existing trees, approximately $21 \%$ of the total trees on the site, are Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 1 to 1 replacement ratio for Alder and Cottonwood trees, and a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all other trees. This will require a total of 34 replacement trees on the site. The applicant is proposing 54 replacement trees, exceeding City requirements.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 134 Street and 94A Avenue. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Saskatoon Serviceberry, Seiryu Japanese Maple, Celestial Dogwood, Yulan Magnolia, and Japanese Snowball.
- In summary, a total of 54 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with no contribution required to the Green City Program.


## CITY ENERGY

- The subject site is located within Service Area A, as defined in the "City Centre District Energy System By-law" (see Appendix VI for location). The District Energy System consists of three primary components:
- Community energy centres, City-operated facilities that generate thermal energy for distribution through a piped hot water network;
- Distribution piping that links the community energy centres with buildings connected to the system; and
- City-owned energy transfer stations (ETS) located within the building connected to the system. The ETS transfers heat energy from the distribution system to the building's mechanical system and is used to meter the amount of energy used.
- All new developments within Service Area A with a build-out density equal to or greater than a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.0 will be required to provide hydronic thermal energy systems in support of the City's District Energy (DE) system including domestic hot water, make-up air units and in-suite hydronic space heating. The City is committed to providing service to this project; however, the pipe network may not be available in this area in time for occupancy. Therefore, the subject application may be serviced by a temporary in-building boiler that will be installed and operated by the City until such a time as a direct piped connection to the DE network is available in this area.
- In order to avoid conflicts between the District Energy System and other utilities, the location of the ETS and related service connections are confirmed by Engineering and the applicant at the servicing agreement stage. The Engineering Department also requires the applicant to register a statutory right-of-way and Section 219 Restrictive Covenant over the subject site for the following purposes:
- City access to, and maintenance and operation of, the ETS within the building and any infrastructure between the building and the property line; and
- To prevent conflicts with other utilities.
- Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Engineering Department will confirm that the applicant has met the requirements of the "City Centre District Energy System By-law".


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. School District Comments
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix V. ADP Comments and Response
Appendix VI. District Energy Map
approved by Ron Gill

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
$\mathrm{CB} / \mathrm{cm}$


## QUINN APARTMENTS

9444 \& 9458134 ST. \&
13437 \& 13445 94A Ave, Surrey BC
ISSUE 5.0 - FIRST READING
November 26, 2020



Integra
 Vancouver, BC, V6C 154
WW W integra-arch.com Ww w. Integra- arch h com
Telephone: 6046884220
Congurn


porte


QUINN APARTMENTS

## 

Cover Sheet
 Friday, November $27,20200^{10017}$ ISSUE\#5-FIRST READING IISEI
pro, ece sumarer.

$\xlongequal[\text { prouect remes }]{ }$ $\qquad$






|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 隹 | ${ }_{4}^{48}$ |  | (2ss.3. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{13}$ |  | , |
| (ourooor mentrs: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | cism |  |  | 边 |
| Remeo |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | move |  |  |
|  |  | com |  |  | \%am |
| \%eunes | \% | 20, | sis | So |  |
| Mmum Parkw Revine |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | cosm sin | : |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



200



 $\qquad$ 12

 $\qquad$


Eulowo setracks.





## Integra

ARCHITECTURE INC.
$2330-200$ Granville Street
Vancouver, $\mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{VGC} 154$ Vancouver, BC, VGC
www integra-arh $\mathbf{C o m}$
Telephone: 604688 . 4220


porte

$\qquad$

## QUINN APARTMENTS



Project Data
$\xlongequal{18441 \quad \mid \text { |Prosect| }}$
$\overline{\text { Friday, November 27, } 2020}$ ISSUE\# 5 - FIRST READING



Aerial View Looking South West




QUINN APARTMENTS

BASE PLAN
$\qquad$
$\frac{18441}{1: 375}$
Friday, November 27, 2020 IPaREI ISSUE\# 5 - FIRST READING SCALE: 1:375



QUINN APARTMENTS


## Site Plan

$\xlongequal{18441 \quad|\quad|}$

Friday, November 27, 2020 ( ${ }^{\text {DNATEI }}$




QUINN APARTMENTS




## Integra

ARCHITECTURE INC. $2330-200$ Granville 5 Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 154 WW W. Integra- arch com
Telephone: 6046884220




QUINN APARTMENTS

$\qquad$
A-1.10


LEVEL P1

(2) LEVEL 1

SCALE: 1:350

Integra ARCHITECTURE IN
$2330-200$ Granville Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 154



porte

Porte Communities

QUINN APARTMENTS


Orphan Lot -
Levels P1-L1
$\qquad$
${ }^{1: 350} \quad \mid$ BConter ISSIET 5 - First reanitise

(1) LEVEL 2

(2) LEVEL 3

(3) LEVEL 4

(4) ROOF LEVEL

Integra ARCHITECTURE INC. $2330-200$ Granville 5 Street
Vancouver, BC. VGC 154
W W W integra-arch.com www.integra- arch heom
Telephone: 6046884220



## porte



QUINN APARTMENTS

Orphan Lot -
Orphan Lot -
Level L2-ROOF

Friday, November 27, $2020{ }^{\text {DOAREI }}$ ISSUE\#5-FIRST READING


,itatatasis
Parking Level P2

Friday, November $27,2020{ }^{\text {DONTEI }}$ ISSUE\#5-FIRST READING ${ }^{\text {IISUE }}$




Parking Level P1

$\overline{\text { Friday, November 27, } 2020 \text { IORTEI }}$ ISSUE \#5 - FIRST READING







Integra ARCHITECTURE INC $2330-200$ Granville Street
Vancouver, BC, VCC 154
Www integra-arch.com www.integra-arch. com
Telephone: 6046884220
$\qquad$



Level 6
 $\stackrel{\text { ISSUE \# } 5 \text { - } \text { FRST READING }}{ }$


Integra
ARCHITECTURE INC
$2330-200$ Granville 5 Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 154 ww wintegra- arch heom
Telephone: 6046884220




QUINN APARTMENTS
citatyayisis

Roof Level

$\overline{\text { Friday, November } 27,2020}$ ISSUE\#5-FIRST READING IISEIT



## ARCHITECTURE INC. $2330-200$ Granville Street

$2330-200$ Granville 5 Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 154


porte


QUINN APARTMENTS

Schematic
Schematic
Elevations
$\qquad$
$\frac{1: 250}{\text { Friday, November 27, } 2020}$
ISSUE\#5-FIRST READING ${ }^{\text {IESES }}$
A-4.12

$2 \frac{\text { WEST ELEVATION }}{\text { SCALE: } 1: 250}$

Integra
ARCHITECTURE INC.
$2330-200$ Granville Street
$2330-200$ Granville 5 Street
Vancouver, BC. VGC 154
anw



porte


QUINN APARTMENTS


Schematic
Elevations
$\qquad$

35
A4.13


## 94A Ave. - South Perspective

 Vancouver, BC, VCwwwintegra-arh . . .om
Telephone: 6046888220




QUINN APARTMENTS


Perspective View
$\qquad$
Friday, November 27, 2020 (Dante|
$\stackrel{\text { Friday, November 27, } 2020}{\text { ISSUE \#4 - RESPONSE TO AOSDE' }}$




QUINN APARTMENTS

Perspective View

ISSUE \# 4-RESPONSE TO ADP


## 134 St. - NW Perspective



Perspective View

$\overline{\text { Friday, November } 27,2020}{ }^{\text {DOAREI }}$ ISSUE \#4-RESPONSE TO ADP




Integra
ARCHITECTURE INC.
$2330-200$
Granville
Vtreet
 ,

## porte



QUINN APARTMENTS


## Massing

$\qquad$
Friday, November 27, $2020^{1004 E}$





| $\mathbf{L}$ | $L_{J}$ | $L_{E 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

NORTH ELEVATION


ACCENT SIDING IXL BRICK
'CEDAR'
 TO MATCH 'IRON GRAY'


Integra ARCHITECTURE INC. $2330-200$ Granville Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 154 Vancouver, BC, VGC 154
WWW.integra-arch . Com
Telephone: 6046884220
 $\frac{\Phi^{n}}{\text { porte }}$


A-8.31

andscape architecture

1690 West 2nd Avenue
Vancouver. BC . Canada. V6J 1H3
t | 604.683.1456 f | 604.683.1459 w | www.etala.com

## QE Meadows <br> for Porte Communities

Civic Address: 134 \& 94A Surrey, BC

consultant team
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { OWNER: } \\ \text { ARCHITECT: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Porte Communites } \\ \text { Integra Architecture }\end{array}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ARCHITECT: } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Integra Architecture inc } \\ \text { eta landscape architecture }\end{array} \\ \text { LANDSCAPE: }\end{array}$

Not for Construction
drawing list

Notes ance schedules
IIIstrative Para - Ground Leve
IILstrative Plan - Entrance

IIIUstrative Plan - Courtyard Amenity
IIlsustatien Plan - Level 6
Precedent Images
Pedestrian Circulation Plan
Permeable Areas Plan
Permeable Areas Plan
Tree Manage
Offsite Plan
Materials and Lighting Plan - Ground Level
Materials and Lighting Plan - Level 6
Grading and Drainage Plan
Tree Plan
Planting
Planting Plan - Ground Level
Planting Plan - Level 6
Soil Depth Plan - Ground Level
Soil Depth Plan - Level 6
Irrigated Areas Plan (Not Included)
Landscape Sections
Landscape Sections
Landscape Sections
Landscape Sections
Landscape Secions Landscape Sections
Landscape Sections
Sottscape Details
Hardscape Details
Hardscape Details
Site Furishing Details
Site Frunishng Details
Site Furnishing Details
W










ORaNII Conten: 3-10



















 pLant counts




| LLaHting Legeno |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ¢S/W | STEP LIGHT |
| ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | bollard light |
| ¢ | down light |


| oin |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ToW - TOP OF WALL <br> BoW - BOTTOM OF WALL |
| Tos 0.00 m | Tos - Top of Step |
| + 8050.00 m | bos - botom of Step |
| FG 0.00 m | FG - - finshed grade |
| +FFE 0.00 m | fre-buliding finsh floor elevation |


| Growing Melium Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | $300 \mathrm{MM} \mathrm{(12])} \mathrm{SOIL} \mathrm{DEPTH}$ |
| 18 | $610 \mathrm{MM} \mathrm{(24)} \mathrm{SOIL} \mathrm{DEPTH}$ |
| Now | 750 MM (30)' SOIL DEPTH |
| - -a-a- | Root tarrier |








| Shrub | /G | OVERS / PERENNIALS - Level 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 |  | mary |  | fawl bushy plants | $\underbrace{\text { E.e. }}_{\text {E, Ed }}$ |
| La | 5 | Levandula anustitiola | Enalish lavender | $0.364 * 2$ cont. | full bushy plants | :P, Ed |
| Sob | 8 | Sesa Peneloloe' | Peneloper erose |  | fiulu bushy y lanats | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Eac | 8 | Euorymus alatus' Compactus' | dwart bumina bush | 0.85 \#45 cont. | full bushy plants |  |
| dm |  | Kammalatiolia Minuer | Minuet mountain lurel | 2 cont. | bushy plants |  |
| m | 17 | Luriope muscair | bia blue liy turf |  | full bushy plants |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Non-Netted, grown on sand |  |  |  |  |
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(1) SECTION: West Patio

(2) SECTION: Northwest Patio

(3) SECTION: North Patio
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1) SECTION: Northeast Patio
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1 DETALL: TREE AT GRADE $\qquad$


4 Detall sod at grade

$\frac{\text { DETALL: SHRUB AT GRADE }}{\text { Scale }: 110}$


2 ) $\frac{\text { DETALL: TREE ON SLAB }}{\text { Scale: } 1: 20}$

$5 \frac{\text { DETALL GROUNDCOVER AT GRADE }}{\text { Scale: } 1: 10}$

$8 \frac{\text { DETALL: SHRUB ON SLAB }}{\text { Scae: }: 1: 10}$
${ }^{\text {Leas }}$

[^1]$\qquad$


3 群 $\frac{\text { DETALL: HEDGE ON SLAB }}{\text { Scale: } 1: 10}$

(6) DETALL: GROUNDCOVER ON SLAB
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## "oremamememem <br> manmenan

(1) $\frac{\text { DETALL: PEDESTRIAN CONCRETE AT GRADE }}{\text { Scal: } 1: 10}$

|  | -Concrete band/edger. Filter fabric returns upward against edger, typ. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Cumer | - $50 \mathrm{~mm}\left(2^{2}\right)$ \#9 aggeogate setting bed |
| +0.0. | - Nor-woven filer fabic |
| + | - $150 \mathrm{~mm}\left(6^{\circ}\right) 3 / 44^{\text {crussed.compacted agregate base course }}$ |
| 5ile | Subgrade compacted to 95\% modified |
|  | proctordensity |
|  |  |
|  |  |

3 DETALL: UNIT PAVERS AT GRADE $\qquad$ -
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DETAL: PEDESTRIAN CONCRETE ON SLAB
SEae: 1 :
(6) $\frac{\text { DETALL: DRIP STRIP ON SLAB }}{\text { Scae: } 1: 10}$
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## Hardscape Details
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| AMam | 21830 |
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[^2]| $\cdots$ | ${ }^{21820} 3$ |
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| ${ }^{2019}$ |  |


(1) CUTSHEET: Bench Type 1

$2 \frac{\text { CUTSHEET: Bench Type } 2}{\text { NTS }}$


3 CUTSHEET: Bike Rack

(4) CUTSHEET: Drinking Fountain
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$5 \frac{\text { DETALL: WOODEN ARBOUR, TYP. }}{\text { Scale: } 1: 120}$
NOTE: SHOP DRAWINGS To BE PROVIIED

$\frac{\text { DETALL: POTTING BENCH }}{\text { Scale: } 1: 10}$
NOTE: SHop DRAWINGS To BE PRoviIE


[^3]NOTE: SHOP DRAWINGS TO BE PRoviDED
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$\left(1 \frac{\text { DETALL: Play Frame }}{\text { Scale: }: 1: 20}\right.$
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- North Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: November 17, $\mathbf{2 0 2 0} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7819-0370-oo
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements
Location: 9444/9458-134 Street, 13437 \& 13445-94A Avenue

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate varying width between 2.442 m and 3.442 m along 134 Street.
- Dedicate 4.942 m along 94A Avenue.
- Dedicate minimum 6.0 m for Green Lane for a total ultimate 12.0 m width.
- Dedicate 4.0 m for Walkway for a total ultimate 8 .om width.
- Dedicate required corner cut.
- Provide 0.5 m wide statutory rights-of-way along site frontages.


## Works and Services

- Construct east side of 134 Street, north side of 94A Avenue, Green Lane and Walkway.
- Implement recommendations of geo-technical report.
- Construct storm main along the Green Lane and Walkway.
- Construct minimum 250 mm sanitary main along 134 Street, 94 A Avenue, and along the Green Lane.
- Complete drainage catchment analysis to determine existing capacities. Resolve downstream constraints, as identified.
- Construct minimum 250 mm water main along 134 Street. Submit fire flow analysis and determine the extent of upsizing works required.
- Provide water, storm and sanitary service connections to support the development.
- Register applicable legal documents as determined through detailed design.
- Secure off-site working easements and tree cutting permits, if applicable.
- Pay amenity charge for undergrounding the existing overhead electrical and telecommunication infrastructure.
A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision. A processing fee of $\$ 45,822.00$ is required.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit beyond those noted above.


Tommy Buchmann, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
HB4
NOTE: Detailed Land Development Engineering Review available on file

November 20, 2020
Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION \#: 19037000

## SUMMARY

The proposed 174 lowrise units are estimated to have the following impact on the following schools:

Projected \# of students for this development:

| Elementary Students: <br> Secondary Students: | 9 |
| :--- | :---: |
| September 2020 Enrolment/School Capacity | 9 |$|$| Cindrich Elementary | $43 \mathrm{~K}+381$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): |  |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+443$ |
| Queen Elizabeth Secondary  <br> Enrolment (8-12):  <br> Capacity (8-12): 1447 |  |

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Cindrich Elementary is located in a maturing neighbourhood. The 10-year projection shows that the school is growing at a modest pace and is projected to reach $100 \%$ capacity around 2026. This projection may be conservative and dependent on the rate of development around the intersection of 96th Ave and King George. If residential construction is completed faster than modeled, the growth will show a steeper trend line and may not be able to accommodate future growth with portables. There are no current plans to expand this existing school; and based on this projection, any future growth can be accommodated with 4 portables or less.

As of September 2020, Queen Elizabeth Secondary is operating at 90\% capacity. Over the next 10 years this school is projected to experience a strong growth trend. Like the elementary school, the proposed new higher density development anticipated around King George Boulevard and 96th Avenue will also fuel secondary growth in the catchment. There are no current plans to expand the existing high school but enrolment will be monitored over the next several years to oversee any changes to rate of growth.

## Cindrich Elementary



Queen Elizabeth Secondary


* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students.

Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

### 4.0 Tree Preservation Summary

Table 3: City of Surrey tree preservation summary table for on-site and off-site trees, including the number of replacement trees proposed.

| Surrey Project Number Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9444 and 9458134 Street, 1343713445 94A Avenue |  |
| Conor Corbett (PN-8429A) |  |
| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (On-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) |  |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 19 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $4 \quad \mathrm{X} \text { one (1) }=4$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $15 \times \text { two (2) }=30$ | 34 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 54 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed Open Space / Riparian Areas | 0 |


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 6 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \quad x \text { one (1) }=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $6 \quad \mathrm{x} \text { two (2) }=12$ | 12 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 5 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 7 |

Summary, report and plan prepared and submitted by


Signature of Arborist

November 17, 2020
Date



Integra ARCHITECTURE INC.
2330-200 Granville Street, Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4 www.integra-arch.com | Telephone: 6046884220

November 3, 2020
[Revised November 27, 2020]
Christa Brown
City of Surrey Planning \& Development

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW - DESIGN TEAM RESPONSES

## Key Points:

- Consider bringing greater articulation to the east elevation. The East elevation has been revised and improved through revisions to the lobby, amendments to setback and the introduction of a brick base to the façade - see more detailed descriptions below.
- Consider further development of the NW plaza with the goal of making it more public. The building canopy and structure have been pulled back, away from the corner plaza to make this outdoor space feel more public than private. Landscape improvements have also been incorporated.
- Consider stronger connectivity between the indoor and outdoor amenity spaces. Indoor amenity spaces have been revised and improved - see more detailed descriptions below:
- Consider simplifying the connection between the south lobby and the elevator lobby. South lobby has been revised - moved to the South-East corner to improve the circulation flow to the elevator - see more detailed descriptions below.
- Consider simplifying the canopy expression by removing the joist expression. Exposed joists have been removed.
- Consider relocating the visitor accessible stalls to the area across from the elevator. Accessible stalls have been relocated closer to elevator number 4 as discussed at the ADP meeting.
- Consider ensuring decks are Accessible in all adaptable suites. Accessible balconies will be considered during the detailed design stage [Building Permit].
- Consider targeting a maximum 5\% slopes in all sloped walkways. Maximum 5\% slopes are proposed apart from the ramp in the South lobby which is $1: 12$ as allowed in the 2018 edition of the BC Building Code.
- Consider utilizing the energy model as a design tool. Energy modelling will be developed at detailed design stage once we know for certain which step code level will be required [related to the provision [or not] of district energy.


## Site

- Consider the configuration of the indoor amenity spaces to the west, in terms of distribution and relation to the outdoor amenity. The outdoor amenity connection is narrow. The integration of amenity is challenging. The geometry of outdoor amenity is competing with patios. The indoor amenity has been revised to enhance usability, accessibility and access to daylight. The space has been enlarged [by reworking the West residential lobby elevation change location] to allow it to connect to the amenity space fronting the green street/lane. Additional windows have been provided on this façade. The parkade exit stair previously located at the South-East corner of the lounge area has been relocated further West to open up the corner looking into the outdoor amenity space with additional glazing. The amenity space is now more contiguous between inside \& outside. Private patios for units facing into the courtyard have a generous landscape buffer. The G2 unit in particular has been revised to relocate the principal outdoor patio space away from the amenity room. The window closest to the G2 unit in the amenity room has been deleted.
- The amenity should have a more continuous space between the indoor and outdoor spaces. The indoor amenity has been revised to enhance usability, accessibility and access to daylight. The space has been enlarged [by reworking the West residential lobby elevation change location] to allow it to connect to the
amenity space fronting the green street/lane. Additional windows have been provided on this façade. Previous floor elevation differences and ramps have been eliminated to provide a level space to maximise useability and flexibility. The parkade exit stair previously located at the South-East corner of the lounge area has been relocated further West to open up the corner looking into the outdoor amenity space with additional glazing. The amenity space is now more contiguous between inside \& outside. The additional daylight is beneficial, assisting with user orientation, enhancing the experience of using the indoor spaces.


## Form and Character

- Entry ground floor canopy exposed joists is not necessary. Consider making the entry canopy coloured and soffit with wood as in the upper floors. The expressed joists at ground floor unit canopies have been removed. Canopies will have wood toned soffits. The fascia is coloured complimentary to the building colours and materials. It was not deemed necessary to introduce another colour here as the intent is to have coloured entry doors.
- The elevations are well handled and thoughtful. The parkade access space is good. Some additional refinements to the lobbies and East elevations are included in the submission to accompany this letter.
- The elevations are successful, the massing is articulated well, the north and south west are highly articulated. There is less articulation near the east. Consider more articulated east elevation. The East elevation has been revised and improved to account for the corner lobby relocation and adjustments to comply to a 4.5 m setback. A two-storey brick base has been introduced to continue the public realm interface of the other public facades to create a townhouse look. Overall, this façade has improved to equal the successful articulation of the other facades.
- Reconsider the placement of the lobby to the south. A narrow corridor takes you to elevator, which is less successful. The South residential lobby has been relocated to the South-East corner, providing an improved circulation experience to the elevator. This has also created the opportunity for a second 'corner plaza' on the East side, close to the proposed multi-use pathway proposed along the East property line.
- The amenity has constraints. Consider higher quality interior amenities. Review the amenity package. $A$ variety of amenities are proposed, including a gym, games room, lounge and party room/flex space. The amenity space is generous and the programming will develop with the Owner's input towards completion of the building, taking into account market expectations closer to occupancy.
- There is conflict within the interior amenity on the west side. The indoor amenity has been revised to enhance usability, accessibility and access to daylight. The space has been enlarged [by reworking the West residential lobby elevation change location] to allow it to connect to the amenity space fronting the green street/lane. Additional windows have been provided on this façade. Previous floor elevation differences and ramps have been eliminated to provide a level space to maximise useability and flexibility. The parkade exit stair previously located at the South-East corner of the lounge area has been relocated further West to open up the corner looking into the outdoor amenity space with additional glazing.
- The unit mix is good with liveable sizes and 9 ft ceilings.
- Parking is efficient. With the permit to remove the neighbouring trees impacting the East setback, further efficiencies in the parkade have been implemented.
- Consider concentrating elevators together to focus high noise and traffic locations together. The elevators function better for usability in the locations we have proposed. This is a long building - by spacing the elevators out, residents will have less distance to travel within the building and within the parkade.
- The fire wall is strategically placed. The fire wall location is integral to the building design and has been incorporated in the overall design.

Landscape - See attached response letter from ETA Landscape Architects.

- The patios at grade and buffer planting is well handled with transition onto street.
- The plaza next to the lobby on the north west corner seems less like a plaza and more like a lobby entry. The emphasis is on building entry and is not inviting to those walking by to engage and sit down. Consider further design development.
- The upper outdoor amenity is an amazing opportunity. Consider enhancing the weather protection with cover trellis that does not reduce day light but additional weather protection.
- Dog fountain could be seating under the trellis.
- Review the streetlights on north side lane in the interim, green lane will be ultimately 12 m with streetlights. The exterior amenity area is well considered and articulated given the space that is provided.
- There may be a bit of a noise conflict between the private patio and exterior spaces. Ensure that planting is provided to buffer the separation.


## CPTED

- No specific issues were identified. CPTED was considered in the development of the design and further enhancements have been incorporated, including better enclosures of parking exits to the courtyard space.


## Sustainability

- Make sure DES is coordinated. The DE servicing map would fall in servicing Area A. District Energy connection has been allowed for in the building design. It is not clear at this time whether or not this building will be serviced by the District Energy system - to be determined.
- Consider energy modelling as early as possible and use it as a design tool. Energy modelling will be developed at detailed design stage once we know for certain which step code level will be required [related to the provision [or not] of district energy.


## Accessibility

- The disabled parking spaces is adequate. The accessible parking is in compliance with the zoning bylaw.
- Consider that the disabled parking stalls be located across from the elevator for easier access. On level P1, the accessible stalls in the visitor parking area [car \& van stalls] have been relocated closer to elevator 4 [across the drive aisle]. The same location has been applied for the accessible stalls on level P2.
- Consider the elevator and entrance call button panel to be accessible. The elevator and entry intercom systems will be designed and installed with consideration of accessibility.
- Consider emergency call buttons in the parking lobbies. Emergency call buttons in the parking lobbies will be provided.
- Recommend that the entrance door be power operated. Power operated door openers will be provided at building entries to enhance accessibility.
- Recommend the ramping not exceed 5\% slope. All ramps and sloped slabs are proposed as less than 5\% slope.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Watt, Architect AIBC AANB MRAIC Registered Architect in the UK, RIBA RIAS Integra Architecture Inc.

1690 West 2nd Avenue
Vancouver. BC. Canada. V6J 1H4
t | 604.683.1456
f| 604.683.1459
w| www.etala.ca

## RESPONSE TO ADP COMMENTS

| Project: | QE Meadows | Project No: | 21823 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Address: | 134 \& 94A Surrey, BC | Date: | 2020.10 .06 |
| Owner: | Porte Communities |  |  |

## RE: ADP meeting Project Review Comments Provided on Thursday, September 24, 2020

General Comments:

1. The patios at grade and buffer planting is well handled with transition onto street. eta response: Thanks for the positive comment
2. The plaza next to the lobby on the north west corner seems less like a plaza and more like a lobby entry. The emphasis is on building entry and is not inviting to those walking by to engage and sit down. Consider further design development.
eta response: Noted \& implemented. Design was further developed at the north west corner plaza to be found more inviting. Please refer to "Detail Plan 1: North Entrance" Zoom in Illustrative plan on Landscape Sheet L0. 3 Illustrative plan - Entrance Amenity, Revision 3, for more details.
3. The upper outdoor amenity is an amazing opportunity. Consider enhancing the weather protection with cover trellis that does not reduce day light but additional weather protection.
eta response: Not implemented. The upper roof outdoor amenity design was kept as submitted, addition of a shade structure on the rooftop will have weight impact on the building structure without a significant result of being used on rainy days. It has been agreed not to be implemented in the follow up meeting with Planning.
4. Dog fountain could be seating under the trellis.
eta response: Further design development for the north west plaza was implemented in the updated design. Please refer to "Detail Plan 1: North Entrance" Zoom in Illustrative plan on Landscape Sheet L0.3 Illustrative plan Entrance Amenity, Revision 3, illustrating the new location of the dog fountain.
5. Review the streetlights on north side lane in the interim, green lane will be ultimately 12 m with streetlights. The exterior amenity area is well considered and articulated given the space that is provided. There may be a bit of a noise conflict between the private patio and exterior spaces. Ensure that planting is provided to buffer the separation.
eta response: Noted. Bollard lighting on north and east side shared walkway has been added. Planting buffer hedging \& trees is already provided to all private patios along raised planters to the north side lane. Please refer to Landscape Sheet L2.0 "Offsite Plan", Revision 3, for more details. \& sheet L3.1 "Materials \& lighting plan.
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## DISTRICT ENERGY SERVICE AREA (SERVICE AREA A \& SERVICE AREA B)


[^0]:    SECTION: East Patio

[^1]:    DETALL: CIP CONCRETE PLANTER ON SLAB

[^2]:    $2 \frac{\text { DETAL: Paver Restraint on Slab }}{\text { Scale } 1: 10}$

[^3]:    3 DETAL:METAL PLANTER

