

City of Surrey ADDITIONAL PLANNING COMMENTS Application No.: 7920-0115-01
Planning Report Date: August 8, 2022

## PROPOSAL:

- Development Variance Permit
to allow stair risers to encroach into the building setbacks for Building $1,2,3,4,9,10$, and 11 and for reduced building setbacks.

LOCATION: $14061-60$ Avenue
14097-6o Avenue
ZONING:
RA
OCP DESIGNATION: Urban
NCP DESIGNATION: Single Family Small Lots and Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 upa


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing to reduce the building setback requirements of the Zoning By-law's General Provisions to allow more than 3 stair risers to be located in the setback and of the Multiple Residential Zone (RM-30) to allow a balcony encroachment.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- At the June 28, 2021 Regular Council -Land Use meeting, the applicant proposed an Amendment to the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." to "Townhouses (25 u.p.a. max)"; rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)"; subdivision to consolidate the two lots; Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems; and a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum west side yard setback and to reduce the minimum streamside setback in order to permit the development of 44 townhouse units. The application was granted Third Reading at the July 12, 2021 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting
- Subsequent to Council consideration and granting Third Reading to the associated Rezoning By-law (No. 20937) and authorization to draft the Development Permit, it was noted that a number of the buildings propose more than three stair risers in the yard setbacks fronting 6oA Avenue, 60 Avenue, and 141 Street, which does not comply with the allowable encroachment for building stair risers in the Zoning By-law. It was also noted that balconies and canopies proposed on 141 Street encroach into the building setback beyond what is permitted by the Zoning By-law.
- The site is significantly sloped from south to north requiring on-site grading to facilitate the intended drive-aisles, building locations and access points. The lot grading for the units fronting 60A Avenue, 60 Avenue, and 141 Street results in the units being situated at a grade that necessitates greater than three risers to be located within the building setback. While the number of stair risers for the majority of units fronting 6o Avenue, 60A Avenue, and 141 Street exceeds three, the risers are considered necessary based on the grade of the site and is considered to have minimal impact on surrounding residents.
- The proposed risers that encroach into the setback are consistent with the appearance of the single-family homes to the east on 6oA Avenue and therefore, the proposed variance will ensure that the townhouse units remain street-oriented and provides for a consistent streetscape along 6oA Avenue.
- The proposed reduced setback for balconies and canopies will create an active street interface along 141 Street and serve as a natural surveillance feature for this street.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7920-0115-01 (Appendix I) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to vary the Zoning By-law to increase the maximum number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 8 for Building 1 , to 8 for Building 2 , to 7 for Building 3, to 8 for Building 4, to 5 for Building 9, to 4 for Building 10 , and to 4 for Building 11; and
(b) to reduce the minimum east side yard on a flanking street setback for a balcony and canopy encroachment from 4.5 metres to 3.4 metres for Building 3, to 3.1 metres for Building 4, to 3.1 metres for Building 8, and to 3.5 metres for Building 9 .

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RA |
| North (Across 6oA Avenue): | Townhouses | Townhouses (25 <br> u.p.a max) | CD (By-law No. <br> $17870)$ |
| East (Across BC Hydro <br> Corridor): | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RF-9C |
| South (Across 6o Avenue): | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots | RF-12, RF-10 |
| West: | Semi-detached <br> residential | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RF-SD |

## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Initial Planning Report dated June 28, 2021.

## Context \& Background

- The subject application was considered by Council at the June 28, 2021, Regular Council Land Use meeting (Appendix II) and was granted Third Reading at the July 12, 2021 Regular Council - Public Hearing meeting.
- At the June 28, 2021 Regular Council -Land Use meeting, the applicant proposed an Amendment to the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." to "Townhouses ( 25 u.p.a. max)"; rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)"; subdivision to consolidate the two lots; Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems; and a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum west side yard setback and to reduce the minimum streamside setback in order to permit the development of 44 townhouse units.
- Subsequent to Council consideration and granting Third Reading to the associated Rezoning By-law (No. 20937) and authorization to draft the Development Permit, it was noted that a number of the buildings propose more than three stair risers in the yard setbacks fronting 60 A Avenue, 60 Avenue, and 141 Street, which does not comply with the allowable encroachment for building stair risers in the Zoning By-law. It was also noted that balconies and canopies proposed on 141 Street encroach into the building setback beyond what is permitted by the Zoning By-law.
- Therefore, the applicant proposes an additional Development Variance Permit to allow more than three stair risers in the setback for buildings fronting 6oA Avenue, 60 Avenue, and 141 Street and to allow balconies and canopies on 141 Street to encroach into the required building setback.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

## Building Setback Variances

- The applicant is requesting the following variances:
(a) to vary the Zoning By-law to increase the maximum number of risers permitted within the setback area from 3 to 8 for Building 1 , to 8 for Building 2 , to 7 for Building 3, to 8 for Building 4, to 5 for Building 9, to 4 for Building 10, and to 4 for Building 11.
(b) to reduce the minimum east side yard on a flanking street setback for a balcony and canopy encroachment from 4.5 metres to 3.4 metres for Building 3, to 3.1 metres for Building 4, to 3.1 metres for Building 8, and to 3.5 metres for Building 9 .
- Part 4 General Provisions of the Zoning By-law specifies a maximum of three stair risers or less may encroach into the building setback area, as measured from existing grade. The applicant proposes to increase the number of permitted stair risers that can encroach into the setback for Buildings 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, and 11 .
- The site is significantly sloped from south to north requiring on-site grading to facilitate the intended drive-aisles, building locations and access points. The lot grading for the units fronting 6oA Avenue, 60 Avenue, and 141 Street results in the units being situated at a grade that necessitates greater than three risers to be located within the building setback.
- The Official Community Plan Development Permit Guidelines for Form and Character (DP1.1) calls for residential units fronting streets to be set a minimum of o. 6 metres and a maximum of 1.2 metres above grade. Based on the grades of the subject site, some building units are set slightly higher than 1.2 metres, thus necessitating a greater number of stair risers to be located within the building setback.
- While the number of stair risers for the majority of units fronting 60 Avenue, 60 A Avenue, and 141 Street exceeds three, the risers are considered necessary based on the grade of the site and is considered to have minimal impact on surrounding residents.
- The reduced setback on 141 Street is intended to facilitate the implementation of balconies and active porches along this street fronting interface. The DP1.1 guidelines call for active uses to face the street. While the front entrances of Buildings 3 and 9 face an alternate street, the side yard flanking street interface ( 141 Street) is made active through the balcony and canopies for porches. The balcony and porches also serve as a natural surveillance feature for 141 Street.
- The proposed layout and form and character is consistent with the layout that was originally considered by Council at the June 28 Regular Council - Land Use meeting.
- Therefore, staff support the requested variances to proceed for consideration.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I Development Variance Permit No. 7920-0115-01
approved by Shawn Low
Jeff Arason
Acting General Manager
Planning and Development

# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7920-0115-01
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: 000-675-806
Lot 22 Section 9 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 36712

> 14061-6o Avenue

Parcel Identifier: 007-343-744
Lot 23 Section 9 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 36712
14097-6o Avenue

> (the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) Section B. 26 (b) "Setbacks" of Part 4 "General Provisions" is varied to increase the number of permitted stair risers within the setback from 3 to 8 for Building 1, to 8 for Building 2, to 7 for Building 3, to 8 for Building 4, to 5 for Building 9 , to 4 for Building 10, and to 4 for Building 11 .
(b) In Part 22, Section F. Yards and Setbacks of the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RF-30)" the east side yard on a flanking street setback for a balcony and canopy is reduced from 4.5 metres to 3.4 metres for Building 3, to 3.1 metres for Building 4, to 3.1 metres for Building 8 , and to 3.5 metres for Building 9 .
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, THE DAY OF , $\mathbf{~ o ~ . ~}$ ISSUED THIS DAY OF , zo .

Mayor - Doug McCallum

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli

Proposed variance to increase the number of permitted stair risers within the setback from 3 to 8 for Building 1, to 8 for Building 2, to 7 for Building 3, to 8 for Building 4, to 5 for Building 9, to 4 for Building 10, and to 4 for Building 11.
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## SCHEDULE A

To reduce the east side yard on a flanking street setback for a balcony and canopy from 4.5 metres to 3.4 metres for Building 3, to 3.1 metres for Building 4, to 3.1 metres for Building 8, and to 3.5 metres for Building 9.
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SITE PLAN

Original Planning Report dated June 28, 2021

|  | City of Surrey <br> PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT <br> Application No.: 7920-0115-00 <br> Planning Report Date: June 28, 2021 <br> PROPOSAL: <br> - NCP Amendment from Single Family Small Lots and Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 upa to Townhouses ( 25 u.p.a max) <br> - Rezoning from RA to RM-30 <br> - Development Permit <br> - Development Variance Permit <br> to permit the development of 44 townhouse units. <br> LOCATION: 14061-6o Avenue 14097-6o Avenue <br> ZONING: <br> RA <br> OCP DESIGNATION: Urban <br> NCP DESIGNATION: Single Family Small Lots and Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 upa |
| :---: | :---: |



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems.
- Approval for Development Variance Permit to proceed to Public Notification.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing an amendment to the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from Single Family Small Lots and Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 upa to Townhouses (25 u.p.a. max).
- Proposing to reduce the west side yard setback of the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)".
- Proposing to reduce the streamside setback of the Class B watercourse.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Urban designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of South Newton.
- The proposal complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Sensitive Ecosystems.
- The proposal generally complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character.
- The proposed reduced west side yard setbacks are considered minimal given this is considered side of unit for both the townhouses and adjacent off-site semi-detached dwellings and will not have a significant impact on the adjacent dwellings.
- Area residents have raised no objections to the proposal.
- The applicant will provide a density bonus amenity contribution consistent with the Tier 2 Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs), in support of the requested increased density.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive architectural built form, which utilizes high quality, natural materials and contemporary lines. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm, as well as between the adjacent semi-detached dwellings.
- Under Development Application No. 7913-0164-oo to the west, a ditch running north/south on 140 Street was relocated as a drainage swale/ditch onto 60A Avenue fronting that development (directly west of the subject site). The swale/ditch is considered to be a Class B ditch and therefore, requires a minimum 7 metre setback in accordance with Part 7 A of the Zoning Bylaw.
- Given that the ditch was man-made and minimally impacts the subject site, a variance to reduce the required streamside setback from 7 metres to 2 metres is considered reasonable.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7920-0115-oo in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I) and the finalized Ecosystem Development Plan.
3. Council approve Development Variance Permit No. 7920-0115-oo (Appendix VI) varying the following, to proceed to Public Notification:
(a) to reduce the minimum west side yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 4.5 metres to 3.0 metres to the building face of Buildings $1,5,6$, and 11 ; and
(b) to reduce the minimum streamside setback for a Class B ditch from 7 metres to 2 metres.
4. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(d) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(f) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) submission of a finalized Ecosystem Development Plan to the satisfaction of City staff;
(h) the applicant provide a density bonus amenity contribution consistent with the Tier 2 Capital Projects CACs in support of the requested increased density, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning and Development Department;
(i) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture;
(k) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Services; and
(l) registration of a combined Statutory Right-of-Way / Section 219 Restrictive Covenant over the designated Streamside Protection Area for both "No Build" and access.
5. Council pass a resolution to amend the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) to redesignate the land from "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." to "Townhouses ( 25 u.p.a max)" when the project is considered for final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | NCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RA |
| North (Across 6oA Avenue): | Townhouses | Townhouses (25 <br> u.p.a. max) | CD (By-law No. <br> $17870)$ |
| East (Across BC Hydro <br> Corridor): | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RF-9C |
| South (Across 6o Avenue): | Single family <br> dwellings | Single Family <br> Small Lots | RF-12, RF-10 |
| West: | Semi-detached <br> residential | Single Family <br> Small Lots and <br> Single Family <br> Residential Flex 6- <br> 14.5 u.p.a. | RF-SD |

## Context \& Background

- The subject 0.92 hectare property consists of two lots (14061 and $14097-60$ Avenue) and is located in South Newton along 6o Avenue and 6oA Avenue.
- The subject site is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP), "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan, and zoned "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)".


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant proposes an Amendment to the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP) from "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." to "Townhouses (25 u.p.a. max)"; rezoning from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)"; subdivision to consolidate the two lots; Development Permit for Form and Character and Sensitive Ecosystems; and a Development Variance Permit to reduce the minimum west side yard setback and to reduce the minimum streamside setback in order to permit the development of 44 townhouse units.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area: | o.92 hectares |
| Road Dedication: | 2,277 square metres |
| Undevelopable Area: | 7 年quare metres |
| Net Site Area: | o.69 hectares |
| Number of Lots: | 1 |
| Building Height: | 13 metres |
| Unit Density: | 48 units per hectare (gross)/64 units per hectare (net) / |
|  | 19 units per acre (gross)/26 units per acre (net) |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | o.96 |
| Floor Area |  |
| Residential: | 6,522 square metres |
| Indoor Amenity | 159 square metres |
| Total: | 6,681 square metres |
| Residential Units: |  |
| 3-Bedroom: | 44 |
| Total: |  |

## Referrals

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Engineering: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { The Engineering Department has no objection to the project } \\
\text { subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as } \\
\text { outlined in Appendix II. }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.

Advisory Design Panel: The application was not referred to the ADP but was reviewed by staff and found satisfactory.

## Transportation Considerations

- The South Newton NCP was endorsed by Council in 1999. In 2004, an amendment to the NCP was approved by Council to incorporate single family small lots (Ro4-3330). This NCP update in 2004 did not accurately reflect the road network required to support single family small lots and as such, additional local roads are required beyond what is shown in the current NCP.
- Based on appropriate block sizing for the proposed lot density, 141 Street is required along the eastern property line, which is consistent with Development Application No. 7914-0314-00.
- In keeping with the priorities identified in the Transportation Strategic Plan to establish a finer grain road network, the Engineering Department previously recognized the need for a new north-south road (141 Street) adjacent to the existing B.C. Hydro corridor.
- A 10 metre road dedication is required on 60 A Avenue in order to achieve an ultimate 20 metre road allowance. A 1.94 metre road dedication is required on 60 Avenue in order to achieve a 12 metre from centre-line ultimate road allowance.
- A single access point to the site is proposed on 141 Street to the east.
- The subject site is approximately 600 metres away from a Frequent Transit Network route on TransLink's Bus Route No. 321, which connects White Rock, Newton Town Centre, and Surrey Central.
- 60 Avenue is designated as an on-street bike route while there is a proposed multi-use pathway within the BC Hydro Corridor to the east of the subject property, which will eventually connect from Highway 10 to the south, to Hyland Road to the north.


## Parkland and/or Natural Area Considerations

- The new 141 Street road connection on the east property line is adjacent to 72 N - Greenbelt parkland, which is situated on a BC Hydro ROW for powerlines. A multi-use pathway on the Hydro ROW provides a valuable pedestrian and cycling connection in the neighbourhood.
- The applicant is proposing to reduce the minimum streamside setback for a Class B ditch. See the Development Variance Permit section for further discussion.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The subject site is designated "General Urban" in the Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) and the proposal complies with this designation.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The subject site is designated "Urban" in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the proposed townhouses comply with the "Urban" designation.


## Themes/Policies

- A1.3 - Accommodate urban land development according to the following order of growth management priorities:
- Serviced infill areas and redevelopment sites in appropriate locations within existing residential neighbourhoods, when developed compatible with existing neighbourhood character.
- A.3.5 - Support infill development that is appropriate in scale and density to its neighbourhood context and that uses compatible design to reinforce neighbourhood character.
(The proposed development is located in a well-serviced area and is compatible in form and character to the adjacent semi-detached and small single-family residential lots across the proposed 141 Street. The proposed townhouses also add to the mix of housing options in this area of South Newton by providing relatively more affordable housing for families.)


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The subject site is designated "Singe Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a" in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).


## Amendment Rationale

- The applicant is proposing an amendment to the South Newton NCP to redesignate the site from "Single Family Small Lots" and "Single Family Residential Flex 6-14.5 u.p.a." to "Townhouses (25 u.p.a. max).
- The South Newton NCP was adopted by Council in June 199 and is reflective of the form of development that was appropriate at that time. Since 1999, densities have steadily increased due to increased cost of land, changing market conditions including housing affordability, and to reflect the form of housing that is appropriate in an urbanizing City. For these reasons, it is necessary to amend the NCP land use designation to accommodate this proposal.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs for proposed density greater than the Secondary Plan designation, as described in the Community Amenity Contribution section of this report.
- The applicant will be required to provide the per unit flat rate for the number of units above the approved Secondary Plan in order to satisfy the proposed Secondary Plan Amendment. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Rezoning Final Adoption ( $\$ 11,250$ per unit if completed between January 1, 2021, and December 31, 2021; and \$15,000 per unit if completed after January 1,2022 ).


## Themes/Objectives

- Provide a mix of housing densities and types ranging from small lot, compact single family lots to well-designed townhouses and multi-storey apartments, to accommodate a range of needs.
(The proposed development will add townhouses to the mix of different housing options in South Newton, which range from single family small lots and semi-detached residential, to townhouses and apartment units.)
- 1.2 - Encourage the development of a comprehensively designed, aesthetically pleasing community to live, work, shop, and play.
(The proposed development is designed to integrate with the adjacent semi-detached dwellings to the west with coordination between buildings, landscaping, and hardscape features that creates an aesthetically pleasing townhouse development.)
- 4.10 - Multi-family developments should be designed to be pedestrian accessible from the public sidewalks.
(The townhouses adjacent to 60 Avenue, 60 A Avenue, and 141 Street are all proposed to have direct pedestrian connections to their fronting streets.)


## Zoning By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "One-Acre Residential Zone (RA)" to "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)".
- The table below provides an analysis of the development proposal in relation to the requirements of the Zoning By-law, including the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RM-30)", streamside setbacks and parking requirements.

| RM-30 Zone (Part 22) | Permitted and/or Required | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 75 units per hectare/30 units per acre | 48 units per hectare (gross)/64 units per hectare (net) / 19 units per acre (gross)/26 units per acre (net) |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.0 | 0.94 |
| Lot Coverage: | 45\% | 42\% |
| Yards and Setbacks |  |  |
| North: | 4.5 metres | 4.5 metres |
| East: | 4.5 metres | 4.5 metres |
| South: | 4.5 metres | 4.5 metres |
| West: |  | /3.0 metres to Buildings 1, <br> 5,6 , and 11 |
| Height of Buildings |  |  |
| Principal buildings: <br> Accessory buildings: | 13 metres 11 metres | 13 metres 9 metres |
| Amenity Space |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: | 132 square metres | The proposed $158.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ meets the Zoning By-law requirement. |


| RM-3o Zone (Part 22) | Permitted and/or <br> Required | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outdoor Amenity: | 132 square metres | The proposed $132 . \mathrm{o} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ <br> meets the Zoning By-law <br> requirement. |
| Streamside (Part 7A) | Required | Proposed |
| Streamside Setbacks | Class B (yellow-coded) Stream: | 7 metres |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required |  |
| Number of Stalls | 88 | 2 metres |
| Residential: | Proposed |  |
| Residential Visitor: | 9 | 88 |
| Total: | 97 | 9 |
| Tandem (\%): | 0 | 97 |

Setback Variance

- The applicant is requesting the following variances:
- to reduce the minimum west side yard setback of the RM-30 Zone from 4.5 metres to 3.0 metres to the building face of Buildings $1,5,6$, and 11 ; and
- to reduce the minimum streamside setback for a Class B ditch from 7 metres to 2 metres.
- The proposed reduced west side yard setback is considered minimal given this is considered side of unit for both the townhouses and adjacent semi-detached dwellings and will not have a significant impact on those adjacent dwellings.
- Under Development Application No. 7913-0164-oo, a ditch running north/south on 140 Street was relocated as a drainage swale/ditch onto 6oA Avenue fronting that development. The swale/ditch is considered to be a Class B ditch and therefore, requires a minimum 7 metre setback in accordance with Part 7A of the Zoning Bylaw.
- The construction of this man-made swale/ditch unintentionally impacted the subject site based on the fact that the 7 metre setback as measured from top-of-bank encumbers a portion of the northwest corner of the property.
- The applicant proposes to reduce the minimum streamside setback for a Class B ditch from 7 metres to 2 metres. In support of this proposal, the applicant submitted an Ecosystem Development Plan and Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) report that has been approved by the Province, indicating that the proposed 2 metre streamside setback complies with the Provincial requirements under $R A P R$.
- Given that the ditch was man-made and minimally impacts the subject site, a variance to reduce the required streamside setback from 7 metres to 2 metres is considered reasonable.
- Staff support the requested variances to proceed for consideration.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Building Permit Issuance ( $\$ 1,500$ per unit if completed by December 31, 2021; and $\$ 2,000$ per unit if completed after January 1, 2022).
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs for proposed density greater than the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan designation.
- The applicant will be required to provide the per unit flat rate for the number of units above the approved Secondary Plan in order to satisfy the proposed Secondary Plan Amendment. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Rezoning Final Adoption ( $\$ 11,250$ per unit if completed between January 1, 2021, and December 31, 2021; and \$15,000 per unit if completed after January $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 2 2}$ ).


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art, or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on November 10, 2021, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on January 12, 2021. Staff received no responses from neighbouring residents.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit Requirement

- The subject property falls within the Sensitive Ecosystems Development Permit Area (DPA) for Streamside Areas in the OCP, given the location of an existing Class B (yellow-coded) ditch which flows along 6oA Avenue fronting the adjacent semi-detached residential lots. The ditch running along 6oA Avenue was relocated here under Development Application No. 7913-0164-oo. The Sensitive Ecosystems (Streamside Areas) Development Permit is required to protect aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems associated with streams from the impacts of development.
- In accordance with Part 7A Streamside Protection setbacks of the Zoning Bylaw, a Class B (yellow-coded) ditch requires a minimum streamside setback of 7 metres, as measured from the top of bank. The proposed setbacks do not comply with the required setbacks in the Zoning Bylaw, however, the 2 metre setback does meet the minimum setback requirements of the Provincial Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR)
- The 7 square metre riparian area will be protected through the registration of a combined Restrictive Covenant/Right-of-Way against the property to ensure safeguarding and maintenance of the Protection Area in perpetuity, in compliance with the OCP.
- An Ecosystem Development Plan, prepared by Ian Whyte R.P. Bio., of Envirowest Consultants Inc. and dated January 26, 2021, was reviewed by staff, and found to be generally acceptable, with some modifications to content and format of the report still required. An independent peer review of the Ecosystem Development Plan will be required. The finalized report and recommendations will be incorporated into the Development Permit.


## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the South Newton Neighbourhood Concept Plan (NCP).
- The applicant has worked with staff to resolve interface issues with the units fronting 60 Avenue, 60A Avenue, and 141 Street in order to better integrate with the adjacent semidetached residential buildings, and to ensure units fronting 141 Street were accessible from that sidewalk.
- The site consists of 11 townhouse buildings all comprised of three-bedroom units. Buildings 6, 7 , and 8 are proposed as back-to-back units with a minimum of $50 \%$ of the back-to-back units having access to side of unit natural light.
- All units are proposed with double car side-by-side garages. The back-to-back units are proposed to have roof-top decks whereas all other units have front yards.
- The buildings fronting 6oA Avenue and 60 Avenue are oriented toward the street with front doors and habitable rooms lining the street frontage. The units adjacent to 141 Street are enhanced with front door access directly to 141 Street, thereby increasing the street presence.
- Building materials include grey ledgestone to humanize the ground floor, with hardie panel siding in a variety of complimentary colours, including brown ("Mountain Cedar"), white ("Arctic White"), and grey ("Aged Pewter").


## Landscaping

- The landscaping includes a mix of trees, shrubs, and ground cover.
- 40 trees are proposed to be planted within the project, including a mixture of Japanese Maple, Nootka Cypress, and Magnolia.
- Other plantings include a variety of shrubs, grasses and ground covers that are used to soften the hard surfaces on the site and help define the transitions between private and semi-private spaces.
- Decorative pavers are proposed at the driveway entrance as well as along all pedestrian crossings over the drive-aisle in order to delineate pedestrian movements on the site.


## Indoor Amenity

- The proposed indoor amenity building is two-storeys with a second storey balcony that includes a kitchen and washroom. Programming of the space has not been identified at this time.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The proposed outdoor amenity area includes play structures, a picnic table, and landscape features.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, and which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project. These generally include further resolution of the public realm interfaces, including entries, landscape and amenity features.
- The applicant has been provided a detailed list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## TREES

- Peter Mennel, ISA Certified Arborist of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 2 | 2 | o |
| Cherry | 1 | 1 | o |
| Bitter Cherry | 2 | 2 | o |
| Fruiting Cherry | 2 | 2 | o |
| Pacific Dogwood | 2 | 2 | o |
| Holly | 1 | 1 | o |
| Fig | 1 | 1 | o |
| Pin Oak | 1 | 1 | o |
| Bigleaf Maple | 3 | 3 | o |
| Trembling Aspen | 1 | 1 | o |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Western Red Cedar | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| Douglas Fir | 6 | 6 | o |
| Falsecypress Sawara | 1 | 1 | O |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 83 | 83 | o |
| Additional Trees in the proposed Open Space | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 40 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 41 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there is a total of eight-three (83) mature trees on the site. There are no Alder or Cottonwood trees on the site. It was determined that no trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- Table 1 includes an additional six (6) protected trees, one of which is to be retained, that are located within the proposed open space, otherwise known as the BC Hydro Corridor/72 N Greenbelt. The remaining trees within the proposed open space require removal due to conflicts with the proposed construction of 141 Street and/or their current health.
- A detailed planting plan prepared by a Registered Professional Biologist (R.P. Bio.) is required for the monitoring and maintenance of the proposed trees to be planted in the conveyed riparian area.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 2 to 1 replacement ratio for all trees. This will require a total of one-hundred and seventy-six (176) replacement trees on the site. Since only forty (40) replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of one-hundred and thirty-six (136) replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 54,400$ representing $\$ 400$ per tree, to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Katsura, Magnolia, and Dogwood.
- In summary, a total of forty (40) trees are proposed to be replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 54,400$ to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:
Appendix I. Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective
Appendix II. Engineering Summary
Appendix III. School District Comments
Appendix IV. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation
Appendix V. NCP Plan
Appendix VI. Development Variance Permit No. 7920-0115-oo
approved by Shawn Low

Jean Lamontagne
General Manager
Planning and Development
KS/cm
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department
FROM: Development Engineer, Engineering Department
DATE: April 15, $2021 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7820-0115-00
RE: $\quad$ Engineering Requirements (Multi-Family)
Location: 14061 \& 14097 6o Avenue

## REZONE AND SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 1.942 m along 6o Avenue;
- Dedicate 10.0 m along 60A Avenue;
- Dedicate 13.25 m along the east property line of the subject development;
- Dedicate required corner cuts; and
- Register 0.5 m statutory right-of-way along 60 Avenue, 60 A Avenue and 141 Street.


## Works and Services

- Construct the north side of 60 Avenue;
- Construct the south side of 6oA Avenue;
- Construct 141 Street;
- Construct a sanitary main along 6oA Avenue;
- Remove the existing lane located on City Parks lot and reinstate with a multi-use path;
- Provide an-adequately sized sanitary, storm and water service connection to service the development. Abandon all existing service connections no longer required;
- Register restrictive covenants for the installation and maintenance of on-site mitigation features and water quality/ sediment control system as required through detailed design; and
- Pay Latecomers (\#5814-0345-002, \#5814-0067-00-1, \#5809-0135-00-1, \#5711-0247-00-2, \#5914-0345-00-2 and \#5914-0067-00-1) and DCC Frontender Agreements (\#8312-0328-00-1)

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone and Subdivision. A processing fee of \$27,814.50 is required.

## NCP AMENDMENT/DEVELOPMENT PERMIT \& DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the NCP Amendment, Development Permit \& Development Variance Permit beyond those noted above.


Jeff Pang, P.Eng.
Development Engineer
SC

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

APPLICATION \#: $\quad 20011500$ Revised June 2021

## SUMMARY

The proposed 44 townhouse units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:
Projected enrolment at Surrey School District for this development:

| Elementary Students: | 11 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Secondary Students: | 6 |

September 2020 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Woodward Hill Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $85 \mathrm{~K}+629$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+606$ |
|  |  |
| Sullivan Heights Secondary | 1604 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1000 |
| Capacity (8-12): | 1700 |
| Addition Capacity (8-12) 2022: |  |



Population: The projected population of children aged 0-19 Impacted by the development.
Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.
School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry
capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.
Woodward Hill was open in 2010 to deal with in-catchment demand in the South Newton Area. As
of September 2018, a new 6 classroom addition opened. Even with the new addition, school
enrolment for this September was still larger than the "new" capacity and therefore, 4 portables
were required to remain on site to accommodate additional enrolling divisions As an enrollment
management strategy, Woodward Hill is also reducing their French Immersion program intake to
one kindergarten class per year until further notice, which started September 2017. This will make
more regular stream space available to meet in-catchment demand.
Enrolment pressure will continue in this area for the next 10 years. Consequently, In the District
$2021 / 22$ Five Year Capital Plan submission to the Ministry of Education, the district is asking for a a
250 capacity addition to McLeod Road School and run a dual stream program.
There is currently extreme enrollment pressure at Sullivan Heights Secondary. The school
enrollment has been capped since 2016/17. In early summer 2018, the Ministry approved funding
for a 700 addition to move into design and construction. The new addition will be open September
2021.

## Woodward Hill Elementary



## Sullivan Heights Secondary



* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students.

Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

## Tree Preservation Summary

Surrey Project No: 20-0115-00
Address: 1406114097 - 60 Avenue, Surrey
Registered Arborist: Peter Mennel

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets <br> and lanes, including trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 89 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 88 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained <br> (including trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) |  |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> 0 one (1) $=0$ | 1 |
| - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> 88 |  |
| Replacement Trees Proposed 176 |  |$\quad 176$


| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio 0 X one (1) $=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \times$ two (2) $=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | NA |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | NA |

Summary report and plan prepared and submitted by: Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.

|  | Seter Mmen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Signature of Arborist: | Dane 2, 2021 |




# DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT 

NO.: 7920-0115-00
Issued To:
(the "Owner")

Address of Owner:

1. This development variance permit is issued subject to compliance by the Owner with all statutes, by-laws, orders, regulations, or agreements, except as specifically varied by this development variance permit.
2. This development variance permit applies to that real property including land with or without improvements located within the City of Surrey, with the legal description and civic address as follows:

Parcel Identifier: ooo-675-806
Lot 22 Section 9 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 36712
14061-6o Avenue
Parcel Identifier: 007-343-744
Lot 23 Section 9 Township 2 New Westminster District Plan 36712

> 14097-6o Avenue
(the "Land")
3. (a) As the legal description of the Land is to change, the City Clerk is directed to insert the new legal description for the Land once title(s) has/have been issued, as follows:

Parcel Identifier:
(b) If the civic address(es) change(s), the City Clerk is directed to insert the new civic address(es) for the Land, as follows:
4. Surrey Zoning By-law, 1993, No. 12000, as amended is varied as follows:
(a) In Part 22, Section F. Yards and Setbacks of the "Multiple Residential 30 Zone (RF-30)" the west side yard setback is reduced from 4.5 metres to 3.0 metres to the building face of Buildings $1,5,6$, and 11 ; and
(b) In Part 7A, Section B. Streamside Setback Areas, the minimum setback for a Class $B$ ditch is reduced from 7 metres to 2 metres.
5. This development variance permit applies to only that portion of the buildings and structures on the Land shown on Schedule A which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit. This development variance permit does not apply to additions to, or replacement of, any of the existing buildings shown on attached Schedule A, which is attached hereto and forms part of this development variance permit.
6. The Land shall be developed strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this development variance permit.
7. This development variance permit shall lapse if the Owner does not substantially start any construction with respect to which this development variance permit is issued, within two (2) years after the date this development variance permit is issued.
8. The terms of this development variance permit or any amendment to it, are binding on all persons who acquire an interest in the Land.
9. This development variance permit is not a building permit.


Mayor - Doug McCallum

City Clerk - Jennifer Ficocelli



