

City of Surrey

PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT
Application No.:
7921-0223-00
Planning Report Date: April 3, 2023

## PROPOSAL:

- Rezoning from RM-D and RF to CD (based on RM70) and C-5)
- Development Permit
to permit the development of a six-storey mixed-use apartment building consisting of 106 residential units and 1,088 square metres of commercial floor area on the ground floor.
LOCATION
2301-152 Street
2313-152 Street
2337-152 Street
2315-152 Street

ZONING: RM-D and RF
OCP DESIGNATION: Town Centre
TCP DESIGNATION: Low-Rise Mixed Use


## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- Proposing to reduce the building setback requirements of the RM-7o Zone as part of a Comprehensive Development Zone.


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Town Centre designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The proposal complies with the Low-Rise Mixed Use designation in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan (TCP).
- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of Semiahmoo Town Centre.
- The proposed development is along a Frequent Transit Network and conforms to the goal of achieving higher density development near a transit corridor.
- The proposal generally complies with the Development Permit requirements in the OCP for Form and Character.
- The proposed setbacks to 152 Street achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan (TCP) and in accordance with the Development Permit (Form and Character) design guidelines in the OCP.
- While area residents have raised some objections to the proposal as it relates to the interface with the adjacent neighbourhood, building height, and traffic, the proposed setbacks to the existing singe family neighbourhood and the proposed building height generally comply with the sensitive interface and building height policy in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan, while traffic modelling as part of the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan anticipated this type of development.
- The proposed building achieves an attractive architectural built form with its central midmassing relief and stepped building form that follows the natural topography. The building is wrapped with a mix of high quality cladding and natural materials. The street interface has been designed to a high quality to achieve a positive urban experience between the proposed building and the public realm while the western interface to the existing single family has been sensitively designed through building step backs and architectural materials that limit the buildings apparent massing.
- The applicant has achieved retention of significant trees along the southern boundary, preserving the natural character of this neighbourhood.
- The applicant proposes to meet the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan policy for adaptable units and exceed the policy for family-oriented housing.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site and a portion of the lane as shown as Block A on the attached Survey Plan (Appendix I) from "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)" and "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" [based on "Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70)" and "Neighbourhood Commercial Zone (C-5)"] and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7921-0223-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix II).
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) completion of the road closure and acquisition for a portion of the lane;
(d) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(e) submission of a finalized landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(g) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) provision of cash-in-lieu contribution to satisfy the indoor amenity space requirement of the RM-7o Zone, at the rate in effect at the time of Final Adoption
(i) submission of an acoustical report for the units adjacent to 152 Street and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures; and
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture and with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy and Tier 1 Capital Project CACs, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Department.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | TCP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Vacant | Low-Rise Mixed <br> Use | RF and RM-D |
| North (Across lane): | Gas station and car <br> wash | Low-Rise Mixed <br> Use | CD |
| East (Across 152 Street): | Single family <br> dwellings | Low-Rise Mixed <br> Use | RF |
| South: | White Rock <br> Christian Academy | Civic | PA-2 |
| West (Across lane): | Single family <br> dwellings | Low Density <br> Residential | RF |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site consists of four single family residential lots with a combined area of 3,331 square metres ( 0.33 hectares), located within the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan. The properties are located near the northern boundary of 24 Avenue in the Plan area.
- Three of the four properties are zoned "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and one property is zoned "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)". The properties are designated Town Centre in the Official Community Plan, and "Low-Rise Mixed Use" in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan.
- The subject site is located across a lane to the west by single family dwellings. To the south is White Rock Christian Academy school.
- The subject site has previously been utilized by White Rock Christian Academy for overflow classrooms that were approved through Temporary Use Permit No. 7907-0103-oo and again under Temporary Use Permit No. 7911-0108-oo. The site is currently vacant.
- The Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan update process started in July 2018, during which a new application on the subject property was considered by staff for a proposed OCP Amendment, rezoning, and Development Permit to permit the development of 24 townhouse units under Development Application No. 7917-0405-oo. In March 2020, the Stage 1 Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan was approved by Council, and the subject site was designated for Low-Rise Mixed Use uses. The owners closed Development Application No. 7917-0405-00 and made the new subject application, which is in keeping with the updated Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant is proposing the following:
- Road closure, purchase, and consolidation of a portion of the north-south lane;
- Rezoning from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" and "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" based on "Multiple Residential Zone (RM-70)" and "Neighbourhood Commercial Zone (C-5)";
- Consolidation of all four lots (including the purchased portion of lane) into a single development site; and
- Development Permit for Form and Character

To permit the development of a six-storey mixed-use residential/commercial apartment building consisting of 106 residential units and 1,088 square metres of commercial floor area at the ground floor.

- Due to a late change to the site planning requirements, the applicant has revised the site plan to address City requirements. The applicant has committed to resolving associated site planning and design issues prior to Final Approval, should the application be supported by Council.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed |  |
| Lot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area (Existing): | 3,331 square metres |
| Road Dedication: | 470 square metres |
| Lane Purchase Area: | 448 square metres |
| Gross Site Area (for FAR): | 3,779 square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 1 |
| Building Height: | 21.2 metres |
| Unit Density: | n/a |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 2.27 |
| Floor Area | 7,748 square metres |
| Residential: | 1,088 square metres |
| Commercial: | 8,836 square metres |
| Total: |  |
| Residential Units: |  |
| Micro: | 2 |
| Studio: | 5 |
| 1-Bedroom/1-Bedroom + den: | $59 / 2$ |
| 2-Bedroom/2-Bedroom + | $\mathbf{1 3 / 1 3}$ |
| den: |  |
| 3-Bedroom/3-Bedroom + den: | $7 / 2$ |
| 4-Bedroom: | 3 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ |

## Referrals

Engineering: The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

School District:

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

The School District has advised that there will be approximately 13 school-age children generated by this development, of which the School District has provided the following expected student enrollment.

8 Elementary students at H.T. Thrift Elementary School 3 Secondary students at Semiahmoo Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

Note that the number of school-age children is greater than the expected enrollment due to students attending private schools, home school or different school districts.

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by Summer 2026.

No concerns.
The closest active park is Bakerview Park, which contains sports fields, walking trails, a playground, and a natural area, and is 985 metres away. Future active parkland is proposed within 350 metres of the subject site as part of the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan (ТСР).

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.
Advisory Design Panel: The proposal was considered at the ADP meeting on December 15, 2022, and was conditionally supported. The applicant has resolved most of the outstanding items from the ADP review as outlined in the Development Permit section of this report. Any additional revisions will be completed prior to Council's consideration of Final Adoption of the rezoning by-law, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## Transportation Considerations

- The applicant is required to dedicate and construct the following improvements along the site's frontage:
- 152 Street sidewalk improvements; and
- Expanded commercial east-west lane at the northern boundary.
- The applicant proposes to close and purchase a portion of the existing north-south lane at the western boundary of the property and consolidate with the lands within the site. This portion of lane proposed to be closed is considered surplus to the City's needs. The applicant is required to complete a road closure and acquisition application prior to Final Adoption of the associated Rezoning By-law and issuance of the Development Permit, to purchase the subject portion of the north-south lane.


## Traffic Impacts

- According to industry standard rates, the proposal is anticipated to generate approximately one to two vehicle trips every minute during the peak hour. A transportation impact analysis was not required as the proposal is below the City's minimum threshold for requiring a sitespecific assessment.
- The proposal is also consistent with the land use designation in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan. As part of the land use planning process for the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan, a transportation impact analysis was conducted to evaluate the overall traffic impacts of redevelopment throughout the Plan area and to inform the infrastructure improvements to support the planned growth. Overall, the road network and infrastructure improvements identified within the Plan is anticipated to adequately accommodate the projected growth associated with the subject development and land use intensification in the area.


## Access

- The subject development is proposed to be accessed via a single driveway from the east-west portion of the existing lane. The lane is proposed to be widened to meet the standards for a commercial lane.


## Parking

- According to the Zoning By-law, 200 stalls are required to be provided on site based on the proposed number and type of residential units and amount of commercial floor area. The applicant is proposing to provide a total of 213 stalls on site, exceeding the Zoning By-law requirement.
- According to the Zoning By-law, 127 secured bicycle parking spaces are required for the residential component. The applicant is proposing to provide 130 secured bicycling parking spaces, exceeding the Zoning By-law requirement.


## Alternative Transportation Modes

- The site is located along 152 Street, which forms part of the Frequent Transit Network, and is within 100 metres of an accessible bus stop at 152 Street and 24 Avenue. The following TransLink bus routes service the site:
○ No. 321: Surrey Central Station/White Rock Centre
○ No. 345: King George Station/White Rock Centre
o No. 351: White Rock Centre/Bridgeport Station
o No. 375: White Rock South/Guildford
o No. 394: White Rock Centre/King George Station
o No. 531: White Rock Centre/Willowbrook
o No. 855: Elgin Park Special
- The Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan calls for a new Rapid Transit/RapidBus stop at the intersection of 24 Avenue and 160 Street, which is less than 100 metres from the subject site.
- A finer-grained cycling network is proposed to be achieved as part of the transportation strategy in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan, with an integrated network of cycling connections on collector and local roads within the vicinity of the subject site.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.
- In addition, the applicant has highlighted that the roofing material will have a Solar Reflectance Index of a minimum value of 75 to reduce the urban heat island effect and meet the Climate Adaptation Strategy policy.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The subject property is designated "General Urban" in the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy. The proposal complies with this designation.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The subject property is designated "Town Centre" in the Official Community Plan.


## Themes/Policies

- The proposed development is consistent with several OCP Themes and Policies, including:
- Growth Management:
- Growth Priorities: Support compact and efficient land development that is consistent with the Metro Vancouver Regional Growth Strategy (RGS).
- Accommodating Higher Density: Direct residential and mixed-use development into Surrey's City Centre, Town Centres, along Frequent Transit Corridors and in approved Secondary Plan areas, at densities sufficient to encourage commercial development and transit service expansion.
- Sensitive Infill: Encourage local neighbourhood commercial centres and associated local gathering places to appropriately locate within existing neighbourhoods in order to increase walking and cycling options and contribute to neighbourhood character.
- Centres, Corridors and Neighbourhoods:
- Distinctive Town Centres: Plan Surrey's Town Centres to accommodate a wide range of households.
- Transit Corridors: Encourage development that supports increased transit, pedestrian, and cycle use along existing or planned Frequent Transit Corridors.
- Healthy Neighbourhoods: Plan and design urban neighbourhoods with sufficient densities to support a high-quality transit system that is accessible to most residents.
- Urban Design: Ensure new development responds to the existing architectural character and scale of its surroundings, creating compatibility between adjacent sites and within neighbourhoods.


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal complies with the intent of the Low-Rise Mixed Use designation in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan. The proposal also complies with the 6 -storey TCP building height strategy and sensitive interfaces.


## Themes/Objectives

- The proposed development is consistent with several Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan goals, policies, and design guidelines, including:
- Sensitive Interfaces: The proposal provides a step back in massing away from the single family residences to the west.
- Building Height: While the building height exceeds the 20 metres noted in the TCP, the building is six storeys in keeping with the Plan.
- Family Oriented Housing: The applicant proposes approximately $36 \%$ of units as 2 or more bedrooms, including $11 \%$ as three or more bedrooms, meeting the Family Oriented Housing policy.
- Active Street Frontage: The proposed CD By-law limits the types of uses of the ground floor commercial uses to those types of businesses that would be more active (i.e., retail, eating establishments etc.) while restricting less active uses such as general service uses (i.e., banks) and office uses.


## CD By-law

- The applicant is proposing a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" to accommodate a proposed six-storey mixed use building on the subject site. The proposed CD By-law for the proposed development site identifies the uses, densities and setbacks proposed. The CD Bylaw will have provisions based on the "Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70)" and the "Neighbourhood Commercial Zone (C-5)".
- A comparison of the density, lot coverage, setbacks, building height and permitted uses in the RM-7o Zone, C-5 Zone and the proposed CD By-law is illustrated in the following table:

| Zoning | RM-7o Zone (Part 24) | C-5 Zone (Part 35) | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.50 | 0.50 | 2.27 |
| Lot Coverage: | 33\% | 50\% | 46.5\% |
| Yards and Setbacks | Front yard (east): <br> Block A: 7.5 m <br> Rear yard (west): <br> Block A: 7.5 m <br> Side yard (north): <br> Block A: 7.5 m <br> Side yard (south): <br> Block A: 7.5 m | Front yard (east): <br> Block A: 7.5 m Rear yard (west): <br> Block A: 7.5 m <br> Side yard (north): <br> Block A: 7.5 m <br> Side yard (south): <br> Block A: 7.5 m | Front yard (east): <br> Block A: 3.0 m <br> Storeys 3 and above: 5.0 m <br> Rear yard (west): <br> Block A: 12.0 m <br> Storeys 3 and above: 13.5 m <br> *6 metre setback at the northwest corner of the site where the drive-aisle meanders. <br> Side yard (north): <br> Block A: 4.5 m <br> Storeys 3 and above: 5.2 m <br> Side yard (south): <br> Block A: 5.1 m <br> Storeys 3 and above: 7.6 m |
| Principal Building Height: | 50 metres | 9 metres | 21.6 metres |
| Permitted Uses: | Principal Uses <br> - Multiple unit residential buildings <br> Accessory Uses <br> - Child care centres | Principal Uses <br> - Retail stores <br> - Personal service uses <br> - Eating establishments <br> - Neighbourhood pub <br> - Office uses <br> - Indoor recreational facilities <br> - Community services <br> - Child care centres Accessory Uses <br> - Caretaker unit | Principal Uses <br> - Multiple unit residential buildings <br> Accessory Uses <br> Restricted to ground floor <br> - Retail stores <br> - Personal service uses <br> - Eating establishments <br> - Neighbourhood pub <br> - Entertainment uses <br> - Child care centres |
| Amenity Space |  |  |  |
| Indoor Amenity: | 3.0 sq.m. per unit \& 4.0 sq . m. per micro unit | n/a | The proposed $245 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+$ CIL of \$37,500 meets the Zoning By-law requirement. |
| Outdoor Amenity: | 3.0 sq.m. per unit \& 4.0 sq. m. per micro unit | n/a | The proposed 517 square metres exceeds the Zoning By-law requirement. |
| Parking (Part 5) |  | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |  |


| Commercial: | 33 | 33 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residential: | 146 | 159 |
| Residential Visitor: | 21 | 21 |
| Total: | 200 | 213 |
| Bicycle Spaces | 127 | 130 |
| Residential Secure Parking: |  |  |
| Residential Visitor: |  |  |

- The CD Zone proposes a higher floor area ratio (FAR) at 2.27 relative to the 1.5 FAR permitted under the RM-7o Zone. The proposed 2.27 FAR is consistent with the site's Low-Rise Mixed Use designation in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan.
- The RM-7o Zone has a maximum lot coverage of $33 \%$ which is appropriate for taller built forms with smaller building footprints. The proposed $46.5 \%$ lot coverage for the site is appropriate for the proposed six-storey building.
- The reduced setbacks on 152 Street are in keeping with the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan where an active commercial frontage is sought. The rear yard (west) setback is greater than the RM-7o Zone or C-5 Zone rear yard setback but is consistent with the sensitive interface guidelines for properties adjacent to existing single family uses.
- The CD Zone proposes a lower building height at 21.6 metres relative to the building height of 50.0 metres permitted by the RM-70 Zone. The proposed building height reflects the applicant's proposal for a six-storey building and while it is marginally taller than the 20 metres identified in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan, it remains consistent with the maximum six storeys permitted in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan building heights strategy.
- The Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan identifies commercial and mixed-use areas where either active uses or less active uses are sought. The subject site is identified for active uses. Active uses are intended for smaller format retail units that animate the public realm. Accordingly, the CD By-law eliminates certain less active uses such as general service uses (i.e., banks), and office uses.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Final Subdivision Approval. The current rate is $\$ \mathbf{2}$, ooo per new unit.
- The applicant will be required to provide the square footage rate for the floor area that is achieved above the current Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan designation in order to satisfy the
bonus density. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Rezoning Final Adoption. The current fee for South Surrey is $\$ 30$ per square foot, for apartments.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per new unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on January 1, 2022 and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on March 10, 2023. Staff received ten responses from neighbouring residents, nine of who were in opposition and one who sought additional information. The concerns noted by residents are outlined below (staff comments in italics):
- Several residents expressed opposition to the building height.
(The proposed six storey mixed use building is a departure from the current character of this part of Semiahmoo Town Centre, however, the proposal is consistent with the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan and its building height strategy for the Low-Rise Mixed Use designation. The building is stepped back by 1.5 metres beginning at level four to reduce the appearance of massing along the western edge. Moreover, the applicant proposes to retain the large stand of coniferous trees at the southern boundary of the site, which serve as a buffer).
- Some residents expressed concern that the proposal would result in increased traffic, particularly due to the commercial use and that parking was insufficient.
(The proposed mixed use building was anticipated by the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan. Through the Plan process, staff undertook a transportation analysis to determine traffic impacts resulting from build-out across the plan area and found that, the road network, with associated improvements identified through the Plan, is able to accommodate the anticipated growth in demand associated with development and land use intensification across the Plan area. The applicant proposes to meet the minimum parking requirements. The proposed development will also facilitate future transit and active transportation improvements by making investments in alternative transportation modes more viable).
- Some residents expressed opposition to the proposed commercial use.
(The proposed ground floor commercial component is consistent with the Low-Rise Mixed Use designation in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan. The Plan identifies 152 Street for commercial uses from 16 Avenue to 24 Avenue and serves as a "main-street" for the neighbourhood).
- One resident expressed concern with the proposed building design.
(The building design is contemporary yet aligned with the existing and planned character in Semiahmoo Town Centre. The brick base rises up beyond the commercial component providing an attractive and grounded building while minimizing the massing of the building. The building was presented at the December 15, 2022 Advisory Design Panel meeting where it received Conditional Support).
- One resident expressed opposition to the proposed road (north-south lane) closure.
(The existing lane is ancillary to the required access for the single family residences to the west and does not serve its purpose for the proposed development. The portion of lane that runs east-west will remain and provide ultimate access to the subject development and future development to the north. This portion of lane will be widened to the commercial lane standard of 8 metres).
- The subject development application was reviewed by the Little Campbell Watershed Society and the Semiahmoo Residents Association. No comments from either group were provided.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan (TCP).
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan (TCP).
- The applicant is proposing a six-storey mixed-use apartment building consisting of 106 residential units and 1,088 square metres of commercial floor area at grade.
- The applicant has worked with staff to:
- provide a building design that is aligned with the Semiahmoo TCP;
- provide a sensitive interface between the proposed building and the adjacent single family residences to the west;
- to retain a stand of coniferous trees along the southern boundary; and
- to meet the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan policy for adaptable units and familyoriented units.
- The design of the building is contemporary. The building is well-articulated with a palette of materials and colours, which provides for visual interest on the facades. Levels five and six are recessed, which has the effect of reducing the massing along 152 Street. Similarly, levels four and above are recessed on the north, south, and west elevations, which has the added benefit of achieving a sensitive interface to the existing single family residences to the west.
- The site plan proposes a significant west yard setback of 12 metres for levels $1-3$ and 13.5 metres for levels 4 and above. A 4.5 m landscape buffer along the western boundary is also provided to buffer the proposed use from the single family residences.
- The applicant proposes to retain five on-site trees and two off-site trees at the southern boundary, all of which are significantly-sized Douglas Fir trees.
- The applicant proposes all residential units to be adaptable meeting the adaptable housing policy in the TCP. Similarly, the applicant proposes 38 units with at least 2-bedrooms, including 12 of which are 3 or more bedrooms, meeting the $30 \%$ family-oriented housing policy ( $10 \%$ of which are to be 3 or more bedrooms) in the TCP.
- The proposed residential entrance is in the southeast corner of the building, where there is a lobby area, and also a mail pick-up area. The design incorporates CPTED principles including landscape design that allows clear and unobstructed views, visible and well-lit walkways and entries, and glazed doors and windows.
- The applicant is proposing to provide three levels of underground parking, which will provide the majority of parking for the development. The underground parkade is accessed via the southwest side of the building via a drive-aisle that connects to the east-west lane. An additional ten stalls are provided at grade along the west façade, and are screened from view along 152 Street. The at grade parking will provide additional convenience for users of the commercial space.
- High quality materials and careful detailing are used to achieve a high-quality building treatment. The proposed exterior materials include brick (black), fibre cement-panel siding (grey and beige), and black window treatments.
- The frontage of the building will consist of seven ground floor commercial retail units (CRU) oriented toward 152 Street with large window exposure. The CRUs are anticipated to range in size from 110 square metres to 191 square metres. The units can be combined to create larger commercial/retail units at the time of a tenant improvement/building permit application if desired.
- The proposed residential units range in size from 34 square metres for two micro units, to 112 square metres for a four bedroom unit. Studio units area approximately 41 square metres, 1 bedroom and 1 bedroom plus den units range from approximately 45 to 60 square metres, 2 bedroom and 2 bedroom plus den units range from 65 square metres to 91 square metres, while 3 bedroom and 3 bedroom plus den units range from 79 to 110 square metres.


## Signage

- The applicant is proposing fascia signage and under canopy blade signs for the ground floor commercial retail units. All signage will need to comply with the Sign By-law at the time of a Sign Permit. All signage for the CRUs is to be on the east elevation (152 Street) and no signage, other than addressing, is permitted on the west elevation.


## Landscaping

- The landscape plan proposes a total of 19 trees to be planted on the site and a significant number of shrubs and ground cover species.
- The 152 Street commercial frontage will have a split sidewalk design, comprised of a walkway adjacent to the storefronts, flanked with alternating in-ground shrubbed planters, landscape furnishing (seating and bicycle racks) and paved walk-throughs to the City sidewalk. Walkways on private property will feature specialty paving.
- The applicant is proposing a 4.5 metre landscape buffer along the western boundary to buffer the mixed use development from the adjacent single family residences. The landscaping in this buffer consists of a collection of coniferous trees and shrubs and ground cover.


## Indoor Amenity

- The total required indoor amenity space is 320 square metres while the applicant is providing a total of 245 square metres plus a $\$ 37,500$ contribution to account for the shortfall, thereby meeting the requirements of the Zoning By-law. The proposed 245 square metres represents $76 \%$ of the total required floor area. The applicant will provide cash-in-lieu to address the shortfall.
- The indoor amenity space is located on the sixth floor and is adjacent to the proposed outdoor amenity area. The indoor amenity space is divided into two rooms, one designed for a lounge and the other for a gym.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The total required outdoor amenity space is 320 square metres while the applicant is providing a total of 517 square metres, thus exceeding the requirements.
- The outdoor amenity space is located entirely on the rooftop and is proposed to include children's play equipment, a barbeque area, garden plots, and lounge areas.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, and which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project. These generally include:
- Resolving the commercial storefront façade window glazing and mechanical ventilation design to maximize open glazing for storefront experience;
- Resolving landscaping design along 152 Street to facilitate pedestrian permeability and compatible landscape with in-ground planters and furnishing arrangements; and
- Resolution of urban design issues resulting from detailed coordination with architectural, landscape and civil designs.
- The applicant has been provided a detailed list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## TREES

- Daniel Romaine, ISA Certified Arborist of van der Zalm \& Associates Consulting Inc. prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Green Ash | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Norway Maple | 1 | O | 1 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Blue Spruce | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Douglas Fir | 5 | O | 5 |
| Lawson Cypress | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Norway Spruce | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Western Red Cedar | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Total (excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) | 28 | 19 | 9 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) |  | 19 |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees |  | 28 |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program |  | \$10,450 |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 28 mature trees on the site, and no Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 9 trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 2 to 1 replacement ratio. This will require a total of 38 replacement trees on the site. Since only 19 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 19 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 10,450$ representing $\$ 550$ per tree to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Shore Pine, Green Select Pine, and Douglas Fir.
- In summary, a total of 28 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 10,450$ to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

Appendix I. Block Plan<br>Appendix II. Site Plan, Building Elevations, Landscape Plans and Perspective<br>Appendix III. Engineering Summary<br>Appendix IV. School District Comments<br>Appendix V. Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation<br>Appendix VI. ADP Comments and Response

approved by Shawn Low

Don Luymes
General Manager
Planning and Development
KS/ar

SURVEY PLAN TO ACCOMPANY CITY OF SURREY REZONING BYLAW No: - OF: LOT A PLAN 20446, AND LOT 16, 17 AND 18 PLAN 72921, ALL OF SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 NWD

FOR REZONING PURPOSES

| $\angle E G E N D$  <br> ha DENOTES HECTARES <br> $m^{2}$ DENOTES SQUARE METRES | SCALE |
| :--- | :--- |

The intended plot size of this plan is 432 mm in width and 280 mm in height ( $B$ size) when plotted at a scale of 1:500.


Property boundary dimensions shown hereon,
are derived from field survey.
CERTIFIED CORRECT
DATED THIS 28TH DAY OF MARCH, 2023.

## SIX - STOREY MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT

2337, 2315,2313 \& 2301 152ND ST. SURREY, BRITISH COLUMBIA

## PROJECT INFO

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
LOT 18 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921 NWD
LOT A AECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP 20446 NWD LOT A SECTION 15 TOWNSHP 1 PLAN NWP 20446 NWD
LOT 17 SECCION 15 TOWNHP 1 PLLAN NWPT292 NWD
LOT 16 SECTON 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921 NWD CIVIC ADDRESS:
2337, 2315, $2313 \& 2301$ 152nd STREET
SURREY, BRITISH COLUMBIA
ZONING INFORMATION:
ZONE:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { EXISTING: } \\ \text { PROPOSED: } & \quad \text { RF } \\ \text { CD }\end{array}$

## LOT AREA:

gross Ex'TG SITE AREA ROAD DEDICATION AREA LANE PURCHASE AREA GROSS SITE AREA FOR FAR
0.82 AC. / 3331.13 SQM 0.12 AC / $/ 469.90$ SQM. $0.12 \mathrm{AC} . / 495.69$ SQM. 0.94 AC . $/ 3826.82$ SQM.

## PROJECT DIRECTORY

| CLIENT: | CIVIL |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALVAIR GROUP | HUB ENGINEERING |
| 1283096 AVE \#168 | \#212 12992 -76TH AVENUE, |
| SURREY, B.C. V3V OC2 | SURREY, B.C. V3W 2 V6 |
| CONTACT: $\mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x} \times$ | CONTACT: MIKE KOMPTER |
|  | mail@hub-inc.com |
| ARCHITECTURAL: | LANDSCAPE |
| DF ARCHITECTURE INC. | VDZ + A PROJECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE |
| 350-10851 SHELLERIDGE WA | \#102-3535 King |
| RICHMOND, BC V6X 376 | VANCOUVER BC V5T 3 JT |
| CONTACT: JESSIE ARORA | CONTACT: DAVID JERKE |
| ${ }_{\text {Tessie@dararchitecturec.cas }}$ | T604 882-0024 |
| jessie@dfarchitecture.ca | davidj@vdz.ca |
| SURVEY: |  |
| BinNie Land surveying ltd. | VDZ+A PROUJECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE |
| BURNABY, B.C. V5G 4KG |  |
| T 604-420 | CONTACTT Dig Y roma |
| askbinnie@binnie.com | T604 882-0024 |

## ARCHITECTURAL

| Category | Sheet no. | SHEET NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A-001 | cover page |
|  | A-002 | development statistics |
|  | A-003 | PRECEDENT |
|  | A-004 | design rationale |
|  | A-010 | Site location |
|  | A-011 | SITE ANALYSIS |
|  | A-012 | SITE ANALYSIS (TRANSIT \& RECREATION) |
|  | A-013 | AERIAL VIEW |
|  | A-014 | CONTEXT PLAN |
|  | A-015 | 152 STREET STREETSCAPE |
|  | A-016 | Shadow analysis |
|  | A-050 | SURVEY |
|  | A-051 | base plan |
|  | A-052 | SITE ACCESS PLAN |
|  | A-053 | FIRE ACCESS PLAN |
|  | A-100 | SITE PLAN |
|  | A-201 | PARKADE LEVEL 3 |
|  | A-202 | PARKADE LeVEL 2 |
|  | A-203 | PARKADE LEVEL 1 |
|  | A-204 | FLOOR PLAN (LEVEL 1) |
|  | A-205 | FLOOR PLAN (LEVEL 2) |
|  | A-206 | FLOOR PLAN (LEVEL 3) |
|  | A-207 | FLoor PLAN (LEVEL 4) |
|  | A-208 | FLOOR PLAN (LEVEL 5) |
|  | A-209 | FLOOR PLAN (LEVEL 6) |
|  | A-210 | FLOor PLAN (PENTHOUSE) |
|  | A-211 | ROof PLAN |
|  | A-212 | REFLECTED CEILING PLAN |

df

SIX-STOREY MULTIFAMLY
MIXED.USE DEVELOPMENT



COVER PAGE


| DEVELOPMENT DATA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTIION |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOT 18 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921 NWD, LOT A SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP20446 NWD, LOT 17 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921NWD, LOT 16 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921 NWD NWD, LOT 16 SECTION 15 TOWNSHIP 1 PLAN NWP72921 NWD |  |  |  |  |  |
| CVIIC ADDRESS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2337, 2315, 2313 \& 2301-152 ST, SURREY |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZONING INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZONE |  |  |  |  |  |
| ExISTING RF |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROPOSED CD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXXITTING SITE AREA (A) |  | 35,857.12 Sq.Ft. | 3,331.21 Sq.M. | 0.82 ac | 0.33 ha |
| LANE PURCHASE AREA (B) |  | 5,337.73 59.Ft. | 495.89 Sq.M. | 0.12 ac | 0.05 ha |
| GROSS STIE AREA ( $A+B$ ) |  | 41,193.21 Sq.Ft. | 3,826.94 Sq.M. | 0.95 ac | 0.38 ha |
| DEDICATIONS |  | ${ }^{5,058.16 ~ S 9 . F t .}$ | 469.91 sq .M. | 0.12 ac |  |
| Net SITE AREA |  | 36,135.05 5q.Ft. | 3,357.03 Sq,M. | 0.83 ac | 0.34 ha |
| BUILDING HEIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEtBACK |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH | (fRROM LANE) - FOR LVIL 1-3 |  | 4.51 M . |  | 14.79 ft |
|  | (FROM LANE) - FOR LVLS 4-5 |  | 5.27 M. |  | 17.29 ft |
| SOUTH | (fRROM NEIGHBORING LOT)-FOR LVILS $1-3$ |  | 5.32 M . |  | 17.46 ft |
|  | (fROM NEIGHBORING LOT) - FOR LVIS 4-5 |  | 7.66 M . |  | 25.13 ft |
| EAST | (fROM 152 ST.) For LVIS 1-4 |  | 3.02 M . |  | 9.92 ft |
|  | (fRROM 152 ST.) FOR LVIL 5-6 |  | 5.01 M . |  | 16.42 ft |
| WEST | (fROM NEIGHBORIING LOT TO B |  | 12.03 M . |  | 39.46 ft |
|  | (fRROM NEIGHBORIING LOT To B |  | 13.55 M . |  | 44.46 ft |


| RESIDENTIAL UNIT TYPE SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLOOR |  | level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 | LEVEL6 | PENTHOUSE | AREA | UNIT | total area |
| UNIT A | STUDIO | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 41.25 Sq.M. | 444.00 Sq.Ft. | 123.75 Sq.M. |
| UNIT A1 | STUDIO |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 40.97 Sq.M. | 441.00 Sq.Ft. | 81.94 Sq.M. |
| UNIT A2 | STUDIO (MICRO) |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 34.75 Sq.M. | 374.00 Sq.Ft. | 69.49 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B | 1-BED RM. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 53.14 Sq.M. | 572.00 Sq.Ft. | 159.42 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B1 | 1-BED RM. | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 52.21 Sq.M. | 562.00 Sq.Ft. | 417.69 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B2 | 1-BED RM. |  | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 53.98 Sq.M. | 581.00 Sq.Ft. | 755.67 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B3 | 1-BED RM. + DEN |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 60.48 Sq.M. | 651.00 Sq.Ft. | $120.96 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{M}$. |
| UNIT B4 | 1-BED RM. |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 1 | 45.71 Sq.M. | 492.00 Sq.Ft. | 685.62 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B5 | 1-BED RM. |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 2 | 45.15 Sq.M. | 486.00 Sq.Ft. | 541.81 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B6 | 1 -BED RM. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 56.11 Sq.M. | 604.00 5q.Ft. | 56.11 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B7 | 1-BED RM. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 44.59 Sq.M. | 480.00 Sq.Ft. | 133.78 Sq.M. |
| UNIT B8 | 1-BED RM. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 49.89 Sq.M. | 537.00 Sq.Ft. | 149.67 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C | 2-BED RM. + DEN | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 91.60 Sq.M. | 986.00 Sq.Ft. | 91.60 Sq.M. |
| UNITC1 | 2-BED RM. + DEN |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 72.19 Sq.M. | 777.00 Sq.Ft. | 216.56 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C2 | 2 -BED RM. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 65.12 Sa.M. | 701.00 Sq.Ft. | $65.12 \mathrm{Sq.M}$. |
| UNIT C2 ALT | 2 -BED RM. |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 65.03 Sq.M. | 700.00 Sq.Ft. | 65.03 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C3 | 2-BED RM. + DEN | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 84.36 Sq.M. | 908.00 Sq.Ft. | 759.20 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C4 | 2-BED RM. |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 67.63 Sq.M. | 728.00 Sq.Ft. | 270.53 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C4 ALT. | 2 -BED RM. |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 69.49 Sq.M. | $748.00 \mathrm{Sq.Ft}$. . | 277.96 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C5 | 2-BED RM. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 78.41 Sa.M. | 844.00 Sq.Ft. | 156.82 Sq.M. |
| UNIT C6 | 2 -BED RM. |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 74.88 Sq.M. | 806.00 Sq.Ft. | 74.88 Sq.M. |
| UNIT D | 3 -BED RM. |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 110.93 Sq.M. | 1,194.00 Sq.Ft. | 221.85 Sq.M. |
| UNIT D1 | 3-EED RM. + DEN |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 96.53 Sq.M. | 1,039.00 Sq.Ft. | $193.05 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{M}$. |
| UNIT D2 | 3 -BED RM. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 97.64 Sq.M. | 1,051.00 Sq.Ft. | 292.92 Sq.M. |
| UNIT D3 | 3 -BED RM. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 79.52 Sq.M. | 856.00 Sq.Ft. | 159.05 Sq.M. |
| UNITE | 4 -BED RM. | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 112.41 Sq.M. | 1,210.00 Sq.Ft. | 337.24 Sq.M. |
| TOTAL | 106 D.U. | 9 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 7 |  |  | 6,477.70 Sq.M. |


| F.A.R |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | 1,298.83 Sq.M. | 13,980.92 Sq.Ft. |
| LEVEL2 | 734.84 Sq.M. | 7,910,00 Sq.Ft. |
| LEVEL3 | 1,670.24 Sq.M. | 17,978.87 5q.Ft. |
| LEVEL4 | 1,669.91 Sq.M. | 17,975,40 Sq.Ft. |
| LEVEL5 | 1,387.28 Sq.M. | 14,933.07 59.Ft. |
| LEVEL6 | 1,377.28 Sq.M. | 14,825.42 59.Ft. |
| PENTHOUSE | 697.93 Sq.M. | 7,512.72 S9.Ft. |
| TOTAL | 8,836,31 Sq.M. | 95,116.40 Sq.Ft. |
| InDOOR AMENTTY | 245.02 Sq.M. | 2,637.42 Sq.Ft. |
| TOTAL MINUS AMENITY | 8,591.30 Sq.M. | 92,478.98 54.Ft. |
| F.A.R (ON GROSS SITE AREA) |  | 2.25 |


| AMENITY SPACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUTDOOR AMENTTY |  |  | UNITS |  | AREA PER UNIT | AREA |  |
| REQUIRED | UNITS OVER 35 Sq.M. |  | 104 |  | 3.00 Sq.M. | 312.00 Sq.M. | 3,358.37 Sq.Ft. |
|  | MICRO UNITS |  | 2 |  | 4.00 Sq.M. | 8.00 Sq.M. | 86.11 Sq.Ft. |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 320.00 Sq.M. | 3,444.48 5q.Ft. |
| PRovided |  |  | ROOF TOP AMENITY |  |  | $517.09 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{M}$. | 5,566.06 5q.Ft. |
| INDOOR AMENTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUIRED | UNITS OVER 35 Sq.M. |  | 104 |  | 3.00 Sq.M. | 312.00 Sq.M. | 3,358.37 Sq.Ft. |
|  | MICRO UNITS |  | 2 |  | 4.00 Sq.M. | 8.00 Sq.M. | 86.11 Sq.Ft. |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 320.00 Sq.M. | 3,444.48 5q.Ft. |
| PROVIDED |  |  | 76.57\% |  |  | 245.02 Sq.M. | 2,637.42 5q.Ft. |
|  |  | COMMERCIAL RETAIL UNITS |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRU1-7 |  |  |  | $\frac{11,707.53 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft} .}{11,707.53 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{Ft} .}$ |  | 1,087.66 Sq.M. |  |
| TOTAL COMMERCIAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  | 1,087 | Sq.M. |


| UNIT MIX SUMMARY |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| STUDIO (MICRO) | 2 |
| SUDIO | 5 |
| 1 BED | 59 |
| 1EDD + DEN | 2 |
| 2 BED | 13 |
| 2 EED + DEN | 13 |
| 3 BED | 7 |
| 3 EDD + DEN | 2 |
| 4 BED | 3 |
| TOTAL | 106 |

## Paner. six-STRREY MULTIIAMILY MIXED.USE DEVELOPMENT <br> 2337, 2315, 2313 \& 2301 <br> 2337, 2315, 2313 \& 152 ST., sURREY <br> Nulvair



DEVELOPMENT
STATISTICS

## SITE ANALYSIS

## EXISTING URBAN CONTEXT







MARCH 01 10:00 AM


SEPTEMBER 01 10:00 AM


MARCH 01 12:00 AM


SEPTEMBER 01 12:00 PM


MARCH 01 2:00 PM


SEPTEMBER 01 2:00 PM


SHADOW ANALYSIS
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 2337, 2315, $2313 \& 2301$ 152 ST., SURREY
$N \Delta \operatorname{LVAIR}$


ROOF PLAN






$\frac{1}{A .305}$ PARTIAL EAST ELEVATION (152 ST)-NORTH HALF



PARTIAL ELEVATION

(C) view from parking at rear

df


## SIX-STOREY MULTIFAMLY MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT

2337, 2315, $2313 \& 2301$
152 ST., SURREY
'Nalvair
alvair group


RENDERINGS


A ViEw of residential entrance Lobey along 152 street
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TO: Manager, Area Planning \& Development

- South Surrey Division

Planning and Development Department

FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: March 27, $2023 \quad$ PROJECT FILE: $\quad \mathbf{7 8 2 1 - 0 2 2 3 - 0 0}$
RE: Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial)
Location: 2301152 Street

## REZONE/SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate as road (without compensation) Bylaw Road Parcel M and Parcel H.
- Dedicate as road (without compensation) gazetted road for portions of 152 Street.
- Dedicate 4.808 m on 152 Street.
- Dedicate 3 mx 3 m corner cut at intersection of the lane and 152 Street.
- Dedicate 2.0 m for east-west lane allowance.
- Register 0.5 m SRW on west side of 152 Street.


## Works and Services

- Construct 1.8 m wide concrete sidewalk along 152 Street.
- Construct east-west lane.
- Confirm downstream storm drainage system capacity; upgrade downstream drainage systems with insufficient capacity, if required.
- Provide drainage system to service the proposed development.
- Provide onsite drainage works to meet the Elgin, Anderson, \& Barbara Creeks ISMP requirements, and water quality treatment for on-lot surface drive aisle areas.
- Provide water calculations to confirm water connection sizes.
- Submit sanitary flow analysis and upgrade downstream system as required.
- Construct 250 mm sanitary main along 152 Street.
- Construct adequately-sized storm and sanitary service connections.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone/Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit except for the requirements listed above.

long
Jeff Pang, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
IN

LEADERSHIP IN LEARNING

| Department: | Planning and Demographics |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | February 15, 2023 |
| Roport | Citt of Surrey |

Development Impact Analysis on Schools For:
Application \#: 21022300

The proposed development of 106 Low Rise Apartment units
are estimated to have the following impact on elementary and secondary schools within the school regions.

February 15, 2023
City of Surrey

Development Impact Analysis on Schools For:

Summary of Impact and Commentary
The following tables illustrate the historical, current and future enrolment projections including current/approved ministry operating capacity for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

As of September 2022, there were three portables being used for enrolling classrooms in H T Thrift Elementary. The 10 year enrolment projections show this school growth is leveling off but the school is operating at $125 \%$ capacity. Portables will be used to manage enrolment growth over the next several years. There are no capital expansion projects planned for the school at this time

Due to the opening of the new Grandview Heights 1500 capacity high school in September 2021, enrolment at Semiahmoo Secondary has dropped but the school is still operating at $111 \%$ which also has 11 portables on site.
200


Population : The projected population of children aged 0-17 impacted by the development.
Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

Table 3 : Tree Preservation Summary
Surrey Project No: TBD
Address:
2031-2337 152 Street, Surrey, BC
Registered Arborist:
D. Glyn Romaine - ISA Certified Arborist PN-7929A

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 28 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 19 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 9 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \quad \mathrm{X} \text { one (1) }=0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio $19 \times \text { two (2) }=38$ | 38 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 19 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 19 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | N/A |
| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> $0 \quad \mathrm{X}$ one (1) 0 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> $0 \quad \mathrm{X}$ two (2) $=0$ | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Retained | 0 |

Summary, report, and plan prepared and submitted by: D. Glyn Romaine

(Signature of Arborist)

March 24, 2023
Date


# Advisory Design Panel Minutes 

| Present: | Guests: | Staff Present: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Panel Members: | Kunwar Bir Singh, Alvair Development Ltd. | A. McLean, City Architect |
| E. Kearns, Chair | Jessie Arora, Architect AIBC, DF Architecture | N. Chow, Urban Design Planner |
| J. Azizi | Dave Jerke, van der Zalm and Associates Landscape Architecture | S. Maleknia, Urban Design Planner |
| N. Couttie | Luc Gosselin, Whitetail Homes | S. Meng, Administrative Assistant |
| M. Heeney | Steve Bartok, Architect AIBC, Keystone Architecture \& Planning Ltd. |  |
| S. MacRae | Meredith Mitchell, M2 Landscape Architecture |  |
| J. Packer | Zubin Billimoria, Architect AIBC, DF Architecture |  |
| M. Patterson | Qing Li, M2 Landscape Architecture |  |
|  | Andressa Linhares, Architect AIBC, Keystone Architecture \& Planning Ltd. |  |

## A. RECEIPT OF MINUTES

It was
Moved by N. Couttie
Seconded by M. Heeney
That the minutes of the Advisory Design Panel
meeting of December 1, 2022 be received.
Carried

## B. NEW SUBMISSIONS

## 1. 3:05 p.m.

File No.:
New or Resubmit:
Last Submission Date:
Description:

Address:
Developer:
Architect:
Landscape Architect:
Planner:
Urban Design Planner:

7921-0223-00
New
N/A
Rezoning from "Duplex Residential Zone (RM-D)" and "Single Family Residential Zone (RF) to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD based on RM-7o and C-5)", consolidation into one development lot and a Development Permit for Form and Character to permit the development of a six-storey mixed use building with 109 residential units and 1,103 square metres of commercial floor area at grade. The proposal includes the closure and purchase of the existing lane and consolidation with the development site. 2301, 2313, 2315, and 2337-152 Street
Kunwar Bir Singh, Alvair Development Ltd.
Jessie Arora, Architect AIBC, DF Architecture
Dave Jerke, van der Zalm and Associates Landscape Architecture Kevin Shackles
Nathan Chow

The Urban Design Planner noted that the applicant proposed to close and purchase the north-south proportion of the existing lane that is not over a watermain, and consolidate it with the subject site. Furthermore, the applicant is working with staff to retain five Douglas Fir trees on the subject site to provide a buffering scale transition to the school.

The Urban Design Planner advised that staff generally do not have concerns with the density, use, or form; however, staff question the scale of stepping back at the west side interface of single-family homes, as that is designated as a sensitive interface in the Semiahmoo Town Centre Plan.

The Panel was asked to comment on the overall site planning, pedestrian and vehicular movement, lane system being used, and portion being purchased and remain north end, architectural expression of building, building form, overall massing concept, the density proposed setbacks, proposed amenity concept, overall landscape concept, wayfinding and public realm interfaces.

The Project Architect presented an overview of the site planning, building concept, and 3 D perspective views.

The Landscape Architect presented an overview of the general concept for the Landscape design.

## J. Packer joined the meeting at 3:15pm.

## ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL STATEMENT OF REVIEW

It was
Moved by M. Heeney
Seconded by N. Couttie
That the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) is in CONDITIONAL SUPPORT of the project and recommends that the applicant address the following issues to the satisfaction of the Planning \& Development Department and, at the discretion of Planning staff, RESUBMIT the project to the ADP for review.

Carried with S. MacRae absent

Key Points

- Consider simplifying material palette.

We have simplified our material palette and employed more subdued colours. Sheet A-304 'Material Sample Board \& Partial Elevation' indicates our principal cladding materials of ebony brick and gray v-groove siding. We have sparingly used detail claddings of off-white v-groove siding, wood-toned longboard, ivory hardie panel, and marble. The material palette is subdued and restrained.

- Consider solar shading techniques on west side of the south elevation. The west side of the south elevation receives significant solar shading from the protected douglas fir trees at the south property edge. The
existing protected trees are very large. We have added an outline of their extent to our elevation drawings for reference.
- $\quad$ Consider removing or reducing large overhangs at the top of level 6 to allow building to fully step back from lower levels.
We removed the large overhangs facing west to reduce visual impact on this sensitive interface.
- Encourage more shade elements at the outdoor amenity on the rooftop to allow larger age range of users.
Trellis elements and trees have been added to the rooftop amenity area to increase shade.
- Reconsider access from underground parking to commercial CRUs. Commercial patrons in underground parking can use Elevator 2 to access commercial CRUs from their rear entrances. Customers may also use the sidewalk on the north side to access commercial frontages.
- Further consider unit design for liveability.

Unit design has been reconsidered for livability with 100\% of units now being adaptable units.

Site

- Reconsider the current streetscape, in particular the public realm design, to support the ground floor commercial usage of this building. The main sidewalk pressed against the curb is separated from the commercial by a lawn boulevard with a very narrow strip of sidewalk against the property line which is again further separated from the CRUs by the rhythm of planters and benches.
Connections from proposed commercial to existing sidewalk adjusted to allow for a more coherent streetscape interface. Refer to L-03 Site Plan
- $\quad$ Reconsider the access to the commercial CRUs from the parkade and the north elevator as it is not very convenient or practical. The double-sided CRUs with equal value given to both sides seem unreasonable.

We have improved access to the north elevator from the underground commercial parking spaces. Customers can use the sidewalk on the north side to access commercial frontages if business chose to restrict access to their back entrances.

- Reconsider the animation of the west side facing the driveway as it does not need to be the same as the east side.
Windows on the west side facing the driveway have been reduced in size but not eliminated in order to maintain an active building face in the parking area while clearly indicating it is a secondary face.
- Consider adding a separate designated elevator for the commercial users that could be shared with the bicycle room access.
We have not added a separate designated elevator but have improved access to the north elevator for both commercial patrons and bicycle usage.
- Consider consolidating the residential core to a single pair of elevators located at the midpoint of the building. This will provide more clarity to the residential entrance and will more easily service the amenity level. It will also help beak down the scale of the massing while still providing two substantial blocks of retail space on either side.
We have maintained the residential lobby at the southern end of the site keeping the design in line with Semiahmoo NCP guidelines which recommend having continuous commercial frontage along arterial roads.
- Consider increasing the rooftop outdoor space and increasing the programming and quality of this space.
Amenity space has been increased on the rooftop (refer to L-04 Penthouse Level).
- Consider adding some covered outdoor space that, in addition to being very useful in our climate, can help offset the deficiency in indoor amenity area.
Trellis on rooftop amenity space has been proposed (refer to L-04 Penthouse Level).
- Consider addition of elements to the roof deck in order to provide shade over the play area for summertime use.
More planters with trees have been proposed to provide more shade (refer to L-04 Penthouse Level).

Form and Character

- Consider simplifying and reducing the number of materials to two or three principal materials. This will make it easier to detail, build and maintain as well.
We have two principal cladding materials (brick and v-groove siding) and a small selection of accent cladding materials.
- $\quad$ Consider lighter coloured materials and ensure adequate lighting on soffits over surface parking at the rear (west) of the building to lighten up potentially dark spaces.
We have added lighter v-groove siding at the west parking area which is substantially lighter in colour than the ebony brick.
- The black window frames are a key element of the attractive exterior elevations. However, these are sometimes dropped later due to cost issues,
and this would drastically change the look of the building. It is important that the City obtain some form of firm commitment that they will be retained. Otherwise, the applicant should provide alternative elevations to the ADP, so the actual design can be assessed. We commit to using black window frames.
- Consider removing the round columns on the corners holding the roof overhang. The columns diminish the energy of the feature frames and do not add value to the overall building form. It can be reviewed with a structural engineer.
We reduced the roof overhangs, allowing the round columns to be eliminated.
- Consider removing the large roof overhangs to reduce the bulk of the upper floor.
We removed the large roof overhangs on the west side of the building to reduce the bulk of the upper floor at this sensitive interface.
- Consider further setting back the building on the upper floors. The overhang "L-bands" at the roof level contradict these setbacks and consideration should be given to eliminating them. As described above, we removed the 'L-Bands' at the west elevation.


## Landscape

- Consider using taller evergreen plant material at the frontage of the building The planting palette selected appears to favour lots of groundcover planting. Vaccinum ovatum 'Thunderbird' (up to 6ft high) has been proposed at the street frontage of the building (refer to L-06 Planting Level 1).
- Consider a more native palette and one that provides for bird friendly design and pollinators.
Vaccinum ovatum 'Thunderbird' and Viburnum tinus 'Spring Bouquet' have been added and are native and pollinator friendly Vaccinum ovatum 'Thunderbird' (up to 6ft high) has been proposed at the street frontage of the building (refer to L-06 Planting Level 1).
- Consider providing a variety of heights of evergreen trees along the west edge and to consider Douglas Fir in place of the specified Deodar Cedar. Deodar Cedar has been replaced by Douglas Fir (L-03 Site Plan).
- Consider designing the roof deck amenity space to include a wider range of users ages 5-12 as currently the play area appears to be limited to toddlers. A few new elements such as slide, spinner and climber have been added to provide more variety of play for children of different ages shade (refer to L-04 Penthouse Level).

CPTED

- No specific issues were identified.

Sustainability

- Consider adding overhangs and horizontal projections on the west side of
the south elevation in order to reduce unwanted heat gain and overheating of suites on the southwest corner.
The southwest corner receives significant solar shading from the protected douglas fir trees at the south property edge. The existing protected trees are very large. We have added an outline of their extent to our elevation drawings for reference.

Accessibility

- Consider alternative layouts as a number of the unit layouts are very tight. Unit design has been reconsidered for livability with $100 \%$ of units now being adaptable units.
- Consider implementing adaptable units per the City's requirements.

As per above, 100\% of the units are now adaptable per the City's requirements.

## C. OTHER BUSINESS

This section had no items to consider.
D. NEXT MEETING

The next Advisory Design Panel is scheduled for Thursday, January 12, 2023.

## E. ADJOURNMENT

The Advisory Design Panel meeting adjourned at 6:57 p.m.

[^0]E. Kearns, Chairperson


[^0]:    Jennifer Ficocelli, City Clerk

