

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT REPORT

## Application No.: <br> 7921-0322-00

Planning Report Date: October 3, 2022

## PROPOSAL:

- LAP Amendment from Commercial to Mixed-Use Commercial-Residential
- Rezoning from RF to CD
- Development Permit
to permit the development of a four-storey mixed-use building with a child care centre and commercial units on the ground floor, and 34 residential units above.

| LOCATION: | $2213-156$ Street |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $2249-$ King George Boulevard |
| ZONING: | RF |
| OCP DESIGNATION: | Commercial |
| LAP DESIGNATION: | Commercial |



## RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

- By-law Introduction and set date for Public Hearing for Rezoning.
- Approval to draft Development Permit for Form and Character.


## DEVIATION FROM PLANS, POLICIES OR REGULATIONS

- The proposal requires an amendment to the King George Highway Corridor Local Area Plan (LAP) to amend the land use designation for the subject site from "Commercial" to "Mixed-Use Commercial-Residential".


## RATIONALE OF RECOMMENDATION

- The proposal complies with the Commercial designation in the Official Community Plan (OCP), which permits residential uses on the upper floors of commercially designated sites provided the ground floor of the development is fully commercial in nature.
- The King George Highway Corridor LAP is an older plan (1995) that does not account for mixed-use development. The "Commercial" designation under the current plan does note that high density residential uses could be supported in proximity to the existing commercial node at the intersection of 24 Avenue and King George Boulevard, and thus provides a rationale for the proposal. It is also noted that since the time the plan was enacted in 1995, City practices and policies have evolved to encourage more density and mixed-use forms of development.
- A similar mixed-use development across King George Boulevard, on the north-west corner of the intersection of King George Boulevard and 156 Street, under Development Application No. 7919-0032-oo, received $3^{\text {rd }}$ Reading on June 14, 2021.
- The proposed setbacks achieve a more urban, pedestrian streetscape in compliance with the King George Highway Corridor Local Area Plan (LAP) and in accordance with the Development Permit (Form and Character) design guidelines in the OCP.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of King George Boulevard and has a strong street presence along 156 Street, which will contribute to a more vibrant pedestrian environment. The subject site is located in an area that is well served by transit, and the proposed use and density can further support transit.


## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning \& Development Department recommends that:

1. A By-law be introduced to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone" (RF) to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a date be set for Public Hearing.
2. Council authorize staff to draft Development Permit No. 7921-0322-oo generally in accordance with the attached drawings (Appendix I).
3. Council instruct staff to resolve the following issues prior to final adoption:
(a) ensure that all engineering requirements and issues including restrictive covenants, dedications, and rights-of-way where necessary, are addressed to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Engineering;
(b) submission of a subdivision layout to the satisfaction of the Approving Officer;
(c) approval from the Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure;
(d) submission of a finalized tree survey and a statement regarding tree preservation to the satisfaction of the City Landscape Architect;
(e) resolution of all urban design issues to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(f) submission of a landscaping plan and landscaping cost estimate to the specifications and satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(g) the applicant satisfy the deficiency in tree replacement on the site, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(h) demolition of existing buildings and structures to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department;
(i) submission of an acoustical report for the residential units and registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to ensure implementation of noise mitigation measures; and
(j) registration of a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, to the satisfaction of the General Manager Parks, Recreation and Culture and with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy and Tier 1 Capital Project CACs, to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning \& Development Department.
4. Council pass a resolution to amend the King George Highway Corridor Plan to redesignate the site from "Commercial" to "Mixed-Use Commercial-Residential" when the project is considered for final adoption.

## SITE CONTEXT \& BACKGROUND

| Direction | Existing Use | OCP/LAP Designation | Existing Zone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject Site | Single Family <br> Residential | Commercial/Commercial | Commercial |
| North: | Commercial | Commercial/Commercial | CD |
| North (across King George <br> Boulevard): | Vacant lot | Commercial/Commercial <br> (Development Application <br> No. 7919-oo32-oo <br> proposes redesignation to <br> Mixed-Use Commercial- <br> Residential) | CHI <br> (Development <br> Application No. <br> 7919-oo32-oo <br> proposes <br> rezoning to CD) |
| East (Across King George <br> Boulevard/156 Street): | Multi-family <br> Residential and <br> Single Family <br> Residential | Urban/Single Family <br> Residential (6 upa) | RM-M, RF |
| South (Across lane): | Single Family <br> Residential | Urban/Single Family <br> Residential (6 upa) | RF |
| West (Across lane): | Single Family <br> Residential | Urban/ Single Family <br> Residential (6 upa) | RF, RF-12 |

## Context \& Background

- The subject site is approximately 0.35 hectares ( 0.85 acres) in area and is zoned "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)." The property is designated Commercial in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Commercial in the King George Highway Corridor Plan.


## DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

## Planning Considerations

- The applicant proposes to rezone the site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" and a development permit for the construction of a four-storey mixed-use building.
- The proposed Comprehensive Development (CD) Zone will be based on the "Community Commercial (C-8) Zone" and the "Multiple Residential 70 (RM-7o) Zone."
- The proposed development will have 1,281 square metres of commercial space on the ground floor, which includes an approximately 800 square metre child care centre, with a combined total of approximately 3,453 square metres of residential floor area above. The overall building represents a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.49 .
- The applicant proposes two levels of underground parking, with 8 additional surface parking stalls located to the north-west of the building, away from the primary street frontage.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area |  |
| Gross Site Area: | $3,499.3$ square metres |
| Road Dedication: | 329.8 square metres |
| Net Site Area: | $3,169.5$ square metres |
| Number of Lots: | 2 |
| Building Height: | 15 metres |
| Unit Density: | 106 units per hectare / 44 units per acre |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | 1.49 |
| Floor Area |  |
| Residential: |  |
| Commercial: | 3,453 square metres |
| Total: | $1,281.1$ square metres |
| Residential Units: |  |
| 1-Bedroom: |  |
| 2 and 3-Bedroom: | 18 |
| Total: | 16 |

## Referrals

Engineering:

School District:

The Engineering Department has no objection to the project subject to the completion of Engineering servicing requirements as outlined in Appendix III.

The School District has advised that there will be approximately 14 school-age children generated by this development, of which the School District has provided the following expected student enrollment.

5 Elementary students at Jessie Lee Elementary School 5 Secondary students at Earl Marriott Secondary School

## (Appendix IV)

Note that the number of school-age children is greater than the expected enrollment due to students attending private schools, home school or different school districts.

The applicant has advised that the dwelling units in this project are expected to be constructed and ready for occupancy by 2025.

Parks, Recreation \&
Culture:

Fraser Health Authority: Fraser Health has reviewed the proposed plans for the child care centre. The proposal meets the requirements of the Provincial Child Care Licensing Regulation, subject to Licensing inspection of the space and subject to any renovations, upgrades, or improvements being completed.

Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure (MOTI):

The closest active park is Gratitude Garden and is 115 metres away, and the closest natural area is Jessie Lee Park and is 480 metres away.

Preliminary Approval is granted for the rezoning and development permit for one year pursuant to section 52 (3)(a) of the Transportation Act.

Surrey Fire Department: No concerns.

Advisory Design Panel: The proposal was considered at the ADP meeting on July 14, 2022 and was conditionally supported. The applicant has resolved most of the outstanding items from the ADP review as outlined in the Development Permit section of this report.

Any additional revisions will be completed prior to Council's consideration of Final Adoption of the rezoning by-law, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department.

## Transportation Considerations

- Vehicular access to the site will be provided via a driveway off the rear lane.
- A total of 98 parking spaces are proposed, representing 48 residential spaces, 46 commercial spaces, 2 visitor parking spaces, and 2 accessible parking spaces, which is in compliance with the Zoning Bylaw requirements.
- The applicant proposes to provide 90 parking spaces within the two levels of underground parking, and 8 additional surface parking spaces for commercial customers and residential visitors. Access to the underground parking is from a driveway off the lane, at the rear of the building.
- There will be pedestrian walkways around the perimeter of the building and connections to the sidewalks on King George Boulevard and 156 Street, as well as a walkway along the lane to improve access to existing and future developments to the north. The walkway along the lane will also improve the pedestrian environment for new and existing residents in the area.


## Sustainability Considerations

- The applicant has met all of the typical sustainable development criteria, as indicated in the Sustainable Development Checklist.


## POLICY \& BY-LAW CONSIDERATIONS

## Regional Growth Strategy

- The proposal complies with the General Urban designation in the Regional Growth Strategy.


## Official Community Plan

## Land Use Designation

- The site is designated Commercial in the Official Community Plan (ОСР).
- The proposal complies with the Commercial designation in the OCP, which permits residential uses on the upper floors of commercially designated sites provided the ground floor of the development is fully commercial in nature.


## Secondary Plans

## Land Use Designation

- The proposal includes an amendment to the King George Highway Corridor Local Area Plan (LAP) to redesignate the land from "Commercial" to "Mixed-Use Commercial-Residential".


## Amendment Rationale

- The King George Highway Corridor Plan is an older plan that does not account for mixed-use development. The Commercial designation under the current plan does note that high density residential uses could be supported in proximity to the existing commercial node at the intersection of 24 Avenue and King George Boulevard and thus supports the proposal. It is also noted that since the time that the plan was enacted in 1995, City practices and policies have evolved to encourage more mixed-use forms of development.
- The proposed density and building form are appropriate for this part of King George Boulevard and the building will have a strong street presence along 156 Street, which will contribute to a more vibrant pedestrian environment. The subject site is located in an area that is well-served by transit, and the proposed use and density can further support transit usage.
- The proposed building form and scale are appropriate for this area in South Surrey, as it has frontage on two major arterial roads. The provision of Commercial space on the ground floor will support achieving the intent of the original LAP commercial designation, whereas the provision of apartment units on the upper floors will help support the viability of the commercial units.


## CD By-law

- The applicant proposes to rezone the subject site from "Single Family Residential Zone (RF)" to "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)".
- The applicant is proposing a "Comprehensive Development Zone (CD)" to accommodate a proposed mixed-use building on the subject site. The proposed CD By-law identifies the uses, densities and setbacks proposed. The CD By-law will have provisions based on the "Multiple Residential 70 Zone (RM-70)" and the "Community Commercial Zone (C-8)".
- A comparison of the density, lot coverage, setbacks, building height and permitted uses in the RM-7o Zone, C-8 Zone and the proposed CD By-law is illustrated in the following table:

| Zoning | RM-7o Zone <br> (Part 23) | C-8 Zone <br> (Part 36) | Proposed CD Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Density: | 111 uph | n/a | n/a |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 1.50 | o. 8 | 1.50 |
| Lot Coverage: | 33\% | 50\% | 45\% |
| Yards and Setbacks | 7.5 m from all lot lines | 7.5 m from all lot lines | Front (King George Boulevard): 4.0 m Rear (Lane): 5.5 m <br> Side (North): 3.3 m <br> Street Side ( 156 Street): 4.0 m |
| Principal <br> Building <br> Height: | 50 m | 12 m | 15 m |
| Permitted Uses: | Principal Uses: <br> 1. Multiple unit residential buildings and groundoriented multiple unit residential buildings. <br> Accessory Uses: <br> 2. Child care centres, provided that such centres: <br> (a) Do not constitute a singular use on the lot; and <br> (b) Are regulated by the Community Care and Assisted Living Act, as amended, and the Child Care Licensing Regulations, as amended. | Principal Uses: <br> 1. Retail stores excluding the following: <br> (a) Adult entertainment stores; and <br> (b) Secondhand stores and pawnshops. <br> 2. Personal service uses excluding body rub parlours. <br> 3. General service uses excluding funeral parlours and drivethrough banks. | 1. Multiple unit residential buildings, provided that no residential uses are located on the ground floor. <br> 2. Retail stores excluding the following: <br> (a) Adult entertainment stores; and <br> (b) Secondhand stores and pawnshops. <br> 3. Personal service uses excluding body rub parlours. <br> 4. General service uses excluding funeral parlours and drive-through banks. <br> 5. Beverage container return centres provided that: <br> (a) The use is confined to an enclosed building or a part of an enclosed building; and <br> (b) The beverage container return centre does not exceed a gross floor area of 418 sq. m. |


| Permitted Uses: |  | 4. Beverage container return centres provided that the use is confined to an enclosed building or a part of an enclosed building and is pursuant to Section D. 3 of this Zone. <br> 5. Eating establishments excluding drivethrough restaurants. 6. Neighbourhood pubs, regulated under the Liquor Control and Licensing Act, as amended. <br> 7. Liquor store. 8. Office uses excluding the following: (a) Social escort services; (b) Methadone clinics; and (c) Marijuana dispensaries. <br> 9. Parking facilities. 10. Automotive service uses of vehicles less than 5,000 kg G.V.W., provided that such use is associated with a retail store (Section B. 1 of this Zone). <br> 11. Indoor recreational facilities. <br> 12. Entertainment uses excluding arcades and adult entertainment stores. 13. Assembly halls. <br> 14. Community services. <br> 15. Child care centres; regulated under the Community Care and Assisted Living Act, as amended, and the Child Care Licensing Regulation, as amended. <br> 16. Cultural Uses. Accessory Uses: <br> 17. One caretaker unit per lot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

6. Multiple unit residential buildings, provided that no residential uses are located on the ground floor.
7. Retail stores excluding the following:
(c) Adult entertainment stores; and
(d) Secondhand stores and pawnshops.
8. Personal service uses excluding body rub parlours.
9. General service uses excluding funeral parlours and drive-through banks.
10. Beverage container return centres provided that:
(c) The use is confined to an enclosed building or a part of an enclosed building; and
(d) The beverage container return centre does not exceed a gross floor area of 418 sq. m.
11. Eating establishments excluding drivethrough restaurants.
12. Neighbourhood pubs, regulated under the Liquor Control and Licensing Act, as amended.
13. Liquor store.
14. Office uses excluding the following:
(a) Social escort services;
(b) Methadone clinics; and
(c) Marijuana dispensaries.
15. Indoor recreational facilities.
16. Entertainment uses excluding arcades and adult entertainment stores.
17. Community services.
18. Child care centres, regulated under the Community Care and Assisted Living Act, as amended, and the Child Care Licensing Regulation, as amended.
19. Cultural uses.

## Amenity Space

| Indoor <br> Amenity: | $102 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | The proposed $99.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+$ CIL of $\$ 2,500$ <br> meets the Zoning By-law <br> requirement. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Outdoor $102 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> Amenity:  | n/a | The proposed $114.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ meets the Zoning By-law requirement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parking (Part 5) | Required | Proposed |
| Number of Stalls |  |  |
| Commercial: | 15.0 | 15 |
| Child Care Centre | 27.3 | 28 |
| Residential: | 47.4 | 48 |
| Residential Visitor: | 6.8 | 7 |
| Total: | 97 | 98 |
| Bicycle Spaces |  |  |
| Residential Secure Parking: | 40.8 | 42 |
| Residential Visitor: | 6.0 | 6 |

- All of the commercial uses that are permitted in the C-8 Zone will be included in the CD Zone except for parking facilities, automotive services, and assembly halls.
- The proposed floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.50 is consistent with the permitted density under the RM-7o Zone and the OCP Commercial designation. It is also consistent with the proposed density for Development Application No. 7919-0032-oo across King George Boulevard.
- The proposed lot coverage of $45 \%$ is greater than the $33 \%$ lot coverage permitted under the RM-70 Zone but is less than the $50 \%$ lot coverage permitted under the C-8 Zone.
- Setbacks have been customized to the proposal through a review of the site's form and character. The reduced setbacks on the 156 Street frontage and sides of the building allow for a more pedestrian-oriented, urban character to the development.
- The height of the proposed building is limited to four storeys and is proposed to be 14.9 metres.


## Signage

- Business signage is permitted on the 156 Street frontages of the commercial units, but no business signage will be permitted on the rear of the building. Signage on the rear of the building will be restricted to unit numbers only.
- Detailed signage is not proposed as part of this application and will instead be considered through a separate application in the future or must comply with the Sign By-law.


## Guidelines for Location and Siting of Child Care Centres

- In 1990, the City completed a report entitled "Guidelines for Location and Siting of Child Care Centres". The following illustrates how the proposed application adheres to the various locational and siting guidelines outlined in the report:
o Locate child care facilities with maximum enrollment exceeding 25 children in close proximity to multi-family residential development, community facilities and public amenities such as a school, a community or district park, etc.
- The surrounding neighbourhood includes a mix of proposed multi-family residential and existing single family residential developments.
- The subject site is within close proximity of Jessie Lee Elementary school and Jessie Lee Park, which are approximately 480 metres away.
o If located along an arterial street, conditions will apply.
- The proposed child care centre is located along King George Boulevard, which is an arterial road.
- The outdoor play area is proposed in the rear (north-west) corner of site, located away from King George Boulevard. The play area will be screened from view along King George Boulevard and 156 Street, which is a collector road, by the building. Landscaping will be planted along the lane for additional buffering and screening of the play area. The play area is proposed to be fenced and secured.
o Avoid undue concentration of childcare centres.
- The guidelines recommend that childcare facilities should be located in areas that are currently underserved to avoid an undue concentration of facilities.
- Demand for child care continues to be high throughout the City and the proposed child care centre will support the increased demand for childcare from the proposed multi-family residential units above and other new residential developments in the area.
- With approval of the subject application, child care space for an additional 98 children will be provided.
o Provide adequate on-site parking for employees and parents.
- The applicant will provide a total of 28 parking spaces on-site for the child care centre, which complies with the parking requirements under the Zoning By-law No. 12000.
o Provide adequate fencing, screening, setbacks, and outdoor play areas.
- The proposed child care centre will be located on the ground floor of the proposed mixed-use building and will have direct access to the outdoor play area.
- As the attached layout (Appendix I) illustrates, the outdoor play area will be located in the rear (north-west) corner of the site, screened by the building, and will be fenced and secured. Additional landscape buffering will be provided along the lane and surface parking area. The play area is situated away from the drive aisle and parking ramp to the underground parking.


## Capital Projects Community Amenity Contributions (CACs)

- On December 16, 2019, Council approved the City's Community Amenity Contribution and Density Bonus Program Update (Corporate Report No. R224; 2019). The intent of that report was to introduce a new City-wide Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) and updated Density Bonus Policy to offset the impacts of growth from development and to provide additional funding for community capital projects identified in the City's Annual Five-Year Capital Financial Plan.
- The proposed development will be subject to the Tier 1 Capital Plan Project CACs. The contribution will be payable at the rate applicable at the time of Final Subdivision Approval. The current rate is $\$ 4,000$ per new unit.
- The proposed development will not be subject to the Tier 2 Capital Plan Project CACs as the proposal complies with the densities in the OCP designation.


## Affordable Housing Strategy

- On April 9, 2018, Council approved the City's Affordable Housing Strategy (Corporate Report No. Ro66; 2018) requiring that all new rezoning applications for residential development contribute $\$ 1$, ooo per new unit to support the development of new affordable housing. The funds collected through the Affordable Housing Contribution will be used to purchase land for new affordable rental housing projects.
- The applicant will be required to register a Section 219 Restrictive Covenant to address the City's needs with respect to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy.


## Public Art Policy

- The applicant will be required to provide public art or register a Restrictive Covenant agreeing to provide cash-in-lieu, at a rate of $0.5 \%$ of construction value, to adequately address the City's needs with respect to public art, in accordance with the City's Public Art Policy requirements. The applicant will be required to resolve this requirement prior to consideration of Final Adoption.


## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- Pre-notification letters were sent on January 28, 2022, and the Development Proposal Signs were installed on December 22, 2021. Staff received no responses.


## DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

## Form and Character Development Permit Requirement

- The proposed development is subject to a Development Permit for Form and Character and is also subject to the urban design guidelines in the King George Corridor South Local Area Plan (LAP).
- The proposed development generally complies with the Form and Character Development Permit guidelines in the OCP and the design guidelines in the King George Corridor South Local Area Plan (LAP).
- The applicant has worked with staff to enhance the pedestrian experience in and around the site and create a strong street-presence along the two main frontages on 156 Street and King George Boulevard. Double sidewalks will be provided along 156 Street and King George Boulevard, and a plaza space will be located along the King George Boulevard frontage. A pedestrian walkway has been included along the lane for additional access to the proposed development, as well as to improve access and connectivity to existing and future developments to the north of the subject site.
- The horizontal building massing is visually split at the ground level with a balcony extending along the 156 Street frontage, creating separation between the commercial uses on the ground floor and residential units above. The horizontality of the glazed ground floor commercial space opens up the ground plane with transparency into the building's commercial activity, while the more private residential floors above are further stepped back from the street and balanced by vertical articulation of the residential units.
- The proposed residential fenestration is a balance between maximizing daylight into the building and minimizing heat loss. The residential units along the south facing 156 Street are recessed within the covered balcony space.
- The commercial fenestration has been maximized for exposure. A substantial overhang from the balcony above along the 156 Street frontage assists in minimizing the solar gain from the summer sun. In addition, existing and new trees will assist in minimizing solar heat gain in the summer and will maximize daylight penetration in the winter months.
- Proposed materials and colours include translucent storefront glazed panels, light grey and charcoal fibre-cement panel siding, grey metal panels, and grey metal flashing.


## Landscaping

- Landscaping is comprised of planters along 156 Street at the commercial frontage, a landscape buffer along the lane at the rear of the site, a landscape buffer along the length of the north property line adjacent to a commercial lot, and an open plaza area along the King George Boulevard frontage. The child care centre outdoor play area will occupy most of the rear yard area and will be surrounded by planted shrubs.
- The landscaping will consist of a variety of new trees on the site including Columnar Red Japanese Maple, Sweetgum, Bruns Serbian Spruce, Green Pillar Pin Ak, and a variety of shrubs, grasses and perennials.


## Indoor Amenity

- The Zoning By-law requires that 102 square metres of indoor amenity space be provided for the residential units, based on the requirement of 3 square metres per dwelling unit.
- The applicant is proposing 99 square metres of indoor amenity space plus cash-in-lieu to meet the requirements of the Zoning By-Law. The indoor amenity space is located on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ floor, adjacent the outdoor amenity space, at the north end of the building facing towards King George Boulevard and 156 Street.
- The indoor amenity space opens directly into the roof deck outdoor amenity space. The amenity space will have an accessible washroom.


## Outdoor Amenity

- The Zoning By-law requires that 102 square metres of outdoor amenity space be provided for the residential units, based on the requirement of 3 square metres per dwelling unit. The applicant is proposing a total of 114 square metres of outdoor amenity space, which exceeds the area required under the Zoning By-Law.
- The outdoor amenity is located on the roof deck at the north end of the building, facing King George Boulevard, and is connected to the indoor amenity space.


## Outstanding Items

- There are a limited number of Urban Design items that remain outstanding, and which do not affect the overall character or quality of the project. These generally involve the coordination of drawings and for additional detailing, consideration of the proposed balcony material treatment and for further review of the surface parking area.
- The applicant has been provided a detailed list identifying these requirements and has agreed to resolve these prior to Final Approval of the Development Permit, should the application be supported by Council.


## TREES

- D. Glyn Romaine, ISA Certified Arborist of Van Der Zalm \& Associates prepared an Arborist Assessment for the subject property. The table below provides a summary of the tree retention and removal by tree species:

Table 1: Summary of Tree Preservation by Tree Species:

| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous Trees(excluding Alder and Cottonwood Trees) |  |  |  |
| Apple | 2 | 2 | O |
| Cherry | 2 | 2 | O |
| Flowering Ash | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Horse Chestnut | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Weeping Willow | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Coniferous Trees |  |  |  |
| Serbian Spruce | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Scotch Pine | 1 | 1 | O |
| Western Red Cedar | 13 | 13 | O |


| Tree Species | Existing | Remove | Retain |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total (excluding Alder and <br> Cottonwood Trees) | 27 | 24 | 3 |
| Total Replacement Trees Proposed <br> (excluding Boulevard Street Trees) | 41 |  |  |
| Total Retained and Replacement Trees | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |  |  |
| Contribution to the Green City Program | $\$ 3,850$ |  |  |

- The Arborist Assessment states that there are a total of 27 mature trees on the site, and no Alder and Cottonwood trees. It was determined that 3 trees can be retained as part of this development proposal. The proposed tree retention was assessed taking into consideration the location of services, building footprints, road dedication and proposed lot grading.
- For those trees that cannot be retained, the applicant will be required to plant trees on a 2 to 1 replacement ratio. This will require a total of 48 replacement trees on the site. Since only 41 replacement trees can be accommodated on the site, the deficit of 7 replacement trees will require a cash-in-lieu payment of $\$ 3,850$, representing $\$ 550$ per tree, to the Green City Program, in accordance with the City's Tree Protection By-law.
- In addition to the replacement trees, boulevard street trees will be planted on 156 Street. This will be determined by the Engineering Department during the servicing design review process.
- The new trees on the site will consist of a variety of trees including Columnar Red Japanese Maple, Sweetgum, Bruns Serbian Spruce, and Green Pillar Pin Ak.
- In summary, a total of 44 trees are proposed to be retained or replaced on the site with a contribution of $\$ 3,850$ to the Green City Program.


## INFORMATION ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT

The following information is attached to this Report:

| Appendix I. | Survey Plan, Proposed Subdivision Layout, Site Plan, Building Elevations, <br> Landscape Plans and Perspective |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix II. | Engineering Summary |
| Appendix III. | School District Comments |
| Appendix IV. | Summary of Tree Survey and Tree Preservation |
| Appendix V. | NCP Plan |
| Appendix VI. | ADP Comments and Response |

approved by Shawn Low

Jeff Arason
Acting General Manager
Planning and Development
EM/cm


## PROPOSED MIXED-USE BUILDING

 2223-156TH STREET SURREY, B. C.[ FILE NUMBER 15-455 ]
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MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT
$156 \&$ KING GEORGE BOULEVARD
SURREY, B.C.
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$\pm$

FROM: Development Services Manager, Engineering Department
DATE: September 12, $\mathbf{2 0 2 2} \quad$ PROJECT FILE: 7821-0322-0o

RE: Engineering Requirements (Commercial/Industrial) Location: 2249 King George Blvd

## NCP AMENDMENT

There are no engineering requirements relative to the NCP Amendment.

## REZONE AND SUBDIVISION

## Property and Right-of-Way Requirements

- Dedicate 2.0m along 156 St.
- Dedicate 2.0 m along the lane.
- Dedicate required corner cuts.
- Register 0.5m statutory right-of-way (SRW) along King George Bvld and 156 St.


## Works and Services

- Construct the west side of 156 St.
- Widen the lane, reinstate speed humps and construct driveway letdown.
- Construct 250 mm sanitary main along King George Blvd and lane.
- Complete sanitary catchment analysis to determine existing capacities. Resolve downstream constraints, as identified.
- Provide storm, sanitary and water service connection to each lot. Abandon all existing service connections no longer required.
- Provide on-site mitigation features as determined through detailed design.
- Register restrictive covenant (RC) for the installation and maintenance of on-site mitigation features and water quality/sediment control systems.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to Rezone and Subdivision.

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

There are no engineering requirements relative to issuance of the Development Permit.

Jeff Pang, P.Eng.
Development Services Manager
IJ

August 25, 2022

## Planning

## THE IMPACT ON SCHOOLS

## APPLICATION \#: <br> 21032200

## SUMMARY

The proposed 34 lowrise units
are estimated to have the following impact
on the following schools:

Projected enrolment at Surrey School District for this development:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elementary Students: | 5 |
| Secondary Students: | 5 |

September 2021 Enrolment/School Capacity

| Jessie Lee Elementary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enrolment (K/1-7): | $57 \mathrm{~K}+338$ |
| Operating Capacity (K/1-7) | $38 \mathrm{~K}+373$ |
|  |  |
| Earl Marriott Secondary | 1411 |
| Enrolment (8-12): | 1500 |
| Capacity (8-12): |  |


| Projected population of school-age children for this development: | 14 |
| :--- | :--- |

Population : The projected population of children aged 0-19 Impacted by the development. Enrolment: The number of students projected to attend the Surrey School District ONLY.

School Enrolment Projections and Planning Update:
The following tables illustrate the enrolment projections (with current/approved ministry capacity) for the elementary and secondary schools serving the proposed development.

Actual enrollment to Jessie Lee Elementary has grown modestly the past five years. The 10-year projections support continuing growth at a similar modest pace. Enrolment growth can be handled with 4 portables or less. Currently there are no capital expansion requests for Jessie Lee Elementary.

Grandview Heights Secondary opened September 2021. This new facility relieves the overcrowding at Earl Marriot Secondary. Newly adopted secondary boundary changes have come into effect. At this time, Jessie Lee will no longer feed two secondary schools but will only feed Earl Marriott.

## Jessie Lee Elementary



Earl Marriott Secondary


* Nominal Capacity is estimated by multiplying the number of enrolling spaces by 25 students. Maximum operating capacity is estimated by multipying the number of enrolling spaces by 27 students.

Table 2: Tree Replacement Summary

Surrey Project No: 15-445

Address: 2249 King George Boulevard and 2213 156 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, Surrey, BC

| On-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Protected Trees Identified <br> (on-site and shared trees, including trees within boulevards and proposed streets and lanes, but excluding trees in proposed open space or riparian areas) | 27 |
| Protected Trees to be Removed | 24 |
| Protected Trees to be Retained (excluding trees within proposed open space or riparian areas) | 3 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \quad X$ one (1) $\quad 0$ <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> 24 X two (2) $=48$ | 48 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 41 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 7 |
| Protected Trees to be <br> Retained in Proposed [Open Space / Riparian Areas] | N/A |
| Off-Site Trees | Number of Trees |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Removed | 0 |
| Total Replacement Trees Required: <br> - Alder \& Cottonwood Trees Requiring 1 to 1 Replacement Ratio $0 \quad \mathrm{X}$ one (1) $=$ 0 <br> - All other Trees Requiring 2 to 1 Replacement Ratio <br> 15 <br> X two (2) $=$ 30 | 0 |
| Replacement Trees Proposed | 0 |
| Replacement Trees in Deficit | 0 |
| Protected Off-Site Trees to be Retained | 6 |

Summary, report, and plan prepared and submitted by:


June 14, 2022
Project Arborist
Date



## Responses to the ADP meeting of July 14, 2022:

The Panel recommended that the applicant give careful consideration to the operations of the child daycare, particularly congestion associated with the peak drop off and pick up times.
[ The surface parking layout has been reconfigured so that it is clearly visible and directly accessible off the driveway entrance from the lane. The number of parking spaces for drop-off and other uses has been increased to 8 spaces. ]

Key Points

- Consider the drop-off and pick-up demand on the parking lot for the childcare uses.
[ As per above, the number of surface parking spaces has been increased to 8 spaces. ]
- $\quad$ Consider providing more dedication to allow for parallel parking on lane; or have more parking underground, the current stalls are not feasible.
[ As per above, the surface parking layout has been reconfigures so that it is clearly visible and directly accessible off the driveway entrance from the lane.]

Site

- Consider relocating the surface parking underground or working with the city to parallel park along the lane; or consider the option of direct access off the parkade driveway.
[ Direct parking access is provided off the parkade driveway - see above. ]
- Southern (left-hand) stall isn't usable for many vehicles, and there are concerns about safety and visibility for vehicles exiting the surface parking into driveway.
[ The surface parking layout is reconfigured - see above. ]
Form and Character
- Consider applying metal panel cladding to all three volumes along the south elevation. South elevation to be designed to the same level of detail as east and west elevations
[ Metal panel cladding is continued on all three volumes of the south elevation (same level of detail as per east and west elevations. ]
- Consider having a curved balcony to the deck detail.
[ We are not sure of this intent, but have provided the other items listed.]
- Consider providing translucent glazing in lieu of opaque at the west-facing balconies.
[ The solid guardrails on the west-facing balconies are replaced with perforated metal panels so that they are translucent. ]
- Consider reducing the number of window mullions.
[ We will work with the glazing supplier to make glazing panels as large as practically possible.]
- Consider projecting the features binding the balconies on the east side, from the face of the balcony slab/guardrail.
[ In the building permit drawings, we will detail the L-bracket forms that frame the balconies on the east façade to project min. 8" past the edge of the balconies ( to give greater definition from the balconies).]


## Landscape

- Consider increasing the amount of planted landscaping screening between the childcare and $156^{\text {th }}$ Street.
[ There are already planters and trees proposed along the $156^{\text {th }}$ Street double sidewalk. It is not recommended to change this from the city's design guidelines for this commercial sidewalk. ]


## CPTED

- No specific issues were identified.

Sustainability

- Consider using energy and thermal comfort modelling to inform your design development. Use future climate files (2050/2080) to best understand the impact of shock events and overall resiliency of the project. [ We will request our MEP engineers to consider this as much as practically possible, and hope to achieve highest standards. ]
- Consider providing cooling to the suites.
[ We will request our MEP engineers and client to consider this. ]
Accessibility
- $\quad$ Consider providing more adaptable units.
[ Three adaptable units are provided, one on each residential floor. ]
- $\quad$ Consider placing an elevator on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level
[ We are not able to provide an elevator to the small $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor commercial space at the north end of the building (it is not required per code). ]
- Consider incorporating universally accessible washrooms
[ Accessible washrooms are provided in the large daycare space. ]

